

to form a consortium for the first time to participate in the HOME Program for FY 1998, (b) existing consortia already qualified to participate for FY 1998, but which wish to add one or more local governments, and (c) existing consortia which must execute a new consortium agreement because their consortium qualification period ends September 30, 1997.

HUD Field Offices should provide a copy of this notice to any local government that has expressed an intent to form a consortium and to each existing consortium in their office jurisdiction. While this notice has immediate implications for the above-mentioned potential and existing consortia, it is also relevant to all other consortia since requirements for consortia, as well as for other HOME participating jurisdictions, have changed now that the Consolidated Submission for Community Planning and Development Programs (hereafter referred to as Consolidated Plan) final rule has replaced the comprehensive housing affordability strategy final rule. The Consolidated Plan final rule, published January 5, 1995, in the Federal Register (60 FR 1878), was effective February 6, 1995. It is codified at 24 CFR Part 91.

A list of consortia that are participating in the HOME Program for FY 1997 is included as Attachment A to this notice and a list of consortia which must renew their HOME consortium agreements to participate as a consortium in the HOME Program for FY 1998 through FY 2000 is included as Attachment B.

While the Office of Affordable Housing Programs (OAHP) has attempted to verify the consortia listed in Attachment B, it may not be all-inclusive of consortia whose agreements expire in 1997. Field Offices must compare their consortia records and ensure that all consortia with agreements expiring in 1997 requalify. Information concerning qualification and requalification of consortia that do not appear must be provided to OAHP. Field Office CDP Division Directors must notify OAHP, to the attention of Ben Meece, by cc:Mail by May 15, 1997 of the contents and accuracy of the lists in both of the attachments. This affirmation must include the name, date of the qualification period that is in effect, and the requalification date of all consortia within the Field Office's jurisdiction.

IV. Effect of Consortia Formation on State Funding

Consortia are contiguous units of local government which join together for purposes of receiving a HOME allocation and administering the HOME program as a single grantee. Each consortium must designate a lead member, and must receive a certification from the State that it will direct its

2

activities to alleviation of housing problems within the State.

In most cases, the formation of consortia causes a reduction in the amount available to the State for its program, and may also result in a reduction in the amount of funds available for the State as a whole. HOME funds are distributed (after setasides) by formula with 40% of the funds going to States and

60% of funds going to units of local government. The amount each State receives is based on two calculations: 80% of their funds is based on the demography of the nonentitled areas of the State, while 20% of their funds is based on the demography of the whole State. Except for States which receive the minimum allocation of \$3,000,000, the amount available to the state is reduced when a consortium is formed because the demography of the consortium is included only in the calculation for 20% of the funds, and not in the calculation for 80% of the funds, where some or all of the demography of the consortium had been previously included.

Whether the formation of a consortium also results in a reduction in funds available for the state as a whole depends on whether the allocation to the consortium from the local government pot of funds equals or exceeds the amount of the reduction in the funds going to the State. Since the amount available in the pot of funds available for local governments is divided among more jurisdictions each year due to new metro cities, new urban counties, and new consortia, the amount going to the new consortium depends on its relative share compared to other jurisdictions. Field offices should take care in explaining the possible loss of funding to the State as a whole in discussing the merits of consortia formation with prospective consortia.

Irrespective of the funding levels, the formation of consortia can be a positive force for affordable housing production, in that it permits an area that otherwise may not be assured of funding to plan and carry out an affordable housing program with continuity.

V. Timing of Submissions

The HOME Program regulations at 24 CFR 92.101(a)(1) require that to be considered as a consortium, the proposed consortium, or a member jurisdiction in a potential consortium, is to provide written notification by March 1 to the appropriate HUD Field Office of its intent to participate as a consortium in the HOME Program for the following fiscal year. (Provided that subsequent deadlines could be met, the Field Office may accept notification at a later date.)

By March 1, 1997, (or such later date as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office) to be considered for an allocation of HOME funds in FY 1998, a proposed consortium, or a member jurisdiction in a potential consortium (i.e., a local government interested in forming a consortium with other local governments), or a consortium which must sign a new or amended HOME consortium agreement is to provide to the appropriate HUD Field Office written notification of its intent to participate as a

consortium in the HOME Program for FY 1998. By June 30, 1997, (or a later date if agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office so long as future deadlines -- i.e., August 1, 1997, for notification to HUD Headquarters Data Systems and Statistics Division and September 30, 1997, for approval of the consortium

-- are met) a proposed consortium or a consortium which must sign a new agreement or which wishes to amend its current agreement must submit to the appropriate HUD Field Office the documents as required below in section VII, entitled "Procedures Localities Must Follow for Designation as a Consortium."

