Section Five: Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

The Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) is a mainframe-based computer application that allows HUD staff and ESG grantees (as well as other formula-funded program grantees) to track projects and activities. This section of the Guide introduces the management and reporting capabilities of IDIS vis-à-vis the ESG program, and outlines administrative matters such as the classification of costs, eligible expenditures, and the procedure for drawdown of ESG funds. For a more detailed exploration of the Integrated Disbursement and Information System, you may visit the IDIS web site at http://www.hud.gov/cpd/idis/idisweb.html. You may also wish to link directly to the IDIS Reference Manual at http://www.hud.gov/cpd/idis/refman/ref_man.html.

5.1 What Can IDIS Do?

The Integrated Disbursement and Information System was designed to provide program participants and HUD staff with financial management, information reporting and performance monitoring capabilities. In addition, IDIS was designed to interact with other HUD systems and for use by a variety of users, including grantees, HUD Field Offices and HUD Headquarters. As such, IDIS can be an effective tool for grantees to manage information about recipient progress, manage program funds, disburse program funds and create financial and program management reports. The system has the added benefit of reducing paperwork and year-end processing time involved in managing the ESG program.
5.2 Using IDIS to Monitor ESG Expenditures

The IDIS reporting capability allows the ESG grantee to manage and monitor programs throughout the program year by tracking disbursements and reporting performance. Because IDIS is a “real-time” system, all reports are up-to-date as of the time they are run.

Once a grantee’s projects have been set up in IDIS (or the information has been transferred from the Consolidated Planning System), grant funds are then transferred to IDIS and the grantee is ready to set up activities, drawdown funds and report on the progress of each activity. Each project is set-up and described in IDIS through a series of activities. The system uses specific activity codes (called Matrix Codes). Data issues may arise if a project is set up or described incorrectly in IDIS. Therefore, it is essential that grantees use the correct Matrix Codes and accurate activity descriptions when establishing a project. This process is explained further in Subsection 5.4.

ESG grantees can use IDIS to:

- Set up activities and link them to existing projects and the Consolidated Plan;
- Collect data for tracking and reporting on performance of program activities;
- Maintain a running account of funds for all program participants including the amount allocated and committed to specific local projects and activities;
- Identify and reflect program income that funds the activities;
- Disburse funds for grantees from programs to eligible expenses;
- Provide current information on accomplishments and progress of participants;
- Create individual and consolidated reports that are used by program participants, HUD Field Office and HUD Headquarters;
- Provide a basis for assessing the effectiveness of HUD’s formula-funded programs.
Each activity in IDIS has a name, is linked to a project, and has an assigned budget. Once an activity is set up, funds are committed (obligated) to that specific activity. Committed funds are not interchangeable between various activities, and funds can be drawn down only after they are committed. Grantees and HUD staff use reports generated from IDIS to track ESG disbursements and progress of each project.

5.3 IDIS Reporting Capability

IDIS features a number of reports that provide useful monitoring information for ESG programs. These include:

- **ESG Grantee Statistics**: provides beneficiary statistics on residential and non-residential activities, direct benefit information, and the dollars committed to ESG projects. Demographics include number of children and adults served, characteristics of beneficiary (e.g., runaway youth, chronically mentally ill, alcohol dependent, etc.), family size, race/ethnicity, etc.

- **ESG Grantee Activity Summary**: provides the grantee’s total committed and disbursed dollars for all ESG projects and for each individual project, by type of expenditure; and

- **ESG Grantee Financial Summary**: shows the full grant, and committed/disbursed amounts for each ESG grantee.

These reporting capabilities can facilitate the preparation of annual reports required for each program. Furthermore, IDIS consolidates and organizes the ESG data in the system in a manner required by the program.

5.4 ESG Projects and Activities in IDIS

**Identifying ESG Projects in IDIS – “The Shelter is the Project”**

IDIS is designed to identify the grantee’s projects, and within each project the activities that are being provided by that grant. In the ESG program, the maxim to keep in mind is “The Shelter is the Project.” For instance, an organization may operate several shelter facilities, only one of which receives ESG support. Therefore, to identify the project in IDIS, use the name of the facility (e.g.,
“Harbor Light Shelter-ESG01”) rather than the name of the organization operating the shelter (e.g., “Salvation Army”). Likewise, if the ESG funding supports services such as meal distribution, use, for example, “Zaccheus Community Kitchen-ESG01” rather than the name of the sponsoring organization (e.g., “Catholic Community Services”).

In the above examples, “ESG01” has been added after the project name in order to identify both that it is an ESG project and that it is for the 2001 program year. This is necessary, since IDIS is based on a First In-First Out (FIFO) accounting system where payment amounts are made for the earliest program grant year. ESG requires that its grantees meet program limitations and deadlines such as the two-year expenditure requirement. Therefore, it is important to keep track of the program year for which the ESG payments are made. In order for the grantee to track its payments for a specific program year, it needs to add a code after the project name to indicate both that it is an ESG project and that it is for the correct program year. Each grantee can include short additional codes for its own budgeting purposes.

As with the IDIS matrix codes needed to be used for activities, each project also needs its own project matrix code. The project matrix code for all ESG projects should be Homeless Facilities (not operating costs), which is Matrix Code 03C.

Note: The Homeless Facilities matrix code used to identify all ESG projects is the same one used as the activity code for rehabilitation or renovation (see below).

**ESG Activities and IDIS Matrix Codes**

The IDIS database includes eligible activity codes that accommodate all of HUD’s Community Planning and Development formula grant programs. However, it is important to keep in mind that ESG funds must be directly related to the five eligible categories of ESG activities – Rehabilitation, Essential Services, Operations, Homeless Prevention, and Administration. For this reason, the ESG program limits the choice to just one matrix code for each ESG activity.
Listed below is the matrix code that applies to each ESG eligible activity:

### IDIS Matrix Codes for ESG Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESG Activity</th>
<th>Matrix Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation, Renovation,</td>
<td>03C – Homeless Facilities (not operating costs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential Services</td>
<td>05 – Public Services (General)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations, Maintenance</td>
<td>03T – Operating costs of Homeless/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>05Q – Subsistence Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>21A – General Program Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.5 The Drawdown of Funds Under IDIS

As outlined in Subsection 2.6, ESG recipients make requests to the grantee for program funds to meet project costs on a cost-reimbursement basis. This may be done on a pre-determined schedule (e.g., monthly) established between the grantee and recipient. Once ESG funds have been committed to the relevant activities, the grantee can make disbursements or draw down the committed funds using IDIS.

The drawdown of funds in IDIS is a three-step process. The computer prompts the user to:

1. **Designate a grantee or subgrantee:** by doing so, IDIS knows which grants, receipt funds, subfunds, or subgrants to associate with a particular grantee. For ESG, only grantees are presently authorized to draw down funds.

2. **Create a drawdown:** this is also called creating a voucher. When the drawdown request is generated, IDIS performs a preliminary validation on it, then generates a voucher number.

3. **Approve a drawdown:** the authorized person in the grantee’s organization approves each voucher line item or the entire voucher. The approved line items are submitted to the HUD financial system (LOCCS), and if approved, sent to the U.S. Treasury for payment.
Thus, it is the IDIS interface with the HUD Financial System that results in the disbursement of grant funds and the final approval of requested drawdowns.

There are three limits on the amount of a drawdown. A drawdown cannot exceed the:

- Total amount estimated for the activity (its budget);
- Total amount funded from a grant for an activity; or
- Balance of the grant (grant less all previous drawdowns).

Funds drawn down by grantee governments should be disbursed within three (3) days. Similarly, grantees’ recipients should disburse funds in payment of program costs within three (3) days of receipt of funds from grantees’ account.
Section Six: Performance Monitoring and Reporting

This section discusses the goals of the ESG program, the value and necessity of reporting on project progress, and the other strategies for monitoring by HUD Field Offices or grantees. This section covers the following:

- Tracking and Monitoring Progress
- Reporting on ESG Activities

6.1 Tracking and Monitoring Progress

Monitoring progress of recipients under the ESG program is an important function of the grantee and of HUD Field Offices to ensure that the basic ESG program goals are met. Through monitoring of grantee and project activities, grantees and recipients can demonstrate how particular homelessness prevention and shelter activities contribute to the broader goals of the ESG program which include:

- Providing a first step in the Continuum of Care model to assist homeless individuals and families toward independence;
- Providing decent, safe and sanitary accommodations to homeless residents and participants.

Monitoring can take a number of forms and can include review of progress reports, telephone consultation, and performance of on-site assessments. The three basic goals for oversight and monitoring of the progress and performance of ESG grantees/recipients include:

- Ensure that ESG funds are used effectively to assist homeless individuals and families and that the basic ESG program goals are met;
Ensure compliance with ESG regulations and program requirements in
the usage of funds and in carrying out program activities; and
Enhance and develop the management capacity of grantees/recipient.

**Grantee and Recipient Monitoring**

In addition to reviewing regular reports submitted by grantees and
recipient organizations, HUD Field Offices often perform a number of
other types of monitoring. Monitoring might include on-site visits, review
of records such as client files, financial records, and interviews with staff
and project participants. On-site visits and review of grantees and recipient
organization records can provide the HUD Field Office with a full picture
of how the grantee and its recipient organizations are complying with ESG
program requirements and goals.

The documents or reports that the HUD Field Office may review in
monitoring a grantee and its recipients (either in a visit or off-site) may
include the following:

- Applications for ESG funding from eligible agencies and nonprofit
  organizations and copy of a Request for Proposals (if used);
- Award notifications, grant agreements, or contracts between HUD and
  grantee or grantee and recipients;
- Correspondence from grantees or recipients concerning questions
  about eligible costs, substantial changes in the uses of ESG funds or
  any other issues;
- Financial reports or audits that grantees or recipients submit;
- Reports requested from grantees concerning activities undertaken with
  ESG funds; and
- Records related to monitoring reviews.

