



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-500 Birmingham/Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	AL001	4,775	48.76%	5,018	51.24%	<b>9,793</b>
Jefferson County Housing Authority	AL086	2,130	78.83%	572	21.17%	<b>2,702</b>
HA BESSEMER	AL125	553	40.51%	812	59.49%	<b>1,365</b>
Fairfield Housing Authority	AL010	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
HA LEEDS	AL069	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>264</b>
HA COLUMBIANA	AL072	64	36.36%	112	63.64%	176
Housing Authority of the Town of Calera	AL085	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Tarrant Housing Authority	AL013	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Pell City Housing Authority	AL106	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the Town of Montevallo	AL079	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Ragland Housing Authority	AL108	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Vincent Housing Authority	AL089	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
WALKER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL129	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### AL-501 Mobile City & County/Baldwin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	AL002	3,199	48.57%	3,388	51.43%	<b>6,587</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Prichard	AL169	2,190	86.19%	351	13.81%	<b>2,541</b>
HA FOLEY	AL165	255	74.56%	87	25.44%	<b>342</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Chickasaw	AL166	0	0.00%	287	100.00%	<b>287</b>
MOBILE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL202	82	62.12%	50	37.88%	<b>132</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-501 Mobile City & County/Baldwin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA BAY MINETTE	AL164	0	0.00%	107	100.00%	107

#### AL-502 Florence/Northwest Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
FLORENCE H/A	AL054	666	59.89%	446	40.11%	<b>1,112</b>
Sheffield Housing Authority	AL068	317	44.03%	403	55.97%	<b>720</b>
Housing Authority of Hamilton, Alabama	AL053	47	9.69%	438	90.31%	<b>485</b>
HA RUSSELLVILLE	AL060	89	29.87%	209	70.13%	<b>298</b>
Haleyville Housing Authority	AL056	0	0.00%	275	100.00%	<b>275</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Tuscumbia	AL059	0	0.00%	241	100.00%	241
Housing Authority of Guin, Alabama	AL071	0	0.00%	183	100.00%	183
Housing Authority of Red Bay	AL051	0	0.00%	163	100.00%	163
Winfield Housing Authority	AL058	0	0.00%	147	100.00%	147
PHIL CAMPBELL HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL090	52	45.61%	62	54.39%	114
Housing Authority of Boston	AL074	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
HACKLEBURG HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL076	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Housing Authority of the City of Moulton, AL	AL080	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Regional HA of Lawrence, Cullman & Morgan Counties	AL187	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Bear Creek Housing Authority	AL081	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
WALKER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL129	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	AL048	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-503 Huntsville/North Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	AL047	1,549	46.35%	1,793	53.65%	<b>3,342</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	AL048	752	55.58%	601	44.42%	<b>1,353</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Athens, Al	AL067	0	0.00%	239	100.00%	<b>239</b>
Top of Alabama Regional Housing Authority	AL189	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	<b>200</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hartselle	AL087	0	0.00%	186	100.00%	<b>186</b>
Regional HA of Lawrence, Cullman & Morgan Counties	AL187	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
The Housing Authority of the City of Triana,	AL182	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
HA ARAB	AL091	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AL-504 Montgomery City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Montgomery	AL006	2,720	65.46%	1,435	34.54%	<b>4,155</b>
South Central Alabama Regional HA	AL192	354	70.66%	147	29.34%	<b>501</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Prattville, AL	AL131	92	46.46%	106	53.54%	<b>198</b>
City of Union Springs Housing Authority	AL070	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	<b>148</b>
HA TALLASSEE	AL172	35	27.78%	91	72.22%	<b>126</b>

#### AL-506 Tuscaloosa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA TUSCALOOSA	AL077	1,449	64.54%	796	35.46%	<b>2,245</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-506 Tuscaloosa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA NORTHPORT	AL152	412	52.35%	375	47.65%	<b>787</b>

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Dothan	AL007	734	52.28%	670	47.72%	<b>1,404</b>
Selma Housing Authority	AL008	755	56.68%	577	43.32%	<b>1,332</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Alexander City	AL174	258	35.10%	477	64.90%	<b>735</b>
EUFAULA HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL118	335	47.05%	377	52.95%	<b>712</b>
HA TROY	AL177	275	39.45%	422	60.55%	<b>697</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF OZARK	AL073	297	43.29%	389	56.71%	686
HA AUBURN	AL050	634	100.00%	0	0.00%	634
Sylacauga Housing Authority	AL057	0	0.00%	625	100.00%	625
BOAZ HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL075	208	36.56%	361	63.44%	569
South Central Alabama Regional HA	AL192	411	75.41%	134	24.59%	545
Albertville Housing Authority	AL121	367	69.11%	164	30.89%	531
Housing Authority of the City of Talladega, AL	AL105	31	5.85%	499	94.15%	530
Housing Authority of the City of Jasper	AL012	193	37.48%	322	62.52%	515
The Guntersville Housing Authority	AL014	142	28.98%	348	71.02%	490
HA OPELIKA	AL061	478	100.00%	0	0.00%	478
HA CULLMAN	AL052	152	32.20%	320	67.80%	472
TUSKEGEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL160	113	24.09%	356	75.91%	469



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Lanett, AL	AL062	0	0.00%	361	100.00%	361
ENTERPRISE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL115	170	53.29%	149	46.71%	319
WALKER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL129	226	71.29%	91	28.71%	317
HA OPP	AL112	93	35.50%	169	64.50%	262
EVERGREEN HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL181	167	64.98%	90	35.02%	257
LINEVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL114	47	19.75%	191	80.25%	238
HA ELBA	AL107	104	45.41%	125	54.59%	229
H A ONEONTA	AL063	53	23.77%	170	76.23%	223
HA SAMSON	AL128	0	0.00%	213	100.00%	213
GORDO HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL138	122	60.40%	80	39.60%	202
Housing Authority of the Town of Ashland	AL136	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Housing Authority of Greene County, AL	AL190	0	0.00%	191	100.00%	191
Childersburg Housing Authority	AL122	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
Housing Authority of the City of Demopolis, AL	AL109	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Housing Authority of the City of Clanton	AL150	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	178
HA SCOTTSBORO	AL099	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Housing Authority of the City of Aliceville	AL098	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
HA ARAB	AL091	63	37.50%	105	62.50%	168
YORK HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL116	67	42.95%	89	57.05%	156
HA ATMORE	AL154	86	55.84%	68	44.16%	154
Housing Authority of the City of GREENVILLE	AL155	151	100.00%	0	0.00%	151
Housing Authority of the City of Fayette	AL137	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Vernon, AL	AL084	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Housing Authority of the City of Luverne, AL	AL088	0	0.00%	141	100.00%	141
UNIONTOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL171	28	22.22%	98	77.78%	126
Housing Authority of the City of Sulligent, AL	AL119	0	0.00%	123	100.00%	123
HA ANDALUSIA	AL127	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Carbon Hill	AL064	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Livingston	AL175	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Georgiana	AL094	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
HA MONROEVILLE	AL173	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Brantley	AL145	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
STEVENSON HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL167	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the Town of Blountsville, AL	AL134	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Housing Authority of the City of Brewton	AL156	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
HA ASHFORD	AL144	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing Authority of the City of Dadeville	AL178	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of the City of Daleville	AL179	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Regional HA of Lawrence, Cullman & Morgan Counties	AL187	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Brent	AL151	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
HA MIDLAND CITY	AL124	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	AL157	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	AL147	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
HA CORDOVA	AL055	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Berry	AL078	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Reform	AL066	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of Hamilton, Alabama	AL053	20	33.90%	39	66.10%	59
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, AL	AL161	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
PHENIX CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL005	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57
Cottonwood Housing Authority	AL104	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Housing Authority of the Town of Hanceville	AL093	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Housing Authority of the City of Headland, AL	AL123	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Linden	AL120	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Roanoke, AL	AL065	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Eutaw	AL146	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of the City of Valley	AL199	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
HA MILLPORT	AL095	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Florala	AL111	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Sumiton Housing Authority	AL176	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Brundidge Housing Authority	AL126	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Abbeville Housing Authority	AL101	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	AL158	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Goodwater	AL132	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of New Brockton	AL149	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Heflin	AL096	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	AL103	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Newton	AL142	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Top of Alabama Regional Housing Authority	AL189	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Washington County Housing Authority	AL117	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Altoona Housing Authority	AL102	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Town of Parrish	AL153	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia	AL100	1	5.56%	17	94.44%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Slocomb	AL143	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the Town of Kennedy	AL141	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
HA TALLASSEE	AL172	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	13
The Housing Authority of the City of Prichard	AL169	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
HA TUSCALOOSA	AL077	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
HA NORTHPORT	AL152	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
ANNISTON HA	AL004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI	MS058	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alaska

#### AK-500 Anchorage CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK901	2,893	100.00%	0	0.00%	2,893
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK001	0	0.00%	490	100.00%	490

#### AK-501 Alaska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK901	2,010	100.00%	0	0.00%	2,010
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK001	0	0.00%	752	100.00%	752



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arizona

#### AZ-500 Arizona Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Yuma City Housing Authority	AZ035	1,242	84.09%	235	15.91%	<b>1,477</b>
Flagstaff Housing Authority	AZ006	417	61.14%	265	38.86%	<b>682</b>
Yuma County Housing Department	AZ013	422	72.63%	159	27.37%	<b>581</b>
Pinal County Housing Authority	AZ010	427	81.33%	98	18.67%	<b>525</b>
Housing Authority of Cochise County	AZ034	508	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>508</b>
Mohave County Housing Authority	AZ043	427	100.00%	0	0.00%	427
Nogales Housing Authority	AZ023	188	45.41%	226	54.59%	414
Eloy Housing Authority	AZ021	180	78.26%	50	21.74%	230
Arizona Department of Housing	AZ901	210	100.00%	0	0.00%	210
Winslow Public Housing Authority	AZ008	122	68.93%	55	31.07%	177
Douglas Housing Authority	AZ037	172	100.00%	0	0.00%	172
Williams Housing Authority	AZ041	38	55.88%	30	44.12%	68
Gila County Housing Authority	AZ045	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Housing Authority of Maricopa County	AZ009	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	AZ880	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AZ-501 Tucson/Pima County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing and Community Development Tucson	AZ004	4,620	75.43%	1,505	24.57%	<b>6,125</b>
Pima County Housing Authority	AZ033	810	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>810</b>
South Tucson Housing Authority	AZ025	71	29.22%	172	70.78%	<b>243</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arizona

#### AZ-502 Phoenix, Mesa/Maricopa County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Phoenix Housing Department	AZ001	6,057	71.67%	2,394	28.33%	<b>8,451</b>
Housing Authority of Maricopa County	AZ009	1,520	74.26%	527	25.74%	<b>2,047</b>
City of Mesa Housing Authority	AZ005	1,669	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,669</b>
City of Glendale Housing Authority	AZ003	1,285	89.24%	155	10.76%	<b>1,440</b>
Tempe Housing Authority	AZ031	875	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>875</b>
Chandler Housing & Redevelopment Division	AZ028	451	59.81%	303	40.19%	754
Scottsdale Housing Agency	AZ032	626	100.00%	0	0.00%	626
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	AZ880	109	100.00%	0	0.00%	109
White Plains Housing Authority	NY042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-500 Little Rock/Central Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Little Rock	AR004	2,002	69.01%	899	30.99%	<b>2,901</b>
North Little Rock Housing Authority	AR002	1,200	54.27%	1,011	45.73%	<b>2,211</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Benton, AR	AR175	553	84.43%	102	15.57%	<b>655</b>
Jacksonville Housing Authority	AR170	335	77.01%	100	22.99%	<b>435</b>
Lonoke County Housing Authority	AR041	225	65.03%	121	34.97%	<b>346</b>
Cabot Public Housing Agency	AR219	308	100.00%	0	0.00%	308
Pulaski County Housing Agency	AR252	281	100.00%	0	0.00%	281
Housing Authority of the City of England	AR148	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the City of Des Arc	AR040	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
DeValls Bluff Housing Authority	AR135	39	100.00%	0	0.00%	39
Stuttgart Housing Authority	AR166	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AR-501 Fayetteville/Northwest Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fayetteville Housing Authority	AR181	612	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>612</b>
Siloam Springs Housing Authority	AR163	419	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>419</b>
Springdale Housing Authority	AR104	142	41.89%	197	58.11%	<b>339</b>
NW Regional Housing Authority	AR010	140	70.71%	58	29.29%	<b>198</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Fayetteville	AR097	0	0.00%	196	100.00%	<b>196</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	AR095	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jonesboro Urban Renewal HA	AR131	1,271	89.38%	151	10.62%	<b>1,422</b>
Hot Springs Housing Authority	AR031	598	62.10%	365	37.90%	<b>963</b>
West Memphis Housing Authority	AR024	514	58.41%	366	41.59%	<b>880</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Texarkana	AR015	448	53.46%	390	46.54%	<b>838</b>
Trumann Housing Authority	AR034	144	28.51%	361	71.49%	<b>505</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Conway	AR006	342	69.09%	153	30.91%	495
Paragould Housing Authority	AR121	488	100.00%	0	0.00%	488
Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	AR213	416	100.00%	0	0.00%	416
Housing Authority of the City of Osceola	AR021	0	0.00%	353	100.00%	353
Russellville Housing Authority	AR066	144	44.17%	182	55.83%	326
Hope Housing Authority	AR068	107	35.08%	198	64.92%	305
Housing Authority of the City of Helena	AR050	0	0.00%	292	100.00%	292
Phillips County Public Housing Agency	AR223	279	100.00%	0	0.00%	279
Blytheville Housing Authority	AR005	0	0.00%	279	100.00%	279
Housing Authority of the County of Poinsett	AR023	0	0.00%	270	100.00%	270
Housing Authority of the City of West Helena	AR060	0	0.00%	244	100.00%	244
Arkadelphia Housing Authority	AR012	141	58.51%	100	41.49%	241
CONWAY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AR161	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	224
Housing Authority of the City of Morrilton	AR026	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	206
Little River County Housing Authority	AR020	41	21.69%	148	78.31%	189
Housing Authority of the City of Clarksville	AR051	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Housing Authority of the City of Malvern	AR094	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	169



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Prescott	AR037	65	38.69%	103	61.31%	168
Housing Authority of the County of Howard	AR025	0	0.00%	164	100.00%	164
Johnson County Public Housing Agency	AR215	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
Pope County Public Facilities Board	AR211	137	100.00%	0	0.00%	137
Housing Authority of the City of Ola	AR091	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the County of Sevier	AR022	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Poinsett County Housing Agency	AR232	111	100.00%	0	0.00%	111
Pike County Housing Authority	AR045	62	68.89%	28	31.11%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Leachville	AR075	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Housing Authority of the City of Manila	AR080	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Dardanelle	AR055	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the City of Earle	AR064	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the City of Marmaduke	AR112	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of Wilson	AR054	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of the City of Luxora	AR079	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Yell County Public Facilities Board	AR265	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
Earle Housing Authority	AR264	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Housing Authority of the City of Caraway	AR092	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Monette	AR070	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Benton, AR	AR175	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Lake City	AR088	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Gurdon	AR049	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Atkins	AR141	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Amity	AR046	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Ida	AR076	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Coal Hill	AR102	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Dover	AR085	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Dell	AR078	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
White River Regional Housing Authority	AR197	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Lee County Housing Authority	AR225	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AR-504 Delta Hills CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
White River Regional Housing Authority	AR197	1,652	94.40%	98	5.60%	<b>1,750</b>
St. Francis County Housing Authority	AR224	536	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>536</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Forrest City	AR099	0	0.00%	386	100.00%	<b>386</b>
Lawrence County Public Housing Agency	AR177	365	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>365</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Newport	AR028	0	0.00%	263	100.00%	<b>263</b>
Wynne Housing Authority	AR039	192	73.28%	70	26.72%	262
Searcy Housing Authority	AR035	108	42.19%	148	57.81%	256
Housing Authority of the City of Marianna	AR027	0	0.00%	243	100.00%	243
Lee County Housing Authority	AR225	216	100.00%	0	0.00%	216
Housing Authority of the City of Brinkley	AR059	11	5.34%	195	94.66%	206



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-504 Delta Hills CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Clay County Public Housing Agency	AR176	146	100.00%	0	0.00%	146
Clarendon Housing Authority	AR052	20	18.52%	88	81.48%	108
Housing Authority of the City of Augusta	AR123	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Pocahontas Public Housing Agency (BRAD)	AR247	93	100.00%	0	0.00%	93
Housing Authority of the City of Rector	AR069	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of the City of Heber Springs	AR113	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Batesville	AR071	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Parkin	AR072	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Melbourne	AR103	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	AR074	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Cotton Plant	AR172	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Bald Knob	AR084	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Imboden	AR057	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Beebe	AR106	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Hughes	AR061	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of McCrory	AR118	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Kensett	AR146	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Newark	AR089	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Judsonia	AR090	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Mammoth Spring	AR086	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
NW Regional Housing Authority	AR010	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Hoxie	AR047	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-504 Delta Hills CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Hickory Ridge	AR093	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of McRae	AR098	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
CONWAY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AR161	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AR-505 Southeast Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	AR017	664	71.09%	270	28.91%	<b>934</b>
Camden Housing Authority	AR016	349	40.21%	519	59.79%	<b>868</b>
Stuttgart Housing Authority	AR166	214	64.85%	116	35.15%	<b>330</b>
Drew County Public Facilities Board	AR210	255	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>255</b>
Warren Housing Authority	AR082	50	22.62%	171	77.38%	<b>221</b>
Ashley County Housing Authority	AR214	202	100.00%	0	0.00%	202
McGehee Public Facilities Board	AR257	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Housing Authority of the City of Magnolia	AR018	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Desha Co. Residential Housing Fac Board	AR266	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Housing Authority of the City of Crossett	AR087	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of the City of Texarkana	AR015	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114
Lake Village Housing Authority	AR152	105	100.00%	0	0.00%	105
Housing Authority of the City of Dumas	AR043	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Star City Housing Authority	AR042	33	37.08%	56	62.92%	89
Housing Authority of the City of McGehee	AR053	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-505 Southeast Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Stephens	AR065	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of the City of Rison	AR111	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Benton, AR	AR175	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Gould	AR083	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Sparkman	AR073	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Carthage	AR081	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Prescott	AR037	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Union Parish Police Jury	LA196	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### AR-512 Boone, Baxter, Marion, Newton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrison Housing Authority	AR200	464	100.00%	0	0.00%	464
NW Regional Housing Authority	AR010	449	100.00%	0	0.00%	449
Housing Authority of the City of Yellville	AR122	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-500 San Jose/Santa Clara City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY SANTA CLARA	CA059	9,609	99.96%	4	0.04%	<b>9,613</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SAN JOSE	CA056	5,704	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5,704</b>
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	CA014	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>24</b>

#### CA-501 San Francisco CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City & County of SF	CA001	9,045	78.27%	2,511	21.73%	<b>11,556</b>

#### CA-502 Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Oakland Housing Authority	CA003	12,157	88.37%	1,600	11.63%	<b>13,757</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA067	6,293	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,293</b>
BERKELEY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA058	1,578	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,578</b>
CITY OF ALAMEDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA062	1,423	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,423</b>
HSG AUTH OF THE CITY OF LIVERMORE	CA074	515	80.47%	125	19.53%	<b>640</b>
Housing Authority of the County Contra Costa	CA011	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-503 Sacramento City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
County of Sacramento Housing Authority	CA007	12,074	92.31%	1,006	7.69%	<b>13,080</b>
City of Sacramento Housing Authority	CA005	0	0.00%	1,677	100.00%	<b>1,677</b>
San Diego Housing Commission	CA063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### CA-504 Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF SONOMA	CA085	2,543	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,543</b>
CITY OF SANTA ROSA	CA088	1,887	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,887</b>

#### CA-505 Richmond/Contra Costa County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County Contra Costa	CA011	6,474	84.75%	1,165	15.25%	<b>7,639</b>
City of Richmond Housing Authority	CA010	1,629	74.49%	558	25.51%	<b>2,187</b>
CITY OF PITTSBURG HSG AUTH	CA060	943	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>943</b>

#### CA-506 Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF MONTEREY HSG AUTH	CA033	3,293	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,293</b>
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA072	294	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>294</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-507 Marin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF COUNTY OF MARIN	CA052	1,992	80.13%	494	19.87%	<b>2,486</b>

#### CA-508 Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA072	4,169	94.69%	234	5.31%	<b>4,403</b>

#### CA-509 Mendocino County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MENDOCINO COUNTY	CA084	801	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>801</b>

#### CA-510 Turlock, Modesto/Stanslaus County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	CA026	4,148	86.51%	647	13.49%	<b>4,795</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Riverbank	CA017	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA067	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-511 Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN HOUSING AUTH.	CA024	4,752	81.59%	1,072	18.41%	<b>5,824</b>

#### CA-512 Daly City/San Mateo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	CA014	4,308	99.31%	30	0.69%	<b>4,338</b>
City of South San Francisco Housing Authority	CA015	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
Housing Authority of the City & County of SF	CA001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### CA-513 Visalia/Kings, Tulare Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tulare County Housing Authority	CA030	2,678	79.04%	710	20.96%	<b>3,388</b>
KINGS COUNTY HOUSING AUTH	CA053	599	69.09%	268	30.91%	<b>867</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	CA008	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5</b>

#### CA-514 Fresno City & County/Madera County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority City of Fresno	CA006	7,183	92.86%	552	7.14%	<b>7,735</b>
Housing Authority of Fresno County	CA028	5,659	88.88%	708	11.12%	<b>6,367</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Madera	CA069	774	76.03%	244	23.97%	<b>1,018</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-515 Roseville, Rocklin/Placer, Nevada Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CITY OF ROSEVILLE	CA128	625	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>625</b>
Regional Hsg Authority of Sutter & Nevada Co.	CA048	300	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>300</b>
PLACER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA149	296	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>296</b>

#### CA-516 Redding/Shasta, Siskiyou, Lassen, Plumas, Del Norte, Modoc, Sierra Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF REDDING	CA106	1,495	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,495</b>
COUNTY OF SHASTA HSG AUTH	CA096	898	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>898</b>
CRESCENT CITY HSG AUTH	CA061	528	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>528</b>
COUNTY OF PLUMAS HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA070	300	75.76%	96	24.24%	<b>396</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF COUNTY OF MARIN	CA052	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### CA-517 Napa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NAPA	CA073	1,115	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,115</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF VALLEJO	CA055	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-518 Vallejo/Solano County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF VALLEJO	CA055	1,901	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,901</b>
CITY OF VACAVILLE	CA125	1,065	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,065</b>
City of Fairfield	CA065	871	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>871</b>
CITY OF BENICIA HSG AUTH	CA041	303	80.16%	75	19.84%	<b>378</b>
SUISUN CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA066	268	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>268</b>
COUNTY OF SOLANO HSG AUTH	CA131	255	100.00%	0	0.00%	255

#### CA-519 Chico, Paradise/Butte County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF BUTTE HSG AUTH	CA043	2,063	85.74%	343	14.26%	<b>2,406</b>

#### CA-520 Merced City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF MERCED HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA023	2,703	86.52%	421	13.48%	<b>3,124</b>

#### CA-521 Davis, Woodland/Yolo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Yolo	CA044	1,485	77.91%	421	22.09%	<b>1,906</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-522 Humboldt County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT HSG AUTH	CA086	948	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>948</b>
CITY OF EUREKA HSG AUTH	CA025	0	0.00%	196	100.00%	<b>196</b>
COUNTY OF SHASTA HSG AUTH	CA096	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### CA-523 Colusa, Glenn, Trinity Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF BUTTE HSG AUTH	CA043	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>69</b>
Regional Hsg Authority of Sutter & Nevada Co.	CA048	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>26</b>
COUNTY OF SHASTA HSG AUTH	CA096	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>22</b>
COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT HSG AUTH	CA086	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### CA-524 Yuba City & County/Sutter County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Regional Hsg Authority of Sutter & Nevada Co.	CA048	1,217	87.55%	173	12.45%	<b>1,390</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Yolo	CA044	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	<b>10</b>
WASHINGTON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA017	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-525 El Dorado County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COMM SERVICE DEPT EL DORADO COUNTY	CA151	367	100.00%	0	0.00%	367

#### CA-526 Tuolumne, Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	CA026	306	100.00%	0	0.00%	306
Yuma County Housing Department	AZ013	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### CA-527 Tehama County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF PLUMAS HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA070	326	100.00%	0	0.00%	326

#### CA-529 Lake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
LAKE COUNTY HOUSING COMMISSION	CA144	274	100.00%	0	0.00%	274

#### CA-530 Alpine, Inyo, Mono Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus	CA026	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-600 Los Angeles City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	CA004	43,223	86.20%	6,918	13.80%	<b>50,141</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	CA002	23,247	91.21%	2,239	8.79%	<b>25,486</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Inglewood	CA082	1,327	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,327</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Monica	CA111	1,019	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,019</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Burbank	CA105	933	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>933</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pomona	CA123	923	100.00%	0	0.00%	923
Hawthorne Housing	CA126	891	100.00%	0	0.00%	891
City of Compton Housing Authority	CA071	747	100.00%	0	0.00%	747
Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	CA118	662	100.00%	0	0.00%	662
Housing Authority of the City of Torrance	CA121	584	100.00%	0	0.00%	584
Housing Authority of the City of Baldwin Park	CA120	464	97.48%	12	2.52%	476
Housing Authority of the City of Redondo Beach	CA103	458	100.00%	0	0.00%	458
Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	CA117	437	100.00%	0	0.00%	437
Housing Authority of the City of South Gate	CA119	427	100.00%	0	0.00%	427
Housing Authority of the City of Pasadena	CA079	128	100.00%	0	0.00%	128
Housing Authority of the City of Hawaiian Gardens	CA136	117	100.00%	0	0.00%	117
Culver City Housing Authority	CA110	106	100.00%	0	0.00%	106
Housing Authority of the City of Lomita	CA139	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Orange County Housing Authority	CA094	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Housing Authority of the City of Upland	CA009	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
MIAMI DADE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEV	FL005	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	CA114	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-600 Los Angeles City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	CA019	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	CA092	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### CA-601 San Diego City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
San Diego Housing Commission	CA063	14,790	98.97%	154	1.03%	<b>14,944</b>
Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	CA108	10,486	98.86%	121	1.14%	<b>10,607</b>
City of Oceanside Community Development Comm	CA132	1,593	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,593</b>
CDC of National City	CA116	1,141	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,141</b>
Carlsbad Housing & Neighborhood Services	CA077	560	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>560</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Encinitas	CA155	116	100.00%	0	0.00%	116
COUNTY OF BUTTE HSG AUTH	CA043	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2

#### CA-602 Santa Ana, Anaheim/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orange County Housing Authority	CA094	11,453	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>11,453</b>
City of Anaheim Housing Authority	CA104	6,242	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,242</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Garden Grove	CA102	2,476	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,476</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	CA093	1,654	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,654</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-603 Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	CA021	3,511	94.26%	214	5.74%	<b>3,725</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	CA076	2,466	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,466</b>
Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	CA064	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### CA-604 Bakersfield/Kern County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	CA008	3,410	79.86%	860	20.14%	<b>4,270</b>
City of Wasco Housing Authority	CA046	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	<b>25</b>

#### CA-606 Long Beach CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Long Beach Housing Authority	CA068	6,314	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,314</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	CA002	11	1.52%	712	98.48%	<b>723</b>
City of Compton Housing Authority	CA071	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>

#### CA-607 Pasadena CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pasadena	CA079	1,167	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,167</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	CA002	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-608 Riverside City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	CA027	8,861	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>8,861</b>

#### CA-609 San Bernardino City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	CA019	10,051	94.29%	609	5.71%	<b>10,660</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Upland	CA009	540	84.64%	98	15.36%	<b>638</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Needles	CA022	26	33.33%	52	66.67%	<b>78</b>

#### CA-611 Oxnard, San Buenaventura/Ventura County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	CA092	2,429	87.41%	350	12.59%	<b>2,779</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	CA031	1,724	72.19%	664	27.81%	<b>2,388</b>
Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	CA035	1,488	83.31%	298	16.69%	<b>1,786</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Paula	CA075	563	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>563</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Port Hueneme	CA032	261	74.36%	90	25.64%	<b>351</b>

#### CA-612 Glendale CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	CA114	2,917	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,917</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-612 Glendale CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	CA002	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4

#### CA-613 Imperial County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Imperial Valley Housing Authority	CA143	1,681	76.69%	511	23.31%	2,192
Housing Authority of the City of Calexico	CA039	222	45.87%	262	54.13%	484

#### CA-614 San Luis Obispo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	CA064	2,058	92.49%	167	7.51%	2,225



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-500 Colorado Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	CO002	1,490	62.37%	899	37.63%	<b>2,389</b>
Colorado Division of Housing	CO911	2,047	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,047</b>
Fort Collins Housing Authority	CO041	987	86.50%	154	13.50%	<b>1,141</b>
Grand Junction Housing Authority	CO051	1,081	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,081</b>
Loveland Housing Authority	CO034	597	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>597</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Greeley	CO035	393	82.05%	86	17.95%	479
Garfield County Housing Authority	CO095	440	100.00%	0	0.00%	440
Housing Authority of Weld County	CO090	357	100.00%	0	0.00%	357
Delta Housing Authority	CO040	233	75.65%	75	24.35%	308
Trinidad Housing Authority	CO005	55	21.74%	198	78.26%	253
Housing Authority of the County of Montezuma	CO079	220	87.65%	31	12.35%	251
Housing Authority of the City of Alamosa	CO004	0	0.00%	199	100.00%	199
Montrose County Housing Authority	CO087	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
La Junta Housing Authority	CO031	72	45.86%	85	54.14%	157
Housing Authority of the City of Walsenburg	CO003	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129
Las Animas Housing Authority	CO024	120	100.00%	0	0.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Sterling	CO025	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Lamar	CO006	72	70.59%	30	29.41%	102
Larimer County Housing Authority	CO103	91	100.00%	0	0.00%	91
Fort Morgan Housing Authority	CO011	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Colorado Bluesky Enterprises, Inc.	CO888	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
Costilla County Housing Authority	CO037	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-500 Colorado Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Julesburg Housing Authority	CO021	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lupton	CO029	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Town of Yuma	CO009	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Salida	CO013	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Center Housing Authority	CO043	18	37.50%	30	62.50%	48
Conejos County Housing Authority	CO038	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Rocky Ford Housing Authority	CO045	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
Wellington Housing Authority	CO014	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of the City of Wray	CO022	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of the Town of Limon	CO012	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Otero County Housing Authority	CO101	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Burlington Housing Authority	CO030	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Holyoke Housing Authority	CO023	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Brush	CO044	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Antonito	CO008	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority for the Town of Cheyenne Wells	CO026	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Town of Haxtun	CO017	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Keenesburg	CO020	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Kersey	CO018	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Aguilar	CO015	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Holly	CO007	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Longmont Housing Authority	CO070	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-500 Colorado Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jefferson County Housing Authority	CO072	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Boulder County Housing Authority	CO061	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### CO-503 Metropolitan Denver CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver	CO001	5,456	58.07%	3,939	41.93%	<b>9,395</b>
Colorado Division of Housing	CO911	3,180	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,180</b>
Aurora Housing Authority	CO052	1,899	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,899</b>
Jefferson County Housing Authority	CO072	1,355	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,355</b>
Boulder Housing Partners	CO016	1,119	91.80%	100	8.20%	<b>1,219</b>
Adams County Housing Authority	CO058	1,148	96.47%	42	3.53%	1,190
Lakewood Housing Authority	CO049	1,168	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,168
Boulder County Housing Authority	CO061	741	100.00%	0	0.00%	741
Littleton Housing Authority	CO036	442	75.56%	143	24.44%	585
Arvada Housing Authority	CO050	483	100.00%	0	0.00%	483
Englewood Housing Authority	CO048	307	73.62%	110	26.38%	417
Longmont Housing Authority	CO070	395	100.00%	0	0.00%	395
Housing Authority of the City of Brighton	CO019	255	85.28%	44	14.72%	299
Sheridan Housing Authority	CO057	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Housing Authority of Weld County	CO090	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Greeley	CO035	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-504 Colorado Springs/El Paso County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	CO028	2,241	76.07%	705	23.93%	<b>2,946</b>
Colorado Division of Housing	CO911	556	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>556</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Fountain	CO071	194	82.91%	40	17.09%	<b>234</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-503 Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	CT001	2,386	49.02%	2,481	50.98%	<b>4,867</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Stamford	CT007	1,728	82.96%	355	17.04%	<b>2,083</b>
Housing Authority Of The City Of Norwalk	CT002	833	49.41%	853	50.59%	<b>1,686</b>
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT901	1,557	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,557</b>
Greenwich Housing Authority	CT019	298	47.68%	327	52.32%	<b>625</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Stratford	CT027	280	48.19%	301	51.81%	581
Housing Authority of the City of Danbury	CT020	111	100.00%	0	0.00%	111
Fairfield Housing Authority	CT052	76	100.00%	0	0.00%	76
Housing Authority of the City of Ansonia	CT015	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
New Canaan Housing Authority	CT054	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT901	6,280	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,280</b>
Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	CT004	3,921	67.24%	1,910	32.76%	<b>5,831</b>
City of Hartford Housing Authority	CT051	4,693	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,693</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	CT003	1,706	59.48%	1,162	40.52%	<b>2,868</b>
Waterbury Housing Authority	CT006	2,012	71.93%	785	28.07%	<b>2,797</b>
West Haven Housing Authority	CT029	1,137	65.01%	612	34.99%	1,749
Housing Authority of the City of New Britain	CT005	862	51.77%	803	48.23%	1,665
Bristol Housing Authority	CT023	642	54.27%	541	45.73%	1,183



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	CT011	770	68.20%	359	31.80%	1,129
East Hartford Housing Authority	CT013	437	41.50%	616	58.50%	1,053
Housing Authority of the City of Danbury	CT020	670	64.55%	368	35.45%	1,038
Middletown Housing Authority	CT009	779	75.93%	247	24.07%	1,026
Manchester Housing Authority	CT026	477	60.08%	317	39.92%	794
Housing Authority of the City of Ansonia	CT015	547	69.24%	243	30.76%	790
Willimantic Housing Authority	CT010	480	65.75%	250	34.25%	730
Norwich Housing Authority	CT018	500	73.96%	176	26.04%	676
Torrington Housing Authority	CT031	190	30.65%	430	69.35%	620
Housing Authority of the Town of West Hartfor	CT039	543	100.00%	0	0.00%	543
Milford Redevelopment and Housing Partnership	CT030	184	35.80%	330	64.20%	514
Vernon Housing Authority	CT028	234	50.98%	225	49.02%	459
Naugatuck Housing Authority	CT047	255	65.22%	136	34.78%	391
Hamden Housing Authority	CT042	368	100.00%	0	0.00%	368
Derby Housing Authority	CT017	258	100.00%	0	0.00%	258
New London Housing Authority	CT022	0	0.00%	223	100.00%	223
Housing Authority of the Town of Glastonbury	CT040	19	8.72%	199	91.28%	218
Windsor Locks Housing Authority	CT032	121	61.42%	76	38.58%	197
Housing Authority of the Town of Enfield	CT008	181	100.00%	0	0.00%	181
Putnam Housing Authority	CT024	31	20.00%	124	80.00%	155
Plainfield Housing Authority	CT058	150	100.00%	0	0.00%	150
Housing Authority of the Town of Windsor	CT048	143	100.00%	0	0.00%	143



