

## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

The purpose of the *CoC-PHA Crosswalk* is to assist Continuums of Care (CoCs) with providing information for question 1C-4 of the FY 2015 CoC Application, which asks about Public Housing Agencies' (PHAs) progress on serving homeless households for the five largest PHAs that are located within a CoC's geography during the period of October 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015.

The following report identifies the PHAs located within each CoC's geography, as well as the following elements:

- **HCV units**—the total number of vouchers for which the PHA is authorized to provide
- **PH units**—the total number of Public Housing units the PHA operates
- **ACC units**—the combined total number of units the PHA can provide, either through vouchers or hard units (ACC = HCV + PH).

The report highlights in gray the five largest PHAs that are located within the CoC's geography. HUD recognizes that the CoC may have relationships with other PHAs within its geography, so for the purposes of question 1C-4, CoCs are to report on the **five largest PHAs or five PHAs with whom they have relationships**. If there are fewer than five PHAs within a CoC's geography, the CoC should report on all PHAs listed in the report.

Note other unique circumstances that may apply to a CoC:

- **Merged or Divided CoCs**: If one or more CoCs merged into a single CoC, or if one CoC divided into multiple CoCs as of FY 2015 Registration, those current changes are reflected in this report. Although the report is a snapshot of FY 2014, CoCs' current status must be consistent with the CoCs submitting FY2015 applications.

Questions: If you have any questions about the Crosswalk Report, please submit a question to the Ask A Question (AAQ) Portal on the HUDExchange website: <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/my-question/>.



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-500 Birmingham/Jefferson, St. Clair, Shelby Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Birmingham District	AL001	5223	60.51%	3409	39.49%	<b>8632</b>
Jefferson County Housing Authority	AL086	1678	90.02%	186	9.98%	<b>1864</b>
Fairfield Housing Authority	AL010	466	62.22%	283	37.78%	<b>749</b>
HA BESSEMER	AL125	543	92.98%	41	7.02%	<b>584</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Calera	AL085	0	0.00%	574	100.00%	<b>574</b>
HA COLUMBIANA	AL072	79	16.74%	393	83.26%	472
Tarrant Housing Authority	AL013	87	19.91%	350	80.09%	437
HA LEEDS	AL069	182	55.15%	148	44.85%	330
Ragland Housing Authority	AL108	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Pell City Housing Authority	AL106	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Vincent Housing Authority	AL089	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Housing Authority of the Town of Montevallo	AL079	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58

#### AL-501 Mobile City & County/Baldwin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MOBILE HOUSING BOARD	AL002	4167	84.78%	748	15.22%	<b>4915</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Prichard	AL169	2598	96.33%	99	3.67%	<b>2697</b>
MOBILE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL202	95	8.50%	1022	91.50%	<b>1117</b>
HA FOLEY	AL165	279	49.21%	288	50.79%	<b>567</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Chickasaw	AL166	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
HA BAY MINETTE	AL164	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-502 Florence/Northwest Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HACKLEBURG HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL076	0	0.00%	901	100.00%	<b>901</b>
FLORENCE H/A	AL054	691	92.01%	60	7.99%	<b>751</b>
Housing Authority of Hamilton, Alabama	AL053	72	9.77%	665	90.23%	<b>737</b>
HA RUSSELLVILLE	AL060	90	12.31%	641	87.69%	<b>731</b>
Haleyville Housing Authority	AL056	0	0.00%	626	100.00%	<b>626</b>
Sheffield Housing Authority	AL068	338	68.15%	158	31.85%	496
Housing Authority of Boston	AL074	0	0.00%	451	100.00%	451
Housing Authority of Red Bay	AL051	0	0.00%	320	100.00%	320
Winfield Housing Authority	AL058	0	0.00%	240	100.00%	240
Housing Authority of the City of Tuscumbia	AL059	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	209
Housing Authority of Guin, Alabama	AL071	0	0.00%	161	100.00%	161
PHIL CAMPBELL HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL090	53	33.54%	105	66.46%	158
Bear Creek Housing Authority	AL081	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Moulton, AL	AL080	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24

#### AL-503 Huntsville/North Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	AL047	1597	72.62%	602	27.38%	<b>2199</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	AL048	1050	51.88%	974	48.12%	<b>2024</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Athens, Al	AL067	0	0.00%	396	100.00%	<b>396</b>
Regional HA of Lawrence, Cullman & Morgan Counties	AL187	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	<b>300</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-503 Huntsville/North Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Hartselle	AL087	0	0.00%	141	100.00%	141
Triana Housing Authority	AL182	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### AL-504 Montgomery City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Montgomery	AL006	2878	81.12%	670	18.88%	3548
City of Union Springs Housing Authority	AL070	0	0.00%	183	100.00%	183
HA TALLASSEE	AL172	68	40.48%	100	59.52%	168
Housing Authority of the City of Prattville, AL	AL131	40	50.00%	40	50.00%	80
Housing Authority of the Town of Fort Deposit	AL193	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### AL-505 Gadsden/Northeast Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ANNISTON HA	AL004	258	21.81%	925	78.19%	1183
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Payne	AL011	111	25.64%	322	74.36%	433
Greater Gadsden	AL049	112	26.92%	304	73.08%	416
HA JACKSONVILLE	AL139	214	57.22%	160	42.78%	374
Rainsville Housing Authority	AL168	0	0.00%	353	100.00%	353
Housing Authority of the City of Attalla	AL009	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	298
Collinsville Housing Authority	AL083	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-505 Gadsden/Northeast Alabama CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Hobson City	AL133	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
CROSSVILLE HOUSING AUHTORITY	AL082	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HA PIEDMONT	AL110	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Altoona Housing Authority	AL102	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Centre, Al	AL140	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14