On-site monitoring by a HUD Field Office to a grantee might involve:

- Formal and advance notification of the visit;
- Pre-visit preparation based on review of existing information;
- Coverage of the areas outlined below; and
Clear conclusions and recommendations provided to the grantee following the visit.

**Areas for Monitoring**

The areas for monitoring and oversight include the following:

- **Eligible Activities Requirements** – Ensure that grantees and recipients are using ESG funds as originally planned and for eligible activities. The reviewer should determine if costs are properly classified and if spending limits on certain activities have been properly adhered to. The reviewer should ensure that the activities funded by ESG benefit homeless persons and that they are provided at a reasonable cost.

- **Financial Regulations** – Ensure that grantees and recipients are appropriately following financial management requirements (see Section Seven for more information).

- **Program Disbursements** – Ensure that grantees and recipients draw down funds in compliance with requirements (see Section Seven for more information).

- **Procurement and Audits** – Ensure that grantees and recipients comply with such requirements (see Section Seven for more information).

- **Conflict of Interest, Environmental Compliance, and Other Federal Requirements** – Ensure that grantees and recipients comply with these requirements (see Section Eight for more information on these requirements).

**Training and Information Dissemination for Grantees and Recipients**

Training and dissemination of program requirements is another technique of monitoring. The more information that is provided to grantees and recipients at the beginning of the grant period, the fewer issues are likely to arise during monitoring and on-site visits.

Often grantees or HUD Field Offices offer an orientation to and/or start-up training on the ESG program for all recipients of ESG funds in the jurisdiction. An effective time to present information is when ESG allotments have been determined by the grantee and the grant agreement has been developed and signed by both parties. Additional methods for information dissemination include holding training sessions, sponsoring
meetings, and providing technical assistance at various points throughout
the grant period.

The types of information that grantees typically provide to recipients at
these opportunities include: program regulations, program requirements
including deadlines for expenditure of funds, information on eligible (and
ineligible) activities, reporting requirements and instructions on requesting
reimbursement of recipient costs to the grantee.

**Self-monitoring by Recipient Organizations**

Self-monitoring of project progress and outcomes is an equally important
activity for ESG recipient organizations. Information tracking mechanisms
should be established at the beginning of a reporting period, so that
information is consistently collected throughout the period. Such tracking
allows the recipient to understand if its project is meeting ESG program
goals as well as the terms of its grant agreement.

Many grantees find it useful to include a number of self-monitoring
requirements within the grant agreement. These might require a recipient
to track particular outcomes that were identified in the grant agreement.
For example, a project may have pledged to place a certain percentage of
project participants into permanent housing. Documenting the actual
number of placements from project records at regular intervals will inform
staff of the success rate. This should be compared to the outcome
proposed initially.

### 6.2 Reporting

**Grantee Reports to HUD**

Review of reports is a primary activity of monitoring ESG project
progress and performance of both HUD Field Offices and ESG grantees.
As the contractually responsible entity, ESG grantees should gather from
recipient organizations various pieces of program and financial
information on a regular basis. This serves not only to assist the grantee to
monitor the progress of ESG recipient organizations funded by the
jurisdiction’s ESG allotment, but also to report on these activities to HUD.
Reports to HUD are completed through use of the Integrated
Disbursement and Information System (IDIS – described below and in
Section Five of this Guide) as well as through annual reporting with the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER).

The CAPER is an annual report on progress in meeting a jurisdiction’s goals set out in their Consolidated Plan. The CAPER reports on programs funded by the Community Planning and Development formula grants (Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME, Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS (HOPWA), and Emergency Shelter Grants). In the annual CAPER, for example, a jurisdiction funded with an ESG grant should report on the number of homeless persons provided with services through the ESG program during the year, and the types of activities which were funded by the program. Annual reporting to HUD is discussed more fully below in Subsection 6.3.

The IDIS system is designed to be an integrated database and serves not only as a reporting mechanism to HUD, but also as a program and financial management tool to assist grantees to track recipient progress. In IDIS, the grantee reports on a periodic basis the following recipient project information:

- Number of participants in each recipients’ ESG project (i.e., average number served daily and yearly);
- Demographic information on participants receiving services through the ESG recipient (including race/ethnicity, age, sex, and families);
- Type of services or housing assistance provided by the recipient;
- Description of the beneficiaries of the ESG project (such as mentally ill, runaway youth, battered spouse, etc.);
- Shelter type; and
- Other sources of funding to support the ESG project.

Assessing recipient progress through these periodic IDIS reports allows the grantee to understand if the recipient is meeting program requirements, carrying out eligible activities and expending funds in a timely manner.

Recipient Reports to the Grantee

In order to obtain the information that is required in IDIS, most grantees require regular, detailed reporting by the recipient. Reporting often occurs in conjunction with a recipient’s request for reimbursement of ESG costs. At a minimum, recipient reporting to the grantee should occur on an
annual basis, which is HUD’s requirement for reporting accomplishments and the number and type of participants served by each ESG project. Many grantees design a reporting format for recipients to complete in order to ensure that consistent and comprehensive information about program activities and meeting of program goals is obtained across all recipient organizations. In addition, these forms ensure that the recipient provides to the grantee all information required by IDIS for HUD. These reporting forms might include, for example, questions on the number of participants served in the immediately past reporting period, the types of services provided, and the number of clients to whom these services were delivered. Other information such as demographic characteristics of the participants should be gathered as well.

Below is a sample grantee reporting form requesting information from recipient organizations:
Recipient Organization: Please complete the following items and submit with the initial reimbursement request in each annual reporting period.

**Project Title and Address**

---

**Activity Name and Description:**

---

**Race/Ethnicity of persons served by the Project:** (Report actual numbers)

- [ ] White, Non-Hispanic
- [ ] Black, Non-Hispanic
- [ ] Hispanic
- [ ] Asian/Pacific Islander
- [ ] American Indian/Alaskan Native
- [ ] **TOTAL**
- [ ] Number of Female-headed households

**Indicate type of projects(s) and service(s):**

- [ ] emergency shelter facilities
- [ ] vouchers for shelters
- [ ] drop-in-center
- [ ] food pantry
- [ ] mental health
- [ ] alcohol/drug program
- [ ] child care
- [ ] other (please list)

**Number of People Served for each activity:**

**Residential Services:**
- average number of adults daily ____
- average number of children daily ____
- average number served yearly ____

**Non-residential Services:**
- average number serviced daily ____
REPORT TO THE GRANTEE (continued)

The following information is for residential services only:

On an average day in the immediately past reporting period, please provide approximate percentages of the following project participants:

- Unaccompanied 18 and over: male____% female____%
- Unaccompanied under 18: male____% female____%
- Families with children headed by:
  - Single 18 and over: male____% female____%
  - Youth 18 and under: ______%
  - Two parents 18 and over: ______%
  - Two parents under 18: ______%
- Families with no children: ______%

On an average day in the immediately past reporting period, provide the percentage of the population served who are:

- Battered spouses: ______
- Drug dependent individuals: ______
- Runaway/throwaway youth: ______
- Elderly: ______
- Chronically mentally ill: ______
- Veterans: ______
- Developmentally disabled: ______
- Physically disabled: ______
- HIV/AIDS: ______
- Other: ______
- Alcohol dependent individuals: ______

Please indicate the number of persons housed at any given time in each shelter type funded through the ESG program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shelter type</th>
<th>Number of persons housed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>barracks</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group/large house</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scattered site apartment</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single family detached house</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single room occupancy</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mobile home/trailer</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hotel/motel</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other (describe)</td>
<td>______</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed ESG Project Match: For reporting to HUD at the end of the year, indicate the specific sources and amounts of matching funds for your ESG projects:

- ESG Funds: $ ______
The importance of timely and accurate performance reports cannot be overstated. Grantees should strive to ensure that all applicable deadlines are met. Performance reporting meets several basic purposes:

- It provides HUD with necessary information for the Department to meet its statutory requirement to assess each grantee’s ability to carry...
out relevant CPD programs in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations;

- It provides information necessary for HUD’s Annual Report to Congress, also statutorily mandated;

- Along with information grantees provide on their IDIS completion screens and reports, it provides the basis for grantee monitoring; and

- It provides grantees an opportunity to describe to citizens their successes in revitalizing communities and meeting objectives stipulated in their Consolidated Plan. HUD shares grantees’ interest in assuring that local governments, citizens, community groups and other interested stakeholders in the community development process are accurately informed of the use of these federal resources. HUD also acknowledges that traditional reports containing extensive statistical information are always informative. For that reason, grantees gave the flexibility to format and arrange data in ways that will best communicate to local audiences. Maps often substitute effectively for written data and HUD encourages the use of mapping technology in developing the annual report.

**IDIS Completion**

By the end of each program year, grantees must provide information on the accomplishments, as well as the number and characteristics of the population served by each ESG project by finishing the IDIS completion path. In order to avoid the overcounting of the population served, only the IDIS completion screens for the first activity for each ESG project (e.g. shelter) should be completed. The completion path includes a short narrative, which should highlight the accomplishments and successes for the whole project in serving its formerly homeless persons.

**Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) Requirements**

Grantees must also submit CAPERs to Field Offices 90 days after the end of the program year. Any request to deviate from this deadline must be in the form of a waiver request to the Field Office, and can be approved only by the Assistant Secretary for CPD. Any requests for waivers of reporting deadlines must be submitted in advance of the normal deadline. The Department will not consider reporting deadline waiver requests submitted after the reporting deadline has passed, or so close to the reporting
deadline that the deadline passes before the waiver can be transmitted to Headquarters.

Annual performance reports are due 90 days after the close of the grantee's consolidated program year. Grantees should submit a copy of their annual Consolidated Plan report to Headquarters simultaneous with its submission to the Field Office.