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mansfield Housing Authority	CT038	127	100.00%	0	0.00%	127
Portland Housing Authority	CT036	75	60.98%	48	39.02%	123
Housing Authority of the Town of Seymour	CT035	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
East Haven Housing Authority	CT063	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112
Winchester Housing Authority	CT025	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Killingly Housing Authority	CT061	87	100.00%	0	0.00%	87
Wallingford Housing Authority	CT067	77	100.00%	0	0.00%	77
Farmington Housing Authority	CT041	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
Wethersfield Housing Authority	CT053	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	37
Newington Housing Authority	CT049	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Bloomfield Housing Authority	CT056	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
South Windsor Housing Authority	CT033	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Housing Authority of the Town of Brooklyn	CT066	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	11
Canton Housing Authority	CT068	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
BROWARD COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Delaware

#### DE-500 Delaware Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wilmington Housing Authority	DE001	1,741	53.42%	1,518	46.58%	<b>3,259</b>
New Castle County Housing Authority	DE005	1,785	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,785</b>
Delaware State Housing Authority	DE901	872	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>872</b>
Delaware State Housing Authority	DE004	0	0.00%	507	100.00%	<b>507</b>
Dover Housing Authority	DE002	164	36.20%	289	63.80%	<b>453</b>
Newark Housing Authority	DE003	96	49.48%	98	50.52%	194



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### District of Columbia

#### DC-500 District of Columbia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
D.C. Housing Authority	DC001	11,477	58.55%	8,126	41.45%	<b>19,603</b>
Community Connections	DC880	130	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>130</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-500 Sarasota, Bradenton/Manatee, Sarasota Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SARASOTA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL008	1,433	81.14%	333	18.86%	<b>1,766</b>
MANATEE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL105	1,069	93.28%	77	6.72%	<b>1,146</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF BRADENTON	FL023	198	44.39%	248	55.61%	<b>446</b>
PUNTA GORDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL060	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>40</b>
VENICE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL064	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	<b>25</b>

#### FL-501 Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL003	9,240	82.38%	1,976	17.62%	<b>11,216</b>
PLANT CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL034	172	46.24%	200	53.76%	<b>372</b>

#### FL-502 St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Largo/Pinellas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	FL002	3,422	90.22%	371	9.78%	<b>3,793</b>
PINELLAS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL062	3,302	91.09%	323	8.91%	<b>3,625</b>
CLEARWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL075	1,091	83.09%	222	16.91%	<b>1,313</b>
Boley Centers, Inc.	FL888	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>132</b>
TARPON SPRINGS HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL058	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	<b>129</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-503 Lakeland, Winterhaven/Polk County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND	FL011	1,178	79.11%	311	20.89%	<b>1,489</b>
WINTER HAVEN HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL139	339	65.83%	176	34.17%	<b>515</b>
LAKE WALES HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL071	160	48.19%	172	51.81%	<b>332</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BARTOW	FL026	83	50.30%	82	49.70%	<b>165</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MULBERRY	FL054	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	<b>26</b>

#### FL-504 Daytona Beach, Daytona/Volusia, Flagler Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of City of Daytona Beach	FL007	1,229	61.21%	779	38.79%	<b>2,008</b>
DeLand Housing Authority	FL072	754	86.27%	120	13.73%	<b>874</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	FL030	330	71.58%	131	28.42%	<b>461</b>
Housing Authority of New Smyrna Beach	FL022	217	63.27%	126	36.73%	<b>343</b>
Ormond Beach Housing Authority	FL024	199	82.92%	41	17.08%	<b>240</b>
County of Volusia Dept. of Community Services	FL113	235	100.00%	0	0.00%	235

#### FL-505 Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fort Walton Beach Housing Authority	FL069	664	84.37%	123	15.63%	<b>787</b>
Crestview Housing Authority	FL046	196	41.79%	273	58.21%	<b>469</b>
Walton County Housing Authority	FL110	298	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>298</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-505 Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Niceville Housing Authority	FL052	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
DeFuniak Springs Housing Authority	FL039	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50

#### FL-506 Tallahassee/Leon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tallahassee Housing Authority	FL073	1,979	78.66%	537	21.34%	2,516
Northwest Florida Regional Housing Authority	FL015	555	94.23%	34	5.77%	589
North Central Florida Regional Housing Agency	FL049	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Housing Authority of the City of Apalachicola	FL036	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54

#### FL-507 Orlando/Orange, Osceola, Seminole Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orlando Housing Authority	FL004	3,273	68.63%	1,496	31.37%	4,769
Orange County Housing & Community Development	FL093	1,729	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,729
OSCEOLA COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	FL201	1,465	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,465
Seminole County Housing Authority	FL033	826	96.50%	30	3.50%	856
Housing Authority of the City of Winter Park	FL082	0	0.00%	171	100.00%	171
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND	FL011	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-508 Gainesville/Alachua, Putnam Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gainesville Housing Authority	FL063	1,254	66.63%	628	33.37%	<b>1,882</b>
Alachua County	FL070	874	76.07%	275	23.93%	<b>1,149</b>
Palatka Housing Authority	FL057	342	44.94%	419	55.06%	<b>761</b>
North Central Florida Regional Housing Agency	FL049	136	50.37%	134	49.63%	<b>270</b>
Northwest Florida Regional Housing Authority	FL015	101	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>101</b>

#### FL-509 Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT PIERCE	FL041	786	48.79%	825	51.21%	<b>1,611</b>
INDIAN RIVER CO BD OF CO COMM	FL132	363	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>363</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF STUART	FL045	219	75.78%	70	24.22%	<b>289</b>

#### FL-510 Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jacksonville Housing Authority	FL001	6,800	70.39%	2,860	29.61%	<b>9,660</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	FL030	204	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>204</b>
Housing Authority of City of Fernandina Beach	FL037	74	56.49%	57	43.51%	<b>131</b>
Gainesville Housing Authority	FL063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-511 Pensacola/Escambia, Santa Rosa Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Pensacola Housing Office	FL092	2,345	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,345</b>
Area Housing Commission	FL006	0	0.00%	603	100.00%	<b>603</b>
Milton Housing Authority	FL053	368	90.64%	38	9.36%	<b>406</b>
Jefferson County Housing Authority	AL086	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### FL-512 St. Johns County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jacksonville Housing Authority	FL001	56	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>56</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	FL030	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>

#### FL-513 Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BREVARD COUNTY	FL020	1,858	81.81%	413	18.19%	<b>2,271</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF TITUSVILLE	FL025	699	73.35%	254	26.65%	<b>953</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF COCOA	FL019	134	29.07%	327	70.93%	<b>461</b>
MELBOURNE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL056	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	<b>148</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-514 Ocala/Marion County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ocala Housing Authority	FL032	1,186	86.44%	186	13.56%	<b>1,372</b>
West Haven Housing Authority	CT029	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
Gainesville Housing Authority	FL063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### FL-515 Panama City/Bay, Jackson Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Panama City Housing Authority	FL018	439	49.38%	450	50.62%	<b>889</b>
Housing Authority of Springfield	FL035	408	91.07%	40	8.93%	<b>448</b>
Northwest Florida Regional Housing Authority	FL015	66	22.76%	224	77.24%	<b>290</b>
Holmes County Housing Authority	FL202	173	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>173</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Marianna	FL031	72	47.37%	80	52.63%	<b>152</b>
Washington County Board of Commissioners	FL109	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
Chipley Housing Authority	FL038	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Walton County Housing Authority	FL110	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### FL-517 Hendry, Hardee, Highlands Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ARCADIA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL055	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	<b>130</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF AVON PARK	FL012	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	<b>129</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	FL047	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>36</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-517 Hendry, Hardee, Highlands Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAKE LAND	FL011	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>32</b>
HENDRY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL123	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>30</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF STUART	FL045	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
PUNTA GORDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL060	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
PAHOKEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL021	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### FL-518 Columbia, Hamilton, Lafayette, Suwannee Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Northwest Florida Regional Housing Authority	FL015	268	75.71%	86	24.29%	<b>354</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Live Oak	FL027	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	<b>104</b>
Columbia County Housing Authority	FL125	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
North Central Florida Regional Housing Agency	FL049	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	<b>20</b>
Gainesville Housing Authority	FL063	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>8</b>

#### FL-519 Pasco County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pasco County Housing Authority	FL104	1,387	89.54%	162	10.46%	<b>1,549</b>
PINELLAS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL062	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-520 Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Sumter Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lake County Housing Agency	FL106	579	100.00%	0	0.00%	579
Hernando County Housing Authority	FL137	482	100.00%	0	0.00%	482
Citrus County Housing Services	FL147	229	100.00%	0	0.00%	229
Brooksville Housing Authority	FL074	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of the City of Eustis	FL040	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60

#### FL-600 Miami-Dade County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MIAMI DADE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEV	FL005	14,026	60.06%	9,327	39.94%	23,353
HIALEAH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL066	4,702	80.80%	1,117	19.20%	5,819
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH	FL017	2,957	93.66%	200	6.34%	3,157
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HOMESTEAD	FL068	1,544	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,544
MIAMI HSG CONSERVATION	FL145	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc.	FL881	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49

#### FL-601 Ft Lauderdale/Broward County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
BROWARD COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL079	5,682	100.00%	0	0.00%	5,682
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE	FL010	2,957	96.89%	95	3.11%	3,052
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF POMPANO BEACH	FL028	965	100.00%	0	0.00%	965





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-601 Ft Lauderdale/Broward County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hollywood Housing Authority	FL136	814	87.15%	120	12.85%	934
DANIA BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL116	481	92.50%	39	7.50%	520
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF DEERFIELD BEACH	FL081	388	79.51%	100	20.49%	488
HA BOCA RATON	FL119	82	100.00%	0	0.00%	82
DELRAY BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL083	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	FL047	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
PAHOKEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL021	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### FL-602 Punta Gorda/Charlotte County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PUNTA GORDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL060	392	68.53%	180	31.47%	572

#### FL-603 Ft Myers, Cape Coral/Lee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Myers	FL047	2,069	70.11%	882	29.89%	2,951
Lee County Housing Authority	FL128	264	65.02%	142	34.98%	406



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-604 Monroe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST	FL013	226	27.76%	588	72.24%	<b>814</b>
MONROE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL144	187	78.90%	50	21.10%	<b>237</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH	FL017	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>12</b>

#### FL-605 West Palm Beach/Palm Beach County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
WEST PALM BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL009	2,930	93.52%	203	6.48%	<b>3,133</b>
PALM BEACH COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL080	1,956	79.80%	495	20.20%	<b>2,451</b>
DELRAY BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL083	1,015	99.51%	5	0.49%	<b>1,020</b>
HA BOCA RATON	FL119	598	86.29%	95	13.71%	<b>693</b>
PAHOKEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL021	83	14.77%	479	85.23%	<b>562</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF DEERFIELD BEACH	FL081	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF POMPANO BEACH	FL028	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE	FL010	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### FL-606 Naples/Collier County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Collier County Housing Authority	FL141	476	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>476</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-500 Atlanta CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of ATLANTA Georgia	GA006	12,669	75.75%	4,055	24.25%	<b>16,724</b>
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>34</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Dekalb, GA	GA237	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>27</b>
Housing Authority of Fulton County	GA264	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>17</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	GA011	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>
Housing Authority of the City of East Point	GA078	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	14,697	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>14,697</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Macon-Bibb	GA007	3,291	79.90%	828	20.10%	<b>4,119</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Jonesboro	GA228	1,820	98.38%	30	1.62%	<b>1,850</b>
NORTHWEST GA HOUSING AUTHORITY	GA285	662	43.99%	843	56.01%	<b>1,505</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Brunswick	GA009	678	53.51%	589	46.49%	<b>1,267</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Americus	GA062	651	57.61%	479	42.39%	1,130
Housing Authority of the City of Albany	GA023	75	7.76%	892	92.24%	967
Housing Authority of the City of Newnan	GA095	121	21.88%	432	78.12%	553
Housing Authority of the City of Dublin	GA069	0	0.00%	539	100.00%	539
Housing Authority of the City of Valdosta	GA100	0	0.00%	530	100.00%	530
Housing Authority of the City of Gainesville	GA059	0	0.00%	494	100.00%	494
Housing Authority of the City of Camilla	GA096	0	0.00%	442	100.00%	442



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Cordele	GA063	0	0.00%	431	100.00%	431
Housing Authority of the City of LaGrange	GA026	0	0.00%	420	100.00%	420
Housing Authority of the City of Carrollton	GA116	412	100.00%	0	0.00%	412
Housing Authority of the City of Waynesboro	GA086	0	0.00%	387	100.00%	387
Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	GA073	0	0.00%	382	100.00%	382
Housing Authority of the City of Douglas	GA076	0	0.00%	381	100.00%	381
ETOWAH AREA CONSOLIDATED	GA281	0	0.00%	357	100.00%	357
Housing Authority of the City of Warner Robins	GA160	0	0.00%	356	100.00%	356
Housing Authority of the City of Waycross	GA028	0	0.00%	355	100.00%	355
FLINT AREA CONSOLIDATED	GA280	0	0.00%	343	100.00%	343
Housing Authority of the City of Tifton	GA101	0	0.00%	339	100.00%	339
Housing Authority of the City of Moultrie	GA060	0	0.00%	328	100.00%	328
Housing Authority of the City of Milledgeville	GA200	0	0.00%	319	100.00%	319
Housing Authority of the City of Alma	GA133	0	0.00%	306	100.00%	306
Housing Authority of the City of LaFayette	GA170	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	298
Housing Authority of the City of Conyers	GA184	0	0.00%	289	100.00%	289
Housing Authority of the City of Thomaston	GA247	0	0.00%	288	100.00%	288
Housing Authority of the City of Bainbridge	GA064	0	0.00%	282	100.00%	282
Housing Authority of the City of Sylvester	GA104	0	0.00%	258	100.00%	258
Housing Authority of the City of Thomasville	GA024	0	0.00%	254	100.00%	254
Housing Authority of the City of Calhoun	GA119	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	248
Housing Authority of the City of College Park	GA232	235	95.92%	10	4.08%	245



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Swainsboro	GA131	0	0.00%	244	100.00%	244
Housing Authority of the City of West Point	GA065	0	0.00%	223	100.00%	223
Housing Authority of the City of Fitzgerald	GA070	0	0.00%	221	100.00%	221
Housing Authority of the City of Eastman	GA080	0	0.00%	219	100.00%	219
Housing Authority of the City of Jesup	GA066	0	0.00%	213	100.00%	213
Housing Authority of the City of Pelham	GA098	0	0.00%	210	100.00%	210
Housing Authority of the City of Griffin	GA061	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	206
Housing Authority of the City of Claxton	GA166	0	0.00%	203	100.00%	203
Housing Authority of the City of Quitman	GA085	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Housing Authority of the City of Thomson	GA128	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the City of Buford	GA091	0	0.00%	186	100.00%	186
Housing Authority of the City of Cairo	GA083	0	0.00%	185	100.00%	185
Housing Authority of the City of Royston	GA090	0	0.00%	184	100.00%	184
Housing Authority of the City of Hartwell	GA081	0	0.00%	174	100.00%	174
Housing Authority of the City of Reidsville	GA220	0	0.00%	174	100.00%	174
Housing Authority of the City of Ashburn	GA087	0	0.00%	168	100.00%	168
Housing Authority of the City of Ocilla	GA168	0	0.00%	165	100.00%	165
SOUTHEAST GEORGIA CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AUTHOR	GA282	0	0.00%	163	100.00%	163
Housing Authority of the City of Blakely	GA114	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159
Housing Authority of the City of Nashville	GA092	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159
Housing Authority of the City of Baxley	GA071	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas	GA148	0	0.00%	155	100.00%	155



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Unadilla	GA217	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
Housing Authority of the City of Statesboro	GA132	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Housing Authority of the City of Canton	GA213	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	142
Housing Authority of the City of Sandersville	GA199	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	142
Housing Authority of the City of Villa Rica	GA107	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Housing Authority of the City of Barnesville	GA177	0	0.00%	132	100.00%	132
Housing Authority of the City of Lyons	GA120	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129
Housing Authority of the City of Hazlehurst	GA137	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Housing Authority of the City of Cuthbert	GA226	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Housing Authority of the City of Metter	GA189	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Housing Authority of the City of Soperton	GA239	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Glennville	GA139	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Washington	GA144	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Housing Authority of the City of McDonough	GA182	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of the City of Dawson	GA067	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Hogansville	GA135	0	0.00%	114	100.00%	114
Housing Authority of the City of Ellijay	GA176	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Vidalia	GA145	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	GA105	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	109
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Valley	GA205	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Warrenton	GA127	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Clayton	GA115	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Jefferson	GA163	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Wrightsville	GA181	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Jackson	GA185	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of Colquitt	GA198	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of McCaysville	GA241	0	0.00%	87	100.00%	87
Housing Authority of the City of Hawkinsville	GA089	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Millen	GA142	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Bremen	GA254	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Homerville	GA172	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	GA224	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Buena Vista	GA179	0	0.00%	79	100.00%	79
Housing Authority of the City of Vienna	GA208	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Sylvania	GA152	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Franklin	GA233	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of the City of Louisville	GA157	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Oglethorpe	GA246	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of the City of Tennille	GA195	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of the City of Roberta	GA223	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Social Circle	GA147	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the County of Stewart	GA118	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Cedartown	GA025	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Chatsworth	GA206	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
TRI-CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	GA283	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Housing Authority of the City of Madison	GA193	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of McRae	GA084	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Edison	GA162	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Union Point	GA191	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of the City of Lincolnton	GA211	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Adel	GA088	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Monticello	GA203	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of the City of Blackshear	GA134	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of Bowdon	GA207	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of the City of Commerce	GA125	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Perry	GA252	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Cumming	GA196	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Manchester	GA108	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of the City of Blue Ridge	GA202	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Glenwood	GA194	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Buchanan	GA124	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of East Point	GA078	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Housing Authority of the City of Doerun	GA112	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Harlem	GA169	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Norcross	GA209	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the County of Harris	GA161	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Nahunta	GA263	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of the County of Houston	GA268	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Ellaville	GA214	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Newton	GA109	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of the City of Boston	GA117	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of the City of Alamo	GA178	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Vernon	GA238	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Housing Authority of Fulton County	GA264	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Byron	GA243	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Comer	GA103	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Senoia	GA204	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Dahlonega	GA174	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Danielsville	GA126	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Ringgold	GA216	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Hampton	GA110	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the City of Gibson	GA190	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Gaines	GA167	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Sparta	GA210	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Arlington	GA111	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the County of Atkinson	GA158	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Housing Authority of the City of Lumber City	GA155	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Housing Authority of the City of Pearson	GA165	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	21



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Shellman	GA229	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Lakeland	GA138	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Menlo	GA244	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Cave Spring	GA130	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Grantville	GA218	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Nicholls	GA113	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Loganville	GA171	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Hahira	GA136	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Abbeville	GA186	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Crawfordville	GA192	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the County of Screven	GA141	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Rochelle	GA175	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of ATLANTA Georgia	GA006	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	GA010	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Housing Authority of the City of Summerville	GA153	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2
Housing Authority of the City of Parkersburg	WV005	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the County of Dekalb, GA	GA237	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Northeast Georgia Housing Authority	GA284	0	100.00%	0	100.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-502 Fulton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Fulton County	GA264	2,034	93.52%	141	6.48%	<b>2,175</b>
Housing Authority of the City of College Park	GA232	872	77.51%	253	22.49%	<b>1,125</b>
Housing Authority of the City of East Point	GA078	657	63.36%	380	36.64%	<b>1,037</b>
Housing Authority of the City of ATLANTA Georgia	GA006	390	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>390</b>
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	201	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>201</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Fairburn	GA180	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Palmetto	GA187	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Union City	GA197	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	GA011	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Housing Authority of the City of Newnan	GA095	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Housing Authority of the City of Jonesboro	GA228	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
ANNISTON HA	AL004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Little Rock	AR004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### GA-503 Athens-Clarke County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Athens Housing Authority	GA003	0	0.00%	1,187	100.00%	<b>1,187</b>
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	474	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>474</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-504 Augusta-Richmond County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Augusta	GA001	3,603	65.22%	1,921	34.78%	5,524

#### GA-505 Columbus-Muscogee/Russell County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	GA004	2,677	73.77%	952	26.23%	3,629
PHENIX CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL005	561	37.75%	925	62.25%	1,486

#### GA-506 Marietta/Cobb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	GA010	3,199	100.00%	0	0.00%	3,199

#### GA-507 Savannah/Chatham County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Savannah	GA002	2,683	67.09%	1,316	32.91%	3,999

#### GA-508 DeKalb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Dekalb, GA	GA237	5,959	100.00%	0	0.00%	5,959



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-508 DeKalb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	GA011	1,158	80.03%	289	19.97%	<b>1,447</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lithonia	GA188	199	72.63%	75	27.37%	<b>274</b>
Housing Authority of the City of ATLANTA Georgia	GA006	28	45.16%	34	54.84%	<b>62</b>
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Hawaii

#### HI-500 Hawaii Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
County of Hawaii	HI002	2,196	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,196</b>
County of Maui	HI004	1,490	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,490</b>
Hawaii Public Housing Authority	HI001	0	0.00%	1,205	100.00%	<b>1,205</b>
Kauai County Housing Agency	HI005	625	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>625</b>

#### HI-501 Honolulu City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hawaii Public Housing Authority	HI001	0	0.00%	3,918	100.00%	<b>3,918</b>
City and County of Honolulu	HI003	3,708	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,708</b>
Hawaii Public Housing Authority	HI901	2,227	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,227</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Idaho

#### ID-500 Boise/Ada County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Boise City Housing Authority	ID013	1,234	88.52%	160	11.48%	<b>1,394</b>
Ada County Housing Authority	ID021	701	98.59%	10	1.41%	<b>711</b>

#### ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	ID901	3,317	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,317</b>
Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	ID016	880	95.44%	42	4.56%	<b>922</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pocatello	ID005	606	89.38%	72	10.62%	<b>678</b>
Twin Falls Housing Authority	ID001	0	0.00%	196	100.00%	<b>196</b>
Nampa Housing Authority	ID002	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	<b>142</b>
Housing Authority of the City Jerome	ID011	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of American Falls	ID012	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Buhl	ID010	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	ID020	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Boise City Housing Authority	ID013	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
HA Of Asotin County	WA017	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-500 McHenry County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
McHenry County Housing Authority	IL116	879	97.45%	23	2.55%	<b>902</b>
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>24</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Lake, IL.	IL056	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	<b>6</b>

#### IL-501 Rockford/Winnebago, Boone Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rockford Housing Authority	IL022	1,496	44.03%	1,902	55.97%	<b>3,398</b>
Winnebago County Housing Authority	IL083	467	67.39%	226	32.61%	<b>693</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Boone	IL122	128	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>128</b>

#### IL-502 Waukegan, North Chicago/Lake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Lake, IL.	IL056	2,791	85.17%	486	14.83%	<b>3,277</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Waukegan	IL026	781	63.60%	447	36.40%	<b>1,228</b>
Housing Authority of the City of North Chicago, IL	IL107	319	68.02%	150	31.98%	<b>469</b>
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>55</b>
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>21</b>
McHenry County Housing Authority	IL116	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-503 Champaign, Urbana, Rantoul/Champaign County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Champaign County	IL006	1,806	94.85%	98	5.15%	<b>1,904</b>

#### IL-504 Madison County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Madison County Housing Authority	IL015	933	83.83%	180	16.17%	<b>1,113</b>
Granite City Housing Authority	IL005	0	0.00%	353	100.00%	<b>353</b>
Housing Authority - City of Alton	IL055	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	<b>247</b>
St. Clair County Housing Authority	IL030	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5</b>

#### IL-506 Joliet, Bolingbrook/Will County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Joliet	IL024	1,777	63.99%	1,000	36.01%	<b>2,777</b>
Kendall Housing Authority	IL137	306	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>306</b>
Housing Authority for LaSalle County	IL014	129	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>129</b>
Grundy County Housing Authority	IL027	0	0.00%	95	100.00%	<b>95</b>
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	71	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>71</b>
DuPage Housing Authority	IL101	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>13</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-507 Peoria, Pekin/Fulton, Tazewell, Peoria, Woodford Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Peoria Housing Authority	IL003	1,763	66.96%	870	33.04%	<b>2,633</b>
Fulton County Housing Authority	IL084	238	45.59%	284	54.41%	<b>522</b>
Woodford County Housing Authority	IL104	208	76.47%	64	23.53%	<b>272</b>
Housing Authority of East Peoria	IL124	267	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>267</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pekin	IL044	0	0.00%	196	100.00%	<b>196</b>
Mason County Housing Authority	IL042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### IL-508 East St. Louis, Belleville/St. Clair County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
St. Clair County Housing Authority	IL030	2,336	70.07%	998	29.93%	<b>3,334</b>
The Housing Authority of City of East St. Louis	IL001	0	0.00%	2,046	100.00%	<b>2,046</b>
Housing Authority of Marion County	IL057	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### IL-509 DeKalb City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Of The County Of DeKalb	IL089	569	67.18%	278	32.82%	<b>847</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-510 Chicago CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	46,301	71.11%	18,810	28.89%	<b>65,111</b>
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	533	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>533</b>
Housing Authority of the Village of Oak Park	IL103	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>

#### IL-511 Cook County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	13,270	89.39%	1,575	10.61%	<b>14,845</b>
Housing Authority of the Village of Oak Park	IL103	511	72.07%	198	27.93%	<b>709</b>
Housing Authority of Park Forest	IL136	533	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>533</b>
Maywood Housing Authority	IL054	404	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>404</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Cicero	IL130	219	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>219</b>
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	76	100.00%	0	0.00%	76
Housing Authority of Joliet	IL024	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Housing Authority of the County of Lake, IL.	IL056	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	3
DuPage Housing Authority	IL101	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Campbell County Department of Housing	KY136	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### IL-512 Bloomington/Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington, IL	IL051	410	39.54%	627	60.46%	<b>1,037</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-512 Bloomington/Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority Of The City Of Danville, IL	IL011	343	39.84%	518	60.16%	<b>861</b>
Kankakee County Housing Authority	IL039	562	70.87%	231	29.13%	<b>793</b>
Menard County Housing Authority	IL028	157	55.48%	126	44.52%	<b>283</b>
Housing Authority of the County of McLean, Ill.	IL117	268	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>268</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Vermilion, Ill.	IL036	45	17.51%	212	82.49%	257
DeWitt County Housing Authority	IL031	0	0.00%	242	100.00%	242
Livingston County Housing Authority	IL094	0	0.00%	237	100.00%	237
Logan County Housing Authority	IL040	40	17.17%	193	82.83%	233
Mason County Housing Authority	IL042	94	65.28%	50	34.72%	144
Housing Authority of the County of Ford	IL034	6	9.09%	60	90.91%	66
Housing Authority of Piatt County	IL128	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Springfield Housing Authority	IL004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority for LaSalle County	IL014	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	1

#### IL-513 Springfield/Sangamon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	IL004	2,058	73.92%	726	26.08%	<b>2,784</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-514 Dupage County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DuPage Housing Authority	IL101	3,343	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,343</b>
Aurora Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	IL090	279	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>279</b>
Housing Authority of Joliet	IL024	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>14</b>
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>7</b>

#### IL-515 South Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Macoupin County Housing Authority	IL047	0	0.00%	362	100.00%	<b>362</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Jersey	IL074	164	52.90%	146	47.10%	<b>310</b>
Montgomery County Housing Authority	IL037	80	29.52%	191	70.48%	<b>271</b>
Housing Authority of Edgar County	IL120	49	19.68%	200	80.32%	<b>249</b>
Clay County Housing Authority	IL065	0	0.00%	187	100.00%	<b>187</b>
Housing Authority County of Coles	IL100	0	0.00%	176	100.00%	176
Housing Authority of Greene County	IL072	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Housing Authority of the County of Shelby, IL.	IL087	23	14.84%	132	85.16%	155
Menard County Housing Authority	IL028	105	100.00%	0	0.00%	105
Effingham County Housing Authority	IL062	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, IL.	IL070	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Housing Authority of the County of Clark, IL.	IL069	0	0.00%	87	100.00%	87
Housing Authority of Christian County, Illinois	IL038	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68
Housing Authority of Calhoun County	IL049	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-515 South Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Champaign County	IL006	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Housing Authority of the County of Richland	IL096	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Housing Authority of Marion County	IL057	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### IL-516 Decatur/Macon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Decatur Housing Authority	IL012	1,095	61.72%	679	38.28%	1,774

#### IL-517 Aurora, Elgin/Kane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Aurora Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	IL090	967	65.96%	499	34.04%	1,466
Housing Authority of Elgin	IL092	993	97.07%	30	2.93%	1,023
DuPage Housing Authority	IL101	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Kendall Housing Authority	IL137	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Housing Authority of Joliet	IL024	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### IL-518 Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority for LaSalle County	IL014	373	28.67%	928	71.33%	1,301



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-518 Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Grtr Metro. Area Hsng Auth of Rock Island County	IL010	314	39.25%	486	60.75%	<b>800</b>
Moline Housing Authority	IL020	203	29.46%	486	70.54%	<b>689</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	IL018	268	39.12%	417	60.88%	<b>685</b>
Knox County Housing Authority	IL085	180	30.10%	418	69.90%	<b>598</b>
The Housing Authority of Henry County	IL009	147	24.66%	449	75.34%	596
Whiteside County Housing Authority	IL032	254	48.94%	265	51.06%	519
Bureau County Housing Authority	IL086	74	22.77%	251	77.23%	325
Housing Authority of the City of Freeport	IL029	0	0.00%	267	100.00%	267
Ogle County Housing Authority	IL095	137	51.31%	130	48.69%	267
Lee County Housing Authority	IL035	21	9.05%	211	90.95%	232
Housing Authority of the County of JoDaviess	IL082	23	18.11%	104	81.89%	127
Winnebago County Housing Authority	IL083	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Carroll County Housing Authority	IL081	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Mercer County Housing Authority	IL131	21	25.93%	60	74.07%	81
Warren County Housing Authority	IL091	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17

#### IL-519 West Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Quincy Housing Authority	IL016	214	34.35%	409	65.65%	<b>623</b>
Morgan County Housing Authority	IL079	166	28.52%	416	71.48%	<b>582</b>
Housing Authority of the County of McDonough	IL076	90	24.39%	279	75.61%	<b>369</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-519 West Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Warren County Housing Authority	IL091	59	17.82%	272	82.18%	<b>331</b>
Pike County Housing Authority	IL071	0	0.00%	228	100.00%	<b>228</b>
Housing Authority of Adams County	IL046	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Scott County Housing Authority	IL073	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Housing Authority of the County of Brown	IL099	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Menard County Housing Authority	IL028	61	100.00%	0	0.00%	61
The Housing Authority of the County of Cass IL.	IL102	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Hancock County Housing Authority	IL097	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	IL018	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7

#### IL-520 Southern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Jackson, IL.	IL053	380	32.18%	801	67.82%	<b>1,181</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Williamson	IL050	118	14.01%	724	85.99%	<b>842</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Franklin	IL061	51	6.95%	683	93.05%	<b>734</b>
Housing Authority of Marion County	IL057	269	37.68%	445	62.32%	<b>714</b>
Housing Authority - County of Saline	IL043	112	18.95%	479	81.05%	<b>591</b>
Alexander County Housing Authority	IL007	0	0.00%	483	100.00%	483
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, Illinois	IL126	154	31.95%	328	68.05%	482
Housing Authority of Jefferson County	IL059	83	20.91%	314	79.09%	397
Housing Authority of the County of Union	IL067	0	0.00%	346	100.00%	346





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-520 Southern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Perry County Housing Authority	IL048	0	0.00%	340	100.00%	340
Randolph County Housing Authority	IL052	45	17.11%	218	82.89%	263
Housing Authority Of The County of Wayne, Illinois	IL088	25	10.46%	214	89.54%	239
Massac County Housing Authority	IL041	0	0.00%	233	100.00%	233
Housing Authority of the County of Lawrence, IL.	IL108	0	0.00%	171	100.00%	171
Housing Authority of the County of Richland	IL096	84	52.83%	75	47.17%	159
Housing Authority Of The County Of Wabash, IL.	IL093	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Housing Authority of the County of Bond	IL078	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
Housing Authority of the County of Hardin	IL066	0	0.00%	147	100.00%	147
Housing Authority of Pulaski County	IL045	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Housing Authority of Pope County	IL058	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
White County Housing Authority	IL068	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Housing Authority of Gallatin County	IL060	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Housing Authority of Johnson County	IL063	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Hamilton County Housing Authority	IL118	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Menard County Housing Authority	IL028	71	100.00%	0	0.00%	71
Housing Authority of Mt. Vernon, Illinois	IL123	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Edwards County Housing Authority	IL080	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Indiana Housing and Community Development Au	IN901	4,551	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,551</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Gary Indiana	IN011	1,741	46.93%	1,969	53.07%	<b>3,710</b>
Fort Wayne Housing Authority	IN003	2,704	78.29%	750	21.71%	<b>3,454</b>
Housing Authority of South Bend	IN015	2,026	71.51%	807	28.49%	<b>2,833</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Evansville	IN016	1,838	84.82%	329	15.18%	<b>2,167</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	IN021	782	47.62%	860	52.38%	1,642
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	IN022	1,272	80.40%	310	19.60%	1,582
Housing Authority of the City of New Albany	IN012	481	32.26%	1,010	67.74%	1,491
Housing Authority of the City of East Chicago	IN029	592	42.65%	796	57.35%	1,388
Housing Authority of the City of Elkhart	IN026	690	50.88%	666	49.12%	1,356
Housing Authority of the City of Anderson	IN006	1,188	89.86%	134	10.14%	1,322
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	IN071	1,236	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,236
Kokomo Housing Authority	IN007	665	54.73%	550	45.27%	1,215
Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	IN005	747	67.54%	359	32.46%	1,106
Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	IN010	660	70.44%	277	29.56%	937
Columbus Housing Authority	IN058	592	79.04%	157	20.96%	749
Housing Authority of the City of Jeffersonville	IN023	354	48.96%	369	51.04%	723
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	IN041	394	59.34%	270	40.66%	664
Vincennes Housing Authority	IN002	297	46.41%	343	53.59%	640
Housing Authority of the City of Mishawaka	IN020	301	50.17%	299	49.83%	600
Housing Authority of the City of Richmond	IN009	246	44.81%	303	55.19%	549
Housing Authority of the City of Michigan City	IN019	323	62.48%	194	37.52%	517



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Crawfordsvil	IN047	494	100.00%	0	0.00%	494
New Castle Housing Authority	IN050	299	65.71%	156	34.29%	455
Brazil Housing Authority	IN035	78	21.31%	288	78.69%	366
Delaware County Housing Authority	IN004	187	53.58%	162	46.42%	349
Knox County Housing Authority	IN067	267	78.30%	74	21.70%	341
Housing Authority City of Bedford	IN031	152	48.25%	163	51.75%	315
Housing Authority of the City of Charlestown	IN025	44	14.97%	250	85.03%	294
Housing Authority of the County of Fayette	IN073	280	100.00%	0	0.00%	280
Housing Authority of the City of Elwood	IN079	279	100.00%	0	0.00%	279
Housing Authority of the City of Peru	IN091	176	63.08%	103	36.92%	279
Mount Vernon Housing Authority	IN037	183	68.80%	83	31.20%	266
Housing Authority of the City of Tell City	IN018	63	24.05%	199	75.95%	262
Sullivan Housing Authority	IN034	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	247
Linton Housing Authority	IN055	193	79.42%	50	20.58%	243
Noblesville Housing Authority	IN080	236	100.00%	0	0.00%	236
Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	IN101	218	100.00%	0	0.00%	218
Housing Authority of the City of Logansport	IN092	212	100.00%	0	0.00%	212
Washington Housing Authority	IN030	0	0.00%	189	100.00%	189
Housing Authority of the City of Angola	IN039	0	0.00%	183	100.00%	183
Housing Authority of the City of Warsaw	IN060	171	100.00%	0	0.00%	171
Housing Authority of the County of St. Joseph	IN100	171	100.00%	0	0.00%	171
Seymour Housing Authority	IN056	153	100.00%	0	0.00%	153