#### AL-506 Tuscaloosa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA TUSCALOOSA	AL077	1882	96.91%	60	3.09%	1942
HA NORTHPORT	AL152	419	95.88%	18	4.12%	437

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Guntersville Housing Authority	AL014	174	9.17%	1723	90.83%	1897
Housing Authority of the City of Dothan	AL007	751	56.38%	581	43.62%	1332
Selma Housing Authority	AL008	1092	91.00%	108	9.00%	1200
HA MIDLAND CITY	AL124	50	4.46%	1072	95.54%	1122
South Central Alabama Regional HA	AL192	897	94.72%	50	5.28%	947
HA OPELIKA	AL061	515	58.59%	364	41.41%	879
HA CULLMAN	AL052	183	27.44%	484	72.56%	667



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Albertville Housing Authority	AL121	397	67.63%	190	32.37%	587
EUFAULA HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL118	432	77.84%	123	22.16%	555
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF OZARK	AL073	434	82.67%	91	17.33%	525
HA MONROEVILLE	AL173	46	8.80%	477	91.20%	523
Cottonwood Housing Authority	AL104	0	0.00%	499	100.00%	499
HA TROY	AL177	423	85.11%	74	14.89%	497
HA AUBURN	AL050	280	63.06%	164	36.94%	444
BOAZ HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL075	368	85.58%	62	14.42%	430
Sumiton Housing Authority	AL176	0	0.00%	422	100.00%	422
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	AL159	0	0.00%	401	100.00%	401
Housing Authority of the City of Jasper	AL012	293	75.13%	97	24.87%	390
Washington County Housing Authority	AL117	0	0.00%	377	100.00%	377
Housing Authority of the City of Alexander City	AL174	265	70.67%	110	29.33%	375
Housing Authority of the City of Brent	AL151	0	0.00%	374	100.00%	374
GORDO HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL138	146	45.48%	175	54.52%	321
Housing Authority of the City of Aliceville	AL098	0	0.00%	316	100.00%	316
WALKER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL129	196	64.90%	106	35.10%	302
HA ATMORE	AL154	100	33.33%	200	66.67%	300
HA OPP	AL112	100	34.36%	191	65.64%	291
ENTERPRISE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL115	190	67.86%	90	32.14%	280
HA CORDOVA	AL055	0	0.00%	275	100.00%	275
Housing Authority of the City of GREENVILLE	AL155	181	69.35%	80	30.65%	261



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Reform	AL066	0	0.00%	240	100.00%	240
TUSKEGEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL160	158	73.49%	57	26.51%	215
HA ANDALUSIA	AL127	0	0.00%	213	100.00%	213
Housing Authority of the City of Demopolis, AL	AL109	0	0.00%	211	100.00%	211
LINEVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL114	55	26.83%	150	73.17%	205
Top of Alabama Regional Housing Authority	AL189	0	0.00%	192	100.00%	192
HA SCOTTSBORO	AL099	175	91.15%	17	8.85%	192
EVERGREEN HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL181	160	86.96%	24	13.04%	184
HA ELBA	AL107	119	66.48%	60	33.52%	179
Housing Authority of the Town of New Brockton	AL149	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	178
Housing Authority of the City of Heflin	AL096	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Housing Authority of the City of Lanett, AL	AL062	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Housing Authority of the City of Florala	AL111	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Housing Authority of the City of Linden	AL120	0	0.00%	164	100.00%	164
H A ONEONTA	AL063	50	31.25%	110	68.75%	160
Housing Authority of the Town of Ashland	AL136	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
UNIONTOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL171	50	33.33%	100	66.67%	150
Sylacauga Housing Authority	AL057	0	0.00%	147	100.00%	147
YORK HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL116	100	76.92%	30	23.08%	130
Housing Authority of the City of Vernon, AL	AL084	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
HA ARAB	AL091	69	57.50%	51	42.50%	120
Brundidge Housing Authority	AL126	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Talladega, AL	AL105	30	27.78%	78	72.22%	108
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	AL158	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
HA ASHFORD	AL144	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the Town of Hanceville	AL093	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
STEVENSON HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL167	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Abbeville Housing Authority	AL101	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
HA SAMSON	AL128	0	0.00%	91	100.00%	91
Housing Authority of the City of Fayette	AL137	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the Town of Slocumb	AL143	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing Authority of the Town of Berry	AL078	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of the City of Clanton	AL150	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Dadeville	AL178	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the Town of Parrish	AL153	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the City of Brewton	AL156	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of Eutaw	AL146	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of the City of Roanoke, AL	AL065	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Goodwater	AL132	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	AL103	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Childersburg Housing Authority	AL122	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Sulligent, AL	AL119	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Brantley	AL145	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Carbon Hill	AL064	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alabama

#### AL-507 Alabama Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Headland, AL	AL123	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Georgiana	AL094	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Livingston	AL175	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia	AL100	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	AL157	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	AL147	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HA MILLPORT	AL095	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the Town of Kennedy	AL141	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Housing Authority of the City of Luverne, AL	AL088	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Newton	AL142	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Daleville	AL179	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Greene County, AL	AL190	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Valley	AL199	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the Town of Blountsville, AL	AL134	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, AL	AL161	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Alaska

#### AK-500 Anchorage CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK001	0	0.00%	5136	100.00%	5136
Alaska Housing Finance Corporation	AK901	4652	100.00%	0	0.00%	4652