The parts of the CAPER dealing with each grantee’s projects should include the following items:

1) **IDIS Reports:**
   These reports need to clearly document the ESG funds drawn down and expended by all activities and projects of the grantee for its consolidated program year.

2) **Narrative Reporting for ESG:**
   a) **Assessment of Five Year Goals and Objectives**
      Grantees must demonstrate how activities undertaken during the program year address pertinent Strategic Plan objectives relating to housing and homeless needs and areas of high priority identified in their five year Consolidated Plan. Narrative information should be provided that describes how activities address these objectives so that overall performance in meeting Consolidated Plan goals can be assessed. For example, ESG program activities that serve the homeless or persons with special needs should be referenced to specific objectives and/or goals in the Strategic Plan. This information should be summary information so that HUD and the public can easily assess annual progress made toward meeting longer term goals. (24 CFR Part 91)

   b) **Continuum of Care Narrative**
      The report should include information on actions taken to address the needs of homeless persons including those with special needs and those at immediate risk of homelessness. This narrative should include a summary of actions taken during the program year to develop and implement a Continuum of Care strategy for the homeless, i.e. actions taken to prevent homelessness, to address the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant sub-populations such
as those living on the streets), to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living. (This should include new Federal resources obtained during the year from the Continuum of Care Super NOFA to prevent homelessness, address emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families, and to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing.) (24 CFR 91.315(c), 91.320(e), and 91.520)

c) **Leveraging Resources and Match**

The report should describe progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources that address needs identified in the plan. The report should also discuss how Federal resources made available from HUD leveraged "other" public and private resources, including how the matching requirements were satisfied. The ESG program match must identify the specific sources of all matching funds.

d) **Self-Evaluation**

The Consolidated Plan is a concept that is designed to enable State, local government officials and citizens to become more aware of the larger picture and the extent to which all related programs are effective in collectively solving neighborhood and community problems. Moving beyond the compilation of program outputs, there must be a focus on results that will allow States and local governments to assess progress in meeting the priority needs and specific objectives identified in their strategic plans and action plans in order to help make communities' vision of the future a reality as described in Consolidated Plan regulations at 24 CFR 91.1(a).

For ESG, this self-evaluation is particularly important for States, which must pass on all of its ESG State non-entitlement funds to local governments or private nonprofit organizations. There are many questions that this self-evaluation seeks to address: Are the activities and strategies making an impact on identified needs? What indicators would best describe the results? Does the action plan clearly define and describe your program's Method of Distribution (MOD)? Does the MOD list all the eligible activities, including appropriate thresholds, rating and ranking factors/requirements, selection criteria? What barriers may have a negative impact on fulfilling the State's strategies and local governments' overall visions? What is the status of your funding system(s)? Are funds being distributed to local governments in a timely manner? Are localities in turn carrying out
funded activities in an acceptable manner, one that ensures the timely draw down of funds? Or, are there any activities or types of activities stalled and/or unreasonably falling behind schedule? Do actual expenditures differ substantially from letter of credit disbursements? Are major goals on target? And, based on the answers to these and other question, what adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities might meet your needs more effectively?

**Promising Practice: Monitoring and Evaluation**

An ESG grantee in Tennessee, in addition to complying with the regular ESG program monitoring and reporting requirements described in this section of the Guide, has instituted a system of standards called “Quality Standards of Care.” This system is used to assist grant-funded organizations in the ESG program and other city homeless service providers to maintain a specific level of service. These are standards by which programs for homeless persons throughout the city can be evaluated to help ensure that minimum expectations regarding services, facilities and financial practices are met. The standards provide an ongoing process by which an agency may self-monitor and evaluate its practices and services. In addition, the standards of care provide a tool by which funders may assess an agency’s performance in determining funding decisions.

The standards cover four primary areas: organizational practices (staffing, personnel policies, job descriptions), client services (including policies and procedures), facility and housing operations, and fiscal policies and procedures. City agencies use a self-monitoring tool to assess their own performance under the standards, and participate in an on-site monitoring visit by an independent contractor. Technical assistance is available to assist any agency to address a standard that is not in compliance.

Developed in conjunction with the city Coalition for the Homeless, the “Quality Standards of Care” provide city homeless providers with specific measurable benchmarks for self-evaluation. The standards help to assure that homeless persons receive a standardized level of care and that public funds are spent judiciously.
The ESG grantees contractual responsibility – to ensure that a recipients project is carried out and documented according to the applicable federal regulations – includes oversight on financial management. The ESG recipient delivers the day-to-day services to homeless participants, and makes requests to the grantee for program funds to meet project costs. The responsibility for ESG financial transactions (i.e., authorizing and making payments, and maintaining financial records) may rest primarily with the grantee, primarily with the recipient, or with both organizations. When the responsibility is shared, there is typically a system in place in which payments must be authorized by both the recipient and the grantee, and each maintains a portion of the financial records for the project.

Grantees and recipients in the ESG program must ensure compliance with regulations and requirements pertaining to the following key areas of financial management:

- Usage of funds
- Required funding match
- Internal controls
- Budget controls
- Cash management
- Accounting controls
- Procurement
- Property asset controls
- Audits
An important aspect of financial management in the ESG program is the use of IDIS. Grantees can generate reports from IDIS that facilitate financial management of ESG projects. For further discussion of IDIS, please see Section Five of this Guide.

The remainder of this section will address each of these key financial areas in greater detail. In addition, we have included – at the end of this section – a list of “tips” that grantees and recipients of ESG funding may use to strengthen their financial management systems.

### 7.1 Federal Regulations Regarding Usage Of Funds

Grantees and recipients must use Emergency Shelter Grants program funds as originally planned and for eligible activities. Any changes from the planned expenditures should be documented in the appropriate files. If an ESG grantee or recipient expends funds for an eligible activity other than what was proposed, appropriate staff should be notified in writing about the changes in planned expenditures. ESG funds may not be used for activities other than those authorized in the regulations. Furthermore, all expenditures must be in accordance with conditions such as funding ceilings and other limitations on the provision of services. Please refer to Section Three for further information regarding specific funding caps and limitations for each category of ESG-funded activities.

### 7.2 Required Funding Match

Each ESG grantee, other than a territory, must match the funding provided by HUD with an equal amount of funds from other sources. Furthermore, regulations require that all grantees provide HUD with details on the match – including the specific sources and amounts. Please consult Subsection 2.3 for further information on the required ESG funding match.

In general, matching funds provided by a recipient may include:

- Amount of funds from other sources;
- Salary paid to staff (not included in the award) to carry out the project of the recipient;
Time contributed by volunteers (currently determined at the rate of $5 per hour); and

The value of any donated material or building, or of any lease, calculated using a reasonable method to establish a fair market value.

The regulations at 42 U.S.C. 11375(a) contain the requirements for the ESG funding match:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Matching Amounts  42 U.S.C. 11375(a)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), each recipient under this part shall be required to supplement the assistance provided under this part with an equal amount of funds from sources other than this part. Each recipient shall certify to the Secretary its compliance with this paragraph, and shall include with such certification a description of the sources and amounts of such supplemental funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Each recipient under this part that is a State shall be required to supplement the assistance provided under this part with an amount of funds from sources other than this part equal to the difference between the amount received under this part and $100,000. If the amount received by the State is $100,000 or less, the State may not be required to supplement the assistance provided under this part.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) In calculating the amount of supplemental funds provided by a recipient under this part, a recipient may include the value of any donated material or building, the value of any lease on a building, any salary paid to staff to carry out the program of the recipient, and the value of the time and services contributed by volunteers to carry out the program of the recipient at a rate determined by the Secretary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.3 Internal Controls

Internal controls refers to the combination of policies, procedures, defined responsibilities, personnel and records that allow an organization (or an agency) to maintain adequate oversight and control of its finances. As such, internal controls reflect the *overall* financial management system of an organization or agency. Budget controls, cash management, accounting controls, procurement and property controls are sub-parts of the overall financial system.
The specific administrative requirements (i.e., financial management standards) for grants to State and local government entities are contained in 24 CFR Part 85, whiles those pertaining to grants and agreements with non-profit organizations may be found in 24 CFR Part 84. Because the regulations are fairly consistent between the two, in some cases we have cited only one Part on a given topic.

The regulatory guidance on financial management from 24 CFR 84.21 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards for financial management systems</th>
<th>24 CFR 84.21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b) Recipients' financial management systems shall provide for the following:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each federally-sponsored project…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for federally-sponsored activities. These records shall contain information pertaining to Federal awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays, income, and interest.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Effective control over and accountability for all funds, property and other assets. Recipients shall adequately safeguard all such assets and assure they are used solely for authorized purposes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Comparison of outlays with budget amounts for each award.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Written procedures to minimize the time elapsing between the receipt of funds…and the issuance or redemption of checks…for program purposes by the recipient.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Written procedures for determining the reasonableness, allocability, and allowability of costs in accordance with the provisions of Federal cost principles [Circular A-122] and the terms and conditions of the award.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Accounting records including costs accounting records that are supported by source documentation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generally, there are two ways to determine whether the system of internal controls is adequate:

- Reliance on a **recent** assessment of the financial management system of a grantee or project sponsor by a certified public auditor (CPA); or
- Evidence of features that “good business practices” contribute to a sound system of internal controls.

To assess the adequacy of internal controls using the recent judgment of a Certified Public Auditor, one may ask the following:

1. Has the organization (or agency) had an audit by an independent certified public auditor completed recently (within the last 9 months) that examined, among other things, the organization’s compliance with the financial management requirements (in 24 CFR part 84 or 85) relative to its ESG funding?
2. Did the audit report indicate any deficiencies or material weaknesses in the organization’s system of internal controls?
3. Has the organization initiated any changes in its system of internal controls, or have there been any changes in its fiscal staff, since completion of the audit?