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	IN062	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
Housing Authority of the City of Greencastle	IN094	146	100.00%	0	0.00%	146
Housing Authority of the City of Union City	IN086	144	100.00%	0	0.00%	144
Rockport Housing Authority	IN024	0	0.00%	141	100.00%	141
Cannelton Housing Authority	IN043	130	100.00%	0	0.00%	130
Bloomfield Housing Authority	IN032	36	28.13%	92	71.88%	128
Housing Authority of the City of Kendallville	IN036	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Rockville Housing Authority	IN048	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114
Housing Authority of the City of Greensburg	IN078	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Greendale Housing Authority	IN090	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Huntingburg	IN028	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Rome City	IN089	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Portland	IN084	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Fremont Housing Authority	IN085	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Jasonville	IN077	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Indianapolis Housing Agency	IN017	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3

#### IN-503 Indianapolis CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Indianapolis Housing Agency	IN017	7,798	80.22%	1,923	19.78%	9,721



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-503 Indianapolis CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Indiana Housing and Community Development Au	IN901	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-500 Sioux City/Dakota, Woodbury Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Sioux City Housing Authority	IA018	1,181	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,181</b>
South Sioux City Housing Agency	NE175	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>230</b>
Siouxland Regional Housing Authority	IA136	64	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>64</b>
Emerson Housing Authority	NE073	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	<b>20</b>
Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing Authority	NE180	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>8</b>

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Iowa City Housing Authority	IA022	1,274	94.02%	81	5.98%	<b>1,355</b>
Fort Dodge Municipal Housing Agency	IA107	1,040	88.51%	135	11.49%	<b>1,175</b>
Cedar Rapids Housing Services	IA024	1,163	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,163</b>
Waterloo Housing Authority	IA050	1,034	95.39%	50	4.61%	<b>1,084</b>
Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA126	849	83.81%	164	16.19%	<b>1,013</b>
Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA117	862	87.34%	125	12.66%	987
Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA131	813	86.03%	132	13.97%	945
City of Dubuque	IA087	874	100.00%	0	0.00%	874
Region XII Regional Housing Authority	IA122	804	100.00%	0	0.00%	804
Davenport Housing Commission	IA045	596	93.42%	42	6.58%	638
Ottumwa Housing Authority	IA004	195	35.20%	359	64.80%	554
Mason City Housing Authority	IA108	515	100.00%	0	0.00%	515
Northwest Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA129	493	100.00%	0	0.00%	493



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA127	370	75.36%	121	24.64%	491
Muscatine Municipal Housing Agency	IA049	326	68.49%	150	31.51%	476
Area XV Multi-County Housing Agency	IA124	390	82.28%	84	17.72%	474
Low Rent Housing Agency of Clinton	IA098	399	88.86%	50	11.14%	449
Upper Explorerland Regional Housing Authority	IA130	429	100.00%	0	0.00%	429
Low Rent Housing Agency of Burlington	IA015	182	48.40%	194	51.60%	376
Keokuk Housing Authority	IA030	156	44.44%	195	55.56%	351
City of Marshalltown Rent Assistance Program	IA125	336	100.00%	0	0.00%	336
Charles City Hsg and Redev Authority	IA002	161	52.10%	148	47.90%	309
Low Rent Housing Agency of Knoxville	IA119	234	82.98%	48	17.02%	282
Southeast Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA128	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	230
Iowa Northland Regional Housing Authority	IA132	213	100.00%	0	0.00%	213
Siouxland Regional Housing Authority	IA136	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Oskaloosa Municipal Housing Agency	IA100	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Fort Madison Housing Authority	IA047	55	29.10%	134	70.90%	189
Warren County Housing Authority	IA120	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	162
Evansdale Municipal Housing Authority	IA038	127	78.88%	34	21.12%	161
Grinnell Low Rent Housing Authority	IA084	157	100.00%	0	0.00%	157
Cedar Falls Housing Commission	IA113	155	100.00%	0	0.00%	155
Centerville Municipal Housing Agency	IA042	55	35.48%	100	64.52%	155
Low Rent Housing Agency of Spirit Lake	IA057	151	100.00%	0	0.00%	151
Albia Housing Agency	IA114	59	59.60%	40	40.40%	99



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Low Rent Housing Agency of Emmetsburg	IA056	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	90
Shenandoah Low Rent Housing Agency	IA019	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Clarinda Low Rent Housing Agency	IA034	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Chariton Housing Authority	IA016	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Sioux Center	IA011	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Low Rent Housing Agency oF Decorah	IA054	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Onawa	IA014	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Missouri Valley	IA029	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Red Oak	IA044	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Winterset	IA017	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Corning Housing Commission	IA001	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Villisca Low Rent Housing Agency	IA079	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Leon	IA027	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Rock Rapids Municipal Housing Agency	IA046	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Lenox Low Rent Housing Agency	IA032	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Afton Housing Commission	IA003	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Municipal Housing Agency Of Manning	IA021	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Bancroft	IA028	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Mount Ayr	IA026	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Hamburg	IA007	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Stanton Housing Commission	IA005	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Tabor Low Rent Housing Agency	IA012	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Sidney	IA008	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Malvern Low Rent Housing Agency	IA009	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Farragut	IA010	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Lone Tree Housing Commission	IA006	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Essex Low Rent Housing Agency	IA025	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### IA-502 Des Moines/Polk County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	IA020	2,982	87.55%	424	12.45%	<b>3,406</b>
Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA131	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>102</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-502 Wichita/Sedgwick County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wichita Housing Authority	KS004	2,674	82.23%	578	17.77%	<b>3,252</b>
Sedgwick County Housing Authority	KS169	89	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>89</b>
Sedgwick Housing Authority	KS066	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	<b>20</b>

#### KS-503 Topeka/Shawnee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Topeka Housing Authority	KS002	1,207	62.06%	738	37.94%	<b>1,945</b>
North Central Flint Hills Area Agency on Aging	KS167	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>

#### KS-505 Overland Park, Shawnee/Johnson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Johnson County Housing Authority	KS162	1,464	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,464</b>
Olathe Housing Authority	KS043	465	78.15%	130	21.85%	<b>595</b>
LYON COUNTY/ECKAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	KS159	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>10</b>

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lawrence/Douglas County Housing Authority	KS053	744	67.21%	363	32.79%	<b>1,107</b>
Salina Housing Authority	KS038	353	68.54%	162	31.46%	<b>515</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
South Central KS Area Agency On Aging (Cowley Co.)	KS166	480	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>480</b>
Leavenworth Housing Authority	KS068	334	76.08%	105	23.92%	<b>439</b>
Manhattan Housing Authority	KS063	172	42.79%	230	57.21%	<b>402</b>
Crawford County	KS161	392	100.00%	0	0.00%	392
Dodge City Housing Authority	KS006	46	12.74%	315	87.26%	361
Pittsburg Housing Authority	KS149	348	100.00%	0	0.00%	348
Ford County Housing Authority	KS165	334	100.00%	0	0.00%	334
Atchison Housing Authority	KS017	129	40.57%	189	59.43%	318
North Central Flint Hills Area Agency on Aging	KS167	274	100.00%	0	0.00%	274
LYON COUNTY/ECKAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	KS159	249	100.00%	0	0.00%	249
Newton Housing Authority	KS073	79	36.74%	136	63.26%	215
Fort Scott Housing Authority	KS040	0	0.00%	187	100.00%	187
Great Bend Housing Authority	KS041	77	44.51%	96	55.49%	173
Iola Housing Authority	KS049	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Chanute Housing Authority	KS062	18	11.61%	137	88.39%	155
NEK-CAP, Inc.	KS168	154	100.00%	0	0.00%	154
South Hutchinson Housing Authority	KS016	0	0.00%	144	100.00%	144
Parsons Housing Authority	KS044	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Junction City Housing Authority	KS105	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of the City of Colby	KS005	0	0.00%	112	100.00%	112
Liberal Housing Authority	KS072	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Garden City Housing Authority	KS071	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ellis County Housing Authority	KS170	97	100.00%	0	0.00%	97
Wellington Housing Authority	KS037	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Hays Housing Authority	KS091	61	67.03%	30	32.97%	91
Paola Housing Authority	KS039	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Lyons Housing Authority	KS025	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of Goodland	KS055	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Russell Housing Authority	KS027	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Minneapolis Housing Authority	KS033	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Lindsborg Housing Authority	KS065	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Seneca Housing Authority	KS010	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Horton Housing Authority	KS011	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
North Newton Housing Authority	KS015	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Augusta Housing Authority	KS029	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Holton Housing Authority	KS008	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Neodesha Housing Authority	KS069	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Moundridge Housing Authority	KS059	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Winfield Housing Authority	KS132	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Washington Housing Authority	KS007	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Humboldt Housing Authority	KS061	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Anthony Housing Authority	KS018	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Sterling Housing Authority	KS028	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Howard Housing Authority	KS079	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Oakley Housing Authority	KS021	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Phillipsburg Housing Authority	KS036	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of the City of Herington KS	KS100	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Osborne Housing Authority	KS020	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Pleasanton Housing Authority	KS052	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Galena Housing Authority	KS045	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Ulysses Housing Authority	KS058	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Beloit Housing Authority	KS019	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of Medicine Lodge	KS057	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Norton Housing Authority	KS034	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Halstead Housing Authority	KS112	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Wamego Housing Authority	KS042	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Kinsley Housing Authority	KS023	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Sedgwick County Housing Authority	KS169	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Stafford Housing Authority	KS142	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Hoxie	KS080	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Oberlin Housing Authority	KS012	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Downs Housing Authority	KS086	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Marion Housing Authority	KS032	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Chapman Housing Authority	KS147	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Hill City Housing Authority	KS082	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Hillsboro Housing Authority	KS096	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mankato Housing Authority	KS141	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Frontenac Housing Authority	KS131	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Cawker City Housing Authority	KS113	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Valley Falls Housing Authority	KS056	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Florence Housing Authority	KS094	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Atwood Housing Authority	KS022	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Belleville Housing Authority	KS095	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Cherryvale Housing Authority	KS155	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Girard Housing Authority	KS077	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Bird City Housing Authority	KS003	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Blue Rapids Housing Authority	KS030	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Columbus Housing Authority	KS143	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Strong City Housing Authority	KS070	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Solomon Housing Authority	KS152	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
St. Francis Housing Authority	KS076	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Lincoln Housing Authority	KS121	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Jetmore Housing Authority	KS047	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Greenleaf Housing Authority	KS083	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Hanover Housing Authority	KS013	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Burrton Housing Authority	KS078	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Sabetha Housing Authority	KS054	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Waterville Housing Authority	KS060	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Victoria Housing Authority	KS158	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Linn Housing Authority	KS014	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Agra Housing Authority	KS050	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Gaylord Housing Authority	KS051	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Luray Housing Authority	KS026	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Nicodemus Housing Authority	KS081	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	9



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Kentucky Housing Corporation-State Agency	KY901	4,308	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,308</b>
Cumberland Valley Regional Housing Authority	KY160	1,351	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,351</b>
Covington CDA	KY133	1,102	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,102</b>
Housing Authority of Henderson	KY012	633	59.55%	430	40.45%	<b>1,063</b>
Housing Authority of Hopkinsville	KY011	597	56.80%	454	43.20%	<b>1,051</b>
Housing Authority of Newport	KY015	510	51.52%	480	48.48%	990
Boone County Fiscal Court	KY135	985	100.00%	0	0.00%	985
Housing Authority of Paducah	KY006	0	0.00%	854	100.00%	854
Housing Authority of Covington	KY002	0	0.00%	851	100.00%	851
Housing Authority of Owensboro	KY009	258	31.73%	555	68.27%	813
Appalachian Foothills Housing Agency Inc	KY161	747	100.00%	0	0.00%	747
City of Richmond Section 8 Housing Program	KY132	723	100.00%	0	0.00%	723
Housing Authority of Glasgow	KY026	337	48.07%	364	51.93%	701
Housing Authority of Georgetown	KY061	341	51.20%	325	48.80%	666
Housing Authority of Frankfort	KY003	448	68.40%	207	31.60%	655
Campbell County Department of Housing	KY136	628	100.00%	0	0.00%	628
Housing Authority of Pikeville	KY107	271	44.72%	335	55.28%	606
City of Bowling Green Housing Division	KY171	599	100.00%	0	0.00%	599
Housing Authority of Bowling Green	KY063	0	0.00%	595	100.00%	595
Campbellsville Housing and Redevelopment Authority	KY047	246	45.14%	299	54.86%	545
Housing Authority of Somerset	KY008	282	56.74%	215	43.26%	497
Pike County Housing Authority	KY121	475	100.00%	0	0.00%	475





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ashland Planning and CDA	KY142	465	100.00%	0	0.00%	465
Housing Authority of Middlesborough	KY019	0	0.00%	463	100.00%	463
Housing Authority of Cynthiana	KY021	195	42.48%	264	57.52%	459
Housing Authority of Floyd County	KY157	272	61.40%	171	38.60%	443
Paris-Bourbon County Community Dev. Agency	KY140	424	100.00%	0	0.00%	424
Lake Cumberland Housing Agency Inc	KY163	424	100.00%	0	0.00%	424
Housing Authority of Mayfield	KY040	182	45.05%	222	54.95%	404
Housing Authority of Winchester	KY018	0	0.00%	400	100.00%	400
Pineville/Bell County Community Dev Agency	KY141	394	100.00%	0	0.00%	394
Housing Authority of Danville	KY014	0	0.00%	394	100.00%	394
City of Paducah Section 8 Housing Program	KY137	389	100.00%	0	0.00%	389
Housing Authority of Ashland	KY074	0	0.00%	365	100.00%	365
Housing Authority of Madisonville	KY007	171	47.90%	186	52.10%	357
Housing Authority of Maysville	KY017	95	26.76%	260	73.24%	355
Housing Authority of Paintsville	KY027	67	19.71%	273	80.29%	340
Laurel County Section 8 Housing	KY169	322	100.00%	0	0.00%	322
Danville Community Development Agency	KY138	293	100.00%	0	0.00%	293
Housing Authority of Richmond	KY016	0	0.00%	291	100.00%	291
Housing Authority of Bardstown	KY071	104	35.74%	187	64.26%	291
Housing Authority of Prestonsburg	KY035	116	42.03%	160	57.97%	276
Housing Authority of Lebanon	KY022	65	23.64%	210	76.36%	275
Housing Authority of Hazard	KY024	0	0.00%	273	100.00%	273



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Williamsburg	KY031	0	0.00%	236	100.00%	236
Housing Authority of Mount Sterling	KY020	0	0.00%	225	100.00%	225
Housing Authority of Morehead	KY032	0	0.00%	222	100.00%	222
Housing Authority of Murray	KY030	0	0.00%	205	100.00%	205
Housing Authority of Fulton	KY043	0	0.00%	203	100.00%	203
Housing Authority of Pineville	KY039	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Barbourville Urban Renewal & CDA	KY150	199	100.00%	0	0.00%	199
Housing Authority of Carrollton	KY057	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Housing Authority of Morgantown	KY041	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	178
HA of Lawrence County	KY086	90	50.56%	88	49.44%	178
Housing Authority of Harlan	KY077	0	0.00%	176	100.00%	176
Housing Authority of Russellville	KY023	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Housing Authority of Harrodsburg	KY062	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Housing Authority of Versailles	KY049	0	0.00%	155	100.00%	155
Housing Authority of Corbin	KY010	0	0.00%	153	100.00%	153
Housing Authority of Springfield	KY056	57	37.50%	95	62.50%	152
Housing Authority of Dawson Springs	KY075	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Housing Authority of Catlettsburg	KY033	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Housing Authority of Cumberland	KY029	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Housing Authority of Barbourville	KY028	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Housing Authority of London	KY065	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Housing Authority of Paris	KY013	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Martin	KY038	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of Irvine	KY036	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of Hickman	KY037	0	0.00%	117	100.00%	117
Housing Authority of Burkesville	KY055	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Housing Authority of Franklin	KY099	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of Elizabethtown	KY054	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of Monticello	KY048	0	0.00%	107	100.00%	107
Housing Authority of Princeton	KY072	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Housing Authority of Whitesburg	KY044	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	104
Housing Authority of Shelbyville	KY089	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Housing Authority of Todd County	KY170	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Greensburg	KY053	73	73.74%	26	26.26%	99
Housing Authority of Hodgenville	KY083	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Housing Authority of Lyon County	KY025	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
Housing Authority of Eminence	KY078	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Housing Authority of Columbia	KY064	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Housing Authority of Knott County	KY096	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
Housing Authority of Horse Cave	KY067	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing Authority of Cadiz	KY042	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Housing Authority of Liberty	KY073	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
Housing Authority of McCreary County	KY081	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Housing Authority of Central City	KY070	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Benton	KY091	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Housing Authority of Dry Ridge	KY158	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of McKee	KY147	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of Beaver Dam	KY122	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of Lancaster	KY052	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Housing Authority of Berea	KY090	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Salyersville/Magoffin Co.	KY177	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of Providence	KY085	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of Scottsville	KY104	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Housing Authority of Nicholasville	KY034	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Housing Authority of Owingsville	KY106	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Housing Authority Vanceburg	KY084	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Greenville	KY100	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Stanford	KY079	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Martin County	KY149	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of Morganfield	KY093	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of Dayton	KY129	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of Sturgis	KY094	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of Radcliff	KY087	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Stanton	KY080	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Flemingsburg	KY060	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Olive Hill	KY092	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Irvington	KY101	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Jackson	KY045	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Manchester	KY066	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Tompkinsville	KY050	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Owenton	KY098	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Falmouth	KY059	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of Mount Vernon	KY097	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Beattyville	KY058	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Williamstown	KY069	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Albany	KY046	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Louisville Metro Housing Authority	KY001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of Mingo County	WV037	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### KY-501 Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Louisville Metro Housing Authority	KY001	8,984	67.19%	4,387	32.81%	<b>13,371</b>
Kentucky Housing Corporation-State Agency	KY901	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>17</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-502 Lexington-Fayette County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Lexington	KY004	2,827	72.04%	1,097	27.96%	3,924



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-500 Lafayette/Acadiana Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	LA005	1,567	73.40%	568	26.60%	<b>2,135</b>
Housing Authority of the Parish of St. Landry	LA067	561	79.35%	146	20.65%	<b>707</b>
Housing Authority of City of Opelousas	LA055	0	0.00%	690	100.00%	<b>690</b>
Housing Authority of Crowley	LA029	354	54.21%	299	45.79%	<b>653</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Morgan City	LA036	58	16.76%	288	83.24%	<b>346</b>
St. Martin Parish Police Jury	LA178	246	100.00%	0	0.00%	246
City of New Iberia	LA165	232	100.00%	0	0.00%	232
Housing Authority of Ville Platte	LA030	0	0.00%	217	100.00%	217
Housing Authority of New Iberia	LA027	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of Rayne	LA028	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the Town of Church Point	LA032	64	34.22%	123	65.78%	187
Housing Authority of the City of Abbeville	LA034	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Housing Authority of the City of Eunice	LA025	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Housing Authority of South Landry	LA073	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Iberia Parish Government	LA189	146	100.00%	0	0.00%	146
Housing Authority of the Town of Mamou	LA031	17	12.41%	120	87.59%	137
Evangeline Parish Police Jury	LA182	130	100.00%	0	0.00%	130
Housing Authority of the Town of Berwick	LA056	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Ville Platte City	LA192	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
Housing Auth. of The Town of St. Martinville	LA040	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of the Town of Patterson	LA070	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Housing Authority of the City of Breaux Bridge	LA059	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-500 Lafayette/Acadiana Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rayne City	LA174	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	90
Housing Authority of Kaplan	LA026	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
St. Mary Parish Council	LA220	76	100.00%	0	0.00%	76
Housing Authority of the Town of Erath	LA047	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of the Town of Delcambre	LA065	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Southwest Acadia Consolidated Housing Authority	LA091	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	51	100.00%	0	0.00%	51
St. Martinville City	LA173	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Jeanerette Public Housing Agency	LA168	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
Housing Authority of Duson	LA130	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the Town of Gueydan	LA035	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Housing Authority of the Town of Basile	LA058	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Youngsville	LA100	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Village of Parks	LA084	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16

#### LA-502 Shreveport, Bossier/Northwest Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SHREVEPORT	LA002	1,814	72.91%	674	27.09%	<b>2,488</b>
Bossier Parish Section 8	LA190	889	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>889</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bossier City	LA042	0	0.00%	435	100.00%	<b>435</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Minden	LA037	99	28.61%	247	71.39%	<b>346</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-502 Shreveport, Bossier/Northwest Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DeSoto Parish Police Jury	LA230	148	100.00%	0	0.00%	148
Housing Authority of the Town of Mansfield	LA112	0	0.00%	138	100.00%	138
Webster Parish Police Jury	LA213	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
Housing Authority of the Town of Arcadia	LA045	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the Town of Haynesville	LA096	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of Homer	LA089	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Housing Authority of Vivian	LA088	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the Town of Oil City	LA108	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Caddo Parish Commission	LA184	71	100.00%	0	0.00%	71
Claiborne Parish Section 8	LA246	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
Bienville Parish Police Jury	LA241	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
Red River Parish Police Jury	LA163	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Community Support Programs, Inc.	LA888	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Housing Authority of the Town of Logansport	LA077	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of Gibsland	LA098	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Cotton Valley	LA117	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Natchitoches Parish	LA166	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### LA-503 New Orleans/Jefferson Parish CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of New Orleans	LA001	18,530	87.93%	2,543	12.07%	21,073



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-503 New Orleans/Jefferson Parish CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	LA013	4,916	96.09%	200	3.91%	<b>5,116</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Kenner	LA012	883	86.65%	136	13.35%	<b>1,019</b>
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	894	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>894</b>
Housing Authority of Westwego	LA011	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	<b>300</b>

#### LA-505 Monroe/Northeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Monroe	LA006	1,441	48.75%	1,515	51.25%	<b>2,956</b>
Ouachita Parish Police Jury	LA171	556	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>556</b>
West Monroe Housing Authority	LA186	325	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>325</b>
Housing Authority of Ruston	LA054	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
Ruston City	LA232	226	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>226</b>
Housing Authority of the Parish of Caldwell	LA125	87	42.86%	116	57.14%	203
Housing Authority of the Town of Jonesboro	LA061	0	0.00%	191	100.00%	191
Housing Authority of the Town of Grambling	LA097	65	40.63%	95	59.38%	160
Housing Authority of the Town of Winnsboro	LA109	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of the Town of Lake Providence	LA102	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of Newellton	LA169	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
East Carroll Parish Police Jury	LA195	128	100.00%	0	0.00%	128
Morehouse Parish Police Jury	LA258	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
Housing Authority of the Town of Rayville	LA105	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-505 Monroe/Northeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Union Parish Police Jury	LA196	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Lincoln Parish Police Jury	LA212	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	96
West Carroll Parish Police Jury Housing Assistance	LA233	77	100.00%	0	0.00%	77
Tallulah City	LA242	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
East Carroll Parish Housing Authority	LA262	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Farmerville	LA052	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Delhi	LA114	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Housing Authority of the Town of East Hodge	LA127	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Jackson Parish Police Jury	LA248	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Franklin Parish Police Jury	LA229	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Concordia Parish Police Jury	LA159	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### LA-506 Slidell/Southeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of City of Slidell	LA103	445	78.07%	125	21.93%	<b>570</b>
Bogalusa Housing Authority	LA024	94	21.66%	340	78.34%	<b>434</b>
Housing Authority of Hammond	LA104	348	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>348</b>
Tangipahoa Parish Council	LA207	315	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>315</b>
Housing Authority of City of Covington	LA238	113	69.75%	49	30.25%	<b>162</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Pontchatoula	LA075	45	29.03%	110	70.97%	155
Housing Authority of the City of Denham Springs	LA101	80	60.61%	52	39.39%	132



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-506 Slidell/Southeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	113	100.00%	0	0.00%	113
Washington Parish Housing Authority	LA217	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Town of Independence HA	LA099	28	32.56%	58	67.44%	86
Kentwood	LA206	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68

#### LA-507 Alexandria/Central Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Alexandria	LA023	737	56.00%	579	44.00%	<b>1,316</b>
Housing Authority of Rapides Parish	LA129	361	66.98%	178	33.02%	<b>539</b>
Pineville Housing Authority	LA057	160	56.94%	121	43.06%	<b>281</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Marksville	LA038	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	<b>169</b>
Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	LA132	134	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>134</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Leesville	LA111	133	100.00%	0	0.00%	133
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE TOWN OF COLFAX	LA122	42	31.82%	90	68.18%	132
Housing Authority of Winnfield	LA123	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of the Town of Bunkie	LA062	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Housing Authority of Vernon Parish	LA128	38	36.54%	66	63.46%	104
Housing Authority of Grant Parish	LA120	54	65.85%	28	34.15%	82
Winn Parish Police Jury	LA257	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68
Housing Authority of Ferriday	LA076	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the Town of Cottonport	LA071	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-507 Alexandria/Central Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Simmesport	LA072	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of Jena	LA142	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Town of Olla	LA124	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Concordia Parish Police Jury	LA159	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33
Housing Authority of the Parish of Caldwell	LA125	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Catahoula Parish Police Jury	LA222	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Housing Authority of Newellton	LA169	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### LA-508 Houma-Terrebonne, Thibodaux CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Houma	LA090	0	0.00%	507	100.00%	<b>507</b>
Terrebonne Ph.Consolid.Govt.,Federal Programs Division	LA211	415	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>415</b>
Housing Authority of St. Charles Parish	LA094	228	63.87%	129	36.13%	<b>357</b>
Housing Authority of St. James Parish	LA092	0	0.00%	314	100.00%	<b>314</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Thibodaux	LA044	0	0.00%	306	100.00%	<b>306</b>
St. John the Baptist Parish Housing Authority	LA181	299	100.00%	0	0.00%	299
Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish	LA095	0	0.00%	296	100.00%	296
Housing Authority of Lafourche Parish	LA080	0	0.00%	276	100.00%	276
Lafourche Parish Housing Authority	LA253	212	100.00%	0	0.00%	212
Thibodaux City	LA194	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	63



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-508 Houma-Terrebonne, Thibodaux CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Assumption Parish Police Jury	LA215	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54

#### LA-509 Louisiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of East Baton Rouge	LA003	3,155	77.69%	906	22.31%	<b>4,061</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAKE CHARLES	LA004	1,287	60.54%	839	39.46%	<b>2,126</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Natchitoches	LA115	378	48.71%	398	51.29%	<b>776</b>
St. Bernard Parish Government	LA187	704	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>704</b>
Calcasieu Parish Human Services Department	LA172	425	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>425</b>
Housing Authority of Sabine Parish	LA074	87	24.93%	262	75.07%	349
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	337	100.00%	0	0.00%	337
Housing Authority of Natchitoches Parish	LA166	240	72.29%	92	27.71%	332
Housing Authority of the City of Sulphur	LA063	78	27.86%	202	72.14%	280
Housing Authority of Oakdale	LA033	93	37.50%	155	62.50%	248
Baton Rouge City	LA219	176	100.00%	0	0.00%	176
Housing Authority of the City of Jennings	LA118	0	0.00%	165	100.00%	165
Housing Authority of the City of DeRidder	LA086	35	22.29%	122	77.71%	157
Housing Authority of the City of Donaldsonville	LA043	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
Jefferson Davis Parish Section 8	LA188	140	100.00%	0	0.00%	140
Housing Authority of the Town of White Castle	LA093	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Ascension Parish Section 8 Program	LA009	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-509 Louisiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pilgrim Rest Community Development Agency	LA889	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
Housing Authority of the Town of Vinton	LA046	23	25.27%	68	74.73%	91
Housing Authority of the Town of Merryville	LA082	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
City of Port Allen	LA199	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	88
Plaquemine City	LA179	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
Housing Authority of the City of DeQuincy	LA106	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
City of Donaldsonville	LA202	72	100.00%	0	0.00%	72
Town of Kinder	LA247	61	100.00%	0	0.00%	61
Housing Authority of the Town of New Roads	LA113	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the Town of Iowa	LA231	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
West Baton Rouge Parish Council	LA204	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Housing Authority of the Town of Lake Arthur	LA041	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of the Town of Welsh	LA039	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Iberville Parish Government	LA214	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
New Roads City	LA205	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Housing Authority of the Town of Kinder	LA069	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Village of Fenton Housing Authority	LA261	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Town of Oberlin	LA068	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Elton	LA066	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Town of White Castle	LA266	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	LA005	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maine

#### ME-500 Maine Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Maine State Housing Authority	ME901	3,332	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,332</b>
Portland Housing Authority	ME003	1,764	63.94%	995	36.06%	<b>2,759</b>
Lewiston Housing Authority	ME005	1,096	71.49%	437	28.51%	<b>1,533</b>
Housing Authority City of Bangor	ME009	494	46.82%	561	53.18%	<b>1,055</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Westbrook	ME015	751	90.05%	83	9.95%	<b>834</b>
Auburn Housing Authority	ME007	539	75.28%	177	24.72%	716
South Portland Housing Authority	ME020	368	51.54%	346	48.46%	714
Sanford Housing Authority	ME011	558	82.30%	120	17.70%	678
Waterville Housing Authority	ME008	452	69.97%	194	30.03%	646
Brunswick Housing Authority	ME006	393	67.29%	191	32.71%	584
Biddeford Housing Authority	ME028	541	100.00%	0	0.00%	541
Augusta Housing Authority	ME030	531	100.00%	0	0.00%	531
Ellsworth Housing Authority	ME027	350	87.50%	50	12.50%	400
Brewer Housing Authority	ME021	157	50.48%	154	49.52%	311
Old Town Housing Authority	ME018	193	69.18%	86	30.82%	279
Presque Isle Housing Authority	ME004	92	33.21%	185	66.79%	277
Bath Housing Authority	ME019	139	58.90%	97	41.10%	236
Fort Fairfield Housing Authority	ME002	128	61.24%	81	38.76%	209
Caribou Housing Agency	ME025	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
Van Buren Housing Authority	ME001	87	49.15%	90	50.85%	177
Bar Harbor Housing Authority	ME023	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Southwest Harbor Housing Authority	ME022	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maine

#### ME-500 Maine Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tremont Housing Authority	ME026	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Mount Desert Housing Authority	ME024	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Portsmouth Housing Authority	NH004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-500 Cumberland/Allegany County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	558	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>558</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Cumberland	MD005	0	0.00%	425	100.00%	<b>425</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Frostburg	MD008	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	<b>99</b>
Housing Authority Of Allegany County	MD030	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	<b>75</b>

#### MD-501 Baltimore CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	13,400	61.32%	8,453	38.68%	<b>21,853</b>
Baltimore County, MD	MD033	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>25</b>
Housing Commisison Of Anne Arundel County	MD018	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>18</b>
Brunswick County Public Housing Agency	NC147	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### MD-502 Harford County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harford County Housing Agency	MD025	1,031	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,031</b>
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	199	98.03%	4	1.97%	<b>203</b>
Havre de Grace Housing Authority	MD012	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-503 Annapolis/Anne Arundel County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Commisison Of Anne Arundel County	MD018	1,535	62.22%	932	37.78%	<b>2,467</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ANNAPOLIS	MD001	345	24.87%	1,042	75.13%	<b>1,387</b>
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	279	96.88%	9	3.13%	<b>288</b>
Baltimore County, MD	MD033	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>
Howard County Housing Commission	MD023	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### MD-504 Howard County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Howard County Housing Commission	MD023	1,289	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,289</b>
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	1,038	99.43%	6	0.57%	<b>1,044</b>

#### MD-505 Baltimore County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Baltimore County, MD	MD033	6,035	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,035</b>
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	1,265	97.91%	27	2.09%	<b>1,292</b>
Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority	TN006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-506 Carroll County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Carroll County Housing & Community Dev	MD032	610	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>610</b>
City Of Westminster Housing Office	MD027	259	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>259</b>
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>

#### MD-507 Cecil County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cecil County Housing Agency	MD029	608	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>608</b>
Elkton Housing Authority	MD016	38	20.21%	150	79.79%	<b>188</b>

#### MD-508 Charles, Calvert, St. Mary's Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	MD021	1,224	98.55%	18	1.45%	<b>1,242</b>
County Commissioners Charles County	MD024	728	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>728</b>
Housing Authority of Calvert County	MD022	430	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>430</b>

#### MD-509 Frederick City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Frederick Housing Authority	MD003	672	62.34%	406	37.66%	<b>1,078</b>
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	477	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>477</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-509 Frederick City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Carroll County Housing & Community Dev	MD032	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4

#### MD-510 Garrett County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	169	100.00%	0	0.00%	169

#### MD-511 Mid-Shore Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	400	100.00%	0	0.00%	400
Housing Authority of the Town of Easton	MD019	155	55.16%	126	44.84%	281
Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	MD034	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125

#### MD-512 Hagerstown/Washington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hagerstown Housing Authority	MD006	889	42.97%	1,180	57.03%	2,069
Housing Authority of Washington County	MD028	569	100.00%	0	0.00%	569



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-513 Wicomico, Somerset, Worcester Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	642	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>642</b>
Wicomico County Housing Authority	MD014	189	45.43%	227	54.57%	<b>416</b>
Housing Authority Of Crisfield	MD009	21	6.00%	329	94.00%	<b>350</b>

#### MD-600 Prince George's County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Prince Georges County	MD015	5,670	93.80%	375	6.20%	<b>6,045</b>
College Park Housing Authority	MD017	0	0.00%	108	100.00%	<b>108</b>
Glenarden Housing Authority	MD011	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>
HOUSING OPPRTY COM OF MONTGOMERY CO	MD004	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>8</b>
Housing Authority of Calvert County	MD022	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
Housing Commisison Of Anne Arundel County	MD018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
D.C. Housing Authority	DC001	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### MD-601 Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING OPPRTY COM OF MONTGOMERY CO	MD004	7,096	96.44%	262	3.56%	<b>7,358</b>
Rockville Housing Enterprises	MD007	370	77.41%	108	22.59%	<b>478</b>
D.C. Housing Authority	DC001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-500 Boston CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	9,739	48.64%	10,285	51.36%	<b>20,024</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	3,651	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,651</b>
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	734	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>734</b>
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	258	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>258</b>
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	153	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>153</b>
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	123	100.00%	0	0.00%	123
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	23	100.00%	0	0.00%	23
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Waltham Housing Authority	MA013	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-500 Boston CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Salem Housing Authority	MA055	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-500 Boston CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Bridgewell	MA880	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Wellesley Housing Authority	MA135	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Oxford Housing Authority	MA106	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-500 Boston CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Halifax Housing Authority	MA121	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Watertown Housing Authority	MA093	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Reading Housing Authority	MA089	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Northampton Housing Authority	MA026	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-502 Lynn CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	1,280	73.78%	455	26.22%	<b>1,735</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	405	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>405</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	392	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>392</b>
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	286	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>286</b>
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>52</b>
Bridgewell	MA880	51	100.00%	0	0.00%	51
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-502 Lynn CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-502 Lynn CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Ipswich Housing Authority	MA056	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Halifax Housing Authority	MA121	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Salem Housing Authority	MA055	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-503 Cape Cod Islands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	1,074	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,074</b>
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	344	60.78%	222	39.22%	<b>566</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-503 Cape Cod Islands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Barnstable Housing Authority	MA046	331	82.96%	68	17.04%	<b>399</b>
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	200	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>200</b>
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	48	46.15%	56	53.85%	<b>104</b>
Dennis Housing Authority	MA138	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Sandwich Housing Authority	MA181	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Pittsfield Housing Authority	MA029	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-503 Cape Cod Islands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Taunton Housing Authority	MA017	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	MA035	2,685	59.92%	1,796	40.08%	<b>4,481</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	3,708	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,708</b>
Holyoke Housing Authority	MA005	1,258	60.13%	834	39.87%	<b>2,092</b>
Chicopee Housing Authority	MA008	441	53.52%	383	46.48%	<b>824</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Westfield Housing Authority	MA050	256	100.00%	0	0.00%	256
Northampton Housing Authority	MA026	226	100.00%	0	0.00%	226
West Springfield Housing Authority	MA078	221	100.00%	0	0.00%	221
Amherst Housing Authority	MA085	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	62
Franklin County Regional Housing Authority	MA094	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Ware Housing Authority	MA088	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Worcester Housing Authority	MA012	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Southbridge Housing Authority	MA076	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-505 New Bedford CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New Bedford Housing Authority	MA007	1,709	49.46%	1,746	50.54%	3,455
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	264
Dartmouth Housing Authority	MA172	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	39	100.00%	0	0.00%	39
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Sandwich Housing Authority	MA181	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-505 New Bedford CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Barnstable Housing Authority	MA046	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Worcester Housing Authority	MA012	3,610	59.68%	2,439	40.32%	<b>6,049</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	2,122	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,122</b>
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	382	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>382</b>
Leominster Housing Authority	MA086	377	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>377</b>
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	269	80.54%	65	19.46%	<b>334</b>
Shrewsbury Housing Authority	MA041	163	62.21%	99	37.79%	262
Fitchburg Housing Authority	MA037	202	80.16%	50	19.84%	252