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arizona

#### AZ-500 Arizona Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Williams Housing Authority	AZ041	47	0.76%	6103	99.24%	<b>6150</b>
Yuma City Housing Authority	AZ035	1179	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1179</b>
Winslow Public Housing Authority	AZ008	128	12.43%	902	87.57%	<b>1030</b>
Pinal County Housing Authority	AZ010	584	78.60%	159	21.40%	<b>743</b>
Housing Authority of Cochise County	AZ034	568	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>568</b>
Yuma County Housing Department	AZ013	401	88.91%	50	11.09%	451
Flagstaff Housing Authority	AZ006	371	87.09%	55	12.91%	426
Eloy Housing Authority	AZ021	183	44.74%	226	55.26%	409
Nogales Housing Authority	AZ023	192	52.75%	172	47.25%	364
Mohave County Housing Authority	AZ043	297	100.00%	0	0.00%	297
Douglas Housing Authority	AZ037	193	100.00%	0	0.00%	193
Gila County Housing Authority	AZ045	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53

#### AZ-501 Tucson/Pima County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing and Community Development Tucson	AZ004	4625	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4625</b>
Pima County Housing Authority	AZ033	827	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>827</b>
South Tucson Housing Authority	AZ025	132	30.34%	303	69.66%	<b>435</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arizona

#### AZ-502 Phoenix, Mesa/Maricopa County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Phoenix Housing Department	AZ001	6296	97.60%	155	2.40%	<b>6451</b>
City of Glendale Housing Authority	AZ003	1054	41.19%	1505	58.81%	<b>2559</b>
City of Mesa Housing Authority	AZ005	1534	85.27%	265	14.73%	<b>1799</b>
Housing Authority of Maricopa County	AZ009	1562	90.24%	169	9.76%	<b>1731</b>
Tempe Housing Authority	AZ031	1082	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1082</b>
Scottsdale Housing Agency	AZ032	735	100.00%	0	0.00%	735
Chandler Housing & Redevelopment Division	AZ028	486	67.41%	235	32.59%	721
Arizona Department of Housing	AZ901	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Peoria Housing Authority	AZ038	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Arizona Behavioral Health Corporation	AZ880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-500 Little Rock/Central Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Little Rock	AR004	2183	88.60%	281	11.40%	<b>2464</b>
North Little Rock Housing Authority	AR002	1392	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1392</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Benton, AR	AR175	703	87.77%	98	12.23%	<b>801</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Brinkley	AR059	154	38.69%	244	61.31%	<b>398</b>
Jacksonville Housing Authority	AR170	362	90.95%	36	9.05%	<b>398</b>
Housing Authority of the City of McRae	AR098	0	0.00%	393	100.00%	393
Pulaski County Housing Agency	AR252	315	100.00%	0	0.00%	315
Cabot Public Housing Agency	AR219	299	100.00%	0	0.00%	299
Lonoke County Housing Authority	AR041	243	81.27%	56	18.73%	299
Searcy Housing Authority	AR035	138	57.26%	103	42.74%	241
Housing Authority of the City of McCrory	AR118	0	0.00%	187	100.00%	187
Housing Authority of the City of Augusta	AR123	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of the City of Judsonia	AR090	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Housing Authority of the City of Kensett	AR146	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the City of Des Arc	AR040	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the City of England	AR148	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Cotton Plant	AR172	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Clarendon Housing Authority	AR052	25	30.12%	58	69.88%	83
DeValls Bluff Housing Authority	AR135	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
Housing Authority of the City of Beebe	AR106	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Bald Knob	AR084	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-501 Fayetteville/Northwest Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NW Regional Housing Authority	AR010	676	87.11%	100	12.89%	<b>776</b>
Fayetteville Housing Authority	AR181	622	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>622</b>
Harrison Housing Authority	AR200	507	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>507</b>
Siloam Springs Housing Authority	AR163	503	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>503</b>
Springdale Housing Authority	AR104	153	79.27%	40	20.73%	<b>193</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	AR095	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Housing Authority of the City of Fayetteville	AR097	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Memphis Housing Authority	TN001	6973	90.27%	752	9.73%	<b>7725</b>
Jonesboro Urban Renewal HA	AR131	1342	98.10%	26	1.90%	<b>1368</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Texarkana	AR015	646	55.36%	521	44.64%	<b>1167</b>
Hot Springs Housing Authority	AR031	658	92.16%	56	7.84%	<b>714</b>
West Memphis Housing Authority	AR024	523	76.13%	164	23.87%	<b>687</b>
Mississippi County Public Facilities Board	AR213	640	100.00%	0	0.00%	640
St. Francis County Housing Authority	AR224	588	100.00%	0	0.00%	588
Arkadelphia Housing Authority	AR012	194	33.11%	392	66.89%	586
Paragould Housing Authority	AR121	467	95.11%	24	4.89%	491
Little River County Housing Authority	AR020	50	12.22%	359	87.78%	409
Phillips County Public Housing Agency	AR223	403	100.00%	0	0.00%	403



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Poinsett	AR023	0	0.00%	376	100.00%	376
Lawrence County Public Housing Agency	AR177	375	100.00%	0	0.00%	375
Russellville Housing Authority	AR066	166	45.36%	200	54.64%	366
Housing Authority of the City of Paris	AR032	0	0.00%	364	100.00%	364
Housing Authority of the City of Conway	AR006	301	83.84%	58	16.16%	359
Housing Authority of the City of Gurdon	AR049	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	298
Trumann Housing Authority	AR034	144	49.32%	148	50.68%	292
Housing Authority of the County of Sevier	AR022	0	0.00%	292	100.00%	292
Lee County Housing Authority	AR225	291	100.00%	0	0.00%	291
Wynne Housing Authority	AR039	232	84.67%	42	15.33%	274
Housing Authority of the City of Marianna	AR027	0	0.00%	268	100.00%	268
Hope Housing Authority	AR068	180	69.23%	80	30.77%	260
Housing Authority of the City of Morrilton	AR026	0	0.00%	246	100.00%	246
CONWAY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AR161	236	100.00%	0	0.00%	236
Housing Authority of the County of Howard	AR025	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	206
Pope County Public Facilities Board	AR211	202	100.00%	0	0.00%	202
Housing Authority of the City of Newport	AR028	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Housing Authority of the City of Helena	AR050	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Housing Authority of the City of Hickory Ridge	AR093	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	169
Blytheville Housing Authority	AR005	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
Johnson County Public Housing Agency	AR215	150	100.00%	0	0.00%	150
Logan County Housing Authority	AR033	123	100.00%	0	0.00%	123