A system of internal controls may also be assessed using evidence of features that “good business practices” are indicators of an adequate system of financial controls. These include:

- An organizational chart showing titles and lines of authority for all individuals involved in approving or recording financial (and other) transactions.
- Written position descriptions that describe the responsibilities of all key employees.
- A written policy manual specifying approval authority for financial transactions and guidelines for controlling expenditures.
- Written procedures for the recording of transactions, as well as an accounting manual and a chart of accounts.
- Adequate separation of duties to assure that no one individual has authority over an entire financial transaction.
- Hiring policies to ensure that staff qualifications are equal to job responsibilities and that individuals hired are competent to do the job.

- Control of access to accounting records, assets, blank forms, and confidential records are adequately controlled, such that only authorized persons can get access to them.

- Procedures for regular reconciliation of its financial records, comparing its records with actual assets and liabilities of the organization.

7.4 **Budget Controls**

Budget controls are a sub-part of the overall internal controls of an agency or organization.

The standard for budget controls, per 24 CFR 85.20(b)(4) and 24 CFR 84.21(b)(4), is that:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Control</th>
<th>24 CFR 85.20 (b)(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual expenditures or outlays must be compared with budgeted amounts for each grant or subgrant. Financial information must be related to performance or productivity data, including the development of unit cost information whenever appropriate or specifically required in the grant or subgrant agreement. If unit cost data are required, estimates based on available documentation will be accepted whenever possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this sub-part, the key issue is whether the grantee/recipient has adequate procedures in place to monitor ESG project expenditures and obligations against the approved ESG budget.

To assess the adequacy of budget controls, one may ask the following:

1. Does the organization (or agency) on a regular, on-going basis compare actual expenditures for the ESG award with the budgeted amounts (including the amounts budgeted for each eligible ESG expenditure category)?

2. Does the organization (or agency) relate its financial information to performance or unit cost data, as appropriate?
7.5 Cash Management

Cash management practices are always examined at the ESG grantee level, since the grantee (not the recipient) is the entity responsible for initiating the draw down of federal funds. The federal requirements for cash management, per 24 CFR 85.20(b)(7) and 24 CFR 84.21(b)(5), require that the agency (or organization) comply as follows:

**Cash management 24 CFR 85.20 (b)(7)**

Procedures for minimizing the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the U.S. Treasury and disbursement by grantees and subgrantees must be followed whenever advance payment procedures are used.

Cash management procedures may be examined to determine whether:

- Payments for project costs occur within three business days of the date of deposit of grant funds.
- Any interest on grant advances in an interest bearing account is returned to HUD.
- Information on the line of credit withdrawal vouchers is accurate.

For ESG, grantees are required to draw down funds on a **cost reimbursement basis**. That is, the ESG grantee or recipient organization must have already used its own financial resources to pay for the ESG-eligible direct costs of the project, and is drawing down federal funds to reimburse itself.

The only modification of this ESG cost reimbursement principle is for HUD-approved pre-agreement costs. Where an eligible ESG project has been identified in the jurisdiction’s Consolidated Plan with a specific grant amount, then with HUD’s approval the prospective grantee may begin to incur ESG eligible project costs prior to the signing of a grant agreement with HUD. All such expenses can then be reimbursed following the execution of the grant agreement. Grantees will need to contact their local HUD Field Office for the authority to use this pre-agreement cost process.

While grantees that draw down on a reimbursement basis are automatically satisfying the cash management regulations regarding timely disbursement, it
is still important to minimize the time between when the grantee/recipient incurs grant expenses and when it seeks reimbursement from the federal government.

### 7.6 Accounting Controls

The federal requirements for accounting records and source documentation, per 24 CFR 85.20(b)(2) and (6) and 24 CFR 84.21(b)(2) and (7), are that the agency (or organization) must do the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting records</th>
<th>24 CFR 85.20(b)(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grantees and subgrantees must maintain records which adequately identify the source and application of funds provided for financially assisted activities. These records must contain information pertaining to grant or subgrant awards and authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, liabilities, outlays or expenditures, and income.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source documentation</th>
<th>24 CFR 85.20(b)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounting records must be supported by such source documentation as cancelled checks, paid bills, payrolls, time and attendance records, contract and subgrant award documents, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accounting records/source documentation may be examined to determine whether the grantee/recipient has records that adequately identify the source and application of grant funds. In particular, whether:

- Expenditures are supported by invoices, contracts, purchase orders, etc.
- Wages chargeable to more than one grant/source are supported by time distribution records.
- Costs were eligible for support under ESG.
To assess the adequacy of accounting records and source documentation, one may ask the following:

1. Do the accounting records for the organization (or agency) identify the source and use of all funds, including information on:
   - all grant awards received;
   - authorizations or obligations of ESG funds;
   - unobligated balances;
   - assets and liabilities;
   - program income; and
   - total actual outlays or expenditures to date.

2. Are the accounting records of the organization (or agency) supported by adequate source documentation? [Note: the combination of source documentation and accounting records should provide a complete “audit trail,” documenting when a purchase was requested and by whom, how it was formally approved, what funds were used to pay for it, when it was paid and for how much.]

3. If wages for staff are chargeable to more than one funding source, are there time distribution records to support the amounts charged to the ESG grant?

4. Were the costs charged to the ESG grant all actually eligible under the ESG program? [Note: Refer to Section Three of this Guide for a list of eligible activities under the ESG program.]

5. Does the organization (or agency) have a system in place for maintaining its financial records relative to the ESG grant for four years from its last expenditure report to HUD (or until any litigation, claim, audit, or other action involving the records has been resolved, whichever comes later)?
7.7 **Procurement Rules**

The Code of Federal Regulations (http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/) establish standards and guidelines for the procurement of supplies, equipment, construction, and services to ensure that they are obtained as economically as possible through an open and competitive process, and that contracts are managed with good administrative practices and sound business judgment. The regulations include:

- standards that prohibit conflicts of interest;
- procedures for open competition with consistent technical solicitations;
- affirmative efforts to hire minority- and women-owned enterprises;
- maintenance of selection documentation; and
- a contract administration system that provides sufficient monitoring.

Purchases of services from contractors or vendors by nonprofit grantees with ESG funds are subject to the regulations in 24 CFR Part 84, while purchases by public agencies with ESG grant funds are subject to the regulations in 24 CFR Part 85.

---

**The guidelines on procurement are summarized as follows:**

- Recipients are allowed to use their own procurement procedures as long as those procedures conform to the provisions of the regulations.

- Recipients must maintain a system to handle disputes, protests, and other matters arising out of its contracts; maintain a code of conduct to prevent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, and organizational); and use solicitations which are clear and accurately describe the materials, products, or services being procured.

- Recipients must use some form of cost or price analysis in connection with each of its procurements. They must be able to justify procurements awarded on a non-competitive basis and justify the awarding of procurements by bid on the basis of other than the lowest bid.

- Recipients must initiate positive efforts to use small and minority-owned businesses to the maximum extent possible; include all applicable contract provisions in contracts; and not use the cost-plus-percentage of cost contracts.
To assess the adequacy of procurement procedures, one may ask the following:

1. Does the organization (or agency) maintain a written “standard of conduct” governing the performance of employees engaged in purchasing and/or the award or administration of contracts, in order to avoid real or apparent conflicts of interest?

2. Are all purchases or procurements (no matter how small) conducted in a manner to provide, to the extent practical, free and open competition? [Note: In very rare cases, the organization or agency is allowed to conduct “sole source” procurements (per 24 CFR 85.36(d)(4) and 24 CFR 84.44(e))].

3. Does the organization (or agency) maintain written procedures for procurement transactions (per 24 CFR 85.36(c)(3) and 24 CFR 84.44) that specify the steps taken to avoid the purchase of unnecessary items, to examine lease versus purchase alternatives, and to ensure that solicitations for goods and services contain clear and accurate descriptions of the technical requirements being sought?

4. Does the organization ensure that small, women-owned, and minority-owned businesses are used to the fullest extent possible?

5. Is some form of cost or price analysis performed for every procurement, and documented in the procurement files (per 24 CFR 84.45-46 and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(9))? [This may include comparison of prices from written bids or those obtained by telephone, market prices obtained from catalogs or advertisements, etc.]

6. For procurements that exceed the federal “small purchase” threshold (the federal threshold is $100,000, but the locality or State may set a lower threshold), do the procurement files include the following documentation:

   - Basis for contractor selection?
   - Justification for lack of competition when competitive bids or offers were not obtained?
   - Basis of award cost or price?
7. Does the organization or agency maintain a *system of contract administration* to ensure contractor conformance with terms and conditions of contracts that are awarded?

8. Do contracts awarded by the organization or agency include the relevant *written provisions* specified in 24 CFR 85.36(i) or 24 CFR 84.48?

## 7.8 Property Controls

Federal regulations regarding property controls are intended to ensure that the grantee/recipient maintains a system for tracking property and other assets purchased with grant funds, and that the grantee/recipient has procedures for ensuring such property and assets are secure and used for authorized purposes only.

Guidelines on property/equipment controls can be found in 24 CFR 85.32(d) and 24 CFR 84.34(f). The regulations for States and local governments are as follows:

### Equipment 24 CFR 85.32

*(d) Management requirements.* Procedures for managing equipment (including replacement equipment), whether acquired in whole or in part with the grant funds…will, as a minimum, meet the following requirements:

1. Property records must be maintained that include a description of the property, a serial number or other identification number, the source of property…the acquisition date, and cost of the property…

2. A physical inventory of the property must be taken and the results reconciled with the property records at least once every two years.

3. A control system must be developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage, or theft of the property.

4. Adequate maintenance procedures must be developed to keep the property in good condition.

5. If the grantee or subgrantee is authorized or required to sell the property, proper sales procedures must be established to ensure the highest possible return.