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Southbridge Housing Authority	MA076	167	100.00%	0	0.00%	167
Winchendon Housing Authority	MA039	33	20.12%	131	79.88%	164
Gardner Housing Authority	MA127	105	100.00%	0	0.00%	105
Webster Housing Authority	MA123	44	41.90%	61	58.10%	105
Auburn Housing Authority	MA159	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Clinton Housing Authority	MA021	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	90
Oxford Housing Authority	MA106	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Holden Housing Authority	MA082	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29
Franklin County Regional Housing Authority	MA094	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Ware Housing Authority	MA088	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Bellingham Housing Authority	MA090	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Hudson Housing Authority	MA091	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Marlborough C.D.A. - Housing Division	MA070	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Northampton Housing Authority	MA026	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Bridgewell	MA880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Greenfield Housing Authority	MA096	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Springfield Housing Authority	MA035	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-507 Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	1,025	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,025



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-507 Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pittsfield Housing Authority	MA029	526	76.45%	162	23.55%	<b>688</b>
North Adams Housing Authority	MA034	335	52.43%	304	47.57%	<b>639</b>
Northampton Housing Authority	MA026	519	82.64%	109	17.36%	<b>628</b>
Franklin County Regional Housing Authority	MA094	518	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>518</b>
Greenfield Housing Authority	MA096	489	100.00%	0	0.00%	489
Amherst Housing Authority	MA085	329	95.64%	15	4.36%	344
Williamstown Housing Authority	MA066	82	100.00%	0	0.00%	82
Adams Housing Authority	MA051	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
Stockbridge Housing Authority	MA080	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	37
Holyoke Housing Authority	MA005	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
Ware Housing Authority	MA088	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Chicopee Housing Authority	MA008	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Springfield Housing Authority	MA035	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Gardner Housing Authority	MA127	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Winchendon Housing Authority	MA039	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Old Town Housing Authority	ME018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-507 Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holden Housing Authority	MA082	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Westfield Housing Authority	MA050	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-508 Lowell CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	1,127	39.96%	1,693	60.04%	<b>2,820</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	775	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>775</b>
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	306	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>306</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>59</b>
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>34</b>
Community TeamWork, Inc.	MA882	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Methuen Housing Authority	MA081	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-508 Lowell CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Bridgewell	MA880	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Salisbury Housing Authority	MA174	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-508 Lowell CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-509 Cambridge CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	3,255	76.88%	979	23.12%	<b>4,234</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	108	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>108</b>
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>57</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	51	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>51</b>
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>7</b>
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>7</b>
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-509 Cambridge CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	1,065	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,065</b>
Salem Housing Authority	MA055	942	96.02%	39	3.98%	<b>981</b>
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	580	86.70%	89	13.30%	<b>669</b>
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	380	69.34%	168	30.66%	<b>548</b>
Haverhill Housing Authority	MA087	476	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>476</b>
Peabody Housing Authority	MA054	366	100.00%	0	0.00%	366
Methuen Housing Authority	MA081	272	86.62%	42	13.38%	314
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	111	59.36%	76	40.64%	187



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	71	40.34%	105	59.66%	176
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	74	42.53%	100	57.47%	174
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	141	100.00%	0	0.00%	141
Newburyport Housing Authority	MA032	88	63.77%	50	36.23%	138
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	78	100.00%	0	0.00%	78
Amesbury Housing Authority	MA116	77	100.00%	0	0.00%	77
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
Groveland Housing Authority	MA132	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Salisbury Housing Authority	MA174	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Ipswich Housing Authority	MA056	47	100.00%	0	0.00%	47
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	43
Lawrence Housing Authority	MA010	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Bridgewell	MA880	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Community TeamWork, Inc.	MA882	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
North Reading Housing Authority	MA089	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Shrewsbury Housing Authority	MA041	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Wilmington Housing Authority	MA061	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Lexington Housing Authority	MA067	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 & MA-516 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	1,327	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,327</b>
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	250	71.43%	100	28.57%	<b>350</b>
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	284	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>284</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	249	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>249</b>
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	247	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>247</b>
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	203	83.54%	40	16.46%	243
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	227
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	36	20.93%	136	79.07%	172
Watertown Housing Authority	MA093	117	70.06%	50	29.94%	167
Hudson Housing Authority	MA091	63	40.65%	92	59.35%	155
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	137	100.00%	0	0.00%	137
Lexington Housing Authority	MA067	57	42.54%	77	57.46%	134
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	131	100.00%	0	0.00%	131
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	117	100.00%	0	0.00%	117
Marlborough C.D.A. - Housing Division	MA070	116	100.00%	0	0.00%	116
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	113	100.00%	0	0.00%	113
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	106	96.36%	4	3.64%	110
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	53	51.46%	50	48.54%	103
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 & MA-516 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	39	46.99%	44	53.01%	83
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	53	74.65%	18	25.35%	71
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	62
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	56	100.00%	0	0.00%	56
Waltham Housing Authority	MA013	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	37
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Maynard Housing Authority	MA137	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
North Reading Housing Authority	MA089	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 & MA-516 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Wilmington Housing Authority	MA061	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Bridgewell	MA880	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Worcester Housing Authority	MA012	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 & MA-516 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Leominster Housing Authority	MA086	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Shrewsbury Housing Authority	MA041	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Methuen Housing Authority	MA081	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Community TeamWork, Inc.	MA882	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Holden Housing Authority	MA082	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Gardner Housing Authority	MA127	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
New Hampshire Housing Finance Agency	NH901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Peabody Housing Authority	MA054	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 & MA-516 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Wellesley Housing Authority	MA135	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fitchburg Housing Authority	MA037	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dennis Housing Authority	MA138	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	1,693	51.07%	1,622	48.93%	<b>3,315</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	2,167	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,167</b>
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	795	55.02%	650	44.98%	<b>1,445</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	742	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>742</b>
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	481	81.11%	112	18.89%	<b>593</b>
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	176	71.54%	70	28.46%	246
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	159	100.00%	0	0.00%	159
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	118	74.68%	40	25.32%	158
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	53	51.96%	49	48.04%	102
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	63



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Scituate Housing Authority	MA049	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Taunton Housing Authority	MA017	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	39	100.00%	0	0.00%	39
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	39	100.00%	0	0.00%	39
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Hingham Housing Authority	MA119	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	38
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	16	72.73%	6	27.27%	22
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Barnstable Housing Authority	MA046	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Halifax Housing Authority	MA121	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Dartmouth Housing Authority	MA172	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Bridgewell	MA880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Sandwich Housing Authority	MA181	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
New Bedford Housing Authority	MA007	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Ipswich Housing Authority	MA056	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Leominster Housing Authority	MA086	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Wellesley Housing Authority	MA135	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dennis Housing Authority	MA138	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-515 Fall River CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	1,663	45.01%	2,032	54.99%	<b>3,695</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	136	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>136</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>34</b>
Dartmouth Housing Authority	MA172	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>15</b>
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>13</b>
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
New Bedford Housing Authority	MA007	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-515 Fall River CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	3,274	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,274</b>
Lawrence Housing Authority	MA010	912	46.51%	1,049	53.49%	<b>1,961</b>
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	706	37.33%	1,185	62.67%	<b>1,891</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	1,564	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,564</b>
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	450	39.16%	699	60.84%	<b>1,149</b>
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	598	54.71%	495	45.29%	1,093
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	478	67.04%	235	32.96%	713
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	355	50.21%	352	49.79%	707
Waltham Housing Authority	MA013	348	56.77%	265	43.23%	613
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	266	47.25%	297	52.75%	563
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	342	63.81%	194	36.19%	536
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	275	74.12%	96	25.88%	371



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	247	91.14%	24	8.86%	271
Methuen Housing Authority	MA081	269	100.00%	0	0.00%	269
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	78	36.62%	135	63.38%	213
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	186	100.00%	0	0.00%	186
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	179	100.00%	0	0.00%	179
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	177
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	147	100.00%	0	0.00%	147
Medway Housing Authority	MA157	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	28	41.18%	40	58.82%	68
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	43
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	43
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	23	100.00%	0	0.00%	23
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Bellingham Housing Authority	MA090	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Watertown Housing Authority	MA093	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Community TeamWork, Inc.	MA882	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Bridgewell	MA880	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Wellesley Housing Authority	MA135	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Hingham Housing Authority	MA119	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Hudson Housing Authority	MA091	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Lexington Housing Authority	MA067	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Newburyport Housing Authority	MA032	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Amesbury Housing Authority	MA116	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
North Reading Housing Authority	MA089	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Shrewsbury Housing Authority	MA041	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Salem Housing Authority	MA055	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Salisbury Housing Authority	MA174	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Dennis Housing Authority	MA138	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Barnstable Housing Authority	MA046	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Halifax Housing Authority	MA121	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Haverhill Housing Authority	MA087	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Marlborough C.D.A. - Housing Division	MA070	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Wilmington Housing Authority	MA061	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-517 Somerville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	498	46.07%	583	53.93%	<b>1,081</b>
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	159	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>159</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-517 Somerville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	154	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>154</b>
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	117	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>117</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	42	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>42</b>
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Lexington Housing Authority	MA067	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Waltham Housing Authority	MA013	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Bridgewell	MA880	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-517 Somerville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MA-519 Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Taunton Housing Authority	MA017	936	64.91%	506	35.09%	<b>1,442</b>
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	388	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>388</b>
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>126</b>
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>110</b>
New Bedford Housing Authority	MA007	81	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>81</b>
Dartmouth Housing Authority	MA172	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	61	100.00%	0	0.00%	61
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-519 Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Swansea Housing Authority	MA169	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	6
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Hingham Housing Authority	MA119	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-519 Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Worcester Housing Authority	MA012	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	6,008	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,008</b>
Port Huron Housing Commission	MI039	551	57.22%	412	42.78%	<b>963</b>
Benton Harbor Housing Commission	MI010	192	34.16%	370	65.84%	<b>562</b>
Benton Township Housing Commission	MI032	74	19.79%	300	80.21%	<b>374</b>
Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission	MI036	44	14.29%	264	85.71%	<b>308</b>
Big Rapids Housing Commission	MI041	0	0.00%	287	100.00%	287
Iron County Housing Commission	MI119	132	51.76%	123	48.24%	255
Baldwin Housing Commission	MI050	166	65.87%	86	34.13%	252
Saint Louis Housing Commission	MI061	151	65.37%	80	34.63%	231
Manistee Housing Commission	MI078	0	0.00%	214	100.00%	214
Montcalm Housing Commission	MI186	171	81.04%	40	18.96%	211
Dowagiac Housing Commission	MI120	114	57.00%	86	43.00%	200
Alpena Housing Commission	MI022	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
Bay City Housing Commission	MI024	0	0.00%	192	100.00%	192
Grayling Housing Commission	MI047	103	53.93%	88	46.07%	191
Menominee Housing Commission	MI087	55	30.22%	127	69.78%	182
Niles Housing Commission	MI076	0	0.00%	179	100.00%	179
Escanaba Housing Commission	MI083	0	0.00%	175	100.00%	175
Mount Pleasant Housing Commission	MI074	51	29.31%	123	70.69%	174
Reed City Housing Commission	MI020	60	37.27%	101	62.73%	161
Alma Housing Commission	MI121	62	39.74%	94	60.26%	156
Lapeer Housing Commission	MI100	154	100.00%	0	0.00%	154



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ironwood Housing Commission	MI018	0	0.00%	147	100.00%	147
Cadillac Housing Commission	MI060	16	11.35%	125	88.65%	141
Belding Housing Commission	MI025	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Boyne City Housing Commission	MI084	60	43.17%	79	56.83%	139
Greenville Housing Commission	MI023	48	35.04%	89	64.96%	137
Marysville Housing Commission	MI161	0	0.00%	132	100.00%	132
Cheboygan Housing Commission	MI030	94	71.21%	38	28.79%	132
Evart Housing Commission	MI112	17	13.49%	109	86.51%	126
Hancock Housing Commission	MI063	30	24.39%	93	75.61%	123
South Haven Housing Commission	MI082	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Ionia Housing Commission	MI117	12	9.84%	110	90.16%	122
Manistique Housing Commission	MI049	51	45.95%	60	54.05%	111
Saint Joseph Housing Commission	MI046	0	0.00%	107	100.00%	107
Gladstone Housing Commission	MI077	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Bay County Housing Department	MI166	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Iron Mountain Housing Commission	MI013	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Calumet Housing Commission	MI057	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Coldwater Housing Commission	MI056	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Bessemer Housing Commission	MI012	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Saranac Housing Commission	MI124	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Schoolcraft County Housing Commission	MI178	21	24.71%	64	75.29%	85
Saint Clair Housing Commission	MI052	18	22.50%	62	77.50%	80





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Luce County Housing Commission	MI132	76	100.00%	0	0.00%	76
Sturgis Housing Commission	MI069	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Gladwin City Housing Commission	MI095	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Algonac Housing Commission	MI114	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Houghton Housing Commission	MI107	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Kingsford Housing Commission	MI091	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Iron River Housing Commission	MI090	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Baraga Housing Commission	MI019	19	27.54%	50	72.46%	69
Lake Linden Housing Commission	MI104	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
Charlevoix Housing Commission	MI182	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Ontonagon Housing Commission	MI042	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Hillsdale Housing Commission	MI103	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Middleville Housing Commission	MI183	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Bronson Housing Commission	MI016	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Mackinac County Housing Commission	MI158	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Caseville Housing Commission	MI191	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Bangor Housing Commission	MI181	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
East Tawas Housing Commission	MI102	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Covert Public Housing Commission	MI189	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Rogers City Housing Commission	MI079	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Bath Charter Township Housing Commission	MI194	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	37
L'Anse Housing Commission	MI108	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wakefield Housing Commission	MI015	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Laurium Housing Commission	MI054	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
East Jordan Housing Commission	MI118	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Lansing Housing Commission	MI058	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Rapid River Housing Commission	MI187	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Hermansville Housing Commission	MI192	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Flint Housing Commission	MI009	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Saginaw Housing Commission	MI006	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Rockford Housing Commission	MI093	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MI-501 Detroit CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	3,190	46.18%	3,718	53.82%	<b>6,908</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	5,480	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5,480</b>
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	771	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>771</b>
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	366	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>366</b>
Ferndale Housing Commission	MI096	334	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>334</b>
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	263	100.00%	0	0.00%	263



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-501 Detroit CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	239	100.00%	0	0.00%	239
Inkster Housing Commission	MI027	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Southfield Housing Commission	MI097	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	63
Lincoln Park Housing Commission	MI051	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	63
Melvindale Housing Commission	MI048	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Eastpointe Housing Commission	MI044	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4

#### MI-502 Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	2,223	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,223</b>
Inkster Housing Commission	MI027	314	29.96%	734	70.04%	<b>1,048</b>
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	852	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>852</b>
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	746	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>746</b>
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	609	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>609</b>
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	581	100.00%	0	0.00%	581
Hamtramck Housing Commission	MI004	0	0.00%	450	100.00%	450
Dearborn Housing Commission	MI003	93	21.83%	333	78.17%	426
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	107	26.29%	300	73.71%	407
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	252	62.69%	150	37.31%	402



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-502 Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Melvindale Housing Commission	MI048	92	31.62%	199	68.38%	291
Lincoln Park Housing Commission	MI051	146	55.09%	119	44.91%	265
Ecorse Housing Commission	MI007	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Highland Park Housing Commission	MI105	0	0.00%	197	100.00%	197
Romulus Housing Commission	MI072	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
Ferndale Housing Commission	MI096	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Wayne Housing Commission	MI029	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Allen Park Housing Commission	MI053	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Rockwood Housing Commission	MI081	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	MI064	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
Southfield Housing Commission	MI097	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Eastpointe Housing Commission	MI044	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH006	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MI-503 St. Clair Shores, Warren/Macomb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,446	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,446</b>
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	625	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>625</b>
Roseville Housing Commission	MI037	286	74.29%	99	25.71%	<b>385</b>
St Clair Shores Housing Commission	MI059	67	21.14%	250	78.86%	<b>317</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-503 St. Clair Shores, Warren/Macomb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mount Clemens Housing Commission	MI028	0	0.00%	288	100.00%	<b>288</b>
Eastpointe Housing Commission	MI044	119	42.05%	164	57.95%	283
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Sterling Heights Housing Commission	MI157	36	19.05%	153	80.95%	189
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	16	13.79%	100	86.21%	116
Ferndale Housing Commission	MI096	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
New Haven Housing Commission	MI180	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Lincoln Park Housing Commission	MI051	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Southfield Housing Commission	MI097	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Inkster Housing Commission	MI027	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MI-504 Pontiac, Royal Oak/Oakland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	2,224	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,224</b>
Pontiac Housing Commission	MI005	447	50.34%	441	49.66%	<b>888</b>
Ferndale Housing Commission	MI096	359	68.38%	166	31.62%	<b>525</b>
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	410	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>410</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-504 Pontiac, Royal Oak/Oakland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	263	100.00%	0	0.00%	263
Royal Oak Township Housing Commission	MI033	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Southfield Housing Commission	MI097	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	97	100.00%	0	0.00%	97
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Lincoln Park Housing Commission	MI051	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Eastpointe Housing Commission	MI044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Melvindale Housing Commission	MI048	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MI-505 Flint/Genesee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Flint Housing Commission	MI009	745	38.76%	1,177	61.24%	1,922
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,303	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,303
Lapeer Housing Commission	MI100	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-506 Grand Rapids, Wyoming/Kent County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	MI073	3,254	91.00%	322	9.00%	<b>3,576</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,357	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,357</b>
Wyoming Housing Commission	MI115	1,001	83.70%	195	16.30%	<b>1,196</b>
Kent County Housing Commission	MI198	493	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>493</b>
Rockford Housing Commission	MI093	54	50.94%	52	49.06%	<b>106</b>
Greenville Housing Commission	MI023	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Montcalm Housing Commission	MI186	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Ionia Housing Commission	MI117	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MI-507 Portage, Kalamazoo City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,052	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,052</b>
Battle Creek Housing Commission	MI035	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	IN071	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### MI-508 Lansing, East Lansing/Ingham County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lansing Housing Commission	MI058	1,431	63.21%	833	36.79%	<b>2,264</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,197	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,197</b>
Ingham County Housing Commission	MI168	80	47.34%	89	52.66%	<b>169</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-508 Lansing, East Lansing/Ingham County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Services Mid-Michigan	MI880	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>20</b>
Bath Charter Township Housing Commission	MI194	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>16</b>
Potterville Housing Commission	MI167	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4

#### MI-509 Washtenaw County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	MI064	1,549	96.87%	50	3.13%	<b>1,599</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	1,297	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,297</b>
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>110</b>
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>24</b>
Inkster Housing Commission	MI027	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>13</b>
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### MI-510 Saginaw City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Saginaw Housing Commission	MI006	1,190	65.31%	632	34.69%	<b>1,822</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	703	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>703</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-511 Lenawee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	242	100.00%	0	0.00%	242

#### MI-512 Grand Traverse, Antrim, Leelanau Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	314	100.00%	0	0.00%	314
Traverse City Housing Commission	MI080	162	54.36%	136	45.64%	298
Elk Rapids Housing Commission	MI116	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Grayling Housing Commission	MI047	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5

#### MI-513 Marquette, Alger Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	298	100.00%	0	0.00%	298
Marquette Housing Commission	MI070	40	13.61%	254	86.39%	294
Ishpeming Housing Commission	MI101	0	0.00%	127	100.00%	127
Munising Housing Commission	MI094	18	22.50%	62	77.50%	80
Negaunee Housing Commission	MI068	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-514 Battle Creek/Calhoun County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Battle Creek Housing Commission	MI035	618	62.49%	371	37.51%	<b>989</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	337	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>337</b>
Albion Housing Commission	MI014	0	0.00%	218	100.00%	<b>218</b>
Housing Services Mid-Michigan	MI880	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>

#### MI-515 Monroe City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	546	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>546</b>
Monroe Housing Commission	MI011	0	0.00%	293	100.00%	<b>293</b>
Luna Pier Housing Commission	MI098	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	<b>101</b>
Bedford Township Housing Commission	MI156	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	<b>97</b>
Dundee Housing Commission	MI142	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	<b>75</b>
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	MI064	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH006	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3

#### MI-516 Norton Shores, Muskegon City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	672	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>672</b>
Muskegon Heights Housing Commission	MI031	47	12.14%	340	87.86%	<b>387</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-516 Norton Shores, Muskegon City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Muskegon Housing Commission	MI066	183	52.44%	166	47.56%	<b>349</b>
Rockford Housing Commission	MI093	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### MI-517 Jackson City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jackson Housing Commission	MI038	460	46.05%	539	53.95%	<b>999</b>
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	410	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>410</b>
Potterville Housing Commission	MI167	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
Housing Services Mid-Michigan	MI880	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### MI-518 Livingston County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	329	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>329</b>
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### MI-519 Holland/Ottawa County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	528	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>528</b>
Wyoming Housing Commission	MI115	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>10</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-523 Eaton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>119</b>
Housing Services Mid-Michigan	MI880	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>52</b>
Pottersville Housing Commission	MI167	15	38.46%	24	61.54%	<b>39</b>
Lansing Housing Commission	MI058	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>37</b>
Ingham County Housing Commission	MI168	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-500 Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PHA in and for the City of Minneapolis	MN002	5,139	45.35%	6,194	54.65%	<b>11,333</b>
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	MN163	3,168	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,168</b>
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	MN152	502	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>502</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST LOUIS PARK, MINNESOTA	MN144	230	59.13%	159	40.87%	<b>389</b>
Plymouth Housing & Redevelopment Authority	MN170	341	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>341</b>
RICHFIELD HRA	MN216	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	224
HRA OF HOPKINS, MINNESOTA	MN078	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
RADIAS HEALTH	MN802	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	37
MENTAL HEALTH RESOURCES	MN801	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
HRA of ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA	MN038	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MN-501 St. Paul/Ramsey County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Public Housing Agency of the City of St Paul	MN001	4,244	49.86%	4,268	50.14%	<b>8,512</b>
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	MN163	1,558	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,558</b>
MENTAL HEALTH RESOURCES	MN801	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>35</b>
RADIAS HEALTH	MN802	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>30</b>
PHA in and for the City of Minneapolis	MN002	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	<b>10</b>
DAKOTA COUNTY CDA	MN147	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
OLMSTED COUNTY HRA	MN151	550	83.33%	110	16.67%	<b>660</b>
SOUTH CENTRAL MULTI COUNTY HRA	MN219	622	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>622</b>
MANKATO EDA	MN063	398	68.98%	179	31.02%	<b>577</b>
Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Austin	MN085	174	32.52%	361	67.48%	<b>535</b>
SOUTHEAST MN MULTI-COUNTY HRA	MN197	352	76.19%	110	23.81%	<b>462</b>
HRA of WINONA, MINNESOTA	MN006	120	28.57%	300	71.43%	420
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF ALBERT LEA, MINNESOTA	MN077	115	39.52%	176	60.48%	291
HRA OF RED WING, MINNESOTA	MN090	155	56.57%	119	43.43%	274
RICE COUNTY HRA	MN193	240	100.00%	0	0.00%	240
NEW ULM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	MN128	97	65.99%	50	34.01%	147
BLUE EARTH COUNTY EDA	MN167	70	48.28%	75	51.72%	145
HRA of FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA	MN054	0	0.00%	123	100.00%	123
OWATONNA HRA	MN220	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
LE SUEUR COUNTY HRA	MN171	87	100.00%	0	0.00%	87
HRA of ST. JAMES, MINNESOTA	MN031	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
North Mankato Housing and Redevelopment Autho	MN019	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
HRA of ST. PETER, MINNESOTA	MN046	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
FARIBAULT COUNTY HRA	MN200	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	62
HRA OF WASECA, MINNESOTA	MN087	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
MOWER COUNTY HRA	MN191	26	48.15%	28	51.85%	54
HRA OF THE CITY OF BLUE EARTH, MINNESOTA	MN022	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Faribault	MN157	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of LE SUEUR, MINNESOTA	MN039	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
HRA OF SLEEPY EYE, MINNESOTA	MN060	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Janesville	MN208	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Dodge Center	MN206	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
HRA of MONTGOMERY, MINNESOTA	MN033	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA OF NEW RICHLAND, MINNESOTA	MN097	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30

#### MN-503 Dakota, Anoka, Washington, Scott, Carver Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DAKOTA COUNTY CDA	MN147	2,454	88.37%	323	11.63%	<b>2,777</b>
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	MN163	1,838	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,838</b>
SCOTT COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	MN184	592	93.67%	40	6.33%	<b>632</b>
WASHINGTON COUNTY HRA	MN212	471	81.77%	105	18.23%	<b>576</b>
HRA OF THE CITY OF SOUTH ST PAUL, MINNESOTA	MN010	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
CARVER COUNTY CDA	MN211	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
SCOTT CARVER DAKOTA CAP AGENCY	MN803	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
RICE COUNTY HRA	MN193	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
PHA in and for the City of Minneapolis	MN002	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-504 Northeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ITASCA COUNTY HRA	MN154	258	86.58%	40	13.42%	<b>298</b>
HRA OF CLOQUET, MINNESOTA	MN073	117	60.94%	75	39.06%	<b>192</b>
HRA of AITKIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA	MN037	10	6.45%	145	93.55%	<b>155</b>
KOOCHICHING COUNTY HRA	MN153	106	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>106</b>
HRA of GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA	MN057	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	<b>92</b>
HRA OF INTERNATIONAL FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN023	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
HRA of TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA	MN024	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
HRA OF MOOSE LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN091	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA of CARLTON, MINNESOTA	MN055	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
HRA of DULUTH, MINNESOTA	MN003	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
HRA of VIRGINIA, MINNESOTA	MN007	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### MN-505 St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA	MN038	1,092	78.96%	291	21.04%	<b>1,383</b>
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF BRAINERD, MINNESOTA	MN032	298	59.48%	203	40.52%	<b>501</b>
STEARNS COUNTY HRA	MN172	235	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>235</b>
Cambridge Economic Development Authority	MN067	123	73.21%	45	26.79%	<b>168</b>
TODD COUNTY HRA	MN180	134	88.16%	18	11.84%	<b>152</b>
MORRISON COUNTY HRA	MN179	122	100.00%	0	0.00%	122
HRA OF MORA, MINNESOTA	MN101	64	59.81%	43	40.19%	107





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-505 St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of LITTLE FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN042	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
HRA OF STAPLES	MN075	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF CROSBY, MINNESOTA	MN082	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
CASS COUNTY HRA	MN188	39	76.47%	12	23.53%	51
HRA OF CASS LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN100	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
HRA of SAUK CENTRE, MINNESOTA	MN028	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA OF PRINCETON, MINNESOTA	MN064	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA OF LONG PRAIRIE, MINNESOTA	MN088	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA OF PINE RIVER, MINNESOTA	MN092	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA of PINE CITY, MINNESOTA	MN059	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
HRA of BRAHAM, MINNESOTA	MN052	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
HRA of WALKER, MINNESOTA	MN025	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
HRA OF CITY OF MELROSE, MINNESOTA	MN065	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA OF LINDSTROM, MINNESOTA	MN102	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA OF CITY OF DELANO, MINNESOTA	MN062	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA OF PEQUOT LAKES, MINNESOTA	MN095	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
HRA of AITKIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA	MN037	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
PHA in and for the City of Minneapolis	MN002	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-506 Northwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NW MN MULTI-COUNTY HRA	MN158	855	89.25%	103	10.75%	<b>958</b>
HRA of BEMIDJI, MINNESOTA	MN009	205	63.08%	120	36.92%	<b>325</b>
CROOKSTON HOUSING AND EDA	MN021	145	69.05%	65	30.95%	<b>210</b>
THIEF RIVER FALLS HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUT	MN027	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	<b>76</b>
HRA OF WARREN, MINNESOTA	MN076	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	<b>74</b>
HRA of PARK RAPIDS, MINNESOTA	MN043	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
CASS COUNTY HRA	MN188	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
HRA of BAGLEY, MINNESOTA	MN047	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
HRA OF RED LAKE FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN071	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
HRA OF WARROAD, MINNESOTA	MN061	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
HRA Of VILLAGE OF GREENBUSH, MINNESOTA	MN086	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
HRA OF BAUDETTE, MINNESOTA	MN113	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Grand Forks Housing Authority	ND012	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### MN-508 Moorhead/West Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CLAY COUNTY HRA	MN164	305	92.71%	24	7.29%	<b>329</b>
MOORHEAD PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MN017	105	37.10%	178	62.90%	<b>283</b>
HRA of FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN008	169	71.61%	67	28.39%	<b>236</b>
DOUGLAS COUNTY HRA	MN192	208	88.14%	28	11.86%	<b>236</b>
HRA OF DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA	MN107	128	58.45%	91	41.55%	<b>219</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-508 Moorhead/West Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	ND014	176	100.00%	0	0.00%	176
HRA of ALEXANDRIA, MINNESOTA	MN035	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
HRA of WADENA, MINNESOTA	MN018	65	51.59%	61	48.41%	126
STEVENS COUNTY HRA	MN182	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
GRANT COUNTY HRA	MN169	34	29.82%	80	70.18%	114
OTTER TAIL COUNTY HRA	MN177	100	88.50%	13	11.50%	113
BECKER COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	MN190	55	68.75%	25	31.25%	80
BRECKENRIDGE HRA OF BRECKENRIDGE, Minnesota	MN072	0	0.00%	79	100.00%	79
HRA of MORRIS, MINNESOTA	MN030	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA of PERHAM, MINNESOTA	MN020	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
HRA OF BARNESVILLE, MINNESOTA	MN068	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA of GLENWOOD, MINNESOTA	MN056	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Cass County	ND001	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	24
HRA OF HENNING, MINNESOTA	MN083	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
TODD COUNTY HRA	MN180	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Richland County Housing Authority	ND035	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### MN-509 Duluth/St. Louis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of DULUTH, MINNESOTA	MN003	1,396	54.77%	1,153	45.23%	<b>2,549</b>
HRA of VIRGINIA, MINNESOTA	MN007	454	62.45%	273	37.55%	<b>727</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-509 Duluth/St. Louis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
THE HRA OF HIBBING, MINNESOTA	MN004	0	0.00%	251	100.00%	<b>251</b>
HRA of ELY, MINNESOTA	MN053	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	<b>120</b>
HRA of CHISHOLM, MINNESOTA	MN005	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	<b>109</b>
HRA OF COOK, MINNESOTA	MN096	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF GILBERT, MINNESOTA	MN117	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
HRA of EVELETH, MINNESOTA	MN011	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34

#### MN-511 Southwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
KANDIYOHI COUNTY HRA	MN168	277	61.42%	174	38.58%	<b>451</b>
HRA of WORTHINGTON, MINNESOTA	MN034	192	58.54%	136	41.46%	<b>328</b>
PUBLIC HOUSING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARSHAL	MN041	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	<b>145</b>
HRA of PIPESTONE, MINNESOTA	MN049	25	19.69%	102	80.31%	<b>127</b>
HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTH. OF CHIPPEWAGO CO.	MN173	118	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>118</b>
MCLEOD COUNTY HRA	MN203	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
RENVILLE COUNTY HRA	MN161	81	76.42%	25	23.58%	106
HRA OF HUTCHINSON, MINNESOTA	MN103	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
HRA of BENSON, MINNESOTA	MN014	0	0.00%	94	100.00%	94
BIG STONE COUNTY HRA	MN176	33	36.67%	57	63.33%	90
HRA OF WINDOM, MINNESOTA	MN080	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
HRA OF JACKSON, MINNESOTA	MN089	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-511 Southwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MEEKER COUNTY HRA	MN178	46	64.79%	25	35.21%	71
HRA of LUVERNE, MINNESOTA	MN048	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
SWIFT COUNTY HRA	MN166	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	63
HRA of TRACY, MINNESOTA	MN040	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF LITCHFIELD, MINNESOTA	MN070	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA of MONTEVIDEO, MINNESOTA	MN026	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Yellow	MN174	56	100.00%	0	0.00%	56
HRA of REDWOOD FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN036	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Lincoln	MN183	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
HRA of MOUNTAIN LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN058	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
HRA OF COTTONWOOD, MINNESOTA	MN098	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
HRA of MADISON, MINNESOTA	MN029	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
HRA OF CLARKFIELD, MINNESOTA	MN069	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Brookings Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD056	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-500 Jackson/Rankin, Madison Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI	MS058	4,644	97.89%	100	2.11%	<b>4,744</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson	MS103	793	81.17%	184	18.83%	<b>977</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Vicksburg	MS086	0	0.00%	430	100.00%	<b>430</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Canton	MS061	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	<b>150</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Hazlehurst	MS094	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	<b>122</b>

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. IV	MS019	2,289	85.47%	389	14.53%	<b>2,678</b>
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V	MS030	1,709	74.99%	570	25.01%	<b>2,279</b>
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VIII	MS040	1,763	97.35%	48	2.65%	<b>1,811</b>
Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	MS006	1,601	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,601</b>
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII	MS057	1,473	92.47%	120	7.53%	<b>1,593</b>
South Delta Regional Housing Authority	MS095	1,488	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,488
The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	MS004	375	26.30%	1,051	73.70%	1,426
The Housing Authority of the City of Greenwood	MS107	286	41.21%	408	58.79%	694
The Housing Authority of the City of Laurel	MS002	0	0.00%	524	100.00%	524
The Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	MS076	0	0.00%	479	100.00%	479
North Delta Regional Housing Authority	MS128	468	100.00%	0	0.00%	468
The Housing Authority of the City of McComb	MS003	0	0.00%	435	100.00%	435
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. II	MS016	406	100.00%	0	0.00%	406