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pocahontas Public Housing Agency (BRAD)	AR247	120	100.00%	0	0.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Prescott	AR037	78	66.10%	40	33.90%	118
Poinsett County Housing Agency	AR232	111	100.00%	0	0.00%	111
Housing Authority of the City of Osceola	AR021	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Scott County Public Housing Agency	AR240	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
Franklin County Public Housing Agency	AR241	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Clarksville	AR051	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Yell County Public Facilities Board	AR265	87	100.00%	0	0.00%	87
Pike County Housing Authority	AR045	62	72.09%	24	27.91%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Luxora	AR079	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Wilson	AR054	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the City of Booneville	AR038	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Marmaduke	AR112	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Pocahontas	AR063	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the City of Monette	AR070	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Batesville	AR071	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Dell	AR078	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Earle Housing Authority	AR264	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57
Housing Authority of the City of Coal Hill	AR102	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of Earle	AR064	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of the City of Ola	AR091	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Rector	AR069	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-503 Arkansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Dardanelle	AR055	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Forrest City	AR099	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of West Helena	AR060	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Atkins	AR141	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Malvern	AR094	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Waldron	AR044	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the City of Lake City	AR088	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Newark	AR089	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Leachville	AR075	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Dover	AR085	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Amity	AR046	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Ozark	AR101	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Parkin	AR072	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Caraway	AR092	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Manila	AR080	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Ida	AR076	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Heber Springs	AR113	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Hoxie	AR047	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Arkansas

#### AR-505 Southeast Arkansas CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Drew County Public Facilities Board	AR210	267	10.08%	2381	89.92%	<b>2648</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pine Bluff	AR017	804	81.71%	180	18.29%	<b>984</b>
Camden Housing Authority	AR016	531	66.13%	272	33.87%	<b>803</b>
Stuttgart Housing Authority	AR166	456	82.01%	100	17.99%	<b>556</b>
Lake Village Housing Authority	AR152	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>230</b>
Ashley County Housing Authority	AR214	220	100.00%	0	0.00%	220
McGehee Public Facilities Board	AR257	193	100.00%	0	0.00%	193
Housing Authority of the City of Stephens	AR065	0	0.00%	182	100.00%	182
Housing Authority of the City of Carthage	AR081	0	0.00%	171	100.00%	171
Star City Housing Authority	AR042	55	37.16%	93	62.84%	148
Housing Authority of the City of Magnolia	AR018	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Desha Co. Residential Housing Fac Board	AR266	148	100.00%	0	0.00%	148
Housing Authority of the Town of Haynesville	LA096	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Housing Authority of the City of Dumas	AR043	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Warren Housing Authority	AR082	67	77.01%	20	22.99%	87
Housing Authority of the City of Rison	AR111	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of McGehee	AR053	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Sparkman	AR073	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Crossett	AR087	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Gould	AR083	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-500 San Jose, Santa Clara City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY SANTA CLARA	CA059	11076	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>11076</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SAN JOSE	CA056	6445	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6445</b>

#### CA-501 San Francisco CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City & County of SF	CA001	8581	74.34%	2962	25.66%	<b>11543</b>

#### CA-502 Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Oakland Housing Authority	CA003	13140	65.34%	6971	34.66%	<b>20111</b>
ALAMEDA COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA067	6186	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6186</b>
CITY OF ALAMEDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA062	1845	92.30%	154	7.70%	<b>1999</b>
BERKELEY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA058	1935	99.79%	4	0.21%	<b>1939</b>
HSG AUTH OF THE CITY OF LIVERMORE	CA074	723	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>723</b>
Dublin Housing Authority	CA142	0	0.00%	511	100.00%	511
Housing Authority of the City of Pleasanton	CA081	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-503 Sacramento City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
County of Sacramento Housing Authority	CA007	12002	93.28%	865	6.72%	<b>12867</b>
City of Sacramento Housing Authority	CA005	0	0.00%	555	100.00%	<b>555</b>
CALIFORNIA DEPT OF HSG AND COMM DEV	CA913	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>
CALIFORNIA DEPT OF HSG AND COMM DEV	CA912	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### CA-504 Santa Rosa, Petaluma/Sonoma County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF SONOMA	CA085	2820	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2820</b>
CITY OF SANTA ROSA	CA088	1769	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1769</b>
LAKE COUNTY HOUSING COMMISSION	CA144	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>224</b>

#### CA-505 Richmond/Contra Costa County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County Contra Costa	CA011	6816	99.56%	30	0.44%	<b>6846</b>
City of Richmond Housing Authority	CA010	1750	59.79%	1177	40.21%	<b>2927</b>
CITY OF PITTSBURG HSG AUTH	CA060	1108	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1108</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-506 Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF MONTEREY HSG AUTH	CA033	4534	88.76%	574	11.24%	<b>5108</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Soledad	CA049	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### CA-507 Marin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF COUNTY OF MARIN	CA052	2145	88.89%	268	11.11%	<b>2413</b>