Note that the August 1, 1997 and September 30, 1997 deadline dates are firm and cannot be extended. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will mean that the proposed consortia will not be eligible for a formula allocation for FY 1998.

VI. Eligibility for Forming a Consortium

Local governments that are geographically contiguous may form a consortium for purposes of receiving an allocation and participating in the HOME Program. To be considered geographically contiguous, local governments must share a boundary at more than one point. A river or other body of water may separate them, but if there is transportation access (eg., bridges), they may be considered contiguous. The local governments forming a consortium may be cities or urban counties that would be eligible, individually, to become participating jurisdictions in the HOME Program, or other local governments. A unit of local government that is included in an urban county may be part of a consortium, only if the urban county joins the consortium. The included local government cannot join the consortium except through participation in the urban county. (Thus, when local governments become part of an urban county for the CDBG Program, they are part of the urban county for the HOME Program, except for metropolitan cities under joint grant agreements with urban counties as described in section VIII, third paragraph of this notice.)

Further, as indicated in section 91.402 of the Consolidated Plan final rule and in section IX of this notice, all units of general local government that are members of the consortium must be on the same program year for CDBG, HOME, Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) and Housing Opportunities for Persons With AIDS (HOPWA).

VII. Procedures Localities Must Follow for Designation as a Consortium

To be considered as a HOME consortium for FY 1998, a proposed consortium, or a consortium which must execute a new HOME consortium agreement, must provide, by June 30, 1997 (or such later date as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office), the required qualification documents to the appropriate HUD Field Office, which include:

1. A written certification by the State that the consortium will direct its activities to the alleviation of housing problems within the State and

Note: The State certification may be signed by whoever has the authority to make the certification; it may be the

Governor or his/her designee. If a designee signs, the signature line must indicate it is an "Authorized Official."

2. One legally binding consortium cooperation agreement that has been executed by all consortium members:
 - (a) Agreeing to cooperate to undertake or to assist in undertaking housing assistance activities for the HOME Program;
 - (b) Authorizing one member unit of general local government to act in a representative capacity for all member units of general local government for the purposes of the HOME Program;
 - (c) Providing that the representative member (also referred to as the lead entity) assumes overall responsibility for ensuring that the consortium's HOME Program is carried out in compliance with the requirements of the HOME Program, including requirements concerning a Consolidated Plan in accordance with HUD regulations in 24 CFR Parts 92 and 91, respectively, and the requirements of 24 CFR 92.350;

Note: The agreement must not contain a provision for veto or other restriction that would allow any member unit of local government to obstruct the implementation of the consortium's approved Consolidated Plan.

- (d) Accompanied by authorizing resolutions from the governing body of each member unit of local government, or other acceptable evidence that the chief executive officer is authorized to sign the agreement;
- (e) Signed by the chief executive officer of each member unit of local government;

Note: If an urban county is part of the consortium, only the county (not all the members of the urban county) signs the consortium agreement. However, any unit of local government that is located in but is not participating as part of the urban county, and that wishes to be included in the HOME consortium, must sign the cooperation agreement. Also, for new consortia and renewal of existing consortia which include a non-urban county, the county cannot on its own include the whole county in the consortium; any unit of local government in the non-urban county that wishes to participate as a member of the consortium must sign the HOME consortium agreement.

- (f) Containing, or accompanied by, a legal opinion from the lead entity's counsel citing applicable law and concluding that the terms and provisions of the agreement are fully authorized under State and local law

and that the agreement provides full legal authority for the consortium to undertake or assist in undertaking housing assistance activities for the HOME Program;

- (g) Containing a provision requiring each member unit of local government to affirmatively further fair housing;
- (h) Specifying the qualification period, the time for which the agreement remains in effect, and the prohibition on withdrawal from the agreement during such time, as described in section XI;
- (i) Stating the program year start date for the consortium and that all units of general local government that are members of the consortium are on the same program year for CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA; and

Authorizing the lead entity to amend the consortium agreement on behalf of the entire consortium to add new members to the consortium.

Note: This provision need not be in the agreement if the consortium members prefer to have all the members sign and approve additions.