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo	MS077	0	0.00%	388	100.00%	388
The Housing Authority of the City of Corinth	MS072	0	0.00%	330	100.00%	330
The Housing Authority of the City of Clarksdale	MS007	0	0.00%	296	100.00%	296
The Housing Authority of the City of Hattiesburg	MS001	0	0.00%	296	100.00%	296
The Housing Authority of the City of Natchez	MS105	0	0.00%	293	100.00%	293
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI	MS058	255	87.63%	36	12.37%	291
The Housing Authority of the City of Brookhaven	MS060	0	0.00%	250	100.00%	250
The Housing Authority of the City of Starkville	MS047	0	0.00%	244	100.00%	244
Oxford Housing Authority	MS093	0	0.00%	212	100.00%	212
The Housing Authority of the City of Yazoo City	MS063	0	0.00%	204	100.00%	204
The Housing Authority of the City of Water Valley	MS078	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
The Housing Authority of the City of West Point	MS059	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
The Housing Authority of the City of Booneville	MS065	0	0.00%	160	100.00%	160
The Housing Authority of the City of Louisville	MS079	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
The Housing Authority of the City of Winona	MS082	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
The Housing Authority of the City of Aberdeen	MS071	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
The Housing Authority of the City of Senatobia	MS090	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
The Housing Authority of the City of Amory	MS083	0	0.00%	112	100.00%	112
The Housing Authority of the City of Mound Bayou	MS110	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
The Housing Authority of the City of Holly Springs	MS062	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
The Housing Authority of the City of Sardis	MS081	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
The Housing Authority of the City of Pontotoc	MS096	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Forest	MS111	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
The Housing Authority of the City of Iuka	MS075	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
The Housing Authority of the City of Itta Bena	MS121	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
The Housing Authority of the City of Okolona	MS070	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
The Housing Authority of the City of Baldwyn	MS085	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
The Housing Authority of Attala County	MS117	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
The Housing Authority of the City of Waynesboro	MS068	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
The Housing Authority of the City of Summit	MS084	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30

#### MS-503 Gulf Port/Gulf Coast Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VIII	MS040	6,009	98.90%	67	1.10%	<b>6,076</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	MS005	1,533	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,533</b>
Bay Waveland Housing Authority	MS301	466	93.95%	30	6.05%	<b>496</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Picayune	MS066	0	0.00%	282	100.00%	<b>282</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-500 St. Louis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of St. Louis County	MO004	5,169	93.34%	369	6.66%	<b>5,538</b>
St. Louis Housing Authority	MO001	3,256	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,256</b>
Wellston Housing Authority	MO138	0	0.00%	201	100.00%	<b>201</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Kirkwood	MO187	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	<b>100</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pagedale	MO218	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	<b>81</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hillsdale	MO220	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Olivette	MO132	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14

#### MO-501 St. Louis City CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
St. Louis Housing Authority	MO001	3,651	57.01%	2,753	42.99%	<b>6,404</b>
Housing Authority of St. Louis County	MO004	1,410	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,410</b>

#### MO-503 St. Charles City & County, Lincoln, Warren Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
LINCOLN COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO199	1,216	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,216</b>
Housing Assistance Prog of St Charles County	MO227	611	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>611</b>
Housing Authority of the City of St. Charles	MO006	268	79.29%	70	20.71%	<b>338</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-600 Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	MO058	725	48.85%	759	51.15%	<b>1,484</b>
Dallas County Public Housing Agency-OACAC	MO216	313	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>313</b>
Republic Housing Authority	MO077	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	<b>55</b>

#### MO-602 Joplin/Jasper, Newton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Joplin, MO	MO188	522	65.50%	275	34.50%	<b>797</b>
ESCSWA dba Jasper Cty Public Housing Agency	MO215	272	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>272</b>
Webb City Housing Authority	MO061	0	0.00%	107	100.00%	<b>107</b>
Neosho Housing Authority	MO062	0	0.00%	79	100.00%	<b>79</b>

#### MO-603 St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
St. Joseph Housing Authority	MO003	881	83.67%	172	16.33%	<b>1,053</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Cameron	MO078	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	<b>86</b>
Grundy County Public Housing Agency	MO196	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>50</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-604 Kansas City (MO&KS), Independence, Lee's Summit/Jackson, Wyandotte Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	MO002	7,673	79.96%	1,923	20.04%	<b>9,596</b>
Kansas City, KS Housing Authority	KS001	1,408	40.99%	2,027	59.01%	<b>3,435</b>
Independence Housing Authority	MO017	1,486	74.00%	522	26.00%	<b>2,008</b>
Lee's Summit Housing Authority	MO030	636	84.57%	116	15.43%	<b>752</b>
Liberty Housing Authority	MO210	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>132</b>
St. Clair County Housing Authority	MO197	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
Weston Housing Authority	MO193	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	88
Bonner Springs Housing Authority	KS009	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Ray County Housing Authority	MO213	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Lafayette County Housing Authority	MO204	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ST. FRANCOIS COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO203	1,988	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,988</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, MO	MO007	1,354	86.85%	205	13.15%	<b>1,559</b>
St. Clair County Housing Authority	MO197	1,196	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,196</b>
FRANKLIN COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO205	939	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>939</b>
Phelps County Public Housing Agency	MO206	745	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>745</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Poplar Bluff	MO013	0	0.00%	575	100.00%	575
Housing Authority of the City of Jefferson	MO009	192	37.65%	318	62.35%	510
Howell County Public Housing Agency	MO217	483	100.00%	0	0.00%	483



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
LINCOLN COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO199	472	100.00%	0	0.00%	472
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SIKESTON	MO008	195	45.77%	231	54.23%	426
Housing Authority of the City of Sedalia, MO	MO074	232	54.59%	193	45.41%	425
Housing Authority of the City of West Plains	MO037	149	35.39%	272	64.61%	421
Ripley County Public Housing Agency	MO212	396	100.00%	0	0.00%	396
Grundy County Public Housing Agency	MO196	384	100.00%	0	0.00%	384
Housing Authority of the City of Hannibal	MO129	127	33.78%	249	66.22%	376
Housing Authority of the City of Mexico	MO010	136	40.36%	201	59.64%	337
Pulaski County Housing Authority	MO207	320	100.00%	0	0.00%	320
Excelsior Springs Housing Authority	MO053	154	49.04%	160	50.96%	314
Housing Authority of the City of Kirksville	MO145	183	58.84%	128	41.16%	311
Marshall Housing Authority	MO016	86	27.74%	224	72.26%	310
Housing Authority of the City of Caruthersville	MO036	0	0.00%	304	100.00%	304
Housing Authority of the City of Kennett	MO018	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Housing Authority of the City of Rolla	MO149	149	49.83%	150	50.17%	299
Housing Authority of the City of Charleston	MO012	0	0.00%	277	100.00%	277
Boone County Public Housing Agency	MO198	275	100.00%	0	0.00%	275
Scotland County Public Housing Agency	MO200	260	100.00%	0	0.00%	260
Nevada Housing Authority	MO133	48	19.35%	200	80.65%	248
Housing Authority of the City of Fulton	MO014	46	18.70%	200	81.30%	246
Housing Authority of the City of Moberly	MO011	0	0.00%	246	100.00%	246
Dallas County Public Housing Agency-OACAC	MO216	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lafayette County Housing Authority	MO204	229	100.00%	0	0.00%	229
Liberty Housing Authority	MO210	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	227
Maryville Housing Authority	MO072	88	40.74%	128	59.26%	216
Chillicothe Housing Authority	MO065	83	45.36%	100	54.64%	183
Lebanon Housing Authority	MO079	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Housing Authority of the City of Hayti	MO020	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Clinton Housing Authority	MO031	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of the City of Chaffee	MO066	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Housing Authority of the City of New Madrid	MO064	41	29.29%	99	70.71%	140
Housing Authority of the City of Dexter	MO034	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
Nodaway County Housing Authority	MO190	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Housing Authority of the City of Mountain Grove	MO060	0	0.00%	135	100.00%	135
Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	MO002	130	98.48%	2	1.52%	132
Carrollton Housing Authority	MO107	56	44.44%	70	55.56%	126
Ray County Housing Authority	MO213	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
Housing Authority of the City of East Prairie	MO076	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Portageville	MO042	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Richmond Housing Authority	MO070	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of the City of Potosi	MO021	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Houston	MO040	45	41.67%	63	58.33%	108
Smithville Housing Authority	MO041	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Housing Authority of the City of Bowling Green	MO125	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Macon	MO111	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Noel Housing Authority	MO049	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Malden	MO028	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Housing Authority of the City of Steele	MO022	0	0.00%	94	100.00%	94
Richland Housing Authority	MO068	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	MO052	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Hayti Heights	MO223	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Brookfield Housing Authority	MO075	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Housing Authority of the City of Vandalia	MO179	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Bethany Housing Authority	MO067	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Osceola Housing Authority	MO038	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Higginsville Housing Authority	MO110	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing Authority of the City of Clarkton	MO025	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Housing Authority of the City of Mansfield	MO090	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Housing Authority of the City of Cabool	MO209	33	47.83%	36	52.17%	69
Marceline Housing Authority	MO046	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Aurora Housing Authority	MO071	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Bernie	MO024	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Weston Housing Authority	MO193	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Housing Authority of the City of Festus	MO221	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of Campbell	MO026	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of Ava	MO192	24	42.86%	32	57.14%	56



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Boonville	MO054	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Fayette	MO056	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Lexington Housing Authority	MO096	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Southwest City	MO051	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Anderson Housing Authority	MO047	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomfield	MO019	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Mound City Housing Authority	MO033	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Memphis	MO146	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Lawson Housing Authority	MO073	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Branson Housing Authority	MO045	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Slater Housing Authority	MO069	0	0.00%	37	100.00%	37
Tarkio Housing Authority	MO032	0	0.00%	37	100.00%	37
Housing Authority of the City of Thayer	MO098	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Housing Authority of the City of Gideon	MO044	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Senath	MO023	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Pineville Housing Authority	MO050	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	MO147	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Sainte Genevieve	MO191	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Illmo	MO057	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Hornersville	MO029	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Plattsburg Housing Authority	MO043	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Brunswick Housing Authority	MO059	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Glasgow	MO039	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Wardell	MO063	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
ESCSWA dba Jasper Cty Public Housing Agency	MO215	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Princeton Housing Authority	MO103	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Housing Authority of the City of Morehouse	MO092	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Housing Authority of the City of Norwood	MO189	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Alton	MO156	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Marionville Housing Authority	MO081	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Lanagan Housing Authority	MO048	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Holcomb	MO035	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Cardwell	MO027	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Joplin, MO	MO188	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Montana

#### MT-500 Montana Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Montana Department of Commerce	MT901	3,769	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,769</b>
Missoula Housing Authority	MT033	764	81.10%	178	18.90%	<b>942</b>
Housing Authority of Billings	MT001	580	67.92%	274	32.08%	<b>854</b>
Helena Housing Authority	MT004	326	47.11%	366	52.89%	<b>692</b>
Great Falls Housing Authority	MT002	198	28.82%	489	71.18%	<b>687</b>
Housing Authority of Butte	MT003	88	20.05%	351	79.95%	439
Housing Authority of the City of Anaconda	MT005	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	169
Richland County Housing Authority	MT006	45	45.92%	53	54.08%	98
Whitefish Housing Authority	MT015	16	24.24%	50	75.76%	66
Housing Authority of Glasgow	MT007	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
City of Ronan Housing Authority	MT036	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Dawson County Housing Authority	MT029	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hall County Housing Authority	NE003	471	54.45%	394	45.55%	<b>865</b>
Scotts Bluff County Housing Authority	NE078	406	71.48%	162	28.52%	<b>568</b>
Fremont Housing Authority	NE100	156	39.00%	244	61.00%	<b>400</b>
Hastings Housing Authority	NE150	323	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>323</b>
Kearney Housing Authority	NE004	126	42.28%	172	57.72%	<b>298</b>
North Platte Housing Authority	NE125	0	0.00%	250	100.00%	250
Norfolk Housing Agency	NE157	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234
Lexington Housing Authority	NE010	114	58.46%	81	41.54%	195
Alliance Housing Authority	NE141	118	66.67%	59	33.33%	177
West Central Nebraska Joint Housing Authority	NE179	176	100.00%	0	0.00%	176
Columbus Housing Authority	NE104	82	49.40%	84	50.60%	166
Beatrice Housing Authority	NE114	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	162
York Housing Authority	NE094	72	48.98%	75	51.02%	147
Ord Housing Authority	NE005	0	0.00%	117	100.00%	117
Goldenrod Regional Housing Agency	NE181	103	100.00%	0	0.00%	103
Blair Housing Authority	NE092	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Gothenburg Housing Authority	NE120	18	20.93%	68	79.07%	86
Broken Bow Housing Authority	NE117	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Falls City Housing Authority	NE095	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
McCook Housing Authority	NE123	46	60.53%	30	39.47%	76
Nebraska City Housing Authority	NE012	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Cozad Housing Authority	NE083	34	45.95%	40	54.05%	74



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Central Nebraska Jt Housing Authority	NE182	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Burwell Housing Authority	NE026	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Plattsmouth Housing Authority	NE074	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Fairbury Housing Authority	NE030	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Pawnee City Housing Authority	NE028	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Schuyler Housing Authority	NE023	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Crete Housing Authority	NE041	18	31.03%	40	68.97%	58
Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing Authority	NE180	56	100.00%	0	0.00%	56
David City Housing Authority	NE025	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Auburn Housing Authority	NE065	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Chadron Housing Authority	NE143	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Red Cloud Housing Authority	NE006	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Benkelman Housing Authority	NE016	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
St. Paul Housing Authority	NE050	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Albion Housing Authority	NE040	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Gibbon Housing Authority	NE110	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Aurora Housing Authority	NE090	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Blue Hill Housing Authority	NE031	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Stromsburg Housing Authority	NE017	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Wayne Housing Authority	NE109	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Loup City Housing Authority	NE008	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Neligh Housing Authority	NE022	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Creighton Housing Authority	NE034	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Chappell Housing Authority	NE115	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Wilber Housing Authority	NE047	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Ainsworth Housing Authority	NE035	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Deshler Housing Authority	NE036	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Humboldt Housing Authority	NE014	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Stanton Housing Authority	NE029	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Friend Housing Authority	NE063	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Minden Housing Authority	NE051	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA117	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
Tekamah Housing Authority	NE072	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Clay Center Housing Authority	NE019	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Wymore Housing Authority	NE018	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Hooper Housing Authority	NE049	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Gordon Housing Authority	NE107	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Coleridge Housing Authority	NE039	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Tecumseh Housing Authority	NE098	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Curtis Housing Authority	NE097	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Indianola Housing Authority	NE075	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Oakland Housing Authority	NE103	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Clarkson Housing Authority	NE027	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Syracuse Housing Authority	NE015	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ansley Housing Authority	NE111	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Genoa Housing Authority	NE093	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Bayard Housing Authority	NE086	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Sargent Housing Authority	NE053	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Bassett Housing Authority	NE071	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Sutherland Housing Authority	NE096	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Henderson Housing Authority	NE038	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Edgar Housing Authority	NE033	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Imperial Housing Authority	NE021	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Oxford Housing Authority	NE069	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
North Loup Housing Authority	NE131	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Grant Housing Authority	NE020	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Harvard Housing Authority	NE068	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Shelton Housing Authority	NE057	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Weeping Water Housing Authority	NE085	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Ravenna Housing Authority	NE108	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Wood River Housing Authority	NE091	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Oshkosh Housing Authority	NE076	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Tilden Housing Authority	NE067	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Fairmont Housing Authority	NE064	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Cairo Housing Authority	NE101	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Beemer Housing Authority	NE099	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Niobrara Housing Authority	NE077	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Lyons Housing Authority	NE088	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Newman Grove Housing Authority	NE037	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Bridgeport Housing Authority	NE106	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Hay Springs Housing Authority	NE046	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Cambridge Housing Authority	NE070	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Alma Housing Authority	NE024	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Verdigre Housing Authority	NE032	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Nelson Housing Authority	NE082	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
St. Edward Housing Authority	NE059	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Hemingford Housing Authority	NE102	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Greeley Housing Authority	NE042	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Gresham Housing Authority	NE011	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Lynch Housing Authority	NE043	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Douglas County Housing Authority	NE153	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Bellevue Housing Authority	NE174	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Omaha Housing Authority	NE001	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NE-501 Omaha, Council Bluffs CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Omaha Housing Authority	NE001	4,340	61.61%	2,704	38.39%	7,044



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-501 Omaha, Council Bluffs CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Douglas County Housing Authority	NE153	1,166	93.73%	78	6.27%	<b>1,244</b>
Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs	IA023	680	69.82%	294	30.18%	<b>974</b>
Bellevue Housing Authority	NE174	280	85.11%	49	14.89%	<b>329</b>
Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA117	191	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>191</b>

#### NE-502 Lincoln CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lincoln Housing Authority	NE002	2,952	90.22%	320	9.78%	<b>3,272</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nevada

#### NV-500 Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority	NV018	11,260	81.05%	2,633	18.95%	<b>13,893</b>

#### NV-501 Reno, Sparks/Washoe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CITY OF RENO HOUSING AUTHORITY	NV001	2,346	75.75%	751	24.25%	<b>3,097</b>

#### NV-502 Nevada Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NEVADA RURAL HSG AUTH	NV905	1,588	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,588</b>
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority	NV018	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Hampshire

#### NH-500 New Hampshire Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New Hampshire Housing Finance Agency	NH901	2,384	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,384</b>
Portsmouth Housing Authority	NH004	385	47.77%	421	52.23%	<b>806</b>
Dover Housing Authority	NH003	342	42.75%	458	57.25%	<b>800</b>
Keene Housing	NH010	717	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>717</b>
Concord Housing Authority	NH005	272	50.94%	262	49.06%	<b>534</b>
Laconia Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH007	418	81.01%	98	18.99%	516
Housing Authority of the City of Rochester NH	NH008	174	42.86%	232	57.14%	406
Somersworth Housing Authority	NH006	175	50.87%	169	49.13%	344
Lebanon Housing Authority	NH009	152	47.80%	166	52.20%	318
Berlin Housing Authority	NH011	229	80.63%	55	19.37%	284
Exeter Housing Authority	NH014	168	61.09%	107	38.91%	275
Claremont Housing Authority	NH012	121	55.76%	96	44.24%	217
Housing Authority of the Town of Salem	NH017	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Housing Authority of the Town of Newmarket	NH013	95	65.52%	50	34.48%	145
Derry Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH022	101	100.00%	0	0.00%	101
Lancaster Housing Authority	NH015	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Manchester Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH001	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Northumberland Housing Authority	NH016	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Newburyport Housing Authority	MA032	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Hampshire

#### NH-501 Manchester CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Manchester Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH001	1,805	60.69%	1,169	39.31%	<b>2,974</b>
New Hampshire Housing Finance Agency	NH901	602	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>602</b>

#### NH-502 Nashua/Hillsborough County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Nashua Housing Authority	NH002	830	55.63%	662	44.37%	<b>1,492</b>
New Hampshire Housing Finance Agency	NH901	626	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>626</b>
Harbor Homes, Inc.	NH888	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>74</b>
Manchester Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH001	38	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>38</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-500 Atlantic City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority and Urban Redevelopment Age	NJ014	553	25.72%	1,597	74.28%	<b>2,150</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,102	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,102</b>
Pleasantville Housing Authority	NJ059	316	60.42%	207	39.58%	<b>523</b>
Vineland Housing Authority	NJ063	61	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>61</b>
Buena Housing Authority	NJ202	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Housing Authority of the City of Camden	NJ010	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-501 Bergen County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bergen County Housing Authority	NJ067	3,807	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,807</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	793	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>793</b>
Englewood Housing Authority	NJ055	706	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>706</b>
Cliffside Park Housing Authority	NJ070	330	48.25%	354	51.75%	<b>684</b>
Housing Authority of the Borough of Lodi	NJ011	446	66.97%	220	33.03%	<b>666</b>
Fort Lee Housing Authority	NJ071	523	100.00%	0	0.00%	523
Hackensack Housing Authority	NJ028	0	0.00%	504	100.00%	504
Garfield Housing Authority	NJ034	0	0.00%	455	100.00%	455
Edgewater Housing Authority	NJ075	140	82.35%	30	17.65%	170
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Housing Authority of the City of Passaic	NJ013	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-501 Bergen County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Garden State Episcopal CDC	NJ882	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-502 Burlington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	817	100.00%	0	0.00%	817
Burlington Housing Authority	NJ020	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Beverly Housing Authority	NJ018	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Florence Housing Authority	NJ038	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Camden	NJ010	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Prog	NJ214	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Glassboro Housing Authority	NJ051	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	NJ054	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-503 Camden City & County/Gloucester, Cape May, Cumberland Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	3,684	100.00%	0	0.00%	3,684
Housing Authority of the City of Camden	NJ010	1,385	43.76%	1,780	56.24%	3,165
Gloucester County Housing Authority	NJ204	1,764	87.07%	262	12.93%	2,026
Vineland Housing Authority	NJ063	390	39.39%	600	60.61%	990



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-503 Camden City & County/Gloucester, Cape May, Cumberland Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Millville Housing Authority	NJ061	164	25.00%	492	75.00%	<b>656</b>
Bridgeton Housing Authority	NJ049	153	25.46%	448	74.54%	601
Glassboro Housing Authority	NJ051	177	53.31%	155	46.69%	332
Wildwood Housing Authority	NJ080	0	0.00%	168	100.00%	168
Ocean City Housing Authority	NJ053	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Borough of Clementon Housing Authority	NJ073	44	38.60%	70	61.40%	114
Gloucester City Housing Authority	NJ218	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Haddon Housing Authority	NJ064	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Collingswood Housing Authority	NJ079	0	0.00%	95	100.00%	95
Cape May Housing Authority	NJ062	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Township of Pennsauken Housing	NJ118	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
N.J. HSG. AND MORTGAGE FINANCE AGCY	NJ902	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ901	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Pleasantville Housing Authority	NJ059	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-504 Newark/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Newark Housing Authority	NJ002	5,107	39.86%	7,704	60.14%	<b>12,811</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	2,974	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,974</b>
East Orange Housing Authority	NJ050	1,420	85.54%	240	14.46%	<b>1,660</b>
Irvington Housing Authority	NJ037	387	37.07%	657	62.93%	<b>1,044</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-504 Newark/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Orange	NJ025	537	67.46%	259	32.54%	<b>796</b>
Montclair Housing Authority	NJ086	283	100.00%	0	0.00%	283
BLOOMFIELD TOWNSHIP HA	NJ099	263	100.00%	0	0.00%	263
West Orange Housing Authority	NJ108	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Garden State Episcopal CDC	NJ882	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Union Township Housing Authority	NJ109	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Union County Housing Authority	NJ113	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Keansburg Housing Authority	NJ060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Boonton Housing Authority	NJ052	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-506 Jersey City, Bayonne/Hudson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority City of Jersey City	NJ009	3,825	61.78%	2,366	38.22%	<b>6,191</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,963	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,963</b>
Bayonne Housing Authority	NJ012	414	24.61%	1,268	75.39%	<b>1,682</b>
Hoboken Housing Authority	NJ015	162	10.71%	1,351	89.29%	<b>1,513</b>
North Bergen Housing Authority	NJ004	437	30.73%	985	69.27%	<b>1,422</b>
Union City Housing Authority	NJ026	720	61.28%	455	38.72%	1,175



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-506 Jersey City, Bayonne/Hudson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
West New York Housing Authority	NJ030	332	31.71%	715	68.29%	1,047
Secaucus Housing Authority	NJ083	440	100.00%	0	0.00%	440
Guttenberg Housing Authority	NJ036	160	39.12%	249	60.88%	409
Weehawken Housing Authority	NJ077	222	69.16%	99	30.84%	321
Harrison Housing Authority	NJ016	0	0.00%	268	100.00%	268
Garden State Episcopal CDC	NJ882	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Bergen County Housing Authority	NJ067	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-507 New Brunswick/Middlesex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Perth Amboy Housing Authority	NJ006	972	69.83%	420	30.17%	<b>1,392</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,376	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,376</b>
Woodbridge Housing Authority	NJ033	394	41.08%	565	58.92%	<b>959</b>
New Brunswick Housing Authority	NJ022	533	59.89%	357	40.11%	<b>890</b>
Carteret Housing Authority	NJ047	359	58.76%	252	41.24%	<b>611</b>
Middlesex County	NJ114	591	100.00%	0	0.00%	591
Edison Housing Authority	NJ043	335	67.81%	159	32.19%	494
South Amboy Housing Authority	NJ035	103	40.39%	152	59.61%	255
Highland Park Housing Authority	NJ044	120	49.18%	124	50.82%	244



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-507 New Brunswick/Middlesex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Old Bridge Housing Authority	NJ110	207	100.00%	0	0.00%	207
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	200	100.00%	0	0.00%	200
Plainfield Housing Authority	NJ039	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Keansburg Housing Authority	NJ060	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	7
Somerville Housing Authority	NJ097	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Garden State Episcopal CDC	NJ882	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Franklin Housing Authority	NJ042	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-508 Monmouth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Monmouth County PHA	NJ095	1,594	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,594</b>
Long Branch Housing Authority	NJ008	691	60.61%	449	39.39%	<b>1,140</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,087	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,087</b>
Asbury Park Housing Authority	NJ007	291	33.18%	586	66.82%	<b>877</b>
Neptune Housing Authority	NJ048	185	34.91%	345	65.09%	<b>530</b>
Keansburg Housing Authority	NJ060	288	78.26%	80	21.74%	368
Housing Authority of the Township of Middletown	NJ081	253	71.88%	99	28.13%	352
Red Bank Housing Authority	NJ046	218	70.78%	90	29.22%	308
Highlands Housing Authority	NJ041	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Freehold Housing Authority	NJ069	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-508 Monmouth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Perth Amboy Housing Authority	NJ006	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Belmar Housing Authority	NJ057	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Old Bridge Housing Authority	NJ110	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	NJ054	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Prog	NJ214	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Berkeley Housing Authority	NJ056	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-509 Morris County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Morris County Housing Authority	NJ092	655	68.30%	304	31.70%	<b>959</b>
Morristown Housing Authority	NJ023	162	25.63%	470	74.37%	<b>632</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	303	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>303</b>
Madison Housing Authority	NJ105	286	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>286</b>
Dover Housing Authority	NJ068	191	76.40%	59	23.60%	<b>250</b>
Boonton Housing Authority	NJ052	155	67.69%	74	32.31%	229



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-510 Lakewood Township/Ocean County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,635	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,635</b>
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Prog	NJ214	1,120	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,120</b>
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	NJ054	1,078	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,078</b>
Brick Housing Authority	NJ065	428	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>428</b>
Berkeley Housing Authority	NJ056	108	60.67%	70	39.33%	<b>178</b>
Long Branch Housing Authority	NJ008	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52
Neptune Housing Authority	NJ048	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Asbury Park Housing Authority	NJ007	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Monmouth County PHA	NJ095	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Perth Amboy Housing Authority	NJ006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Keansburg Housing Authority	NJ060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the Township of Middleto	NJ081	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-511 Paterson/Passaic County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Paterson Housing Authority	NJ021	2,178	63.68%	1,242	36.32%	<b>3,420</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Passaic	NJ013	1,575	73.46%	569	26.54%	<b>2,144</b>
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,460	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,460</b>
Passaic County Public Housing Agency	NJ090	829	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>829</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-511 Paterson/Passaic County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Clifton Housing Authority	NJ089	275	100.00%	0	0.00%	275
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Boonton Housing Authority	NJ052	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-512 Salem County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	731	100.00%	0	0.00%	731
Salem Housing Authority	NJ058	30	14.29%	180	85.71%	210
Penns Grove Housing Authority	NJ074	27	15.08%	152	84.92%	179
Vineland Housing Authority	NJ063	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Bridgeton Housing Authority	NJ049	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Glassboro Housing Authority	NJ051	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-513 Somerset County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	780	100.00%	0	0.00%	780
Franklin Housing Authority	NJ042	278	84.76%	50	15.24%	328
Somerville Housing Authority	NJ097	167	100.00%	0	0.00%	167
Manville Housing Authority	NJ112	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-513 Somerset County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plainfield Housing Authority	NJ039	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Carteret Housing Authority	NJ047	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Hunterdon County Division of Housing	NJ084	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-514 Trenton/Mercer County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,776	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,776
Trenton Housing Authority	NJ005	0	0.00%	1,654	100.00%	1,654
Paterson Housing Authority	NJ021	325	100.00%	0	0.00%	325
Princeton Housing Authority	NJ027	0	0.00%	208	100.00%	208
Hamilton Township HA	NJ212	166	100.00%	0	0.00%	166
Hightstown Housing Authority	NJ045	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	NJ054	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### NJ-515 Elizabeth/Union County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Elizabeth Housing Authority	NJ003	935	41.01%	1,345	58.99%	2,280
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1,209	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,209



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-515 Elizabeth/Union County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plainfield Housing Authority	NJ039	674	59.23%	464	40.77%	<b>1,138</b>
Housing Authority City of Linden	NJ066	325	61.79%	201	38.21%	<b>526</b>
Rahway Housing Authority	NJ032	191	41.16%	273	58.84%	<b>464</b>
Union County Housing Authority	NJ113	347	100.00%	0	0.00%	347
Summit Housing Authority	NJ017	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
Union Township Housing Authority	NJ109	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112
Perth Amboy Housing Authority	NJ006	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Woodbridge Housing Authority	NJ033	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Somerville Housing Authority	NJ097	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Paterson Housing Authority	NJ021	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NJ-516 Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	945	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>945</b>
Warren County Housing Authority	NJ102	609	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>609</b>
Phillipsburg Housing Authority	NJ024	0	0.00%	577	100.00%	<b>577</b>
Hunterdon County Division of Housing	NJ084	450	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>450</b>
Phillipsburg DCD	NJ088	206	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>206</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-516 Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Newton Housing Authority	NJ076	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Mexico

#### NM-500 Albuquerque CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Albuquerque Housing Authority	NM001	3,670	79.47%	948	20.53%	<b>4,618</b>
Bernalillo County Housing Department	NM057	1,977	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,977</b>
Housing Authority of San Miguel County	NM061	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mesilla Valley Public Housing Authority	NM003	1,288	83.75%	250	16.25%	<b>1,538</b>
Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	NM009	1,023	75.72%	328	24.28%	<b>1,351</b>
Eastern Regional Housing Authority	NM063	921	84.11%	174	15.89%	<b>1,095</b>
Western Regional Housing Authority	NM067	804	93.71%	54	6.29%	<b>858</b>
Clovis Housing and Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	NM002	421	76.27%	131	23.73%	<b>552</b>
Northern Regional Housing Authority	NM088	347	62.98%	204	37.02%	551
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Fe	NM050	249	55.70%	198	44.30%	447
El Camino Real Housing Authority	NM077	417	100.00%	0	0.00%	417
Bernalillo County Housing Department	NM057	365	94.56%	21	5.44%	386
City of Albuquerque Housing Authority	NM001	322	100.00%	0	0.00%	322
Housing Authority of the City of Gallup	NM006	41	13.31%	267	86.69%	308
Housing Authority of the City of Truth or Consequences	NM020	186	65.03%	100	34.97%	286
Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	NM007	0	0.00%	265	100.00%	265
Housing Authority of the County of San Juan	NM066	246	100.00%	0	0.00%	246
Housing Authority of the City of Alamogordo	NM004	0	0.00%	221	100.00%	221



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Mexico

#### NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Tucumcari	NM033	71	44.10%	90	55.90%	161
Housing Authority of the City of Raton	NM008	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Housing Authority of the City of Artesia	NM021	0	0.00%	138	100.00%	138
Housing Authority of San Miguel County	NM061	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
Housing Authority of the City of Lordsburg	NM034	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the Town of Bayard	NM024	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the County of Rio Arriba	NM039	15	22.06%	53	77.94%	68
Housing Authority of the Town of Springer	NM022	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	NM055	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Village of Fort Sumner	NM025	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Housing Authority of the City of Sunland Park	NM075	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Village of Chama	NM047	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the County of San Miguel	NM049	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Santa Clara Housing Authority	NM029	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the Village of Pecos	NM054	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of the Village of Cuba	NM071	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the Village of Maxwell	NM026	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Anthony	TX439	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Housing Authority of the Village of Wagon Mound	NM032	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-500 Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rochester Housing Authority	NY041	9,034	78.20%	2,518	21.80%	<b>11,552</b>
Village of Fairport	NY406	425	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>425</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### NY-501 Elmira/Steuben, Allegany, Livingston, Chemung, Schuyler Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	2,566	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,566</b>
Elmira Housing Authority	NY030	0	0.00%	478	100.00%	<b>478</b>
Hornell Housing Authority	NY067	210	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>210</b>
Village of Elmira Heights	NY402	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>79</b>
Rochester Housing Authority	NY041	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>7</b>
Tioga County Housing Authority	PA050	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	<b>7</b>
Ithaca Housing Authority	NY054	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### NY-503 Albany City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Albany Housing Authority	NY009	2,526	58.42%	1,798	41.58%	<b>4,324</b>
Cohoes Housing Authority	NY022	254	45.20%	308	54.80%	<b>562</b>
Watervliet Housing Authority	NY025	173	36.04%	307	63.96%	<b>480</b>
Town of Colonie	NY408	318	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>318</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-503 Albany City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Guilderland	NY422	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>88</b>
Town of Coeymans	NY557	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>88</b>
Village of Green Island	NY535	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Town of Bethlehem	NY427	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Town of Knox	NY447	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Town of Rotterdam	NY516	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Fort Plain Housing Agency	NY530	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Town of Hadley	NY421	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Town of Duanesburg	NY428	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-504 Cattaraugus County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	382	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>382</b>
Olean Housing Authority	NY093	0	0.00%	306	100.00%	<b>306</b>
City of Salamanca	NY403	152	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>152</b>
Town of Amherst	NY091	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-505 Syracuse, Auburn/Onondaga, Oswego, Cayuga Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Syracuse Housing Authority	NY001	3,349	59.15%	2,313	40.85%	<b>5,662</b>
Village of Manlius	NY527	1,193	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,193</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,045	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,045</b>
City of Oswego, Office of Community Dev.	NY027	471	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>471</b>
City of Fulton	NY504	436	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>436</b>
Auburn Housing Authority	NY066	365	87.95%	50	12.05%	415
Village of North Syracuse Housing Authority	NY107	261	100.00%	0	0.00%	261

#### NY-507 Schenectady City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Schenectady Municipal Housing Authority	NY028	1,252	55.32%	1,011	44.68%	<b>2,263</b>
Town of Rotterdam	NY516	215	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>215</b>
Village of Scotia	NY512	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>95</b>
Town of Niskayuna	NY430	63	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>63</b>
Town of Glenville	NY513	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>58</b>
Town of Colonie	NY408	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Town of Duanesburg	NY428	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Town of Guilderland	NY422	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Village of Ballston Spa	NY562	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Village of Waterford	NY630	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-507 Schenectady City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Knox	NY447	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Town of Stillwater	NY561	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Gloversville Housing Authority	NY048	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-508 Buffalo, Niagara Falls/Erie, Niagara, Orleans, Genesee, Wyoming Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Amherst	NY091	5,410	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5,410</b>
City of Buffalo	NY409	5,050	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5,050</b>
Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	NY002	398	8.59%	4,236	91.41%	<b>4,634</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,860	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,860</b>
Niagara Falls Housing Authority	NY011	0	0.00%	845	100.00%	<b>845</b>
Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	NY449	761	100.00%	0	0.00%	761
City of Niagara Falls	NY404	719	100.00%	0	0.00%	719
City of North Tonawanda	NY405	580	100.00%	0	0.00%	580
Lockport Housing Authority	NY070	177	33.46%	352	66.54%	529
Lackawanna Municipal Housing Authority	NY029	0	0.00%	490	100.00%	490
Batavia Housing Authority	NY052	0	0.00%	197	100.00%	197
Kenmore Municipal Housing Authority	NY400	0	0.00%	194	100.00%	194
Rochester Housing Authority	NY041	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-510 Ithaca/Tompkins County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ithaca Housing Authority	NY054	985	74.28%	341	25.72%	<b>1,326</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,044	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,044</b>