#### CA-508 Watsonville/Santa Cruz City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HSG AUTH	CA072	4291	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4291</b>
CITY OF HOLLISTER	CA122	344	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>344</b>

#### CA-509 Mendocino County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MENDOCINO COUNTY	CA084	1149	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1149</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-510 Turlock, Modesto/Stanislaus County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF STANISLAUS HOUSING AUTH	CA026	4691	90.91%	469	9.09%	<b>5160</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Riverbank	CA017	0	0.00%	1223	100.00%	<b>1223</b>

#### CA-511 Stockton/San Joaquin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN HOUSING AUTH.	CA024	4981	96.18%	198	3.82%	<b>5179</b>

#### CA-512 Daly City/San Mateo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo	CA014	4322	98.18%	80	1.82%	<b>4402</b>
City of South San Francisco Housing Authority	CA015	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>

#### CA-513 Visalia/Kings, Tulare Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tulare County Housing Authority	CA030	2876	78.84%	772	21.16%	<b>3648</b>
KINGS COUNTY HOUSING AUTH	CA053	688	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>688</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-514 Fresno City & County/Madera County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority City of Fresno	CA006	7084	87.25%	1035	12.75%	<b>8119</b>
Housing Authority of Fresno County	CA028	5652	88.84%	710	11.16%	<b>6362</b>
THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MADERA	CA069	791	89.18%	96	10.82%	<b>887</b>
MARIPOSA COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA127	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### CA-515 Roseville, Rocklin/Placer, Nevada Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CITY OF ROSEVILLE	CA128	647	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>647</b>
PLACER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA149	325	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>325</b>

#### CA-516 Redding/Shasta, Siskiyou, Lassen, Plumas, Del Norte, Modoc, Sierra Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF REDDING	CA106	1568	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1568</b>
COUNTY OF SHASTA HSG AUTH	CA096	952	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>952</b>
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	CA154	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### CA-517 Napa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NAPA	CA073	1345	91.50%	125	8.50%	<b>1470</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-518 Vallejo/Solano County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF VALLEJO	CA055	2305	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2305</b>
CITY OF VACAVILLE	CA125	1193	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1193</b>
City of Fairfield	CA065	882	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>882</b>
CITY OF BENICIA HSG AUTH	CA041	372	51.88%	345	48.12%	<b>717</b>
SUISUN CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA066	318	81.54%	72	18.46%	<b>390</b>
COUNTY OF SOLANO HSG AUTH	CA131	251	100.00%	0	0.00%	251

#### CA-519 Chico, Paradise/Butte County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF BUTTE HSG AUTH	CA043	2140	83.24%	431	16.76%	<b>2571</b>
COUNTY OF PLUMAS HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA070	632	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>632</b>

#### CA-520 Merced City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF MERCED HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA023	2750	72.12%	1063	27.88%	<b>3813</b>

#### CA-521 Davis, Woodland/Yolo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Yolo	CA044	1737	98.58%	25	1.42%	<b>1762</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-522 Humboldt County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT HSG AUTH	CA086	1292	78.49%	354	21.51%	1646
CITY OF EUREKA HSG AUTH	CA025	0	0.00%	647	100.00%	647

#### CA-524 Yuba City/Sutter County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Regional Hsg Authority of Sutter & Nevada Co.	CA048	1170	100.00%	0	0.00%	1170
YUBA COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	CA107	449	100.00%	0	0.00%	449

#### CA-525 El Dorado County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
COMM SERVICE DEPT EL DORADO COUNTY	CA151	374	100.00%	0	0.00%	374

#### CA-600 Los Angeles City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	CA004	48818	96.53%	1753	3.47%	50571
Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles	CA002	23442	93.59%	1605	6.41%	25047
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Monica	CA111	1092	100.00%	0	0.00%	1092
City of Compton Housing Authority	CA071	803	77.43%	234	22.57%	1037
Housing Authority of the City of Burbank	CA105	1014	100.00%	0	0.00%	1014



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-600 Los Angeles City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Inglewood	CA082	1002	100.00%	0	0.00%	1002
Housing Authority of the City of Baldwin Park	CA120	899	92.02%	78	7.98%	977
Housing Authority of the City of Pomona	CA123	899	100.00%	0	0.00%	899
Hawthorne Housing	CA126	711	100.00%	0	0.00%	711
Housing Authority of the City of Norwalk	CA118	705	100.00%	0	0.00%	705
Housing Authority of the City of Torrance	CA121	690	100.00%	0	0.00%	690
Housing Authority of the City of South Gate	CA119	654	100.00%	0	0.00%	654
Housing Authority of the City of Redondo Beach	CA103	593	100.00%	0	0.00%	593
Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	CA117	517	100.00%	0	0.00%	517
Culver City Housing Authority	CA110	384	100.00%	0	0.00%	384
Housing Authority of the City of Hawaiian Gardens	CA136	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Housing Authority of the City of Lomita	CA139	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### CA-601 San Diego City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
San Diego Housing Commission	CA063	14882	98.86%	172	1.14%	<b>15054</b>
Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	CA108	11370	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>11370</b>
City of Oceanside Community Development Comm	CA132	1373	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1373</b>
CDC of National City	CA116	1123	98.94%	12	1.06%	<b>1135</b>
Carlsbad Housing & Neighborhood Services	CA077	703	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>703</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Encinitas	CA155	136	100.00%	0	0.00%	136



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-602 Santa Ana, Anaheim/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orange County Housing Authority	CA094	10520	98.86%	121	1.14%	10641
City of Anaheim Housing Authority	CA104	6261	100.00%	0	0.00%	6261
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Ana	CA093	2699	100.00%	0	0.00%	2699
Housing Authority of the City of Garden Grove	CA102	2337	100.00%	0	0.00%	2337