VIII. Joint Grant Agreements

The CDBG Program regulations at 24 CFR 570.308 allow for any urban county, and any metropolitan city located in whole or in part within that county, to submit a joint request to HUD to approve the inclusion of the metropolitan city as part of the urban county for purposes of planning and implementing a joint community development and housing program. Each metropolitan city and urban county submitting a joint request must also have executed a cooperation agreement to undertake or to assist in the undertaking of essential community development and housing activities. Such agreement is hereafter referred to as a "joint grant agreement." Upon HUD's approval of the joint request and joint grant agreement, the metropolitan city is considered a part of the urban county for purposes of program planning and implementation under the CDBG Program, and is treated the same as any other unit of general local government which is part of the urban county.

However, for the HOME Program, if a metropolitan city that has a joint grant agreement with an urban county for the CDBG Program wishes to be considered for funding as part of the urban county for the HOME Program, it must form a HOME consortium with the 'Urban county. If such a city and urban county wish to form a new HOME consortium, the urban county and/or the metropolitan city must follow the procedures outlined above and submit a notice of intent by March 1, 1997, and by June 30, 1997 (or such later dates as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office), must submit the required documentation for designation as a "consortium."

IX. Consolidated Program Year

As required by section 91.402 of the Consolidated Plan final rule, all units of general local government that are members of a new HOME consortium approved after February 6, 1995, must be on the same program year for CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA.

X. Consolidated Plan

To receive FY 1998 HOME funds, a unit of general local government must submit a Consolidated Plan. A consortium is considered a unit of local government for purposes of receiving an allocation and participating in the HOME Program. Therefore, when two or more units of local government form a consortium for the purpose of receiving a formula allocation under the HOME Program, the consortium must, as a condition of funding, submit a single Consolidated Plan that covers the entire geographic area encompassed by that consortium. Where a consortium includes one or more CDBG entitlement grantees, any such grantee does not submit an individual Consolidated Plan (for the CDBG Program) in addition to the consortium's Consolidated Plan.

Note: A new consortium must submit the complete strategic plan required by sections 91.215, 91.220 and 91.225. A consortium that has previously participated in the HOME Program and previously submitted a complete strategy may submit only the Action Plan and certifications unless it is required to submit a new five-year complete strategic plan (See 91.15(b)).

If joint grant agreement participants form a consortium for the HOME Program (see section DC), the Consolidated Plan submitted by the urban county will also serve as the Consolidated Plan for the HOME consortium because the local governments in the consortium are the same as the local governments in the urban county joint grant agreement.

XI. Consortium Agreement:

Qualification Period and Duration of Agreement

The consortium agreement must specify the fiscal years for which the consortium is to qualify to receive allocations as a participating jurisdiction in the HOME Program. The qualification period is the three Federal fiscal years following the fiscal year in which the agreement is executed (ie., FY 1998-2000), except that if one or more urban counties are members of the consortium, the agreement may specify a lesser number of Federal fiscal years coinciding with the fiscal years remaining in an urban county's qualification period. Notwithstanding the Federal fiscal years specified, if an urban county consortium member fails to requalify as an urban county for a fiscal year included in the consortium agreement, the consortium's qualification period terminates with the last fiscal year for which the urban county qualified.

funds from each of the Federal fiscal years of the qualification period are closed out pursuant to 24 CFR 92.507. No consortium member may withdraw from the agreement while the agreement remains in effect. A new consortium agreement must be executed for the succeeding qualification period. The consortium must notify HUD by March 1 of the year before the beginning of the new qualification period of its intent to execute a new agreement. The new agreement is governed by the requirements of the then current Consortium Qualification notice.

NOTE: A consortium may be disbanded if the consortium fails to receive a HOME allocation for the first Federal fiscal year of the consortium's qualification period and does not request to be considered to receive a HOME allocation in each of the subsequent two years.

A consortium agreement can be amended to add new member units of general local government for the remaining fiscal years of the qualification period. The agreement must be amended in the fiscal year before the fiscal year(s) for which the new members are added, in accordance with the timing requirements of the then current Consortium Qualification notice. The consortium must notify the appropriate HUD Field Office by March 1, 1997 (or such later date as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office), of its interest in adding new members for FY 1998, and by June 30, 1997, must provide the HUD Field Office a copy of the authorizing resolution from the new member's governing body and an amendment to the consortium agreement signed by the chief executive officer of the lead entity (if the consortium agreement authorizes the lead entity to sign on behalf of all members) and the chief executive officer of the new unit of local government, adding the new unit of local government as a member of the consortium. Any change in the make-up of the consortium should then be reported by the HUD Field Office to the Data Systems and Statistics Division, CPD, HUD Headquarters, by August 1, 1997 (this date cannot be extended), to allow sufficient time for data to be assembled so that the change can be reflected in the FY 1998 allocation of HOME funds.