To assess the adequacy of property controls, one may ask:
1. Does the organization maintain a system for tracking property and other assets bought or leased with grant funds?

2. As part of this system, does the organization conduct a periodic (at least annual) physical inventory or inspection of property bought or leased with grant funds?

3. Does the organization have procedures in place to keep its property safe (such as adequate locks, engraving of portable equipment, and/or storage of such equipment in locations that are reasonably secure)?

4. Does the organization have systems in place to ensure that the equipment leased or purchased with grant funds is used solely for authorized purposes (e.g., leased vehicles for transporting the homeless are not employed for personal use)?

**7.9 Audits**

Audits are one way that an agency or organization obtains an independent, informed judgment regarding the sufficiency of the elements of the organization’s financial management system.

The federal standards for audits can be found in OMB Circular A-133. Basically, the federal standards (which derive from the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996) apply to any entity that received $300,000 or more in federal funds in a single year. This standard applies to both the recipient and the grantee. For example, if the project recipient did not receive $300,000 or more in federal funds, but the grantee did, then the grantee would be required to have an audit conducted in compliance with the OMB A-133 standards.

Guidelines for *non-federal* audits for State and local government entities may be found in 24 CFR 85.26, and for non-profit organizations in 24 CFR 84.26. Both set forth the same basic guidelines:
To assess the adequacy of audits, one may ask the following:

1. Did the organization (or agency) receive more than $300,000 in federal funds in aggregate during any year since the receipt of the specific ESG grant being reviewed? [If “NO”, skip to question 6 below]

2. Did the organization (or agency) have an audit completed within 9 months of the end of each of the fiscal year(s) specified in (a) above, and was/were the audit(s) conducted consistent with the standards of OMB A-133?

Specifically, did the audit(s) provide the following:

- A financial statement and schedule of federal assistance?
- An assessment about whether the records of the organization accurately reflected the actual revenues, assets, expenditures and liabilities of the organization?
- An evaluation of internal controls?
- A report on program compliance?

3. Did the organization submit the audit report to HUD and other relevant “federal awarding agencies” (identified in the organization’s “data collection form” submitted to the federal clearinghouse specified by OMB)?

4. Did the audit report contain any findings regarding deficiencies or material weaknesses, compliance findings, questioned costs, or recommendations for improvements in the organization’s financial systems?
5. Has the organization taken steps to ensure timely resolution of any audit findings, questioned costs, and/or recommendations?

6. If the organization was not required to have an audit per the standards of OMB A-133, did it nonetheless have an independent audit by a CPA that included an examination of ESG grant funds within the audit’s scope?

7.10 Financial Management Tips

The following are some general suggestions intended to address common issues and to strengthen the financial management practices of grantees/recipients in the ESG program:

- Employee time sheets should reflect actual times, not percentages. Likewise, time sheets should be signed and dated by the staff person and then the supervisor.

- When staff salaries are shared among more than one ESG budget line (e.g., essential services and operating expenses), the time sheet should reflect the distribution of time between budget lines.

- If the expenditures are paid for by more than one source (e.g., federal, United Way, private donations), the split costs should be accurately tracked within the grantee’s accounting system.

- Only actual incurred costs can be charged against the grant. For example, invoices for blocks of professional counseling time must show that participants were provided counseling services throughout that time period to be considered an actual, incurred cost.

- Grantees may not draw from their IDIS accounts until after the grantee/recipient has paid for the goods and services used in the ESG project, and are seeking reimbursement for those expenses. Requests for reimbursement with ESG funds should be made on a regular basis, such as monthly or quarterly.

- Common ineligible costs are: bad debts (e.g., late fees shown on invoices), fundraising, grant writing, public relations events, staff training, attending conferences, advertising, and entertainment.
Section Eight: Other Federal Requirements

This section outlines several additional federal requirements with which ESG-funded grantees and recipients must comply. These requirements include:

- Non-discrimination and Equal Opportunity;
- Lead-based Paint;
- Property Management Standards;
- Relocation and Displacement;
- Conflict of Interest;
- Environmental Review and Compliance; and
- Limits on Funding to Primarily Religious Organizations.

8.1 Non-discrimination and Equal Opportunity

The use of Emergency Shelter Grants amounts requires that the grantee or the State recipient make facilities and services available to all on a nondiscriminatory basis, and publicize this fact. The procedures that a grantee or recipient uses to convey the availability of such facilities and services should reach persons with handicaps or persons of any particular race, color, religion, sex, age, familial status or national origin within their service area who may qualify for them. If not, the recipient or grantee must establish additional procedures that will ensure that these persons are made aware of the facilities and services. Grantees and recipients must adopt procedures to disseminate information to anyone who is interested regarding the existence and location of handicap accessible services or facilities.
8.2 Lead-based Paint Requirements

Background

The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that housing receiving federal assistance (or being sold by the federal government) does not pose lead-based paint hazards to young children. As such, ESG grantees are subject to the requirements, as applicable, of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (LBPPPA) and the Act’s implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 35 (see Memorandum summarizing the Rule’s requirements and effective dates at: http://www.hud.gov/lea/donovan_letter.pdf) This Act, first passed by Congress in 1971 and amended several times since then, found that lead poisoning in children causes permanent damage to the brain and many other organs and results in reduced intelligence, low attention span, reading and learning disabilities, and can be linked to behavioral problems. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have claimed lead poisoning to be the most common environmental disease that threatens our young children today. The most common sources of childhood exposure to lead are deteriorated lead-based paint and lead-contaminated soil in the residential environment.

In 1992, Congress passed the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act, (http://www.hud.gov/lea/leatilex.html) referred to as "Title X." This law redefined the lead-paint hazard and set out specific requirements that necessitated a comprehensive revision of HUD's lead-based paint regulations. Title X stressed identification of hazards, notification to occupants of the existence of these hazards, and control of these hazards. HUD developed a Final Rule (http://www.hud.gov/lea/1012_3final.pdf) in September 1999 to implement sections 1012 and 1013 the statutory requirements of Title X (the sections that amend the LBPPPA) and to update and consolidate all of its lead-based paint regulations. The provisions of the new rules went into effect on September 15, 2000.

Effect of Lead-Based Paint Rules on ESG Projects

Since the ESG program deals primarily with the operation of short-term emergency shelters and the delivery of essential services to formerly homeless persons, ESG is governed by Subpart K of the Lead-Based Paint Hazard regulations. According to the Interpretive Guidance (http://www.hud.gov/lea/1012QA_final_sept21.PDF) to the regulations, most emergency shelters are exempt from the lead-based paint regulations.
Thus, emergency housing using efficiencies, studio apartments, dormitories, single room occupancy units, barracks, group homes, or room rentals in residential dwellings are all excluded from the lead-based paint requirements. The only ESG-assisted housing covered under the lead-based paint requirements is longer-term transitional housing in an apartment with one or more bedrooms AND which has family residents who are part of a program requiring continual residence of more than 100 days.

The majority of ESG projects, with their relatively short stays in HUD-assisted housing, are exempt from the Lead-based Paint requirements. Additionally, ESG projects providing essential services only are also excluded from the lead-based paint regulations. However, any ESG housing or services sites regularly frequented by children less than 6 years of age are encouraged to use ESG funds for testing and may use ESG rehabilitation funds for necessary abatement procedures.

In ESG projects where the residents select their own housing or where the grantee or recipient provides services such as housing search and homeless prevention services such as first month’s rent, the units selected must be free from lead-based paint contamination. The remainder of Section 8.2 of the ESG Guide provides a summary of the basic requirements for transitional housing programs where the grantee or recipient provides the apartment units using ESG funds.

Section 8.2 of the ESG Guide summarizes the basic provisions of the lead-based paint regulations pertaining to ESG funded activities, such as rehabilitation and conversion of property. While the requirements pertaining to rehabilitation differ according to level of federal support (see below), the requirements around notification and provision of an information pamphlet apply for all types of federal housing assistance. Exemptions to the lead-based paint regulation are provided at the end of the section. Note that the lead-based paint requirements do not apply to housing assistance (such as for homeless persons) unless the assistance lasts more than 100 days.

Notice of Evaluation, Presumption, and Hazard Reduction Activities

When evaluation, or hazard reduction activities (or both) of lead-based paint is undertaken as part of federally-funded rehabilitation activities in long-term assisted housing, the grantee/recipient must notify occupants of
the property. Notification is also required when a presumption is made that lead-paint hazards are present. The grantee/recipient should provide notice to occupants within 15 days of the date the evaluation is completed (or a presumption is made) that lead-based paint hazards exist. In a format that it is clear and easy to read (i.e., in the occupant’s primary language), the notice should include:

1. a summary of the nature, scope and results of the evaluation;
2. contact name, address and telephone number for more information; and
3. the date of the notice.

When hazard reduction activities are undertaken, the responsible party must provide notice to occupants no more than 15 days after the activity is completed. The notice should include the same elements as above, but also include available information on the location of any remaining lead-based paint hazards. The grantee/recipient should also provide the Lead Hazard Information Pamphlet available through HUD.

Rehabilitation, Renovation or Conversion

Requirements differ depending on the level of federal funding provided for these activities. The three categories include: 1) assistance of up to and including $5,000 per unit; 2) assistance of more than $5,000 per unit and up to and including $25,000 per unit; and 3) assistance of more than $25,000 per unit.