#### NY-511 Binghamton, Union Town/Broome, Otsego, Chenango, Delaware, Cortland, Tioga Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Binghamton Housing Authority	NY016	572	47.23%	639	52.77%	<b>1,211</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	849	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>849</b>
Cortland Housing Authority	NY021	265	41.15%	379	58.85%	<b>644</b>
Town of Union Community Development	NY505	358	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>358</b>
Village of Walton	NY541	338	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>338</b>
Norwich Housing Authority	NY065	150	59.52%	102	40.48%	252
Oneonta Housing Authority	NY068	70	38.46%	112	61.54%	182
Ithaca Housing Authority	NY054	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Monticello Housing Authority	NY071	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-512 Troy/Rensselaer County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Troy Housing Authority	NY012	707	37.29%	1,189	62.71%	<b>1,896</b>
Rensselaer Housing Authority	NY033	78	34.82%	146	65.18%	<b>224</b>
Hoosick Housing Authority	NY501	160	86.49%	25	13.51%	<b>185</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-512 Troy/Rensselaer County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Nassau	NY564	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>28</b>
Town of Schodack	NY431	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>21</b>
Mechanicville Housing Authority	NY015	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Town of Colonie	NY408	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-513 Wayne, Ontario, Seneca, Yates Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,943	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,943</b>
Newark Housing Authority	NY089	520	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>520</b>
Geneva Housing Authority	NY044	26	9.52%	247	90.48%	<b>273</b>
Rochester Housing Authority	NY041	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>59</b>
Village of Fairport	NY406	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>21</b>

#### NY-514 Jamestown, Dunkirk/Chautauqua County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	925	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>925</b>
Jamestown Housing Authority	NY017	250	50.40%	246	49.60%	<b>496</b>
Dunkirk Housing Authority	NY063	0	0.00%	233	100.00%	<b>233</b>
Southern Tier Environments for Living	NY889	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>25</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-514 Jamestown, Dunkirk/Chautauqua County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Amherst	NY091	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
City of Buffalo	NY409	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### NY-516 Clinton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plattsburgh Housing Authority	NY018	202	25.16%	601	74.84%	803
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	514	100.00%	0	0.00%	514
Town of Jay	NY522	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12

#### NY-518 Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Utica	NY443	1,244	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,244
Utica Housing Authority	NY006	244	21.50%	891	78.50%	1,135
Rome Housing Authority	NY034	409	59.28%	281	40.72%	690
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	345	100.00%	0	0.00%	345
Village of New Hartford	NY552	302	100.00%	0	0.00%	302
Oneida Housing Authority	NY102	88	38.77%	139	61.23%	227
Canastota Housing Authority	NY521	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
Boonville Housing Authority	NY534	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-519 Columbia, Greene Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	427	100.00%	0	0.00%	427
Hudson Housing Authority	NY061	59	30.89%	132	69.11%	191
Catskill Housing Authority	NY032	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Village of Walton	NY541	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Town of Schodack	NY431	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-520 Franklin, Essex Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	872	100.00%	0	0.00%	872
Harrietstown Housing Authority	NY087	121	51.71%	113	48.29%	234
Malone Housing Authority	NY080	0	0.00%	175	100.00%	175
Tupper Lake Housing Authority	NY081	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Town of Jay	NY522	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29

#### NY-522 Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	2,167	100.00%	0	0.00%	2,167
Watertown Housing Authority	NY010	0	0.00%	642	100.00%	642
Ogdensburg Housing Authority	NY039	0	0.00%	386	100.00%	386
Massena Housing Authority	NY031	0	0.00%	241	100.00%	241





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-522 Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Canton Housing Authority	NY097	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	169
Wilna Housing Authority	NY058	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
West Carthage Housing Authority	NY414	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50

#### NY-523 Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Hamilton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Glens Falls Housing Authority	NY079	747	70.94%	306	29.06%	1,053
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	613	100.00%	0	0.00%	613
Saratoga Springs Housing Authority	NY020	62	15.46%	339	84.54%	401
Mechanicville Housing Authority	NY015	120	42.86%	160	57.14%	280
Village of Ballston Spa	NY562	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Town of Stillwater	NY561	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
Town of Clifton Park	NY416	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Village of Corinth	NY538	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
Village of Waterford	NY630	42	100.00%	0	0.00%	42
Town of Waterford	NY503	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
Whitehall Housing Authority	NY073	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Town of Hadley	NY421	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
Town of Corinth	NY519	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Town of Wilton	NY424	23	100.00%	0	0.00%	23
Town of Colonie	NY408	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-523 Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Hamilton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Village of Scotia	NY512	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Town of Rotterdam	NY516	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Town of Glenville	NY513	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Gloversville Housing Authority	NY048	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fort Plain Housing Agency	NY530	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Town of Guilderland	NY422	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-600 New York City CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	81,734	32.05%	173,312	67.95%	255,046
NYC Dept of Housing Preservation and Dev	NY110	34,298	100.00%	0	0.00%	34,298
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	9,570	100.00%	0	0.00%	9,570
Town of Eastchester	NY111	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
New Rochelle Housing Authority	NY088	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI	MS058	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Town of Mamaroneck HA	NY117	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Village of Ossining Section 8 Program	NY094	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-601 Poughkeepsie/Dutchess County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,184	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,184</b>
City of Poughkeepsie	NY137	719	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>719</b>
Poughkeepsie Housing Authority	NY062	99	21.62%	359	78.38%	<b>458</b>
The City of Beacon Housing Authority	NY049	207	45.90%	244	54.10%	<b>451</b>

#### NY-602 Newburgh, Middletown/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	2,665	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,665</b>
Village of Kiryas Joel HA	NY158	581	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>581</b>
Housing Authority of Newburgh	NY051	330	70.97%	135	29.03%	<b>465</b>
Port Jervis CDA	NY134	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>192</b>
Port Jervis Housing Authority	NY099	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	<b>75</b>
Village of Highland Falls	NY125	64	100.00%	0	0.00%	64

#### NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	6,695	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6,695</b>
Town of Hempstead Housing Authority	NY046	0	0.00%	1,309	100.00%	<b>1,309</b>
Town of Islip Housing Authority	NY077	941	72.33%	360	27.67%	<b>1,301</b>
Town of Brookhaven, Dept. of Housing & Human	NY149	976	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>976</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Oyster Bay Housing Authority	NY055	0	0.00%	911	100.00%	911
Town of Babylon	NY130	765	100.00%	0	0.00%	765
Village of Hempstead HA	NY085	401	58.80%	281	41.20%	682
Housing Authority of Long Beach	NY050	269	41.84%	374	58.16%	643
Freeport Housing Authority	NY023	164	31.78%	352	68.22%	516
Town of Huntington Housing Authority	NY035	378	90.43%	40	9.57%	418
North Hempstead Housing Authority	NY086	366	100.00%	0	0.00%	366
Glen Cove CDA	NY121	280	100.00%	0	0.00%	280
Town of Hempstead Dept. of Urban Renewal	NY892	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	227
Glen Cove Public Housing Authority	NY069	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	209
Village of Rockville Centre	NY159	201	100.00%	0	0.00%	201
Riverhead Housing Development Corporation	NY127	191	100.00%	0	0.00%	191
Town of Southampton	NY141	171	100.00%	0	0.00%	171
Village of Patchogue CDA	NY128	167	100.00%	0	0.00%	167
North Fork Hsg Alliance Inc	NY152	155	100.00%	0	0.00%	155
Community Development Corp of Long Island	NY895	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Town of East Hampton	NY154	104	100.00%	0	0.00%	104
Town of Smithtown	NY155	93	100.00%	0	0.00%	93
Village of Greenport Housing Authority	NY146	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Village of Great Neck Housing Authority	NY144	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Options for Community Living	NY891	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
Mercy Haven Inc.	NY888	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rockville Centre HA	NY100	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Inc. Village of Sea Cliff	NY147	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Village of Farmingdale HA	NY151	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Village of Island Park HA	NY120	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4

#### NY-604 Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	5,204	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5,204</b>
The Municipal Hsng Authority City Yonkers	NY003	2,738	56.61%	2,099	43.39%	<b>4,837</b>
White Plains Housing Authority	NY042	375	37.80%	617	62.20%	<b>992</b>
City of New Rochelle Housing Authority	NY113	908	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>908</b>
New Rochelle Housing Authority	NY088	379	45.88%	447	54.12%	<b>826</b>
City of Peekskill	NY123	590	100.00%	0	0.00%	590
Town of Mamaroneck HA	NY117	448	100.00%	0	0.00%	448
Greenburgh Housing Authority	NY057	245	68.06%	115	31.94%	360
Port Chester Housing Authority	NY014	0	0.00%	337	100.00%	337
Peekskill Housing Authority	NY082	0	0.00%	273	100.00%	273
Village of Ossining Section 8 Program	NY094	257	100.00%	0	0.00%	257
Town of Eastchester	NY111	201	100.00%	0	0.00%	201
Tuckahoe HA, Village of	NY165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%	165
Tarrytown Municipal Housing Authority	NY013	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-604 Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mount Kisco Housing Authority	NY038	73	48.99%	76	51.01%	149
Tuckahoe Housing Authority	NY008	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Town of Yorktown	NY132	137	100.00%	0	0.00%	137
North Tarrytown Housing Authority	NY026	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NY-606 Rockland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,005	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,005</b>
Town of Ramapo Housing Authority	NY084	618	75.55%	200	24.45%	<b>818</b>
Village of New Square PHA	NY138	652	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>652</b>
Village of Spring Valley HA	NY148	556	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>556</b>
Village of Nyack HA	NY114	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>175</b>
Village of Spring Valley Housing Authority	NY056	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	146
Village of Kaser	NY160	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
Village of Kiryas Joel HA	NY158	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-607 Sullivan County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	634	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>634</b>
Monticello Housing Authority	NY071	416	80.93%	98	19.07%	<b>514</b>
Woodridge Housing Authority	NY064	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	<b>40</b>

#### NY-608 Kingston/Ulster County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1,686	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,686</b>
Kingston Housing Authority	NY045	172	51.50%	162	48.50%	<b>334</b>
Ellenville Housing Authority	NY103	129	66.84%	64	33.16%	<b>193</b>
Town of Saugerties P H A	NY529	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>162</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-500 Winston-Salem/Forsyth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	NC012	4,080	73.66%	1,459	26.34%	<b>5,539</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	NC011	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
Piedmont Triad Regional Council	NC166	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### NC-501 Asheville/Buncombe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	NC007	2,834	96.72%	96	3.28%	<b>2,930</b>
Madison County Housing Authority	NC175	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6</b>

#### NC-502 Durham City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	NC013	2,585	57.92%	1,878	42.08%	<b>4,463</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Wake	NC021	94	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>94</b>
Orange County Housing Authority	NC104	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	NC022	763	51.66%	714	48.34%	<b>1,477</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Goldsboro	NC015	205	14.40%	1,219	85.60%	<b>1,424</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wilson	NC020	640	46.21%	745	53.79%	<b>1,385</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Kinston	NC004	643	47.14%	721	52.86%	<b>1,364</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lumberton	NC014	414	36.22%	729	63.78%	<b>1,143</b>
Economic Improvement Council, Inc.	NC145	1,141	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,141
Statesville Housing Authority	NC072	641	56.68%	490	43.32%	1,131
Sanford Housing Authority	NC035	686	60.76%	443	39.24%	1,129
The Graham Housing Authority	NC059	955	84.89%	170	15.11%	1,125
Western Piedmont Council of Governments	NC159	1,074	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,074
Roanoke-Chowan Regional Housing Authority	NC118	649	63.19%	378	36.81%	1,027
Rocky Mount Housing Authority	NC019	243	24.37%	754	75.63%	997
Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	NC018	978	100.00%	0	0.00%	978
Mountain Projects, Inc.	NC152	931	100.00%	0	0.00%	931
Asheboro Housing Authority	NC081	722	78.31%	200	21.69%	922
Rowan County Housing Authority	NC102	653	77.28%	192	22.72%	845
North Carolina Commission of Indian Affairs	NC901	812	100.00%	0	0.00%	812
Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	NC151	773	100.00%	0	0.00%	773
Piedmont Triad Regional Council	NC166	769	100.00%	0	0.00%	769
Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	NC144	766	100.00%	0	0.00%	766
City of Hickory Public Housing Authority	NC056	424	57.69%	311	42.31%	735
Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority	NC010	0	0.00%	731	100.00%	731
Housing Authority of the City of Concord	NC008	504	74.34%	174	25.66%	678
Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	NC140	652	100.00%	0	0.00%	652



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mid-East Regional Housing Authority	NC087	438	68.98%	197	31.02%	635
Washington Housing Authority	NC032	235	38.09%	382	61.91%	617
Johnston County Housing Assistance Payments Program	NC164	615	100.00%	0	0.00%	615
Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	NC161	585	100.00%	0	0.00%	585
Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	NC149	525	100.00%	0	0.00%	525
Lexington Housing Authority	NC039	486	100.00%	0	0.00%	486
Monroe Housing Authority	NC065	259	55.82%	205	44.18%	464
Four Square Community Action, Inc.	NC146	457	100.00%	0	0.00%	457
Chatham County Housing Authority	NC120	437	100.00%	0	0.00%	437
Nash-Edgecombe Economic Development, Inc.	NC137	429	100.00%	0	0.00%	429
City of Albemarle Department of Public Housing	NC075	228	53.40%	199	46.60%	427
Coastal Community Action, Inc.	NC141	423	100.00%	0	0.00%	423
Franklin-Vance-Warren Opportunity, Inc.	NC155	418	100.00%	0	0.00%	418
Southeastern Community & Family Services, Inc	NC150	416	100.00%	0	0.00%	416
Hendersonville Housing Authority	NC027	0	0.00%	375	100.00%	375
Columbus County Public Housing Agency	NC138	373	100.00%	0	0.00%	373
Burlington Housing Authority	NC066	0	0.00%	367	100.00%	367
Choanoke Area Development Association, Inc.	NC139	357	100.00%	0	0.00%	357
Thomasville Housing Authority	NC071	85	24.71%	259	75.29%	344
Elizabeth City Housing Authority	NC026	0	0.00%	330	100.00%	330
Housing Authority of the Town of Mount Airy	NC023	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Robeson County Housing Authority	NC084	0	0.00%	290	100.00%	290



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wadesboro Housing Authority	NC050	107	37.94%	175	62.06%	282
Rockingham Housing Authority	NC025	55	19.78%	223	80.22%	278
Oxford Housing Authority	NC073	0	0.00%	277	100.00%	277
Greene County Public Housing Agency	NC163	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	264
Caswell County Housing Assistance Program	NC160	262	100.00%	0	0.00%	262
Williamston Housing Authority	NC077	110	42.31%	150	57.69%	260
Housing Authority of the City of New Bern	NC005	0	0.00%	260	100.00%	260
The New Reidsville Housing Authority	NC098	158	61.72%	98	38.28%	256
Roanoke Rapids Housing Authority	NC117	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	247
Morganton Housing Authority	NC049	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	247
Pembroke Housing Authority	NC114	0	0.00%	243	100.00%	243
Bladenboro Housing Authority	NC089	166	68.60%	76	31.40%	242
Town of East Spencer Housing Authority	NC134	233	100.00%	0	0.00%	233
Hamlet Housing Authority	NC053	0	0.00%	230	100.00%	230
Madison County Housing Authority	NC175	175	81.78%	39	18.22%	214
Roxboro Housing Authority	NC060	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	209
Smithfield Housing Authority	NC040	0	0.00%	205	100.00%	205
Redevelopment Commission of the Town of Tarboro	NC017	0	0.00%	193	100.00%	193
Plymouth Housing Authority	NC078	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
Macon Program For Progress	NC165	183	100.00%	0	0.00%	183
Selma Housing Authority	NC036	0	0.00%	183	100.00%	183
Ayden Housing Authority	NC082	0	0.00%	175	100.00%	175



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Farmville Housing Authority	NC076	0	0.00%	174	100.00%	174
Benson Housing Authority	NC028	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Brevard Housing Authority	NC090	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Lenoir Housing Authority	NC074	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Forest City Housing Authority	NC095	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Dunn Housing Authority	NC079	0	0.00%	143	100.00%	143
Valdese Housing Authority	NC055	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Mooresville Housing Authority	NC024	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Housing Authority of the Town of Beaufort	NC061	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
The New Edenton Housing Authority	NC068	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Ahoskie Housing Authority	NC085	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Robersonville Housing Authority	NC067	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Waynesville Housing Authority	NC062	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Housing Programs of the Town of Murphy	NC030	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Troy Housing Authority	NC043	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
The New Randleman Housing Authority	NC063	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Vance County Housing Authority	NC174	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Hot Springs Housing Authority	NC045	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Whiteville Housing Authority	NC037	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Clarkton Housing Authority	NC092	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Andrews Housing Authority	NC051	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Fairmont Housing Authority	NC047	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Princeville Housing Authority	NC169	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Madison Housing Authority	NC054	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Marshall Housing Authority	NC080	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Mars Hill Housing Authority	NC058	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Mount Gilead Housing Authority	NC044	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Orange County Housing Authority	NC104	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
Star Housing Authority	NC029	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Elizabethtown Housing Authority	NC093	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Mount Olive Housing Authority	NC105	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	NC012	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	NC167	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Raleigh	NC002	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of High Point	NC006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	NC007	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Fayetteville Metropolitan Housing Authority	NC009	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Pender County Housing Department	NC173	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Bladen Housing Authority	NC176	0	100.00%	0	100.00%	0

#### NC-504 Greensboro, High Point CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	NC011	4,325	77.45%	1,259	22.55%	5,584



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-504 Greensboro, High Point CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of High Point	NC006	1,395	55.20%	1,132	44.80%	<b>2,527</b>
The Graham Housing Authority	NC059	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>14</b>

#### NC-505 Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	NC003	7,107	78.93%	1,897	21.07%	<b>9,004</b>
Town of East Spencer Housing Authority	NC134	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### NC-506 Wilmington/Brunswick, New Hanover, Pender Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington	NC001	1,554	61.35%	979	38.65%	<b>2,533</b>
Brunswick County Public Housing Agency	NC147	354	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>354</b>
Pender County Housing Department	NC173	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>192</b>

#### NC-507 Raleigh/Wake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Raleigh	NC002	3,925	73.23%	1,435	26.77%	<b>5,360</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Wake	NC021	466	57.74%	341	42.26%	<b>807</b>
Franklin-Vance-Warren Opportunity, Inc.	NC155	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-507 Raleigh/Wake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Johnston County Housing Assistance Payments Program	NC164	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NC-509 Gastonia/Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gastonia Housing Authority	NC057	1,222	75.39%	399	24.61%	1,621
Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	NC161	743	100.00%	0	0.00%	743
Lincolnton Housing Authority	NC070	252	50.40%	248	49.60%	500
Kings Mountain Housing Authority	NC064	0	0.00%	249	100.00%	249
City of Shelby, Department of Housing	NC034	0	0.00%	172	100.00%	172
Belmont Housing Authority	NC088	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Statesville Housing Authority	NC072	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	NC003	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NC-511 Fayetteville/Cumberland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fayetteville Metropolitan Housing Authority	NC009	1,934	64.92%	1,045	35.08%	2,979
Sanford Housing Authority	NC035	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-513 Chapel Hill/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orange County Housing Authority	NC104	519	100.00%	0	0.00%	519
Town of Chapel Hill Department of Housing	NC046	0	0.00%	336	100.00%	336
The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	NC013	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
Housing Authority of the County of Wake	NC021	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### NC-516 Northwest North Carolina CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	NC167	1,955	95.93%	83	4.07%	2,038
North Wilkesboro Housing Authority	NC069	0	0.00%	201	100.00%	201
Spruce Pine Housing Authority	NC033	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Dakota

#### ND-500 North Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	ND014	1,072	65.21%	572	34.79%	<b>1,644</b>
Grand Forks Housing Authority	ND012	1,184	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,184</b>
Burleigh County Housing Authority	ND021	754	72.36%	288	27.64%	<b>1,042</b>
Minot Housing Authority	ND017	551	67.94%	260	32.06%	<b>811</b>
Housing Authority of Cass County	ND001	607	75.69%	195	24.31%	<b>802</b>
Morton County Housing Authority	ND010	445	100.00%	0	0.00%	445
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF STUTSMAN COUNTY, ND	ND011	357	100.00%	0	0.00%	357
Barnes County Housing Authority	ND022	202	80.16%	50	19.84%	252
Housing Authority of the City of Williston	ND002	43	25.44%	126	74.56%	169
Stark County Housing Authority	ND031	164	100.00%	0	0.00%	164
Ramsey County Housing Authority	ND013	73	46.20%	85	53.80%	158
Pembina County Housing Authority	ND044	120	100.00%	0	0.00%	120
Rolette County Housing Authority	ND003	29	29.90%	68	70.10%	97
Richland County Housing Authority	ND035	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
Walsh County Housing Authority	ND049	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
Traill County Housing Authority	ND019	30	51.72%	28	48.28%	58
Mercer County Housing Authority	ND015	13	24.53%	40	75.47%	53
Housing Authority of the City of Cooperstown	ND040	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Housing Authority of the County of Ransom	ND025	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
McHenry/Pierce County Housing Authority	ND055	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
Dickey/Sargent Counties	ND052	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
Housing Authority of the County of Wells	ND057	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	43



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Dakota

#### ND-500 North Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Benson County Housing Authority	ND030	15	41.67%	21	58.33%	36
Towner County Housing Authority	ND009	10	27.78%	26	72.22%	36
CLAY COUNTY HRA	MN164	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
Eddy County Housing Authority	ND016	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33
Housing Authority of Foster County	ND028	32	100.00%	0	0.00%	32
McIntosh County Housing Authority	ND039	23	74.19%	8	25.81%	31
Northwest Regional Housing Authority	ND070	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Nelson County Housing Authority	ND058	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Emmons County Housing Authority	ND054	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	17
Dunn County Housing Authority	ND037	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15
Cavalier County Housing Authority	ND024	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
MOORHEAD PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MN017	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
LaMoure County Housing Authority	ND038	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
McKenzie County Housing Authority	ND036	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Housing Authority of Mountrail County	ND026	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-500 Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH004	11,095	67.32%	5,385	32.68%	16,480
CLERMONT METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH038	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13

#### OH-501 Toledo/Lucas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH006	4,118	61.00%	2,633	39.00%	6,751

#### OH-502 Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH003	15,337	63.25%	8,913	36.75%	24,250
PARMA PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	OH073	704	92.15%	60	7.85%	764
New Avenues to Independence, Inc.	OH880	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
Emerald Development and Economic Network	OH882	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
LAKE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH025	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### OH-503 Columbus/Franklin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH001	12,517	89.74%	1,431	10.26%	13,948
Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH070	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-503 Columbus/Franklin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH043	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### OH-504 Youngstown/Mahoning County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH002	1,930	60.52%	1,259	39.48%	3,189

#### OH-505 Dayton, Kettering/Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
GREAT DAYTON PREMIER MANAGEMENT	OH005	3,784	58.11%	2,728	41.89%	6,512
WARREN METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH049	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
MIAMI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH062	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
GREENE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH022	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### OH-506 Akron/Summit County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH007	4,909	53.25%	4,309	46.75%	9,218
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH031	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH012	3,015	67.84%	1,429	32.16%	<b>4,444</b>
BUTLER METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH015	2,083	64.57%	1,143	35.43%	<b>3,226</b>
Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH008	1,003	45.30%	1,211	54.70%	<b>2,214</b>
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH031	1,607	84.53%	294	15.47%	<b>1,901</b>
Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH021	1,080	57.78%	789	42.22%	<b>1,869</b>
GREENE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH022	1,417	79.70%	361	20.30%	1,778
Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH016	1,756	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,756
LAKE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH025	1,376	83.85%	265	16.15%	1,641
Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH044	1,058	81.13%	246	18.87%	1,304
Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH014	593	46.88%	672	53.12%	1,265
Portsmouth Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH010	616	48.97%	642	51.03%	1,258
Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH009	851	70.86%	350	29.14%	1,201
ERIE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH028	896	79.79%	227	20.21%	1,123
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH043	1,016	91.12%	99	8.88%	1,115
WAYNE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH036	887	79.84%	224	20.16%	1,111
MIAMI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH062	979	88.52%	127	11.48%	1,106
CLERMONT METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH038	890	82.03%	195	17.97%	1,085
ASHTABULA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH029	507	47.74%	555	52.26%	1,062
WARREN METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH049	776	78.94%	207	21.06%	983
Belmont Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH020	249	25.86%	714	74.14%	963
Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH070	930	100.00%	0	0.00%	930
Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH024	538	58.29%	385	41.71%	923



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COLUMBIANA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH026	441	47.99%	478	52.01%	919
Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH033	739	80.41%	180	19.59%	919
Athens Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH041	804	91.89%	71	8.11%	875
HANCOCK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH082	725	100.00%	0	0.00%	725
Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH050	676	100.00%	0	0.00%	676
Pickaway Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH059	548	83.54%	108	16.46%	656
Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH060	518	84.23%	97	15.77%	615
TUSCARAWAS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH063	612	100.00%	0	0.00%	612
MEDINA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH027	595	100.00%	0	0.00%	595
Marion Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH076	590	100.00%	0	0.00%	590
HURON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH030	547	100.00%	0	0.00%	547
Hocking Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH032	276	60.66%	179	39.34%	455
Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH079	444	100.00%	0	0.00%	444
GEAUGA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH042	166	40.69%	242	59.31%	408
Shelby Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH061	231	56.90%	175	43.10%	406
Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH072	288	74.23%	100	25.77%	388
ADAMS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH046	243	63.28%	141	36.72%	384
City of Marietta	OH077	376	100.00%	0	0.00%	376
Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH037	224	63.28%	130	36.72%	354
Jackson County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH040	183	52.59%	165	47.41%	348
CRAWFORD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH039	329	100.00%	0	0.00%	329
Ironton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH019	77	23.62%	249	76.38%	326



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Perry County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH034	204	63.35%	118	36.65%	322
Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH056	309	100.00%	0	0.00%	309
Gallia Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH047	166	53.72%	143	46.28%	309
CLINTON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH053	277	100.00%	0	0.00%	277
DARKE COUNTY METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH045	277	100.00%	0	0.00%	277
SANDUSKY METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH054	217	81.89%	48	18.11%	265
HARRISON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH067	167	76.96%	50	23.04%	217
Henry Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH071	209	100.00%	0	0.00%	209
SENECA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH075	207	100.00%	0	0.00%	207
Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH066	134	69.07%	60	30.93%	194
Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH078	176	100.00%	0	0.00%	176
Monroe Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH058	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Williams Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH074	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
Noble Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH069	90	76.27%	28	23.73%	118
Bowling Green Housing Agency	OH085	109	100.00%	0	0.00%	109
London Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH023	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Meigs Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH035	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
HIGHLAND METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH086	98	100.00%	0	0.00%	98
Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH083	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH006	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	62
BROWN METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH081	43	69.35%	19	30.65%	62
New Avenues to Independence, Inc.	OH880	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Parkersburg	WV005	37	100.00%	0	0.00%	37
PREBLE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH080	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH018	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH004	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### OH-508 Canton, Massillon, Alliance/Stark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH018	1,360	34.83%	2,545	65.17%	3,905
Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH014	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-500 North Central Oklahoma CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	OK146	613	81.95%	135	18.05%	<b>748</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Ponca City	OK111	133	43.18%	175	56.82%	<b>308</b>
Housing Authority of Osage County	OK123	0	0.00%	280	100.00%	<b>280</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bristow	OK033	57	26.39%	159	73.61%	<b>216</b>
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	195	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>195</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Drumright	OK010	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Housing Authority of the City of Newkirk	OK056	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the Town of Cushing	OK132	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Pawnee	OK060	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of Yale	OK120	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Oilton	OK022	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	OK073	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### OK-501 Tulsa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	OK073	5,013	66.72%	2,501	33.28%	<b>7,514</b>
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	1,810	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,810</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	OK099	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-502 Oklahoma City CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City	OK002	3,663	54.44%	3,065	45.56%	<b>6,728</b>
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	3,882	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,882</b>

#### OK-503 Oklahoma Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	2,450	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,450</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee	OK095	478	51.96%	442	48.04%	<b>920</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Seminole	OK032	103	46.19%	120	53.81%	<b>223</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Wewoka	OK096	88	49.44%	90	50.56%	<b>178</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Guthrie	OK055	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	<b>159</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Del City	OK150	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Housing Authority of the City of Tecumseh	OK148	30	32.26%	63	67.74%	93
Housing Authority of the City of Langston	OK106	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of the City of Watonga	OK023	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Stroud	OK050	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Maud	OK083	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of the Town of Prague	OK011	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Konawa	OK105	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of Waynoka	OK103	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of the City of Geary	OK057	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the Town of Seiling	OK064	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-503 Oklahoma Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City	OK002	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11

#### OK-504 Norman/Cleveland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Norman	OK139	1,117	86.59%	173	13.41%	<b>1,290</b>
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	252	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>252</b>

#### OK-505 Northeast Oklahoma CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	515	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>515</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Miami, Oklaho	OK027	195	46.65%	223	53.35%	<b>418</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Stilwell	OK067	27	25.23%	80	74.77%	<b>107</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Commerce	OK063	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	<b>34</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	OK099	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>26</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Afton	OK119	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Cookson Hills Electric Co	OK136	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	OK073	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	867	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>867</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	OK005	98	25.00%	294	75.00%	<b>392</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Elk City	OK015	0	0.00%	143	100.00%	<b>143</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Waurika	OK079	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	<b>93</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Anadarko	OK008	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hobart	OK089	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Pauls Valley	OK149	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of the Town of Stratford	OK086	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of the City of Snyder	OK018	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the Town of Ryan	OK085	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Housing Authority of the Town of Mangum	OK039	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Housing Authority of the Town of Ringling	OK041	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Grandfield	OK021	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Mountain View	OK154	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Sayre	OK040	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of the City of Minco	OK084	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Cache	OK026	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Comanche	OK003	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Caddo Electric Cooperativ	OK134	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the Town of Cement	OK035	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Walters	OK017	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the Town of Granite	OK092	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Temple	OK016	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the Town of Apache	OK034	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Wynnewood	OK065	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the Town of Lone Wolf	OK147	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Town of Sterling	OK037	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the Town of Tipton	OK116	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Cheyenne	OK097	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Fort Cobb	OK113	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Cyril	OK036	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Tuttle	OK071	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Mountain Park	OK108	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the Town of Hydro	OK046	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the Town of Terral	OK070	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of the Town of Roosevelt	OK042	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8
Housing Authority of the Town of Indianahoma	OK053	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8

#### OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	OK099	658	62.19%	400	37.81%	<b>1,058</b>
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	418	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>418</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Ada	OK024	72	20.75%	275	79.25%	<b>347</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Broken Bow	OK006	201	58.94%	140	41.06%	<b>341</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hugo	OK044	102	31.29%	224	68.71%	<b>326</b>
Housing Authority of the City of McAlester	OK062	47	14.64%	274	85.36%	321
Housing Authority of the City of Idabel	OK004	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the Kiamichi Electric Coop	OK131	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Housing Authority of the City of Atoka	OK124	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the Town of Fort Gibson	OK118	27	29.67%	64	70.33%	91
Housing Authority of the City of Henryetta	OK142	34	40.48%	50	59.52%	84
Housing Authority of the Choctaw Electric Cooperat	OK137	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the Town of Antlers	OK025	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the City of Coalgate	OK020	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Housing Authority of the City of Holdenville	OK048	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Wetumka	OK031	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of the City of Beggs	OK075	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Hartshorne	OK072	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Madill	OK030	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the Town of Tishomingo	OK066	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Stigler	OK013	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Wister	OK087	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Krebs	OK078	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Wilburton	OK029	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Talihina	OK088	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	OK069	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Heavener	OK007	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the Town of Weleetka	OK028	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Haileyville	OK068	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Cookson Hills Electric Co	OK136	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Kingston	OK076	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Boswell	OK101	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Keota	OK121	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Valliant	OK061	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Boley	OK052	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-500 Eugene, Springfield/Lane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority & Comm Svcs of Lane Co	OR006	2,810	79.90%	707	20.10%	3,517

#### OR-501 Portland, Gresham/Multnomah County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Portland	OR002	8,966	81.10%	2,090	18.90%	11,056
Housing Authority of Clackamas County	OR001	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Housing Authority of Washington County	OR022	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Mid-Columbia Housing Authority	OR026	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### OR-502 Medford, Ashland/Jackson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Jackson County	OR015	2,104	100.00%	0	0.00%	2,104
Josephine Housing Community Development Council	OR031	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20

#### OR-503 Central Oregon CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	OR034	1,192	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,192





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-505 Oregon Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	OR011	2,751	91.82%	245	8.18%	<b>2,996</b>
Linn-Benton Housing Authority	OR019	2,504	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,504</b>
Housing Authority of Yamhill County	OR016	1,394	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,394</b>
Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	OR028	1,033	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,033</b>
Housing and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	OR008	628	62.74%	373	37.26%	<b>1,001</b>
Marion County Housing Authority	OR014	931	99.57%	4	0.43%	935
Klamath Housing Authority	OR017	867	93.63%	59	6.37%	926
Housing Authority of Douglas County	OR003	728	82.54%	154	17.46%	882
Josephine Housing Community Development Council	OR031	802	100.00%	0	0.00%	802
Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	OR032	800	100.00%	0	0.00%	800
Coos-Curry Housing Authority	OR020	693	93.02%	52	6.98%	745
Housing Authority of Lincoln County	OR005	480	80.13%	119	19.87%	599
Mid-Columbia Housing Authority	OR026	518	100.00%	0	0.00%	518
Housing Authority of the County of Umatilla	OR007	282	70.50%	118	29.50%	400
Housing Authority of Malheur County	OR027	307	88.47%	40	11.53%	347
North Bend Housing Authority	OR009	0	0.00%	108	100.00%	108
Housing Authority of Jackson County	OR015	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
HA City of Walla Walla	WA057	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-506 Hillsboro, Beaverton/Washington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Washington County	OR022	2,710	91.77%	243	8.23%	<b>2,953</b>

#### OR-507 Clackamas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Clackamas County	OR001	1,532	73.80%	544	26.20%	<b>2,076</b>
Housing Authority of Portland	OR002	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>29</b>
Marion County Housing Authority	OR014	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-500 Philadelphia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Philadelphia Housing Authority	PA002	17,137	54.86%	14,100	45.14%	<b>31,237</b>

#### PA-501 Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrisburg Housing Authority	PA008	1,072	38.34%	1,724	61.66%	<b>2,796</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	PA035	1,088	59.98%	726	40.02%	<b>1,814</b>
Housing Auth of the County of Northumberland	PA060	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### PA-502 Upper Darby, Chester, Haverford/Delaware County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority County of Delaware	PA023	3,370	84.36%	625	15.64%	<b>3,995</b>
Chester Housing Authority	PA007	1,551	66.14%	794	33.86%	<b>2,345</b>