XIII. HUD Action

For any consortium request whose notification was received by March 1, 1997, or such later date as agreed to by the HUD Field Office, and whose consortium agreement and other required documentation were received by June 30, 1997, the HUD Field Office will review the documentation to determine whether the consortium is made up of geographically contiguous units of general local government and whether the consortium has sufficient legal authority and administrative capability to carry out the purposes of the HOME Program on behalf of its member jurisdictions. Also, the Field Office will assure that all units of general local government which are to be members of the consortium are on the same program year for CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA.

Regional or Field Office Counsel should review each consortium's request to determine if the consortium has sufficient legal authority to carry out the HOME Program.

Administrative Capacity

If the consortium includes a metropolitan city or an urban county as the lead entity, the consortium would be considered to have sufficient administrative capability to carry out the purposes of the HOME Program. If the consortium does not include a metropolitan city or an urban county, but the lead member or an existing public agency has relevant experience (eg., successful experience in administering a CDBG or Rental Rehabilitation Program or has been administering a successful HOME Program as a State recipient), the consortium could also be considered to have sufficient administrative capability to carry out the HOME Program. On the other hand, a newly created public agency established to administer the HOME Program for a consortium would not be viewed as having sufficient administrative capability unless it includes as its administrator(s) a person or persons with relevant experience in successfully administering programs similar to the HOME Program, such as the CDBG or Rental Rehabilitation Programs.

If the HUD Field Office is satisfied that the consortium meets the requirements for the HOME Program and has the necessary legal authority and administrative capability to carry out the HOME Program, it will approve the consortium request.

The HUD Field Office is to submit to the Data Systems and Statistics Division, CPD HUD Headquarters, with a copy to the Office of Affordable Housing Programs, CPD, HUD Headquarters, by August 1, 1997, a list of each potential new or requalifying consortia, and/or any additions to already existing consortia, indicating the members of the consortium and the locality that has been designated to act in a representative capacity for all member units of local government. This information may be sent by cc:Mail to:

Bob Meehan at CPDPOST

Copy to:

Ben Meece at CPDPOST2

HUD will make every effort to accommodate consortia requests received by August 1, 1997, for FY 1998 HOME allocations. However, where consortia include areas that are not CDBG entitlements, it may be a problem to assemble data in time to allocate funds for FY 1998. If funds are available for allocation, the Department will not delay allocation of the funds to allow time to assemble data for such members of consortia. Thus, any such consortium for which data could not be assembled in time

would not be included in the universe of units of local government eligible for consideration of a formula allocation for FY 1998. The consortium would be considered as a unit of local government eligible for a formula allocation the next fiscal year.

XIII. Summary of Key Dates

March 1, 1997, (or such later date as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office): to be considered for an allocation of HOME funds in FY 1998, a proposed consortium, or a member jurisdiction in a potential consortium, or a consortium which must sign a new or amended HOME consortium agreement is to provide to the appropriate HUD Field Office written notification of its intent to participate as a consortium in the HOME Program for FY 1998.

May 30, 1997: Field Office CPD Directors are to confirm to OAHP, via cc:Mail, the contents and accuracy of the two attachments, and provide consortia names, qualification dates, and requalification dates.

June 30, 1997, (or such later date as agreed to by the applicable HUD Field Office): a proposed consortium or a consortium which must sign a new agreement or which wishes to amend its current agreement must submit to the appropriate HUD Field Office the documents as required in section VII, entitled "Procedures Localities Must Follow for Designation as a Consortium."

August 1, 1997: Field Offices must notify Headquarters CPD of all potential new or requalifying consortia, including consortia which wish to amend their current agreement.

September 30, 1997: Field Offices must notify Headquarters CPD of all newly approved consortia, including requalifying consortia and consortia which have amended their current agreements.

Note that the August 1, 1997 and September 30, 1997 deadline dates are firm and cannot be extended. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will mean that the proposed consortia will not be eligible for a formula allocation for FY 1998.

03/21/97

State	Consortia Name
AZ	CNSRT-MARICOPA COUNTY CNSRT-TUCSON
CA	CNSRT-ALAMEDA COUNTY CNSRT-CONTRA COSTA CO. CNSRT-SAN BERNARDINO CO.