For long-term assisted housing properties receiving federal assistance of up to $5,000 per unit, the following requirements apply:

1. Conduct paint testing (or presume the presence of lead-based paint);
2. Implement safe work practices during rehabilitation work if paint testing shows the presence of lead-based paint; repair any paint surfaces that are disturbed; and
3. Perform a clearance examination of the work site after completion of rehab disturbing painted surfaces. A clearance is not necessary if the rehab does not disturb painted surfaces.
For long-term assisted housing properties receiving federal assistance of $5,000 and up to $25,000 per unit, the following requirements apply:

1. Conduct paint testing (or presume the presence of lead-based paint);
2. Perform a risk assessment in the units, common areas and exteriors of those being rehabilitated using federal assistance; and
3. Perform interim controls. Interim controls include paint stabilization of deteriorated paint, treatments for friction and impact surfaces where levels of lead dust are above those specified in 24 CFR 35.1320, dust control and lead-contaminated soil control. Paint stabilization means: repair of any defective substrate that is causing paint deterioration, and removal of loose paint and other material using methods such as wet scraping, wet sanding or power sanding in conjunction with use of a HEPA filtered local exhaust attachment (dry sanding or scraping is allowed only for electrical safety reasons) and application of a new protective coating or paint.

For long-term assisted housing properties receiving federal assistance of above $25,000 per unit, the following requirements apply:

1. Conduct paint testing (or presume the presence of lead-based paint);
2. Perform a risk assessment in the units, common areas and exteriors of those being rehabilitated using federal assistance; and
3. Abate all lead hazards identified in the test or assessment as well as any lead-based paint hazards created as a result of the rehab work.

**Essential (Support) Services and Operations**

For eligible ESG housing properties that receive federal assistance for essential support services or operations, a number of lead-based paint requirements apply. The grantee/recipient should conduct the following activities:

1. A visual assessment of all painted surfaces to identify deteriorated surfaces;
2. Complete paint stabilization of all deteriorated surfaces.
3. Incorporate ongoing lead-based paint maintenance activities into the regular building maintenance operations; and
4. Notification as described above.
Inspection and Abatement

In addition to complying with the regulations at 24 CFR 35, the grantee (or in the case of States, the State recipient) must also meet the following requirements relating to inspection and abatement of defective lead-based paint surfaces:

- Treatment of defective paint surfaces must be performed before final inspection and approval of the renovation, rehabilitation or conversion activity; and
- Appropriate action must be taken to protect shelter occupants from the hazards associated with lead-based paint abatement procedures.

The following chart summarizes the lead-based paint requirements applicable to the ESG program by type of program and the building’s period of construction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Program</th>
<th>Construction Period</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation – Property receiving less than $5,000 per unit</td>
<td>Pre-1978</td>
<td>• Provision of pamphlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Paint testing of surfaces to be disturbed, or presume LBP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Safe work practices in rehab.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Repair disturbed paint.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Clearance of the worksite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Notice to Occupants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation – Property receiving more than $5,000 and up to $25,000 per unit</td>
<td>Pre-1978</td>
<td>• Provision of pamphlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Paint testing of surfaces to be disturbed, or presume LBP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Risk assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Interim Controls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Notice to Occupants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation – Property receiving more than $25,000 per unit</td>
<td>Pre-1978</td>
<td>• Provision of pamphlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Paint testing of surfaces to be disturbed, or presume LBP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Risk assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Abatement of LBP hazards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Notice to Occupants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Services or Operation</td>
<td>Pre-1978</td>
<td>• Provision of pamphlet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Visual Assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Paint stabilization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Notice to Occupants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ongoing LBP maintenance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there Exemptions from these Regulations?

A number of properties are exempt from this regulation. For the properties that fall under the following categories, the lead-based paint regulations do not apply:

- Housing built after January 1, 1978 (the date when lead-based paint was banned for residential use);
- Housing exclusively for the elderly or persons with disabilities, unless a child under age 6 is expected to reside there;
- Zero bedroom dwellings, including efficiency apartments, single-room occupancy housing, dormitories, or military barracks;
- Property that has been found to be free of lead-based paint by a certified inspector;
- Property from which all lead-based paint has been removed, and clearance has been achieved;
- Unoccupied housing that will remain vacant until it is demolished;
- Non-residential property;
- Any rehabilitation or housing improvement that does not disturb a painted surface;
- Emergency repair actions which are needed to safeguard against imminent danger to human life, health or safety, or to protect property from further structural damage;
- Emergency housing assistance (such as for the homeless) unless the assistance is for long-term assistance that lasts more than 100 days. **In the case where long-term housing assistance lasts for more than 100 days, then the rule does apply.**

Copies of the lead-based paint regulation can be obtained by downloading it from the HUD Office of Lead Hazard Control web site at [www.hud.gov/lea](http://www.hud.gov/lea) or by calling 1-800-424-LEAD.
8.3 Property Management Standards

Projects using ESG funds for rehabilitation are required to use that facility to serve the homeless for up to ten years (see Subsection 3.1). Recipients of federal funds such as the Emergency Shelter Grants program are also required to follow uniform standards for using and disposing of capital improvements and equipment. Equipment is defined as having a useful life of one year and a per unit value of $5,000 or more. “Equipment” might be, for example, a computer system or a vehicle.

Recipients must have source documentation for expenditures associated with capital improvements or equipment. For equipment, additional records are to evidence ESG funds that contributed to the original payment for the equipment, a description of the equipment, a system of coding or tagging, a description of the equipment’s condition, a record of biannual inventories, and property disposition procedures.

Capital improvements such as heating and air conditioning units must be used in the same ESG-funded project as long as these are needed, even beyond the time period the project is supported through ESG funds. When no longer needed and the property cannot be used to assist homeless or low-income persons, then the recipient should sell the property and compensate its program account from the proceeds of the sale in an amount equal to the program’s percentage of participation in the original cost of the property.

A similar approach is used in the disposition of equipment with a value of $5,000 or more. Generally, equipment can be used in the same project without compensation to HUD, whether or not additional funding is received from HUD. When equipment is no longer needed in the same project and it cannot be used to assist homeless or low-income persons, and the value of the property in question is less than $5,000, the recipient may dispose of the equipment and retain the proceeds as miscellaneous revenue.

When equipment is no longer needed in the same project, it cannot be used to assist homeless or low-income persons, and the value of the property in question is $5,000 or more, disposition instructions should be requested from HUD. If HUD does not provide instruction in 120 days or HUD has no use for the equipment, the recipient may dispose of the equipment.
provided the ESG account is reimbursed by applying to the sales price or fair market value of the equipment an amount equal to the percentage of HUD’s participation in the original acquisition price of the equipment.

8.4 Relocation and Displacement

Grantees and recipients are required to take reasonable steps to minimize the displacement of persons, families, individuals, businesses, nonprofit organizations or farms as a result of administering projects funded through ESG.

Rehabilitation of real property for a project under ESG is subject to the requirements to the Uniform Relocation Act and the requirements contained in 49 CFR Part 24, subpart B. Each grantee and recipient must certify that it will comply with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (URA). For example, a person displaced by the program must be provided with relocation assistance as described in 49 CFR Part 24. This federal regulation describes a number of ways relocation assistance may be provided including payment of moving expenses or provision of replacement housing. The costs of relocation assistance may be paid from local public funds, ESG funds, or funds available from other sources.
The federal regulation at 24 CFR 576.59 describes the requirements for relocation as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relocation 24 CFR 576.59</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Minimizing displacement. Consistent with the other goals and objectives of this part, grantees and recipients must assure that they have taken all reasonable steps to minimize the displacement of persons (families, individuals, businesses, nonprofit organizations, and farms) as a result of a project assisted under this part.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Relocation assistance for displaced persons. A displaced person (defined in paragraph (f)(1) of this section) must be provided relocation assistance at the levels described in, and in accordance with, 49 CFR part 24, which contains the government-wide regulations implementing the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (URA) (42 U.S.C. 4601-4655).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Real property acquisition requirements. The acquisition of real property for a project is subject to the URA and the requirements described in 49 CFR part 24, subpart B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Responsibility of grantees and recipients. Each grantee and recipient must assure that it will comply with the URA, the regulations at 49 CFR part 24, and the requirements of this section. The cost of assistance required by this section may be paid from local public funds, funds provided in accordance with this part, or funds available from other sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Appeals. A person who disagrees with the grantee's or recipient's determination concerning a payment or other assistance required by this section may file a written appeal of that determination with the grantee or recipient. The appeal procedures to be followed are described in 49 CFR 24.10.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The federal regulations provide further definitions of “displaced person” as follows:

**Definition 24 CFR 576.59 (f)**

(1) Displaced person.

(i) The term “displaced person” means a person (family, individual, business, nonprofit organization, or farm) that moves from real property, or moves personal property from real property, permanently and involuntarily, as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for a project assisted under this part. Permanent, involuntary moves for an assisted project include:

(A) A permanent move from the real property (building or complex) following notice by the grantee, recipient or property owner to move permanently from the property, if the move occurs on or after the date that the grantee or recipient submits to HUD an application for assistance that is later approved and funded;

(B) A permanent move from the real property that occurs before the submission of the application to HUD, if the grantee, recipient or HUD determines that the displacement resulted directly from acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for the project, or

(C) A permanent move from the real property by a tenant-occupant of a dwelling unit that occurs after the execution of the agreement between the recipient and HUD if:

1. The tenant has not been provided a reasonable opportunity to lease and occupy a suitable, decent, safe and sanitary dwelling in the same building/complex following the completion of the project at a rent, including estimated average utility costs, that does not exceed the greater of the tenant's rent and estimated average utility costs before the initiation of negotiations, or 30 percent of gross household income; or

2. The tenant has been required to relocate temporarily but the tenant is not offered payment for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the temporary relocation or other conditions of the temporary relocation are not reasonable, and the tenant does not return to the building/complex; or

3. The tenant is required to move to another unit in the same building/complex but is not offered reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the move.
8.5 Conflict of Interest

Grantees and their recipients must avoid any conflict of interest in carrying out activities funded by federal grants dollars, such as the Emergency Shelter Grants program. Generally, this means that a person who is an employee, otherwise in a decision-making position, or has information about decisions made by the organization (such as an agent, consultant, officer or elected or appointed official of the grantee or recipient) may not obtain a personal or financial interest or benefit from the organization’s activity, including through contracts, subcontracts or agreements. This exclusion continues during the employee’s tenure and for one year following employment.
As part of general guidelines for the procurement of goods and services using federal funding (such as ESG), grantees and recipients are required to have a “code of conduct” in place that prohibits employees, officers or agents of the organization from participating in the decision making process related to procurement if that person, or that person’s family, partner or any organizations employing any of the above has a direct financial interest or benefit from that procurement. In addition, these persons may not accept any gratuity, favors, or anything of monetary value from a contractor, consultant or other entity whose services are procured for the organization. Organizations should develop standards for avoiding such apparent or potential conflicts. Such standards may include for example a written policy that is part of the employee policies. Often, employees are required to sign a statement indicating that they have read the policy and will comply. This signed statement then becomes part of the employee’s personnel file.