#### CA-603 Santa Maria/Santa Barbara County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Barbara	CA021	3679	98.61%	52	1.39%	3731
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Barbara	CA076	2266	100.00%	0	0.00%	2266

#### CA-604 Bakersfield/Kern County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Kern	CA008	3668	97.40%	98	2.60%	3766
City of Wasco Housing Authority	CA046	0	0.00%	179	100.00%	179

#### CA-606 Long Beach CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Long Beach Housing Authority	CA068	6746	96.51%	244	3.49%	6990



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-607 Pasadena CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pasadena	CA079	1442	96.65%	50	3.35%	<b>1492</b>

#### CA-608 Riverside City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Riverside	CA027	8961	92.20%	758	7.80%	<b>9719</b>

#### CA-609 San Bernardino City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	CA019	8974	95.45%	428	4.55%	<b>9402</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Upland	CA009	624	46.60%	715	53.40%	<b>1339</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Needles	CA022	20	4.60%	415	95.40%	<b>435</b>

#### CA-611 Oxnard, San Buenaventura/Ventura County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Ventura	CA092	2532	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2532</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Oxnard	CA031	1798	95.23%	90	4.77%	<b>1888</b>
Housing Authority of the City of San Buenaventura	CA035	1501	85.04%	264	14.96%	<b>1765</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Santa Paula	CA075	577	64.90%	312	35.10%	<b>889</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Port Hueneme	CA032	279	36.47%	486	63.53%	<b>765</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### California

#### CA-612 Glendale CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Glendale	CA114	1592	100.00%	0	0.00%	1592

#### CA-613 Imperial County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Imperial Valley Housing Authority	CA143	1677	29.60%	3988	70.40%	5665
Housing Authority of the City of Calexico	CA039	244	76.49%	75	23.51%	319

#### CA-614 San Luis Obispo County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of San Luis Obispo	CA064	2112	100.00%	0	0.00%	2112
Housing Authority of the City of Paso Robles	CA050	0	0.00%	496	100.00%	496



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-500 Colorado Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Montezuma	CO079	262	9.08%	2622	90.92%	<b>2884</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pueblo	CO002	1530	92.22%	129	7.78%	<b>1659</b>
Grand Junction Housing Authority	CO051	1075	93.80%	71	6.20%	<b>1146</b>
Fort Collins Housing Authority	CO041	1059	97.25%	30	2.75%	<b>1089</b>
Housing Authority for the Town of Cheyenne Wells	CO026	0	0.00%	707	100.00%	<b>707</b>
Loveland Housing Authority	CO034	565	86.79%	86	13.21%	651
Housing Authority of the City of Greeley	CO035	446	75.72%	143	24.28%	589
Garfield County Housing Authority	CO095	434	100.00%	0	0.00%	434
Housing Authority of Weld County	CO090	427	100.00%	0	0.00%	427
Delta Housing Authority	CO040	226	59.47%	154	40.53%	380
Housing Authority of the Town of Aguilar	CO015	0	0.00%	337	100.00%	337
Housing Authority of the City of Walsenburg	CO003	0	0.00%	199	100.00%	199
Housing Authority of the City of Alamosa	CO004	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Montrose County Housing Authority	CO087	192	100.00%	0	0.00%	192
Las Animas Housing Authority	CO024	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Housing Authority of the City of Lamar	CO006	107	86.99%	16	13.01%	123
Trinidad Housing Authority	CO005	83	73.45%	30	26.55%	113
Holyoke Housing Authority	CO023	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Brush	CO044	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
La Junta Housing Authority	CO031	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
Housing Authority of the Town of Yuma	CO009	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Burlington Housing Authority	CO030	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-500 Colorado Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Conejos County Housing Authority	CO038	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Center Housing Authority	CO043	25	45.45%	30	54.55%	55
Housing Authority of the Town of Keenesburg	CO020	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Antonito	CO008	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Rocky Ford Housing Authority	CO045	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Town of Limon	CO012	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Otero County Housing Authority	CO101	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Costilla County Housing Authority	CO037	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the Town of Kersey	CO018	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Salida	CO013	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Julesburg Housing Authority	CO021	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Fort Morgan Housing Authority	CO011	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Larimer County Housing Authority	CO103	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Lupton	CO029	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of the City of Wray	CO022	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the Town of Holly	CO007	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of the City of Sterling	CO025	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Town of Haxtun	CO017	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Wellington Housing Authority	CO014	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Colorado Bluesky Enterprises, Inc.	CO888	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-503 Metropolitan Denver Homeless Initiative

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City and County of Denver	CO001	6689	88.13%	901	11.87%	<b>7590</b>
Colorado Division of Housing	CO911	6596	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6596</b>
Jefferson County Housing Authority	CO072	1532	98.02%	31	1.98%	<b>1563</b>
Adams County Housing Authority	CO058	1460	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1460</b>
Aurora Housing Authority	CO052	1388	97.06%	42	2.94%	<b>1430</b>
Lakewood Housing Authority	CO049	1411	100.00%	0	0.00%	1411
Boulder Housing Partners	CO016	842	97.45%	22	2.55%	864
Boulder County Housing Authority	CO061	834	100.00%	0	0.00%	834
Longmont Housing Authority	CO070	509	92.71%	40	7.29%	549
Arvada Housing Authority	CO050	508	100.00%	0	0.00%	508
Englewood Housing Authority	CO048	393	100.00%	0	0.00%	393
Littleton Housing Authority	CO036	288	83.48%	57	16.52%	345
Housing Authority of the City of Brighton	CO019	240	92.31%	20	7.69%	260
Sheridan Housing Authority	CO057	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	177
Louisville Housing Authority	CO059	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	13
Center for People with Disabilities	CO889	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Colorado Housing Finance Authority	CO921	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### CO-504 Colorado Springs/El Paso County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Colorado Springs	CO028	2290	97.86%	50	2.14%	<b>2340</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Colorado