	CNSRT-SAN DIEGO COUNTY
	CNSRT-SAN MATEO COUNTY
	CNSRT-SANTA BARBARA CO.
	CNSRT-VENTURA COUNTY
CO	
	CNSRT-PUEBLO
FL	
	CNSRT-BREVARD COUNTY
	CNSRT-ESCAMBIA COUNTY
	CNSRT-PINELLAS COUNTY
	CNSRT-SARASOTA COUNTY
	CNSRT-VOLUSIA COUNTY
GA	
	CNSRT-COBB COUNTY
IA	
	CNSRT-SIOUX CITY
IL	
	CNSRT-COOK COUNTY
	CNSRT-DUPAGE COUNTY
	CNSRT-LAKE COUNTY
	CNSRT-ST. CLAIR COUNTY
	CNSRT-URBANA
IN	
	CNSRT-ELKHART COUNTY
	CNSRT-LAFAYETTE
	CNSRT-SOUTH BEND
KS	
	CNSRT-JOHNSON COUNTY
LA	
	CNSRT-JEFFERSON PARISH
MA	
	CNSRT-BARNSTABLE COUNTY
	CNSRT-FITCHBURG
	CNSRT-HOLYOKE
	CNSRT-MALDEN
	CNSRT-NEWTON
	CNSRT-PEABODY
	CNSRT-QUINCY

Page: 1
State

Attachment A

	Consortia Name
MN	
	CNSRT-DAKOTA COUNTY
	CNSRT-HENNEPIN COUNTY
	CNSRT-ST LOUIS COUNTY
NC	
	ASHEVELLE
	CNSRT-ASHEVILLE
	CNSRT-CONCORD
	CNSRT-DURHAM
	CNSRT-GASTONIA
	CNSRT-GREENSBORO
	CNSRT-LENOIR
	CNSRT-ORANGE COUNTY
	CNSRT-ROCKY MOUNT
	CNSRT-SURRY COUNTY

	CNSRT-WINSTON-SALEM	
NE		
NJ	CNSRT-ATLANTIC COUNTY CNSRT-CAMDEN COUNTY CNSRT-HUDSON COUNTY CNSRT-MERCER COUNTY CNSRT-MIDDLESEX COUNTY CNSRT-MORRIS COUNTY CNSRT-OCEAN COUNTY CNSRT-UNION COUNTY CNSRT-VINELAND	
NV	CNSRT-CARSON CITY CNSRT-CLARK COUNTY CNSRT-RENO	
NY	CNSRT-AMHERST CNSRT-DUTCHESS COUNTY CNSRT-ERIE COUNTY CNSRT-JEFFERSON COUNTY CNSRT-MONROE COUNTY CNSRT-ONONDAGA COUNTY CNSRT-ORANGE COUNTY CNSRT-SCHNECTADY	
OH	CNSRT-CUYAHOGA COUNTY CNSRT-MONTGOMERY COUNTY CNSRT-WARREN	
OK	CNSRT-TULSA COUNTY	
OR	CNSRT-EUGENE CNSRT-PORTLAND CNSRT-SALEM CNSRT-WASHINGTON CO.	
Page 2:		Attachment A
State	Consortia Name	
PA	CNSRT-ALLEGHENY COUNTY CNSRT-BUCKS COUNTY CNSRT-WESTMORELAND CO.	
SC	CNSRT-SUMTER COUNTY	
UT	CNSRT-PROVO CNSRT-SALT LAKE COUNTY	
VA	CNSRT-CHARLOTTESVILLE CNSRT-SUFFOLK	
WA	CNSRT-CLARK COUNTY CNSRT-KING COUNTY CNSRT-KITSAP COUNTY CNSRT-LONGVIEW	

CNSRT-RICHLAND
CNSRT-SNOHOMISH COUNTY

WI

CNSRT-MILWAUKEE COUNTY

WV

CNSRT-CHARLESTON
CNSRT-HUNTINGTON
CNSRT-PARKERSBURG
CNSRT-WHEELING

Page: 3

Attachment A

HOME Consortia that need to requalify for FY 1998-2000
Attachment B
03/21/97

State	Consortia Name
CA	CNSRT-VENTURA COUNTY CNSRT-SANTA BARBARA CO.
CO	CNSRT-PUEBLO
FL	CNSRT-BREVARD COUNTY
IN	CNSRT-SOUTH BEND
IA	CNSRT-SIOUX CITY
KS	CNSRT-JOHNSON COUNTY
MA	CNSRT-HOLYOKE CNSRT-MALDEN CNSRT-QUINCY
NJ	CNSRT-OCEAN COUNTY CNSRT-MERCER COUNTY
OH	CNSRT-WARREN CNSRT-CUYAHOGA COUNTY
OK	CNSRT-TULSA COUNTY
OR	CNSRT-PORTLAND CNSRT-EUGENE
UT	CNSRT-PROVO

Page: 1