The federal regulations at 24 CFR 576.57 (d) describe the conflict of interest requirements as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24 CFR 576.57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(d) Conflicts of interest. In addition to the conflict of interest requirements in OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110, no person –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) (i) Who is an employee, agent, consultant, officer, or elected or appointed official of the grantee, State recipient, or nonprofit recipient (or of any designated public agency) that receives emergency shelter grant amounts and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Who exercises or has exercised any functions or responsibilities with respect to assisted activities, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Who is in a position to participate in a decision-making process or gain inside information with regard to such activities, may obtain a personal or financial interest or benefit from the activity, or have an interest in any contract, subcontract, or agreement with respect thereto, or the proceeds thereunder, either for him or herself or for those with whom he or she has family or business ties, during his or her tenure, or for one year thereafter. HUD may grant an exception to this exclusion as provided in 570.611 (d) and (e) of this chapter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.6 Environmental Review/Compliance

Federally funded entities or organizations, such as those receiving ESG funds, are required to submit certification of compliance with environmental review requirements in order for the entity to receive a release of funds certification. ESG funds provided to a grantee/recipient prior to receipt of a release of funds certification for environmental compliance can result in recapture of those funds. A grantee/recipient should keep comprehensive records on any environmental problems, lead-based paint concerns, or corrective actions required by HUD.

The federal regulation at 24 CFR 576.57 (e) details the requirement as follows:

---

**Environmental review responsibilities  24 CFR 576.57(e)**

1. Generally. Responsible entities must assess the environmental effects of each application under part 58 of this title. An applicant must include in its application an assurance that the applicant will assume all the environmental review responsibility that would otherwise be performed by HUD as the responsible Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and related authorities listed in part 58 of this title. The grant award is subject to completion of the environmental responsibilities set out in part 58 of this title within a reasonable time period after notification of the award. This provision does not preclude the applicant from enclosing its environmental certification and Request for Release of Funds with its application.

2. Awards to States. In the case of emergency shelter grants to States that are distributed to:

   i. Units of general local government, the unit of general local government shall be the responsible entity, and the State will assume HUD’s functions with regard to the release of funds; or

   ii. Nonprofit organizations, the State shall be the responsible entity, and HUD will perform functions regarding release of funds under part 58 of this title.

3. Release of funds. HUD will not release funds for an eligible activity if the grantee, recipient, or any other party commits emergency shelter grant funds before the grantee submits, and HUD approves, any required Request for Release of Funds.
8.7 Limits on Funding to Primarily Religious Organizations

In order to uphold the basic provision of separation of church and State, a number of conditions apply to the provision of ESG funding to organizations that are primarily religious in nature. These provisions generally require that when funded under the ESG program, the religious organization will provide services in a way that is free from religious influences and in accordance with the following principles:

- The organization will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment on the basis of religion, and will not limit employment or give preference in employment on the basis of religion.

- The organization will not discriminate against, limit services provided to, or give preference to any person obtaining shelter, other service(s) offered by the project, or any eligible activity permissible under the ESG program on the basis of religion and will not limit such service provision or give preference to persons on the basis of religion.

- The organization will not provide religious instruction, counseling, religious services, worship (not including voluntary nondenominational prayer before meetings), engage in religious proselytizing, or exert other religious influences in the provision of shelter or other eligible ESG activities.

This particular provision is often challenging for recipient organizations. Some examples of what is allowable and what is not might be helpful. Requiring that a program participant attend religious services or meetings as a condition of receiving other social services at the organization (such as shelter or a meal) is not allowed under this provision. Allowing participants to choose to take part in services or meetings offered by the organization as they wish, separate from the ESG-funded activities provided, is allowable.
If a primarily religious organization owns a structure that is to be renovated, rehabilitated or converted for use as a homeless shelter through the use of ESG funds, then several provisions apply, as follows:

- The structure that is to be renovated, rehabilitated or converted with HUD ESG funds, must be leased to an existing newly established wholly secular organization.
- The ESG funds will go to the separate secular organization and not to the primarily religious organization.
- The structure to be renovated, rehabilitated or converted will be used exclusively for secular purposes, and be available to all persons.
- The lease payments made by the secular organization to the primarily religious organization do not exceed fair market rent for the building prior to renovation, rehabilitation or conversion.
- If rehabilitation or renovation improvements are made to any portion of the building that is not leased by the secular organization, then the costs of these improvements must be paid by the religious organization.
- If the separate secular organization does not retain use of the leased structure/building for wholly secular purposes for the useful life of the improvements, then the religious organization will pay the grantee or HUD (whichever granted the ESG funds originally) an amount equal to the residual value of the improvements. The original grantee is expected to reallocate the funds for the purpose of alleviating homelessness in its jurisdiction, but there is no requirement that funds received after the close of the grant period are to be used in accordance with the requirements of this part.

A primarily religious organization may establish a completely secular, private, nonprofit organization to serve as a recipient of HUD funds. This secular, nonprofit organization is eligible to receive ESG funding, subject to the following provisions:

- The secular organization must agree to provide shelter and other ESG-funded services in a manner that is free from religious influences and in accordance with the principles described above.
- The secular organization may enter into a contract with the religious organization to provide essential services or homeless prevention
activities. The religious organization must agree, as part of the contract, to carry out its responsibilities in a way free from religious influence.

In making an application to receive ESG funding, it is not necessary for the religious organization to establish the separate, secular organization prior to submitting the application or prior to its selection, but may apply on behalf of the secular organization to be established. The application is reviewed on the basis of the religious organization’s financial responsibility, capacity, and its commitment to provide appropriate resources to the secular organization after its formation. After formation, the secular organization will be required to demonstrate that it meets the definition of a nonprofit organization (see appendix). Obligation of HUD’s ESG funds will be conditioned upon compliance with these requirements.

The federal regulations at 24 CFR 576.23 are quite detailed on this requirement. These regulations are as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limitations—Primarily Religious Organizations 24 CFR 576.23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Provision of assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Assistance may be provided under this part to a grantee or recipient that is a primarily religious organization if the primarily religious organization agrees to provide all eligible activities under this program in a manner that is free from religious influences and in accordance with the following principles:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) HUD may provide reallocated amounts to a recipient that is a primarily religious organization if the assistance will not be used by the organization to acquire a structure (in the case of homeless prevention activities under 576.21(a)(4)), or to rehabilitate a structure owned by the organization, except as described in paragraph (b) of this section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rehabilitation or conversion of emergency shelters. Grants may be used to rehabilitate or convert to an emergency shelter a structure that is owned by a primarily religious organization, only if:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) The structure (or portion thereof) that is to be renovated, rehabilitated, or converted with HUD assistance has been leased to an existing or newly established wholly secular organization;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) The HUD assistance is provided to the secular organization (and not the religious organization) to make the improvements;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) The leased structure will be used exclusively for secular purposes available to all persons;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) The lease payments paid to the primarily religious organization do not exceed the fair market rent for the structure before the renovation, rehabilitation, or conversion;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Limitations--Primarily religious organizations (continued) 576.23

(5) The portion of the cost of any improvements that benefit any unleased portion of the structure will be allocated to, and paid for by, the religious organization; and

(6) The primarily religious organization agrees that if the recipient does not retain the use of the leased premises for wholly secular purposes for the useful life of the improvements, the primarily religious organization will pay to the original grantee (from which the amounts used to renovate, rehabilitate, or convert the building were derived) an amount equal to the residual value of the improvements. A private nonprofit organization must remit to HUD this amount if the organization is the lessee as well as the grantee. The original grantee is expected to use this amount to alleviate homelessness in its jurisdiction, but there is no requirement that funds received after the close of the grant period be used in accordance with the requirements of this part.

(c) Assistance to a wholly secular private nonprofit organization.

(1) A primarily religious organization may establish a wholly secular private nonprofit organization to serve as a recipient. The secular organization may be eligible to receive all forms of assistance available under this part, subject to the following:

   (i) The secular organization must agree to provide shelter and services eligible under this part in a manner that is free from religious influences and in accordance with the principles set forth in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

   (ii) The secular organization may enter into a contract with the religious organization to provide essential services or undertake homeless prevention activities. The religious organization must agree in the contract to carry out its contractual responsibilities in a manner free from religious influences and in accordance with the principles set forth in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

   (iii) The rehabilitation, conversion, or renovation of emergency shelters are subject to the requirements of paragraph (b) of this section.