#### PA-503 Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton/Luzerne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Luzerne	PA057	924	40.60%	1,352	59.40%	<b>2,276</b>
Wilkes Barre Housing Authority	PA047	556	39.38%	856	60.62%	<b>1,412</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Hazleton	PA044	292	49.41%	299	50.59%	<b>591</b>
The Housing Auth of the City of Nanticoke	PA043	54	11.42%	419	88.58%	<b>473</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-503 Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton/Luzerne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pittston	PA042	132	30.41%	302	69.59%	<b>434</b>
Columbia County Housing Authority	PA083	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### PA-504 Lower Merion, Norristown, Abington/Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Montgomery County Housing Authority	PA012	2,315	79.01%	615	20.99%	<b>2,930</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Chester	PA046	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	<b>50</b>
Bucks County Housing Authority	PA051	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>31</b>
Wilmington Housing Authority	DE001	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### PA-505 Chester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Chester	PA046	1,503	84.30%	280	15.70%	<b>1,783</b>
Montgomery County Housing Authority	PA012	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### PA-506 Reading/Berks County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Reading Housing Authority	PA009	714	30.74%	1,609	69.26%	<b>2,323</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Berks	PA071	536	71.95%	209	28.05%	<b>745</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-506 Reading/Berks County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Syracuse Housing Authority	NY001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### PA-508 Scranton/Lackawanna County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Scranton Housing Authority	PA003	871	40.76%	1,266	59.24%	2,137
Lackawanna County Housing Authority	PA038	645	36.77%	1,109	63.23%	1,754
The Housing Auth of the City of Carbondale	PA030	137	28.48%	344	71.52%	481
Susquehanna Co Housing/Redevelopment Auth	PA074	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Wayne County Housing Authority	PA078	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3

#### PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Allentown Housing Authority	PA004	1,458	58.23%	1,046	41.77%	2,504
JOHNSTOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA019	826	35.37%	1,509	64.63%	2,335
Bethlehem Housing Authority	PA011	457	23.93%	1,453	76.07%	1,910
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA075	1,301	86.22%	208	13.78%	1,509
Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA081	1,212	80.75%	289	19.25%	1,501
ALTOONA HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA031	869	62.03%	532	37.97%	1,401
Schuylkill County Housing Authority	PA016	533	46.67%	609	53.33%	1,142
The Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA028	703	70.09%	300	29.91%	1,003



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Lycoming	PA021	478	50.58%	467	49.42%	945
Easton Housing Authority	PA024	550	59.27%	378	40.73%	928
Housing Authority of the County of Lebanon	PA052	464	53.52%	403	46.48%	867
Housing Authority of the City of Pottsville	PA037	300	37.08%	509	62.92%	809
Northampton County Housing Authority	PA076	573	84.39%	106	15.61%	679
Housing Authority of the County of Franklin	PA034	300	45.18%	364	54.82%	664
Centre County Housing Authority	PA088	638	96.96%	20	3.04%	658
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF HUNTINGDON	PA027	301	48.94%	314	51.06%	615
Tioga County Housing Authority	PA050	157	25.78%	452	74.22%	609
Bradford County Housing Authority	PA064	207	34.10%	400	65.90%	607
Housing Auth of the County of Northumberland	PA060	403	66.83%	200	33.17%	603
Wayne County Housing Authority	PA078	602	100.00%	0	0.00%	602
Carbon County Housing Authority	PA067	445	74.41%	153	25.59%	598
Sunbury Housing Authority	PA053	196	38.97%	307	61.03%	503
Adams County Housing Authority	PA091	497	100.00%	0	0.00%	497
The Housing Auth of the County of Mifflin	PA041	207	42.59%	279	57.41%	486
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BLAIR	PA069	400	82.64%	84	17.36%	484
Housing Authority of the County of Union	PA082	466	100.00%	0	0.00%	466
The Housing Authority of Clinton County	PA040	0	0.00%	457	100.00%	457
Columbia County Housing Authority	PA083	341	82.97%	70	17.03%	411
The Wyoming Co Housing & Redevelopment Auth	PA073	317	82.98%	65	17.02%	382
Shamokin Housing Authority	PA055	135	40.06%	202	59.94%	337



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SOMERSET COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA029	74	25.08%	221	74.92%	295
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BEDFORD	PA085	268	92.10%	23	7.90%	291
Susquehanna Co Housing/Redevelopment Auth	PA074	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234
Snyder County Housing Authority	PA092	174	81.31%	40	18.69%	214
The Housing Authority of Montour County	PA032	97	45.75%	115	54.25%	212
Sullivan County Housing Authority	PA068	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29

#### PA-510 Lancaster City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Auth of the City of Lancaster	PA036	883	61.02%	564	38.98%	<b>1,447</b>
Lancaster County Housing Authority	PA090	853	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>853</b>

#### PA-511 Bristol, Bensalem/Bucks County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bucks County Housing Authority	PA051	2,709	80.70%	648	19.30%	<b>3,357</b>
Montgomery County Housing Authority	PA012	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-512 York City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of York	PA022	1,407	57.01%	1,061	42.99%	<b>2,468</b>

#### PA-600 Pittsburgh, McKeesport, Penn Hills/Allegheny County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	PA001	5,419	57.76%	3,963	42.24%	<b>9,382</b>
ALLEGHENY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA006	5,705	65.03%	3,068	34.97%	<b>8,773</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MCKEESPORT	PA005	449	33.38%	896	66.62%	<b>1,345</b>
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
WASHINGTON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA017	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### PA-601 Western Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
WESTMORELAND COUNTY HSG AUTHORITY	PA018	1,665	48.15%	1,793	51.85%	<b>3,458</b>
FAYETTE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA015	974	42.44%	1,321	57.56%	<b>2,295</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	PA010	1,276	73.84%	452	26.16%	<b>1,728</b>
WASHINGTON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA017	848	49.25%	874	50.75%	<b>1,722</b>
HOUSING AUTH CO OF LAWRENCE	PA026	261	23.77%	837	76.23%	<b>1,098</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF MCKEAN	PA080	400	49.02%	416	50.98%	816
Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	PA039	267	33.71%	525	66.29%	792
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF INDIANA COUNTY	PA048	459	74.76%	155	25.24%	614





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-601 Western Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	PA061	252	47.28%	281	52.72%	533
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF OIL CITY	PA059	337	66.73%	168	33.27%	505
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF CLARION	PA086	458	91.97%	40	8.03%	498
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE	PA033	150	30.18%	347	69.82%	497
MERCER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA020	377	90.84%	38	9.16%	415
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF DUBOIS	PA063	174	47.80%	190	52.20%	364
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF GREENE	PA045	29	9.27%	284	90.73%	313
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ELK	PA054	132	43.00%	175	57.00%	307
CLEARFIELD COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA065	125	40.98%	180	59.02%	305
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF WARREN	PA079	46	16.61%	231	83.39%	277
TITUSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA058	111	42.37%	151	57.63%	262
COUNTY OF POTTER HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA077	241	100.00%	0	0.00%	241
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN	PA056	114	53.52%	99	46.48%	213
CONNELLSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA025	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
ALLEGHENY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA006	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF VENANGO	PA089	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BEAVER	PA014	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### PA-603 Beaver County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BEAVER	PA014	620	25.18%	1,842	74.82%	2,462



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-605 Erie City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ERIE	PA013	1,024	35.72%	1,843	64.28%	<b>2,867</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ERIE	PA087	848	81.30%	195	18.70%	<b>1,043</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-502 Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PUERTO RICO PUBLIC HOUSING ADMINISTRATION	PR005	0	0.00%	24,929	100.00%	<b>24,929</b>
PUERTO RICO DEPT OF HOUSING	PR901	4,819	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,819</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN	PR006	2,532	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,532</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF BAYAMON	PR011	2,138	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,138</b>
PUERTO RICO HOUSING FINANCE CORP	PR911	1,580	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,580</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF ARECIBO	PR020	792	100.00%	0	0.00%	792
MUNICIPALITY OF CAROLINA	PR014	641	100.00%	0	0.00%	641
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYNABO	PR016	325	100.00%	0	0.00%	325
MUNICIPALITY OF VEGA BAJA	PR032	287	100.00%	0	0.00%	287
MUNICIPALITY OF DORADO	PR015	256	100.00%	0	0.00%	256
MUNICIPALITY OF TOA BAJA	PR022	212	100.00%	0	0.00%	212
Municipality of Utuado	PR033	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
MUNICIPALITY OF TOA ALTA	PR053	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Municipality of Barranquitas	PR060	174	100.00%	0	0.00%	174
MUNICIPALITY OF CAMUY	PR040	136	100.00%	0	0.00%	136
MUNICIPALITY OF BARCELONETA	PR054	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
MUNICIPALITY OF VEGA ALTA	PR056	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
MUNICIPALITY OF COROZAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	PR023	117	100.00%	0	0.00%	117
MUNICIPALITY OF NARANJITO	PR064	105	100.00%	0	0.00%	105
MUNICIPALITY OF COMERIO	PR034	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	96
MUNICIPALITY OF CIALES	PR052	72	100.00%	0	0.00%	72
MUNICIPALITY OF AIBONITO	PR059	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-502 Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF MOROVIS	PR024	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
MUNICIPALITY OF LARES	PR065	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
MUNICIPALITY OF FLORIDA	PR072	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
MUNICIPALITY OF OROCOVIS	PR080	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
MUNICIPALITY OF TRUJILLO ALTO	PR013	26	100.00%	0	0.00%	26
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUAS BUENAS	PR082	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
MUNICIPALITY OF CANOVANAS	PR075	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
The Municipality of Quebradillas	PR031	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
MUNICIPALITY OF CIDRA	PR062	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PUERTO RICO PUBLIC HOUSING ADMINISTRATION	PR005	0	0.00%	23,978	100.00%	<b>23,978</b>
PUERTO RICO DEPT OF HOUSING	PR901	4,195	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,195</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF PONCE	PR008	1,329	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,329</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF CAGUAS	PR007	1,262	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,262</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF MAYAGUEZ	PR009	774	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>774</b>
PUERTO RICO HOUSING FINANCE CORP	PR911	511	100.00%	0	0.00%	511
MUNICIPALITY OF HUMACAO	PR025	267	100.00%	0	0.00%	267
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUADILLA	PR012	237	100.00%	0	0.00%	237
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYAMA	PR017	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	230



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF PENUELAS	PR019	217	100.00%	0	0.00%	217
MUNICIPALITY OF CAYEY	PR018	199	100.00%	0	0.00%	199
MUNICIPALITY OF CIDRA	PR062	187	100.00%	0	0.00%	187
MUNICIPALITY OF MANATI	PR028	183	100.00%	0	0.00%	183
MUNICIPALITY OF SABANA GRANDE	PR048	153	100.00%	0	0.00%	153
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN SEBASTIAN	PR026	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
MUNICIPALITY OF JUANA DIAZ	PR038	145	100.00%	0	0.00%	145
MUNICIPALITY OF GURABO	PR041	144	100.00%	0	0.00%	144
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUAS BUENAS	PR082	133	100.00%	0	0.00%	133
MUNICIPALITY OF LAS PIEDRAS	PR063	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUADA	PR073	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYANILLA	PR021	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
MUNICIPALITY OF YABUCOA	PR045	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
MUNICIPALITY OF CABO ROJO	PR061	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112
MUNICIPALITY OF NAGUABO	PR047	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112
MUNICIPALITY OF YAUCO	PR083	105	100.00%	0	0.00%	105
Anasco Housing Authority	PR043	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
MUNICIPALITY OF HORMIGUEROS	PR035	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
MUNICIPALITY OF SALINAS	PR069	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	96
Municipality of San Lorenzo	PR037	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	96
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN GERMAN	PR030	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
MUNICIPALITY OF VILLALBA	PR049	89	100.00%	0	0.00%	89



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF VIEQUES	PR074	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	88
MUNICIPALITY OF ISABELA	PR066	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
MUNICIPALITY OF FAJARDO	PR036	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
MUNICIPALITY OF RIO GRANDE	PR050	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
MUNICIPALITY OF ARROYO	PR068	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
MUNICIPALITY OF MOCA	PR010	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
MUNICIPALITY OF HATILLO	PR039	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
MUNICIPALITY OF PATILLAS	PR057	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
MUNICIPALITY OF LUQUILLO	PR081	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
MUNICIPALITY OF LAJAS	PR071	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	62
MUNICIPALITY OF COAMO	PR042	61	100.00%	0	0.00%	61
MUNICIPALITY OF JUNCOS	PR077	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN	PR006	56	100.00%	0	0.00%	56
MUNICIPALITY OF GUANICA	PR044	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
The Municipality of Quebradillas	PR031	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
MUNICIPALITY OF SANTA ISABEL	PR058	47	100.00%	0	0.00%	47
MUNICIPALITY OF LAS MARIAS	PR046	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
MUNICIPALITY OF ADJUNTAS	PR055	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
MUNICIPALITY OF ARECIBO	PR020	42	100.00%	0	0.00%	42
MUNICIPALITY OF CANOVANAS	PR075	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
MUNICIPALITY OF CEIBA	PR070	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
MUNICIPALITY OF RINCON	PR067	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	29



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF MARICAO	PR029	27	100.00%	0	0.00%	27
MUNICIPALITY OF LOIZA	PR027	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
MUNICIPALITY OF CAROLINA	PR014	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
MUNICIPALITY OF COMERIO	PR034	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Municipality of Barranquitas	PR060	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
MUNICIPALITY OF AIBONITO	PR059	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2
MUNICIPALITY OF BARCELONETA	PR054	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Rhode Island

#### RI-500 Rhode Island Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Providence	RI001	2,119	44.87%	2,604	55.13%	<b>4,723</b>
Woonsocket Housing Authority	RI003	588	32.78%	1,206	67.22%	<b>1,794</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pawtucket	RI002	717	39.97%	1,077	60.03%	<b>1,794</b>
Rhode Island Housing	RI901	1,653	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,653</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Newport	RI005	477	41.16%	682	58.84%	<b>1,159</b>
Cranston Housing Authority	RI006	341	36.05%	605	63.95%	946
Central Falls Housing Authority	RI004	566	63.38%	327	36.62%	893
East Providence Housing Authority	RI007	335	44.55%	417	55.45%	752
Warwick Housing Authority	RI011	216	29.47%	517	70.53%	733
Coventry Housing Authority	RI016	260	57.14%	195	42.86%	455
Cumberland Housing Authority	RI010	275	60.98%	176	39.02%	451
West Warwick Housing Authority	RI015	158	38.73%	250	61.27%	408
Bristol Housing Authority	RI019	190	49.61%	193	50.39%	383
Warren Housing Authority	RI022	213	58.20%	153	41.80%	366
Lincoln Housing Authority	RI018	112	30.77%	252	69.23%	364
North Providence Housing Authority	RI017	218	60.22%	144	39.78%	362
Johnston Housing Authority	RI009	178	53.61%	154	46.39%	332
Town of Westerly Housing Authority	RI008	182	59.67%	123	40.33%	305
Narragansett Housing Authority	RI026	156	94.55%	9	5.45%	165
South Kingstown Housing Authority	RI012	88	55.70%	70	44.30%	158
Burrillville Housing Authority	RI014	60	44.12%	76	55.88%	136
East Greenwich Housing Authority	RI024	104	78.79%	28	21.21%	132





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Rhode Island

#### RI-500 Rhode Island Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tiverton Housing Authority	RI027	67	59.82%	45	40.18%	112
Portsmouth Housing Authority	RI013	65	61.90%	40	38.10%	105
Smithfield Housing Authority	RI020	30	37.50%	50	62.50%	80
Gateway Healthcare, Inc.	RI029	72	100.00%	0	0.00%	72
Kent County Mental Health Center	RI028	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
Jamestown Housing Authority	RI021	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-500 Charleston/Low Country CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CHARLESTON	SC001	1,426	50.37%	1,405	49.63%	<b>2,831</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF N CHARLESTON	SC057	1,887	89.39%	224	10.61%	<b>2,111</b>
CHARLESTON CO HSG & REDEV AUTH	SC056	1,007	71.62%	399	28.38%	<b>1,406</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BEAUFORT	SC026	544	65.15%	291	34.85%	<b>835</b>
SC STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC911	504	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>504</b>
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 3	SC024	194	53.59%	168	46.41%	362

#### SC-501 Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg/Upstate CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
THE GREENVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC004	2,677	85.47%	455	14.53%	<b>3,132</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SPARTANBURG	SC003	2,068	74.18%	720	25.82%	<b>2,788</b>
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 1	SC008	1,628	59.35%	1,115	40.65%	<b>2,743</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GREENWOOD	SC030	967	81.26%	223	18.74%	<b>1,190</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ANDERSON	SC037	513	64.94%	277	35.06%	<b>790</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF UNION	SC019	220	43.48%	286	56.52%	506
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GREER	SC016	261	58.39%	186	41.61%	447
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GAFFNEY	SC017	0	0.00%	274	100.00%	274
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ABBEVILLE	SC012	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAURENS	SC011	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF EASLEY	SC053	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF WOODRUFF	SC040	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-501 Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg/Upstate CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SC STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC911	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF NEWBERRY	SC035	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### SC-502 Columbia/Midlands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF COLUMBIA	SC002	3,463	61.64%	2,155	38.36%	<b>5,618</b>
SC STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC911	1,158	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,158</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF AIKEN	SC007	818	76.45%	252	23.55%	<b>1,070</b>
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 3	SC024	289	32.36%	604	67.64%	<b>893</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ROCK HILL	SC022	512	58.12%	369	41.88%	<b>881</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF NEWBERRY	SC035	206	39.54%	315	60.46%	521
Housing Authority of Lancaster	SC032	228	61.96%	140	38.04%	368
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF YORK	SC046	221	64.06%	124	35.94%	345
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CHESTER	SC020	209	65.11%	112	34.89%	321
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 1	SC008	4	1.89%	208	98.11%	212
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF FORT MILL	SC036	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Housing Authority of the City of Cayce	SC061	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of the City of High Point	NC006	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-503 Myrtle Beach, Sumter City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF FLORENCE	SC027	699	47.49%	773	52.51%	<b>1,472</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SUMTER	SC023	885	73.02%	327	26.98%	<b>1,212</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MYRTLE BEACH	SC034	875	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>875</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CONWAY	SC025	352	57.61%	259	42.39%	<b>611</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MARION	SC021	81	17.84%	373	82.16%	<b>454</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GEORGETOWN	SC028	127	30.24%	293	69.76%	420
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CHERAW	SC031	135	37.29%	227	62.71%	362
MARLBORO CO HSG & REDEV AUTHORITY	SC059	231	81.05%	54	18.95%	285
SC STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC911	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	264
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF DARLINGTON	SC005	92	35.52%	167	64.48%	259
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF HARTSVILLE	SC029	156	60.94%	100	39.06%	256
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MULLINS	SC033	29	13.00%	194	87.00%	223
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAKE CITY	SC018	222	100.00%	0	0.00%	222
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BENNETTSVILLE	SC015	53	25.60%	154	74.40%	207
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF KINGSTREE	SC039	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MCCOLL	SC048	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Dakota

#### SD-500 South Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD016	1,783	98.62%	25	1.38%	<b>1,808</b>
Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Commis	SD045	1,287	72.02%	500	27.98%	<b>1,787</b>
Aberdeen Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD034	406	80.24%	100	19.76%	<b>506</b>
Meade County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD047	225	74.01%	79	25.99%	<b>304</b>
Watertown Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD043	158	65.02%	85	34.98%	<b>243</b>
Brookings Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD056	233	100.00%	0	0.00%	233
Lawrence County Housing & Redevelopment Commi	SD048	223	100.00%	0	0.00%	223
Huron Housing Authority	SD036	187	100.00%	0	0.00%	187
Madison Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD011	94	50.27%	93	49.73%	187
Pierre Housing & Redevelopment Commision	SD035	134	72.83%	50	27.17%	184
Vermillion Housing and Redevelopment Commis	SD055	182	100.00%	0	0.00%	182
Yankton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD058	142	100.00%	0	0.00%	142
Hot Springs Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD019	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Mobridge Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD057	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Sisseton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD020	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
Canton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD039	52	72.22%	20	27.78%	72
Milbank Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD037	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
City of Lennox Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD010	27	48.21%	29	51.79%	56
Redfield Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD026	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
City of Mitchell Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD014	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Lemmon Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD025	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Miller Housing & Redevelopment Commision	SD038	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Dakota

#### SD-500 South Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
De Smet Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD009	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Martin Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD022	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Wessington Springs Housing and Redevelopment Commiss	SD021	13	38.24%	21	61.76%	34
Webster Housing and Redevelopment Commision	SD040	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Murdo Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD023	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Lake Andes Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD018	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Parker Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD017	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Burke Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD007	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Volga Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD031	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Howard Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD013	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Butte County Housing Authority	SD059	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Lake Norden Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD024	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Kennebec Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD008	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-500 Chattanooga/Southeast Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chattanooga Housing Authority	TN004	3,608	58.11%	2,601	41.89%	<b>6,209</b>
Dayton Housing Authority	TN062	372	55.19%	302	44.81%	<b>674</b>
Cleveland Housing Authority	TN054	200	31.65%	432	68.35%	<b>632</b>
Athens Housing Authority	TN015	0	0.00%	438	100.00%	<b>438</b>
Franklin County Housing Authority	TN125	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	<b>247</b>
Etowah Housing Authority	TN026	105	43.93%	134	56.07%	239
South Pittsburg Housing Authority	TN037	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN117	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Grundy Housing Authority	TN092	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Crossville Housing Authority	TN042	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Housing Authority of the City of McCaysville	GA241	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	1

#### TN-501 Memphis/Shelby County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Memphis Housing Authority	TN001	7,672	71.99%	2,985	28.01%	<b>10,657</b>
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	254	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>254</b>
Millington Housing Authority	TN045	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	<b>89</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-502 Knoxville/Knox County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Knoxville's Community Development Corp.	TN003	3,587	52.98%	3,183	47.02%	<b>6,770</b>
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	344	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>344</b>
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN113	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### TN-503 Central Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	3,143	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,143</b>
Dickson Housing Authority	TN079	707	84.98%	125	15.02%	<b>832</b>
The Clarksville Housing Authority	TN010	0	0.00%	507	100.00%	<b>507</b>
Shelbyville Housing Authority	TN039	0	0.00%	421	100.00%	<b>421</b>
Tullahoma Housing Authority	TN024	118	30.49%	269	69.51%	<b>387</b>
Springfield Housing Authority	TN036	0	0.00%	363	100.00%	363
Franklin Housing Authority	TN035	94	29.28%	227	70.72%	321
Gallatin Housing Authority	TN029	0	0.00%	315	100.00%	315
Lawrenceburg Housing Authority	TN048	0	0.00%	303	100.00%	303
Columbia Housing and Redevelopment Corporatio	TN046	0	0.00%	293	100.00%	293
Fayetteville Housing Authority	TN014	0	0.00%	276	100.00%	276
Lewisburg Housing Authority	TN032	0	0.00%	216	100.00%	216
Pulaski Housing Authority	TN011	0	0.00%	205	100.00%	205
Mt. Pleasant Housing Authority	TN047	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Hohenwald Housing Authority	TN059	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-503 Central Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portland Housing Authority	TN073	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Manchester Housing Authority	TN028	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Hartsville Housing Authority	TN071	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	TN020	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6

#### TN-504 Nashville-Davidson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	TN005	6,373	58.16%	4,584	41.84%	<b>10,957</b>
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>79</b>
Franklin Housing Authority	TN035	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>

#### TN-506 Upper Cumberland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	829	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>829</b>
Crossville Housing Authority	TN042	317	53.28%	278	46.72%	<b>595</b>
Highlands Residential Services	TN033	0	0.00%	577	100.00%	<b>577</b>
McMinnville Housing Authority	TN053	0	0.00%	422	100.00%	<b>422</b>
LaFollette Housing Authority	TN012	27	6.94%	362	93.06%	<b>389</b>
Lebanon Housing Authority	TN017	0	0.00%	354	100.00%	354
Harriman Housing Authority	TN055	0	0.00%	321	100.00%	321



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-506 Upper Cumberland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Smithville Housing Authority	TN068	0	0.00%	182	100.00%	182
Sparta Housing Authority	TN044	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Rockwood Housing Authority	TN018	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Oliver Springs Housing Authority	TN078	0	0.00%	113	100.00%	113
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN113	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
Lafayette Housing Authority	TN090	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Woodbury Housing Authority	TN077	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Gallatin Housing Authority	TN029	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
South Carthage Housing Authority	TN072	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Livingston Housing Authority	TN056	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
Portland Housing Authority	TN073	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Oak Ridge Housing Authority	TN088	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8

#### TN-507 Jackson/West Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jackson Housing Authority	TN007	1,337	62.33%	808	37.67%	<b>2,145</b>
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	1,585	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,585</b>
Dyersburg Housing Authority	TN021	0	0.00%	499	100.00%	<b>499</b>
Brownsville Housing Authority	TN013	189	58.33%	135	41.67%	<b>324</b>
Martin Housing Authority	TN069	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
Union City Housing Authority	TN009	0	0.00%	284	100.00%	284



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-507 Jackson/West Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ripley Housing Authority	TN057	0	0.00%	264	100.00%	264
Covington Housing Authority	TN041	0	0.00%	246	100.00%	246
Humboldt Housing Authority	TN027	0	0.00%	231	100.00%	231
Trenton Housing Authority	TN025	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	209
Paris Housing Authority	TN008	0	0.00%	192	100.00%	192
McKenzie Housing Authority	TN082	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Huntingdon Housing Authority	TN052	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Newbern Housing Authority	TN075	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Bolivar Housing Authority	TN050	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Lexington Housing Authority	TN040	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Milan Housing Authority	TN031	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Waverly Housing Authority	TN030	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Parsons-Decaturville Housing Authority	TN051	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Savannah Housing Authority	TN049	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Erin Housing Authority	TN074	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Dickson Housing Authority	TN079	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Memphis Housing Authority	TN001	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### TN-509 Appalachian Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority	TN006	1,228	70.17%	522	29.83%	1,750



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-509 Appalachian Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Johnson City Housing Authority	TN002	613	48.04%	663	51.96%	<b>1,276</b>
Bristol Housing	TN066	215	37.79%	354	62.21%	<b>569</b>
Elizabethton Housing and Development Agency	TN076	224	40.73%	326	59.27%	<b>550</b>
Greeneville Housing Authority	TN058	0	0.00%	324	100.00%	<b>324</b>
Rogersville Housing Authority	TN043	0	0.00%	188	100.00%	188
Morristown Housing Authority	TN038	92	78.63%	25	21.37%	117
Erwin Housing Authority	TN081	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN113	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	6
Selma Housing Authority	AL008	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	4
Scott County Redev. & Housing Authority	VA031	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### TN-510 Murfreesboro/Rutherford County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	TN020	802	80.60%	193	19.40%	<b>995</b>
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>126</b>

#### TN-512 Morristown/Blount, Sevier, Campbell, Cocke Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
LaFollette Housing Authority	TN012	240	24.14%	754	75.86%	<b>994</b>
Morristown Housing Authority	TN038	319	33.09%	645	66.91%	<b>964</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-512 Morristown/Blount, Sevier, Campbell, Cocke Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Maryville Housing Authority	TN065	352	46.87%	399	53.13%	<b>751</b>
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN113	580	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>580</b>
Newport Housing Authority	TN060	0	0.00%	400	100.00%	<b>400</b>
Oak Ridge Housing Authority	TN088	218	63.19%	127	36.81%	345
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	277	100.00%	0	0.00%	277
Sevierville Housing Authority	TN063	0	0.00%	245	100.00%	245
Jefferson City Housing Authority	TN019	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Sweetwater Housing Authority	TN016	0	0.00%	157	100.00%	157
Clinton Housing Authority	TN022	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Lenoir City Housing Authority	TN061	0	0.00%	138	100.00%	138
Jellico Housing Authority	TN034	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Loudon Housing Authority	TN064	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Rogersville Housing Authority	TN043	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-500 San Antonio/Bexar County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
San Antonio Housing Authority	TX006	12,508	67.54%	6,012	32.46%	<b>18,520</b>
Bexar County Housing Authority	TX452	1,670	98.24%	30	1.76%	<b>1,700</b>
Schertz Housing Authority	TX350	140	64.81%	76	35.19%	<b>216</b>
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>
New Braunfels Housing Authority	TX343	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### TX-503 Austin/Travis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Austin Housing Authority	TX001	5,027	80.02%	1,255	19.98%	<b>6,282</b>
Housing Authority of Travis County	TX480	608	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>608</b>
Round Rock Housing Authority	TX322	62	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>62</b>
Elgin Housing Authority	TX377	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>25</b>
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### TX-600 Dallas City & County, Irving CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texa	TX009	16,227	83.77%	3,145	16.23%	<b>19,372</b>
Dallas County Housing Assistance Program	TX559	3,889	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,889</b>
Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	TX434	2,795	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,795</b>
Garland Housing Authority	TX435	1,440	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,440</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-600 Dallas City & County, Irving CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Mesquite Housing Office	TX436	1,329	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,329</b>
Housing Authority of Plano	TX128	831	97.19%	24	2.81%	855
Housing Authority of McKinney	TX027	288	67.76%	137	32.24%	425
Denton Housing Authority	TX392	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Balch Springs Housing Authority	TX533	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Arlington Housing Authority	TX433	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
Housing Authority of Farmersville	TX221	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of Rockwall	TX095	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Housing Authority of the City of Frisco	TX144	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Princeton	TX133	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Greenville	TX522	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	TX431	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Athens Housing Authority	TX519	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Housing Authority of Fort Worth	TX004	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2

#### TX-601 Fort Worth, Arlington/Tarrant County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Fort Worth	TX004	5,201	83.93%	996	16.07%	<b>6,197</b>
Arlington Housing Authority	TX433	3,392	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,392</b>
Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	TX431	2,928	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,928</b>
Weatherford Housing Authority	TX349	513	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>513</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-601 Fort Worth, Arlington/Tarrant County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texa	TX009	256	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>256</b>
Housing Authority of Haltom City	TX153	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	TX434	134	100.00%	0	0.00%	134
Housing Authority of Grapevine	TX291	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of the City of Waco	TX010	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4
Deep East Texas Council of Governments	TX512	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Denton Housing Authority	TX392	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### TX-603 El Paso City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of El Paso, TX	TX003	5,094	58.24%	3,652	41.76%	<b>8,746</b>
Housing Authority of Anthony	TX439	410	94.25%	25	5.75%	<b>435</b>
El Paso County Housing Authority	TX432	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>227</b>
El Paso County Housing Authority	TX538	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>

#### TX-604 Waco/McLennan County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Waco	TX010	2,425	73.02%	896	26.98%	<b>3,321</b>
Housing Authority of Marlin	TX246	0	0.00%	165	100.00%	<b>165</b>
Mexia Housing Authority	TX354	0	0.00%	117	100.00%	<b>117</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-604 Waco/McLennan County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of McGregor	TX102	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	<b>74</b>
Housing Authority of Wortham	TX086	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	<b>68</b>
Housing Authority of Mart	TX333	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of City of Moody	TX116	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Lott	TX252	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Housing Authority of Whitney	TX218	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Teague	TX273	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of Meridian	TX276	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Clifton	TX339	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Rosebud	TX255	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Hubbard	TX405	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Coolidge	TX228	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Port Arthur	TX034	2,954	93.96%	190	6.04%	<b>3,144</b>
Corpus Christi Housing Authority	TX008	1,879	60.71%	1,216	39.29%	<b>3,095</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Brownsville	TX007	2,110	74.09%	738	25.91%	<b>2,848</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	TX023	1,867	74.41%	642	25.59%	<b>2,509</b>
Central Texas Council of Governments	TX482	2,290	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,290</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	TX011	1,223	55.21%	992	44.79%	2,215
Housing Authority of the City of Galveston	TX017	1,483	76.72%	450	23.28%	1,933
Deep East Texas Council of Governments	TX512	1,767	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,767
Housing Authority of the City of Abilene	TX327	1,538	87.84%	213	12.16%	1,751
McAllen Housing Authority	TX028	1,308	90.46%	138	9.54%	1,446
Cameron County Housing Authority	TX509	988	75.54%	320	24.46%	1,308
Denton Housing Authority	TX392	1,292	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,292
Housing Authority of Lubbock	TX018	884	70.16%	376	29.84%	1,260
Edinburg Housing Authority	TX062	822	67.38%	398	32.62%	1,220
Harlingen Housing Authority	TX065	707	58.97%	492	41.03%	1,199
Ark-Tex Council of Governments Housing Authority	TX499	1,141	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,141
Housing Authority of the City of Nacogdoches	TX486	1,125	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,125
Pharr Housing Authority	TX073	866	78.66%	235	21.34%	1,101
Housing Authority City of Orange	TX037	783	72.70%	294	27.30%	1,077
Mission Housing Authority	TX046	731	73.03%	270	26.97%	1,001
Longview Housing Authority	TX459	991	100.00%	0	0.00%	991
Tyler Housing Agency	TX456	988	100.00%	0	0.00%	988
Del Rio Housing Authority	TX016	571	59.36%	391	40.64%	962
Housing Authority of the City of Texas City	TX032	880	94.62%	50	5.38%	930
Eagle Pass Housing Authority	TX019	390	44.42%	488	55.58%	878
Housing Authority of Texarkana	TX014	481	55.16%	391	44.84%	872
San Angelo Housing Authority	TX470	676	79.53%	174	20.47%	850



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	815	100.00%	0	0.00%	815
Housing Authority of Odessa	TX455	736	90.98%	73	9.02%	809
Hidalgo County Housing Authority	TX497	706	92.77%	55	7.23%	761
Panhandle Community Services	TX481	646	100.00%	0	0.00%	646
Kingsville Housing Authority	TX114	545	85.16%	95	14.84%	640
Greenville	TX522	637	100.00%	0	0.00%	637
Weslaco Housing Authority	TX051	483	79.05%	128	20.95%	611
Housing Authority of the City of Brownwood	TX021	365	60.93%	234	39.07%	599
Brazoria County Housing Authority, TX	TX484	589	100.00%	0	0.00%	589
Victoria Housing Authority	TX085	251	43.88%	321	56.12%	572
San Marcos Housing Authority	TX087	239	45.44%	287	54.56%	526
Housing Authority of Paris	TX048	288	55.17%	234	44.83%	522
San Benito Housing Authority	TX025	306	59.42%	209	40.58%	515
Mercedes Housing Authority	TX029	288	56.03%	226	43.97%	514
Texoma Council of Governments	TX542	504	100.00%	0	0.00%	504
Housing Authority of Marshall	TX457	400	84.39%	74	15.61%	474
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texa	TX009	459	100.00%	0	0.00%	459
Seguin Housing Authority	TX303	264	58.41%	188	41.59%	452
Robstown Housing Authority	TX163	177	40.60%	259	59.40%	436
Terrell Housing Authority	TX493	431	100.00%	0	0.00%	431
New Braunfels Housing Authority	TX343	251	59.62%	170	40.38%	421
Midland County Housing Authority	TX534	415	100.00%	0	0.00%	415



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Beeville Housing Authority	TX152	215	52.57%	194	47.43%	409
Housing Authority of the City of Killeen	TX079	259	64.11%	145	35.89%	404
Alice Housing Authority	TX178	156	39.39%	240	60.61%	396
Crystal City Housing Authority	TX105	101	25.96%	288	74.04%	389
Austin Housing Authority	TX001	367	100.00%	0	0.00%	367
Donna Housing Authority	TX177	246	68.14%	115	31.86%	361
Housing Authority of the City of Brenham	TX330	123	34.84%	230	65.16%	353
South Plains Regional Housing Authority	TX514	346	100.00%	0	0.00%	346
Housing Authority of Diboll	TX229	0	0.00%	333	100.00%	333
Housing Authority of Temple	TX030	0	0.00%	326	100.00%	326
Housing Authority of Corsicana	TX033	0	0.00%	310	100.00%	310
The Housing Authority of the City of Gainesvi	TX072	299	100.00%	0	0.00%	299
Housing Authority of Sherman	TX078	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	298
Grayson County Housing Authority	TX458	297	100.00%	0	0.00%	297
Hale County Housing Authority	TX537	295	100.00%	0	0.00%	295
Aransas Pass Housing Authority	TX313	176	60.07%	117	39.93%	293
Housing Authority of Crockett	TX222	0	0.00%	280	100.00%	280
Liberty County Housing Authority	TX505	260	100.00%	0	0.00%	260
Big Spring Housing Authority	TX485	258	100.00%	0	0.00%	258
Georgetown Housing Authority	TX264	93	37.05%	158	62.95%	251
Housing Authority of Walker County	TX461	251	100.00%	0	0.00%	251
Round Rock Housing Authority	TX322	144	59.02%	100	40.98%	244