#### CO-504 Colorado Springs/El Paso County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Fountain	CO071	240	100.00%	0	0.00%	240



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-502 Hartford CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Connecticut Department of Housing	CT901	7758	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>7758</b>
City of Hartford Housing Authority	CT051	4917	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4917</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hartford	CT003	2237	47.75%	2448	52.25%	<b>4685</b>

#### CT-503 Bridgeport, Stamford, Norwalk/Fairfield County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Bridgeport	CT001	2838	76.89%	853	23.11%	<b>3691</b>
Housing Authority Of The City Of Norwalk	CT002	680	38.20%	1100	61.80%	<b>1780</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Stamford	CT007	1434	85.31%	247	14.69%	<b>1681</b>
Greenwich Housing Authority	CT019	343	48.38%	366	51.62%	<b>709</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Stratford	CT027	280	55.45%	225	44.55%	<b>505</b>
Fairfield Housing Authority	CT052	171	100.00%	0	0.00%	171
New Canaan Housing Authority	CT054	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Brooklyn	CT066	0	0.00%	8367	100.00%	<b>8367</b>
Housing Authority of the City of New Haven	CT004	4479	84.80%	803	15.20%	<b>5282</b>
Waterbury Housing Authority	CT006	2593	83.54%	511	16.46%	<b>3104</b>
Housing Authority of the City of New Britain	CT005	851	52.02%	785	47.98%	<b>1636</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Middletown Housing Authority	CT009	1364	84.51%	250	15.49%	<b>1614</b>
West Haven Housing Authority	CT029	1146	77.64%	330	22.36%	1476
Housing Authority of the City of Meriden	CT011	726	54.06%	617	45.94%	1343
Vernon Housing Authority	CT028	437	41.54%	615	58.46%	1052
Housing Authority of the City of Danbury	CT020	802	78.02%	226	21.98%	1028
Housing Authority of the City of Ansonia	CT015	732	80.53%	177	19.47%	909
Housing Authority of the Town of West Hartfor	CT039	670	77.10%	199	22.90%	869
Willimantic Housing Authority	CT010	506	58.43%	360	41.57%	866
Norwich Housing Authority	CT018	514	61.12%	327	38.88%	841
Manchester Housing Authority	CT026	504	62.61%	301	37.39%	805
Bristol Housing Authority	CT023	679	84.56%	124	15.44%	803
Milford Redevelopment and Housing Partnership	CT030	266	38.22%	430	61.78%	696
East Hartford Housing Authority	CT013	431	63.95%	243	36.05%	674
New London Housing Authority	CT022	0	0.00%	546	100.00%	546
Torrington Housing Authority	CT031	305	80.05%	76	19.95%	381
Winchester Housing Authority	CT025	0	0.00%	317	100.00%	317
Hamden Housing Authority	CT042	305	100.00%	0	0.00%	305
Derby Housing Authority	CT017	278	100.00%	0	0.00%	278
Naugatuck Housing Authority	CT047	249	93.26%	18	6.74%	267
Windsor Locks Housing Authority	CT032	127	51.42%	120	48.58%	247
Plainfield Housing Authority	CT058	197	100.00%	0	0.00%	197
Housing Authority of the Town of Windsor	CT048	158	100.00%	0	0.00%	158



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Connecticut

#### CT-505 Connecticut Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mansfield Housing Authority	CT038	149	100.00%	0	0.00%	149
Putnam Housing Authority	CT024	34	24.46%	105	75.54%	139
Housing Authority of the Town of Enfield	CT008	136	100.00%	0	0.00%	136
Wallingford Housing Authority	CT067	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114
Farmington Housing Authority	CT041	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	90
Portland Housing Authority	CT036	86	100.00%	0	0.00%	86
Killingly Housing Authority	CT061	85	100.00%	0	0.00%	85
Housing Authority of the Town of Seymour	CT035	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
East Haven Housing Authority	CT063	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Wethersfield Housing Authority	CT053	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
South Windsor Housing Authority	CT033	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
Housing Authority of the Town of Glastonbury	CT040	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33
Newington Housing Authority	CT049	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Canton Housing Authority	CT068	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Bloomfield Housing Authority	CT056	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	11



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Delaware

#### DE-500 Delaware Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Delaware State Housing Authority	DE004	0	0.00%	2870	100.00%	<b>2870</b>
Wilmington Housing Authority	DE001	1726	85.66%	289	14.34%	<b>2015</b>
New Castle County Housing Authority	DE005	1825	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1825</b>
Delaware State Housing Authority	DE901	955	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>955</b>
Newark Housing Authority	DE003	209	29.15%	508	70.85%	<b>717</b>
Dover Housing Authority	DE002	190	77.24%	56	22.76%	246