(2) HUD will not require the religious organization to establish the secular organization before the selection of its application. In such a case, the religious organization may apply on behalf of the secular organization. The application will be reviewed on the basis of the religious organization's financial responsibility and capacity, and its commitment to provide appropriate resources to the secular organization after formation. After formation, a secular organization that is not in existence at the time of the application will be required to demonstrate that it meets the definition of private nonprofit organization contained in 576.3. The obligation of funds will be conditioned upon compliance with these requirements.
Appendix: Additional Resources

The following web pages available through HUD’s web site contain helpful information:

http://www.hud.gov/cpd/cpdcomde.html - HUD’s web page for Community Planning and Development programs (such as ESG)

http://www.hud.gov/cpd/homeless.html - HUD’s Homeless assistance web page

http://www.hud.gov/hmasist1.html – HUD’s homeless assistance providers page


http://www.hud.gov/fundopp.html – funding opportunities available through HUD

http://www.hud.gov/cpd/cpdalloc.html – HUD’s current CPD formula funding allocations including ESG

http://www.hud.gov/cpd/idis/idisweb.html – IDIS home page on HUD’s web site


http://www.hud.gov/lea – Lead Based Paint Information

The Special Needs Assistance Programs office at HUD headquarters can be reached at:

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7258
Washington, DC 20140

Telephone Number 202-708-1226
The terms **Grantee and HUD** are defined in 24 CFR part 5. “**Grantee means the person or legal entity to which a grant is awarded and that is accountable for the use of the funds provided. HUD means the same as Department.**” (24 CFR 1.100)

**Administrative costs** means as the term is defined in 24 CFR 583.135(b) of this part, except that the exclusion relates to the costs of carrying out eligible activities under 24 CFR 576.21(a). “**Administrative costs include the costs associated with accounting for the use of grant funds, preparing reports for submission to HUD, obtaining program audits, and similar costs related to administering the grant after the award. This does not include the costs of carrying out eligible activities under 24 CFR 583.105 through 583.125.**” (24 CFR 583.135(b))

**Consolidated plan** means the plan prepared in accordance with part 91 of Title 24 of the CFR, which describes needs, resources, priorities and proposed activities to be undertaken with respect to HUD programs, including the ESG program. An approved consolidated plan means a consolidated plan that has been approved by HUD in accordance with part 91 of this title.

**Conversion** means a change in the use of a building to an emergency shelter for the homeless under 24 CFR 576, where the cost of conversion and any rehabilitation costs exceed 75 percent of the value of the building after conversion. If such costs do not exceed 75 percent of the value of the building before conversion, they are to be considered rehabilitation and the three-year use requirement under 24 CFR 576.53 applies. The conversion of any building to an emergency shelter that is assisted under this part must meet local government safety and sanitation standards under 24 CFR 576.55. For projects of 15 or more units where rehabilitation costs are 75 percent or more of the replacement cost of the building, that project must meet the requirements of 24 CFR 8.23(a).

**Displaced person** means a person (family, individual, business, nonprofit organization, or farm) that moves from real property or moves personal property from real property, permanently and involuntarily, as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition. Permanent, involuntary
moves for an assisted project include: A) A permanent move from the real property (building or complex) following notice by the grantee, recipient or property owner to move permanently from the property, if the move occurs on or after the date that the grantee submits to HUD an application for assistance that is later approved and funded; B) A permanent move from the real property that occurs before the submission of the application to HUD, if the grantee, recipient or HUD determines that the displacement resulted directly from acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for the project; or C) A permanent move from the real property by a tenant-occupant of a dwelling unit that occurs after the execution of the agreement between the recipient and HUD if:

- The tenant has not been provided a reasonable opportunity to lease and occupy a suitable, decent, safe and sanitary dwelling in the same building/complex following the completion of the project at a rent, including estimated average utility costs, that does not exceed the greater of the tenant’s rent and estimated average utility costs before the initiation of negotiations, or 30 percent of gross household income; or

- The tenant was required to move to another unit in the same building/complex but is not offered reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the temporary relocation or other conditions of the temporary relocation are not reasonable, and the tenant does not return to the building/complex; or

- The tenant is required to move to another unit in the same building/complex but is not offered reimbursement for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the move.

A person is not considered a displaced person if: A) the person has been evicted for cause based on a serious or repeated violation of material terms of the lease or occupancy agreement and HUD determines that the eviction was not undertaken for the purpose of evading the obligation to provide relocation assistance; B) the person moved into the property after the submission of the application and, before commencing occupancy, received written notice of the expected displacement; C) the person is ineligible under 49 CFR 24.2(g)(2); or D) HUD determines that the person was not displaced as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for the project.
The grantee/recipient may, at any time, request a HUD determination of whether a displacement if or would be covered under this section.

**Emergency shelter** means any facility, the primary purpose of which is to provide temporary or transitional shelter for the homeless in general or for specific populations of the homeless.

**Essential services** includes services concerned with employment, health, drug abuse, and education and may include (but are not limited to):

(a) Assistance in obtaining permanent housing.
(b) Medical and psychological counseling and supervision.
(c) Employment counseling.
(d) Nutritional counseling.
(e) Substance abuse treatment and counseling.
(f) Assistance in obtaining other Federal, State, and local assistance including mental health benefits; employment counseling; medical assistance; Veteran's benefits; and income support assistance such as Supplemental Security Income benefits, Aid to Families with Dependent Children, General Assistance, and Food Stamps;
(g) Other services such as child care, transportation, job placement and job training; and
(h) Staff salaries necessary to provide the above services.

**Formula city or county** means a metropolitan city or urban county that is eligible to receive an allocation of grant amounts under 24 CFR 576.5.

**Homeless** means as the term is defined in 42 U.S.C. 11302. “(a) **IN GENERAL.** – For purposes of this Act, the term “homeless” or “homeless individual or homeless person” includes-- (1) an individual who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence; and (2) an individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is: A) supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations (including welfare hotels, congregate shelters, and transitional housing for the mentally ill); B) a institution that provides a temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized; or C) a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleeping accommodations for human beings.
INCOME ELIGIBILITY.— (1) IN GENERAL.—A homeless individual shall be eligible for assistance under any program provided by this Act, only if the individual complies with the income eligibility requirements otherwise applicable to such program.

EXCLUSION.— For purposes of this Act, the term “homeless” or “homeless individuals” does not include any individual imprisoned or otherwise detained under an Act of the Congress or a State law.” (42 U.S.C. 11302)

Homeless prevention means activities or programs designed to prevent the incidence of homelessness, including (but not limited to):

(a) Short-term subsidies to defray rent and utility arrearages for families who have received eviction or utility termination notices;

(b) Security deposits or first month's rent to permit a homeless family to move into its own apartment;

(c) Mediation programs for landlord-tenant disputes;

(d) Legal services programs for the representation of indigent tenants in eviction proceedings;

(e) Payments to prevent foreclosure on a home; and

(f) Other innovative programs and activities designed to prevent the incidence of homelessness.

Indian tribe means as the term is defined in 42 U.S.C. 5302(a). NOTE: Indian Tribes and Alaskan Native Villages now receive homeless assistance under a block grant as a result of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (P.L. 104-330). For information about this program, please consult HUD’s Office of Native American Programs or contact the national ONAP office in Denver at (303) 675-1600.

Major rehabilitation means rehabilitation that involves costs in excess of 75 percent of the value of the building before rehabilitation.

Metropolitan city means a city that was classified as a metropolitan city under 42 U.S.C. 5302(a) for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which emergency shelter grant amounts are made available. “The term “metropolitan city” means (A) a city within a metropolitan area which is the central city of such area, as defined and used by the Office of
Management and Budget, or (b) any other city, within a metropolitan area, which has a population of fifty thousand or more . . .” (42 U.S.C. 5302(a))

**Private nonprofit organization** is an eligible recipient of ESG funds from all grantees. The term private nonprofit organization “means a secular or religious organization described in section 501(c) of title 26 that is exempt from taxation under subtitle A of title 26, has an accounting system and a voluntary board, and practices nondiscrimination in the provision of assistance.” (42 U.S.C. 11371)

**Rehabilitation** means the labor, materials, tools, and other costs of improving buildings, other than minor or routine repairs. The term includes where the use of a building is changed to an emergency shelter and the cost of this change and any rehabilitation costs does not exceed 75 percent of the value of the building before the change in use.

**Renovation** means rehabilitation that involves costs of 75 percent or less of the value of the building before rehabilitation.

**Responsible entity** means as the term is defined in 24 CFR 58.2 of this title, as applied through 24 CFR 58.1(b)(3) of this title and 24 CFR 576.57(e). “(b) Applicability. This part applies to activities and projects where specific statutory authority exists for recipients or other responsible entities to assume environmental responsibilities. Programs and activities subject to this part include: . . .(3) Grants to States and units of general local government under the Emergency Shelter Grant Program . . .”. (24 CFR 58.1)

**State** means each of the several States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia.

**Territory** means each of the following: the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and any other territory or possession of the United States.

**Transitional Housing** is one type of supportive housing used to facilitate the movement of homeless individuals and families to permanent housing. Basically, it is housing in which homeless persons live for up to 24 months and receive supportive services that enable them to live more independently. The supportive services may be provided by the organization managing the
housing or coordinated by them and provided by other public or private agencies.

**State recipient** means any unit of general local government or nonprofit organization to which a State makes available emergency shelter grant amounts.

**Unit of general local government** means any city, county, town, township, parish, village, or other general purpose political subdivision of a State. *(42 U.S.C. 5302(a))*

**Urban county** means a county that was classified as an urban county under 42 U.S.C. 5302(a) for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year for which emergency shelter grant amounts are made available. “*(6)(A) The term “urban county” means any county within which— (i) is authorized under State law to undertake essential community development and housing assistance activities in its unincorporated areas, if any, which are not units of general local government; and (ii) either-- (I) has a population of 200,000 or more (excluding the population of metropolitan cities therein) and has a combined population of 100,000 or more (excluding the population of metropolitan cities therein) in such unincorporated areas and in its included units of general local government …” *(42 U.S.C. 5302(a))*

**Value of the building** means the monetary value assigned to a building by an independent real estate appraiser, or as otherwise reasonably established by the grantee or recipient.