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Anderson County Housing Authority	TX488	243	100.00%	0	0.00%	243
Taylor Housing Authority	TX031	126	52.07%	116	47.93%	242
Elsa Housing Authority	TX224	121	50.42%	119	49.58%	240
Cleburne Housing Agency	TX495	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	230
Housing Authority of the City of Bay City	TX035	136	59.65%	92	40.35%	228
Starr County Housing Authority	TX396	148	65.49%	78	34.51%	226
Cuero Housing Authority	TX309	54	24.11%	170	75.89%	224
Athens Housing Authority	TX519	215	100.00%	0	0.00%	215
Marble Falls Housing Authority	TX263	208	100.00%	0	0.00%	208
Falfurrias Housing Authority	TX201	85	40.87%	123	59.13%	208
Uvalde Housing Authority	TX421	156	76.47%	48	23.53%	204
Housing Authority of Denison	TX026	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of Borger	TX036	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Housing Authority of Commerce	TX024	0	0.00%	192	100.00%	192
New Boston Property Management	TX054	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
Sinton Housing Authority	TX174	99	54.10%	84	45.90%	183
Housing Authority of Sweetwater	TX061	0	0.00%	182	100.00%	182
Housing Authority of Brady	TX251	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Mathis Housing Authority	TX164	88	50.57%	86	49.43%	174
Port Isabel Housing Authority	TX173	91	53.22%	80	46.78%	171
La Joya Housing Authority	TX448	120	70.59%	50	29.41%	170
Carrizo Springs Housing Authority	TX300	85	51.20%	81	48.80%	166



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gonzales Housing Authority	TX081	26	15.66%	140	84.34%	166
Alamo Housing Authority	TX064	119	73.01%	44	26.99%	163
Housing Authority of the City of Pearsall	TX332	80	50.00%	80	50.00%	160
Housing Authority of Belton	TX213	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Roma Housing Authority	TX449	96	63.16%	56	36.84%	152
Rusk Housing Authority	TX372	152	100.00%	0	0.00%	152
Housing Authority of the City of El Campo	TX355	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of Mount Pleasant	TX244	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Housing Authority of Gilmer	TX071	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
La Grange Housing Authority	TX381	57	41.01%	82	58.99%	139
Jacksonville Housing Authority	TX516	138	100.00%	0	0.00%	138
Housing Authority of Cisco	TX042	48	35.56%	87	64.44%	135
Duval County Housing Authority	TX376	83	61.48%	52	38.52%	135
Port Lavaca Housing Authority	TX395	64	47.76%	70	52.24%	134
Housing Authority of Slaton	TX257	64	47.76%	70	52.24%	134
Pleasanton Housing Authority	TX208	72	54.55%	60	45.45%	132
Los Fresnos Housing Authority	TX206	93	70.99%	38	29.01%	131
Housing Authority of Pecos	TX320	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Luling Housing Authority	TX074	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Burnet Housing Authority	TX358	88	68.75%	40	31.25%	128
Smithville Housing Authority	TX266	40	31.75%	86	68.25%	126
Housing Authority of the City of Gladewater	TX058	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Floydada	TX189	66	53.23%	58	46.77%	124
Housing Authority of Corrigan	TX230	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
San Juan Housing Authority	TX447	122	100.00%	0	0.00%	122
Edna Housing Authority	TX096	89	74.79%	30	25.21%	119
Floresville Housing Authority	TX193	76	65.52%	40	34.48%	116
Housing Authority of Stanton	TX190	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of Tatum	TX341	73	64.60%	40	35.40%	113
Housing Authority of De Kalb	TX137	56	50.91%	54	49.09%	110
Housing Authority of Pittsburg	TX049	20	18.18%	90	81.82%	110
Housing Authority of Livingston	TX352	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Lockhart Housing Authority	TX211	0	0.00%	108	100.00%	108
Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	TX434	108	100.00%	0	0.00%	108
Housing Authority of Alto	TX272	41	37.96%	67	62.04%	108
Devine Housing Authority	TX210	37	34.58%	70	65.42%	107
Cotulla Housing Authority	TX335	47	43.93%	60	56.07%	107
Kenedy Housing Authority	TX147	34	32.08%	72	67.92%	106
Housing Authority of Waxahachie	TX015	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	104
Housing Authority of Bonham	TX038	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Housing Authority of Grand Saline	TX336	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Housing Authority City of Alpine	TX284	52	50.98%	50	49.02%	102
Housing Authority of Coleman	TX321	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Copperas Cove	TX353	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Granbury	TX214	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	TX406	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Dayton	TX168	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Ingleside Housing Authority	TX317	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of Midland	TX379	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of the City of Clarksville	TX207	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Housing Authority of Colorado City	TX056	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Bastrop Housing Authority	TX259	44	46.81%	50	53.19%	94
Yoakum Housing Authority	TX326	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Fort Stockton Housing Authority	TX500	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92
Gregory Housing Authority	TX302	34	36.96%	58	63.04%	92
City of Lamesa	TX535	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92
Housing Authority of Wills Point	TX217	34	37.36%	57	62.64%	91
Housing Authority of Post	TX179	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of DeLeon	TX069	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of Ennis	TX070	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of Woodville	TX225	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of Ranger	TX043	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of Baird	TX197	36	41.86%	50	58.14%	86
Housing Authority of Rotan	TX182	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Housing Authority of Kirbyville	TX282	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Poteet Housing Authority	TX236	24	28.57%	60	71.43%	84





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Cooper	TX076	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of Gatesville	TX283	0	0.00%	83	100.00%	83
Housing Authority of Anson	TX080	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Edcouch Housing Authority	TX202	47	58.02%	34	41.98%	81
Housing Authority of Memphis	TX286	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Atlanta Property Management	TX531	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of Ralls	TX546	44	55.00%	36	45.00%	80
Housing Authority of Rockwall	TX095	14	17.95%	64	82.05%	78
Edgewood Housing	TX242	28	35.90%	50	64.10%	78
Elgin Housing Authority	TX377	48	63.16%	28	36.84%	76
Housing Authority of Marfa	TX318	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of Henderson	TX050	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Taft Housing Authority	TX191	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of Dublin	TX047	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of the City of Munday	TX120	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of San Augustine	TX383	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of Daingerfield	TX106	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	TX431	72	100.00%	0	0.00%	72
Housing Authority of Whitesboro	TX311	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of Clarendon	TX162	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Housing Authority of the City of Palacios	TX378	27	38.03%	44	61.97%	71
Housing Authority of Cleveland	TX198	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Jefferson	TX044	19	27.54%	50	72.46%	69
Housing Authority of Tulia	TX183	20	28.99%	49	71.01%	69
Housing Authority of Honey Grove	TX093	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Housing Authority of the City of Monahans	TX408	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the City of Winters	TX329	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of Comanche	TX169	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Housing Authority of Naples	TX121	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Housing Authority of Gorman	TX192	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of Ballinger	TX077	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Boerne Housing Authority	TX444	66	100.00%	0	0.00%	66
Housing Authority of Newton	TX223	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of Seagraves	TX275	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of Pineland	TX187	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Housing Authority of Santa Anna	TX204	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of Stamford	TX167	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Grapeland	TX295	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Jasper	TX492	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Huntington	TX348	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Overton	TX068	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Center	TX059	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Mineola	TX060	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Jim Hogg County Housing Authority	TX511	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Levelland	TX171	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of Bangs	TX235	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of Kemp	TX387	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of Mount Vernon	TX337	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Housing Authority of Kerens	TX305	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of Trinidad	TX237	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Housing Authority of Linden	TX135	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Omaha	TX122	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Tenaha	TX262	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Yorktown Housing Authority	TX312	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Bartlett	TX274	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Llano Housing Authority	TX328	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of San Saba	TX334	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Mason	TX261	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Tahoka Housing Authority	TX166	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Runge Housing Authority	TX165	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Hico	TX090	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Haskell	TX053	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Johnson City Housing Authority	TX256	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Hidalgo Housing Authority	TX445	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Housing Authority of City of Leonard	TX100	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Willacy County Housing Authority	TX454	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Goliad Housing Authority	TX510	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Housing Authority of Royse City	TX247	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Brackettville Housing Authority	TX239	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Housing Authority of Malakoff	TX209	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of Crosbyton	TX184	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of Deport	TX117	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of Plano	TX128	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Housing Authority of Hale Center	TX277	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Canyon	TX045	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Winnsboro	TX288	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Timpson	TX226	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Waelder Housing Authority	TX109	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43
Housing Authority of Robert Lee	TX270	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of Bogata	TX138	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of Rogers	TX265	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
City of Mesquite Housing Office	TX436	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Housing Authority of Eldorado	TX279	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Hughes Springs	TX112	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Junction	TX306	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Nixon Housing Authority	TX175	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	39
Three Rivers Housing Authority	TX176	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of Dawson	TX249	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Hamilton	TX083	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of Detroit	TX250	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Goldthwaite	TX269	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Schulenburg Housing Authority	TX296	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Ferris	TX342	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Van Horn	TX543	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Housing Authority of the City of Bellville	TX304	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Waco	TX010	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
Housing Authority of Hamlin	TX195	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of Merkel	TX158	10	29.41%	24	70.59%	34
Housing Authority of Aspermont	TX200	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of Eden	TX260	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of Wellington	TX151	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Housing Authority of Whitewright	TX107	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Knox City	TX124	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Avinger	TX101	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of Cross Plains	TX172	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Bronte	TX278	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Van	TX344	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Stockdale Housing Authority	TX243	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of Spur	TX215	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Granger Housing Authority	TX281	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Maud	TX188	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of Savoy	TX097	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of Grandfalls	TX267	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Wink	TX160	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Garrison	TX233	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Celeste	TX126	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Travis County	TX480	23	100.00%	0	0.00%	23
Housing Authority of Howe	TX108	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Rising Star	TX170	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Loraine	TX258	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Avery	TX310	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Balmorhea	TX316	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Kyle Housing Authority	TX367	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	21
Housing Authority of Breckenridge	TX039	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Garland Housing Authority	TX435	21	100.00%	0	0.00%	21
Housing Authority of O'Donnell	TX549	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Bowie County Housing Authority	TX550	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Blossom	TX539	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Flatonia Housing Authority	TX297	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Grandview	TX347	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Lockney	TX552	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Caddo Mills	TX307	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Van Alstyne	TX132	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Fruitvale	TX525	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Lometa	TX345	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Hemphill	TX227	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Bells	TX089	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Housing Authority of Ladonia	TX092	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Housing Authority of Tom Bean	TX115	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Housing Authority of Roby	TX180	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Housing Authority of Wolfe City	TX104	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of Olton	TX196	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Groveton	TX231	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of Big Sandy	TX356	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Housing Authority of Trenton	TX127	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Smiley Housing Authority	TX103	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Housing Authority of Blooming Grove	TX238	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Point	TX370	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Mabank	TX212	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Como	TX287	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Beckville	TX232	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Housing Authority of City of Oglesby	TX271	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of Alba	TX241	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of McKinney	TX027	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of McLean	TX157	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of Gunter	TX139	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of Pottsboro	TX091	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	11
Houston Housing Authority	TX005	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11
Housing Authority of Talco	TX145	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Housing Authority of Ector	TX088	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Housing Authority of Spearman	TX156	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Housing Authority of Rankin	TX293	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Dilley Housing Authority	TX397	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Schertz Housing Authority	TX350	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Housing Authority of Cumby	TX289	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	9
Housing Authority of Fort Worth	TX004	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
Falls City Housing Authority	TX323	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8
Housing Authority of Tioga	TX199	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	6
Housing Authority of Windom	TX220	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	6
Brazos Valley Council of Governments	TX526	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3
Housing Authority the City of Mineral Wells	TX298	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Weatherford Housing Authority	TX349	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Baytown	TX012	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Harris County Housing Authority	TX441	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Bexar County Housing Authority	TX452	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Housing Authority of the City of Rosenberg	TX483	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Orange County	TX113	0	100.00%	0	100.00%	0

#### TX-611 Amarillo CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Amarillo	TX472	1,270	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,270</b>
Panhandle Community Services	TX481	705	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>705</b>

#### TX-624 Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wichita Falls Housing Assistance Program	TX498	924	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>924</b>
Housing Authority of Wichita Falls	TX022	0	0.00%	620	100.00%	<b>620</b>
Housing Authority of Vernon	TX240	0	0.00%	216	100.00%	<b>216</b>
Housing Authority the City of Mineral Wells	TX298	144	70.59%	60	29.41%	<b>204</b>
Housing Authority of Olney	TX041	0	0.00%	179	100.00%	<b>179</b>
Housing Authority of Seymour	TX052	0	0.00%	176	100.00%	176
Housing Authority of Quanah	TX075	82	46.86%	93	53.14%	175
Housing Authority of Breckenridge	TX039	69	44.81%	85	55.19%	154
Housing Authority of the City of Nocona	TX186	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of Childress	TX194	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Electra Housing Authority	TX066	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-624 Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Paducah	TX084	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of Burkburnett	TX111	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	57
Panhandle Community Services	TX481	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Housing Authority of Henrietta	TX082	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of Throckmorton	TX325	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Crowell	TX308	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Decatur	TX155	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of Strawn	TX290	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Bridgeport	TX067	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Newcastle	TX216	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Archer City	TX094	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Bryson	TX099	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Electra Housing Authority	TX523	9	100.00%	0	0.00%	9
The Housing Authority of the City of Gainesvi	TX072	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Housing Authority of Cisco	TX042	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5

#### TX-700 Houston, Pasadena, Conroe/Harris, Fort Bend, Montgomery Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Houston Housing Authority	TX005	17,799	84.26%	3,325	15.74%	<b>21,124</b>
Harris County Housing Authority	TX441	4,890	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4,890</b>
City of Pasadena Housing Assistance Program	TX440	1,060	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,060</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-700 Houston, Pasadena, Conroe/Harris, Fort Bend, Montgomery Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Baytown	TX012	682	83.99%	130	16.01%	<b>812</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Rosenberg	TX483	446	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>446</b>
Housing Authority of Montgomery County	TX560	365	100.00%	0	0.00%	365
Housing Authority of the City of Galveston	TX017	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
Housing Authority City of Orange	TX037	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Rusk Housing Authority	TX372	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Deep East Texas Council of Governments	TX512	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### TX-701 Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brazos Valley Council of Governments	TX526	1,502	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,502</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bryan	TX020	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
Housing Authority of Cameron	TX134	100	41.32%	142	58.68%	<b>242</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hearne	TX063	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	<b>150</b>
Housing Authority of Rockdale	TX380	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Madisonville	TX245	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of the City of Centerville	TX253	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of City of Navasota	TX469	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Caldwell	TX118	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Franklin	TX340	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-701 Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Calvert	TX150	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Bremond	TX351	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of Thorndale	TX301	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Buffalo	TX357	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Central Texas Council of Governments	TX482	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Utah

#### UT-500 Salt Lake City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	UT003	2,517	80.47%	611	19.53%	<b>3,128</b>
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	UT004	2,618	87.06%	389	12.94%	<b>3,007</b>
West Valley City Housing Authority	UT025	494	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>494</b>
Davis Community Housing Authority	UT009	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### UT-503 Utah Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Davis Community Housing Authority	UT009	963	85.91%	158	14.09%	<b>1,121</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	UT002	915	82.06%	200	17.94%	<b>1,115</b>
Logan City Housing Authority	UT026	440	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>440</b>
Housing Authority of Carbon County	UT016	180	59.80%	121	40.20%	<b>301</b>
St. George Housing Authority	UT021	257	89.55%	30	10.45%	<b>287</b>
Tooele County Housing Authority	UT020	191	89.67%	22	10.33%	213
Cedar City Housing Authority	UT031	141	100.00%	0	0.00%	141
Weber Housing Authority	UT022	133	100.00%	0	0.00%	133
Bear River Regional Housing Authority	UT030	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
Emery County Housing Authority	UT015	54	69.23%	24	30.77%	78
Roosevelt City Housing Authority	UT028	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Housing Authority of Southeastern Utah	UT014	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
Beaver City Housing Authority	UT006	19	51.35%	18	48.65%	37
Myton City Housing Authority	UT029	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Utah

#### UT-504 Provo/Mountainland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Provo	UT007	878	77.98%	248	22.02%	<b>1,126</b>
Housing Authority of Utah County	UT011	1,064	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,064</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	UT003	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Vermont

#### VT-500 Vermont Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Vermont State Housing Authority	VT901	3,025	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,025</b>
Barre Housing Authority	VT005	121	25.10%	361	74.90%	<b>482</b>
Brattleboro Housing Authority	VT002	146	34.03%	283	65.97%	<b>429</b>
Bennington Housing Authority	VT009	189	49.22%	195	50.78%	<b>384</b>
Rutland Housing Authority	VT003	187	50.82%	181	49.18%	<b>368</b>
Montpelier Housing Authority	VT008	120	66.67%	60	33.33%	180
Springfield Housing Authority	VT004	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52

#### VT-501 Burlington/Chittenden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Burlington Housing Authority	VT001	2,077	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,077</b>
Vermont State Housing Authority	VT901	540	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>540</b>
Winooski Housing Authority	VT006	251	51.33%	238	48.67%	<b>489</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virgin Islands

#### VI-500 Virgin Islands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ001	0	0.00%	2,668	100.00%	<b>2,668</b>
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ901	1,410	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,410</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-500 Richmond/Henrico, Chesterfield, Hanover Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Richmond Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA007	3,103	43.89%	3,967	56.11%	<b>7,070</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	2,199	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,199</b>
Petersburg Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA020	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>48</b>

#### VA-501 Norfolk/Chesapeake, Suffolk, Isle of Wight, Southampton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA006	2,821	44.66%	3,495	55.34%	<b>6,316</b>
Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA012	1,495	77.46%	435	22.54%	<b>1,930</b>
Suffolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA025	853	64.67%	466	35.33%	<b>1,319</b>
Franklin Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA018	298	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>298</b>
Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA001	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>13</b>
Va. Beach Dept. of Hsg & Neighborhood Pres.	VA039	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10

#### VA-502 Roanoke City & County, Salem CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Roanoke Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA011	1,973	60.75%	1,275	39.25%	<b>3,248</b>
Covington Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA037	51	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>51</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	29	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>29</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-503 Virginia Beach CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Va. Beach Dept. of Hsg & Neighborhood Pres.	VA039	2,173	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,173</b>
Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA012	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	<b>32</b>
Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA006	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>10</b>

#### VA-504 Charlottesville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Charlottesville Redev & Housing Authority	VA016	367	49.39%	376	50.61%	<b>743</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	545	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>545</b>
County of Albemarle/Office of Housing	VA036	383	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>383</b>
Buckingham Housing Development Corp. Inc.	VA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### VA-505 Newport News, Hampton/Virginia Peninsula CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Newport News Redevelopment & Housng Authority	VA003	2,583	67.49%	1,244	32.51%	<b>3,827</b>
Hampton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA017	2,797	84.10%	529	15.90%	<b>3,326</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	222	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>222</b>
James City County Office of Housing	VA041	158	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>158</b>
Williamsburg Redevelopment & Housing Auth.	VA026	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	<b>104</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-507 Portsmouth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA001	1,713	65.76%	892	34.24%	<b>2,605</b>
Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA006	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6</b>
Va. Beach Dept. of Hsg & Neighborhood Pres.	VA039	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5</b>
Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA012	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4</b>

#### VA-508 Lynchburg CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lynchburg Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA013	876	72.76%	328	27.24%	<b>1,204</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	289	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>289</b>
Roanoke Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA011	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>16</b>
Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA010	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>
Buckingham Housing Development Corp. Inc.	VA044	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### VA-513 Harrisonburg, Winchester/Western Virginia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrisonburg Redevelopment & Housing Authorit	VA014	749	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>749</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	519	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>519</b>
Staunton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA023	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-514 Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania, Stafford Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	1,188	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,188</b>

#### VA-521 Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	3,822	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,822</b>
Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA010	1,374	73.99%	483	26.01%	<b>1,857</b>
Petersburg Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA020	676	62.25%	410	37.75%	<b>1,086</b>
Wise County Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA024	736	79.31%	192	20.69%	<b>928</b>
Hopewell Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA005	380	51.35%	360	48.65%	<b>740</b>
Bristol Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA002	247	39.97%	371	60.03%	618
Waynesboro Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA022	391	67.53%	188	32.47%	579
Lee County Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA034	460	85.03%	81	14.97%	541
Marion Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA030	203	46.03%	238	53.97%	441
Accomack-Northampton Regional Housing Auth	VA040	432	100.00%	0	0.00%	432
Wytheville Redev. & Housing Authority	VA021	114	34.13%	220	65.87%	334
Norton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA015	103	32.09%	218	67.91%	321
Cumberland Plateau Regional Housing Authority	VA029	0	0.00%	309	100.00%	309
Scott County Redev. & Housing Authority	VA031	190	63.12%	111	36.88%	301
Staunton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA023	159	100.00%	0	0.00%	159
Abingdon Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA032	96	77.42%	28	22.58%	124
People Inc. of Southwest Virginia	VA042	103	100.00%	0	0.00%	103



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-521 Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Big Stone Gap Redevelopment and Housing Auth.	VA038	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Buckingham Housing Development Corp. Inc.	VA044	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
Roanoke Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA011	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	10
Richmond Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA007	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	3

#### VA-600 Arlington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Arlington County Dept of Human Services	VA028	1,408	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,408
Fairfax County Redevelopment & Hsg Authority	VA019	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12

#### VA-601 Fairfax County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fairfax County Redevelopment & Hsg Authority	VA019	4,026	79.16%	1,060	20.84%	5,086
Alexandria Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA004	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8

#### VA-602 Loudoun County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Loudoun County Department of Family Services	VA035	573	100.00%	0	0.00%	573



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-603 Alexandria CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Alexandria Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA004	1,399	63.36%	809	36.64%	<b>2,208</b>

#### VA-604 Prince William County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Prince William County Office of HCD	VA046	1,934	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,934</b>
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	298	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>298</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-500 Seattle/King County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA OF KING COUNTY	WA002	11,927	83.61%	2,338	16.39%	<b>14,265</b>
Seattle Housing Authority	WA001	7,791	56.86%	5,912	43.14%	<b>13,703</b>
HA City of Renton	WA011	854	82.91%	176	17.09%	<b>1,030</b>
HA Of Pierce County	WA054	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3</b>

#### WA-501 Washington Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority City of Bellingham	WA025	1,658	75.88%	527	24.12%	<b>2,185</b>
HA Of Thurston County	WA049	1,985	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,985</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	WA003	1,506	88.69%	192	11.31%	<b>1,698</b>
Housing Authority City of Longview	WA007	1,307	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,307</b>
HA City of Kennewick	WA012	838	81.52%	190	18.48%	<b>1,028</b>
HA City of Walla Walla	WA057	1,027	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,027
Peninsula Housing Authority	WA004	547	67.61%	262	32.39%	809
HA City of Spokane	WA055	696	100.00%	0	0.00%	696
HA Of Grays Harbor County	WA018	198	32.30%	415	67.70%	613
HA City of Pasco and Franklin County	WA021	257	47.86%	280	52.14%	537
Housing Authority of Skagit County	WA061	530	100.00%	0	0.00%	530
HA Of Chelan Co and the City of Wenatchee	WA064	468	100.00%	0	0.00%	468
HA Of Grant County	WA014	239	52.30%	218	47.70%	457
Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Auth	WA036	318	70.04%	136	29.96%	454



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-501 Washington Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Kelso	WA020	242	67.60%	116	32.40%	358
HA Of Asotin County	WA017	215	60.56%	140	39.44%	355
HA Of Island County	WA024	211	65.73%	110	34.27%	321
Columbia Gorge Housing Authority	WA013	250	100.00%	0	0.00%	250
Housing Authority of Okanogan County	WA071	247	100.00%	0	0.00%	247
HA City of Anacortes	WA010	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Housing Authority of Kittitas County	WA009	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
HA OF KING COUNTY	WA002	17	19.54%	70	80.46%	87
HA City of Sedro Woolley	WA030	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
HA Of Whatcom County	WA041	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority City of Othello	WA026	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
HA City of Yakima	WA042	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	WA039	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	8
HA Of Pierce County	WA054	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	ID901	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	1

#### WA-502 Spokane City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Spokane	WA055	4,328	98.32%	74	1.68%	<b>4,402</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-503 Tacoma, Lakewood/Pierce County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Tacoma	WA005	4,283	91.71%	387	8.29%	<b>4,670</b>
HA Of Pierce County	WA054	2,585	95.39%	125	4.61%	<b>2,710</b>
HA OF KING COUNTY	WA002	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>16</b>

#### WA-504 Everett/Snohomish County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	WA039	3,561	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3,561</b>
HA City of Everett	WA006	2,788	90.64%	288	9.36%	<b>3,076</b>

#### WA-507 Yakima City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Yakima	WA042	811	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>811</b>
Housing Authority of Sunnyside, Washington	WA035	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	<b>140</b>

#### WA-508 Vancouver/Clark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	WA008	2,930	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,930</b>
Housing Authority City of Longview	WA007	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>52</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-500 Wheeling, Weirton Area CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wheeling	WV003	464	43.08%	613	56.92%	<b>1,077</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Weirton	WV016	317	74.07%	111	25.93%	<b>428</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Moundsville	WV011	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	<b>248</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Parkersburg	WV005	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>230</b>
Housing Authority of Benwood and McMechen	WV014	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	<b>178</b>
Housing Authority of Raleigh County	WV039	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>

#### WV-501 Huntington/Cabell, Wayne Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Huntington	WV004	1,333	59.35%	913	40.65%	<b>2,246</b>
Housing Authority of Mingo County	WV037	684	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>684</b>

#### WV-503 Charleston/Kanawha, Putnam, Boone, Clay Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Charleston/Kanawha Housing Authority	WV001	2,970	72.26%	1,140	27.74%	<b>4,110</b>
Housing Authority of Boone County	WV042	243	76.42%	75	23.58%	<b>318</b>
Housing Authority of the City of South Charleston	WV022	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	<b>121</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Dunbar	WV024	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	<b>101</b>
Housing Authority of the City of St. Albans	WV021	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Huntington	WV004	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-508 West Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Raleigh County	WV039	1,277	95.51%	60	4.49%	<b>1,337</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Parkersburg	WV005	1,136	88.27%	151	11.73%	<b>1,287</b>
Fairmont/Morgantown Housing Authority	WV009	1,079	88.81%	136	11.19%	<b>1,215</b>
Housing Authority of Mingo County	WV037	769	95.65%	35	4.35%	<b>804</b>
Clarksburg/Harrison Housing Authority	WV027	471	59.32%	323	40.68%	<b>794</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Martinsburg	WV006	277	45.86%	327	54.14%	604
Housing Authority of the City of Beckley	WV015	395	65.40%	209	34.60%	604
Housing Authority of the County of Jackson	WV035	445	75.04%	148	24.96%	593
Housing Authority of Randolph County	WV045	579	100.00%	0	0.00%	579
Housing Authority of the City of Bluefield	WV018	331	67.14%	162	32.86%	493
Housing Authority of the City of Keyser	WV010	221	72.22%	85	27.78%	306
Housing Authority of Boone County	WV042	254	100.00%	0	0.00%	254
Housing Authority of the City of Williamson	WV008	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	248
Housing Authority of the City of Pt. Pleasant	WV017	94	40.69%	137	59.31%	231
Greenbrier County Housing Authority	WV046	204	100.00%	0	0.00%	204
Housing Authority of the City of Grafton	WV012	0	0.00%	204	100.00%	204
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Hope	WV007	0	0.00%	135	100.00%	135
Housing Authority of the City of Spencer	WV026	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	109
Housing Authority of the City of Piedmont	WV029	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Housing Authority of the City of Buckhannon	WV013	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the City of Elkins	WV020	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Weston	WV028	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-508 West Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Romney	WV044	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Grant County Housing Authority	WV034	47	100.00%	0	0.00%	47
Housing Authority of the City of Huntington	WV004	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
Charleston/Kanawha Housing Authority	WV001	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brown County Housing Authority	WI186	2,920	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,920</b>
Wisconsin Housing & Economic Development Authority	WI901	2,044	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2,044</b>
Waukesha Housing Authority	WI142	1,191	81.91%	263	18.09%	<b>1,454</b>
Kenosha Housing Authority	WI195	1,011	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,011</b>
City of Appleton Housing Authority	WI065	597	73.89%	211	26.11%	<b>808</b>
La Crosse Housing Authority	WI006	150	20.19%	593	79.81%	743
Beloit Housing Authority	WI064	571	81.34%	131	18.66%	702
Housing Authority of the City of Superior	WI001	106	18.60%	464	81.40%	570
Janesville Neighborhood Services	WI219	526	100.00%	0	0.00%	526
Housing Authority of Winnebago County, WI	WI213	412	83.06%	84	16.94%	496
Wausau Community Development Authority	WI031	274	58.42%	195	41.58%	469
Fond du Lac County Housing Authority	WI246	405	90.40%	43	9.60%	448
Wisconsin Rapids Housing Authority	WI068	210	51.85%	195	48.15%	405
Chippewa County Housing Authority	WI248	404	100.00%	0	0.00%	404
Sheboygan Housing Authority	WI047	135	36.00%	240	64.00%	375
Walworth County Housing Authority	WI244	374	100.00%	0	0.00%	374
Housing Authority of the City of Oshkosh, WI	WI113	0	0.00%	278	100.00%	278
Marshfield Community Development Authority	WI011	62	22.88%	209	77.12%	271
Trempealeau County Housing Authority	WI166	200	76.92%	60	23.08%	260
Stevens Point Housing Authority	WI037	0	0.00%	246	100.00%	246
Fond du Lac Housing Authority	WI038	0	0.00%	242	100.00%	242
Eau Claire County Housing Authority	WI193	205	93.61%	14	6.39%	219



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Sauk County Housing Authority	WI204	174	81.31%	40	18.69%	214
Door County Housing Authority	WI206	214	100.00%	0	0.00%	214
Ashland Housing Authority	WI131	42	20.10%	167	79.90%	209
Green Bay Housing Authority	WI074	0	0.00%	203	100.00%	203
Shawano County Housing Authority	WI139	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Portage County Housing Authority	WI237	199	100.00%	0	0.00%	199
West Bend Housing Authority	WI083	197	100.00%	0	0.00%	197
Shawano Housing Authority	WI045	42	22.34%	146	77.66%	188
Richland County Housing Authority	WI256	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
Barron County Housing Authority	WI245	180	100.00%	0	0.00%	180
Marinette County Housing Authority	WI241	176	100.00%	0	0.00%	176
Sawyer County Housing Authority	WI222	170	100.00%	0	0.00%	170
Rhinelanders Housing Authority	WI070	105	67.74%	50	32.26%	155
Antigo Housing Authority	WI085	148	100.00%	0	0.00%	148
River Falls Housing Authority	WI060	68	49.28%	70	50.72%	138
Kaukauna Housing Authority	WI043	64	48.12%	69	51.88%	133
Dodge County Housing Authority	WI233	130	100.00%	0	0.00%	130
Viroqua Housing Authority	WI118	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129
LaCrosse County Housing Authority	WI253	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
New London Housing Authority	WI048	65	51.18%	62	48.82%	127
Rice Lake Housing Authority	WI050	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Menomonie Housing Authority	WI004	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mauston Housing Authority	WI069	70	58.33%	50	41.67%	120
Eau Claire Housing Authority	WI207	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
City of Edgerton Housing Authority	WI025	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	104
Merrill Housing Authority	WI017	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Tomah Public Housing Authority	WI096	73	72.28%	28	27.72%	101
Manitowoc Housing Authority	WI024	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
DePere Housing Authority	WI102	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Monroe County Housing Authority	WI205	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	96
Platteville Housing Authority	WI208	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92
Washburn Housing Authority	WI127	56	60.87%	36	39.13%	92
Amery Housing Authority	WI019	38	42.70%	51	57.30%	89
Ashland County Housing Authority	WI231	46	53.49%	40	46.51%	86
Monroe Housing Authority	WI028	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Watertown Housing Authority	WI076	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Marinette Housing Authority	WI049	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Oconto Housing Authority	WI044	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Evansville Housing Authority	WI203	68	100.00%	0	0.00%	68
Dunn County Housing Authority	WI160	66	100.00%	0	0.00%	66
Wausaukee Housing Authority	WI063	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Lake Mills Housing Authority	WI041	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Clintonville Housing Authority	WI072	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Jefferson Housing Authority	WI086	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Richland Center Housing Authority	WI046	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Thorp Housing Authority	WI077	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of the City of New Richmond	WI020	18	31.03%	40	68.97%	58
Sparta Housing Authority	WI058	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Lafayette County Housing Authority	WI221	27	49.09%	28	50.91%	55
Hurley Housing Authority	WI040	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Frederic Housing Authority	WI056	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Hudson Housing Authority	WI042	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Dodgeville Housing Authority	WI091	51	100.00%	0	0.00%	51
Baraboo Community Development Authority	WI090	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Plymouth Housing Authority	WI018	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Slinger Housing Authority	WI247	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Boscobel Housing Authority	WI158	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Bruce Housing Authority	WI029	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Chilton Housing Authority	WI251	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Stanley Housing Authority	WI015	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Burnett County Housing Authority	WI242	10	23.81%	32	76.19%	42
Peshtigo Housing Authority	WI129	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Ladysmith Housing Authority	WI111	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Spooner Housing Authority	WI016	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Sauk City Housing Authority	WI093	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Prairie du Chien Housing Authority	WI067	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Algoma Housing Authority	WI034	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Altoona Housing Authority	WI033	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Park Falls Housing Authority	WI098	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Osceola Housing Authority	WI073	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Barron	WI023	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Chetek Housing Authority	WI051	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Abbotsford Housing Authority	WI026	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Albany Housing Authority	WI055	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of the City of Shell Lake	WI061	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of the City of Cumberland	WI052	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Grantsburg Housing Authority	WI071	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Reedsville Housing Authority	WI030	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Woodville Housing Authority	WI059	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Mondovi Housing Authority	WI066	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Brillion Housing Authority	WI021	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Pulaski Housing Authority	WI075	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Lincoln County Housing Authority	WI226	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Greenwood Housing Authority	WI032	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Crawford County Housing Authority	WI230	19	100.00%	0	0.00%	19
Luck Housing Authority	WI057	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Dane County Housing Authority	WI214	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	2



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-501 Milwaukee City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	WI002	5,588	61.51%	3,496	38.49%	<b>9,084</b>
Milwaukee Co DHHS - Housing Division	WI218	1,912	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1,912</b>
CDA of the City of West Allis	WI201	496	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>496</b>
South Milwaukee Housing Auth.	WI008	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>
Waukesha Housing Authority	WI142	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2</b>

#### WI-502 Racine City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Racine County	WI183	1,596	99.50%	8	0.50%	<b>1,604</b>

#### WI-503 Madison/Dane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Madison Community Development Authority	WI003	1,711	69.05%	767	30.95%	<b>2,478</b>
Dane County Housing Authority	WI214	1,061	92.50%	86	7.50%	<b>1,147</b>
DeForest Housing Authority	WI249	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	<b>36</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wyoming

#### WY-500 Wyoming Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Cheyenne	WY002	1,848	84.42%	341	15.58%	<b>2,189</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Casper	WY004	522	87.44%	75	12.56%	<b>597</b>
Rock Springs Housing Authority	WY003	51	33.77%	100	66.23%	<b>151</b>
Evanston Housing Authority	WY013	70	46.67%	80	53.33%	<b>150</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Douglas	WY005	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	<b>49</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Buffalo	WY015	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Lusk Housing Authority	WY008	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Hanna Housing Authority	WY010	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20