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### District of Columbia

#### DC-500 District of Columbia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
D.C Housing Authority	DC001	14389	89.90%	1616	10.10%	16005
Community Connections	DC880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-500 Sarasota, Bradenton/Manatee, Sarasota Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SARASOTA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL008	1489	82.72%	311	17.28%	<b>1800</b>
MANATEE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL105	1276	97.03%	39	2.97%	<b>1315</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF BRADENTON	FL023	199	82.92%	41	17.08%	<b>240</b>
VENICE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL064	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
CITY OF BRADENTON	FL101	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### FL-501 Tampa/Hillsborough County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
TAMPA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL003	8162	84.38%	1511	15.62%	<b>9673</b>
PLANT CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL034	187	82.38%	40	17.62%	<b>227</b>
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY-BOCC	FL089	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### FL-502 St. Petersburg, Clearwater, Largo/Pinellas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG	FL002	3581	54.11%	3037	45.89%	<b>6618</b>
PINELLAS COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL062	3426	84.36%	635	15.64%	<b>4061</b>
CLEARWATER HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL075	1265	96.20%	50	3.80%	<b>1315</b>
DUNEDIN HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL061	0	0.00%	331	100.00%	<b>331</b>
TARPON SPRINGS HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL058	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	<b>115</b>
Boley Center for Behavioral Healthcare	FL888	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-503 Lakeland, Winterhaven/Polk County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND	FL011	1480	91.98%	129	8.02%	<b>1609</b>
LAKE WALES HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL071	172	65.65%	90	34.35%	<b>262</b>
WINTER HAVEN HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL139	211	80.84%	50	19.16%	<b>261</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BARTOW	FL026	55	34.59%	104	65.41%	<b>159</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MULBERRY	FL054	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	<b>130</b>
POLK COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	FL143	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	110

#### FL-504 Daytona Beach, Daytona/Volusia, Flagler Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of City of Daytona Beach	FL007	1324	76.49%	407	23.51%	<b>1731</b>
DeLand Housing Authority	FL072	749	57.93%	544	42.07%	<b>1293</b>
Housing Authority of New Smyrna Beach	FL022	253	50.50%	248	49.50%	<b>501</b>
Ormond Beach Housing Authority	FL024	219	46.20%	255	53.80%	<b>474</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	FL030	347	81.26%	80	18.74%	<b>427</b>
County of Volusia Dept. of Community Services	FL113	322	100.00%	0	0.00%	322

#### FL-505 Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fort Walton Beach Housing Authority	FL069	820	74.82%	276	25.18%	<b>1096</b>
Crestview Housing Authority	FL046	212	20.50%	822	79.50%	<b>1034</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-505 Fort Walton Beach/Okaloosa, Walton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Walton County Housing Authority	FL110	374	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>374</b>
DeFuniak Springs Housing Authority	FL039	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>
Niceville Housing Authority	FL052	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	<b>39</b>

#### FL-506 Tallahassee/Leon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tallahassee Housing Authority	FL073	2215	94.62%	126	5.38%	<b>2341</b>
Wakulla County Housing	FL096	250	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>250</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Apalachicola	FL036	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	<b>57</b>
Jefferson County Board of County Commissioner	FL140	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### FL-507 Orlando/Orange, Osceola, Seminole Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orlando Housing Authority	FL004	4027	30.19%	9314	69.81%	<b>13341</b>
Orange County Housing & Community Development	FL093	1195	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1195</b>
Seminole County Housing Authority	FL033	359	64.22%	200	35.78%	<b>559</b>
OSCEOLA COUNTY HOUSING AGENCY	FL201	202	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>202</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Sanford	FL016	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	<b>200</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Winter Park	FL082	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	<b>5</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-508 Gainesville/Alachua, Putnam Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gainesville Housing Authority	FL063	1549	98.41%	25	1.59%	1574
Alachua County Housing Authority	FL070	781	81.95%	172	18.05%	953
Palatka Housing Authority	FL057	340	60.07%	226	39.93%	566
Levy County Housing Authority	FL049	142	87.65%	20	12.35%	162
Gilchrist County Housing Authority	FL051	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Union County Housing Authority	FL042	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Suwannee County Housing Authority	FL050	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### FL-509 Fort Pierce/St. Lucie, Indian River, Martin Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT PIERCE	FL041	844	87.37%	122	12.63%	966
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF STUART	FL045	102	27.20%	273	72.80%	375
INDIAN RIVER CO BD OF CO COMM	FL132	345	100.00%	0	0.00%	345

#### FL-510 Jacksonville-Duval, Clay Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jacksonville Housing Authority	FL001	7188	95.09%	371	4.91%	7559
Macclenny Housing Authority	FL065	0	0.00%	1117	100.00%	1117
Housing Authority of City of Fernandina Beach	FL037	62	41.33%	88	58.67%	150
Baker County Housing Assistance Program	FL102	147	100.00%	0	0.00%	147



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-511 Pensacola/Escambia, Santa Rosa Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Pensacola Housing Office	FL092	2429	100.00%	0	0.00%	2429
Area Housing Commission	FL006	0	0.00%	751	100.00%	751
Milton Housing Authority	FL053	342	92.93%	26	7.07%	368

#### FL-513 Palm Bay, Melbourne/Brevard County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BREVARD COUNTY	FL020	2052	81.04%	480	18.96%	2532
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF TITUSVILLE	FL025	682	89.27%	82	10.73%	764
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF COCOA	FL019	149	26.85%	406	73.15%	555
MELBOURNE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL056	0	0.00%	420	100.00%	420

#### FL-514 Ocala/Marion County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ocala Housing Authority	FL032	1329	97.79%	30	2.21%	1359

#### FL-515 Panama City/Bay, Jackson Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Northwest Florida Regional Housing Authority	FL015	1046	89.33%	125	10.67%	1171
Panama City Housing Authority	FL018	468	58.87%	327	41.13%	795



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-515 Panama City/Bay, Jackson Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Springfield	FL035	446	89.20%	54	10.80%	<b>500</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Marianna	FL031	117	38.61%	186	61.39%	<b>303</b>
Holmes County Housing Authority	FL202	194	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>194</b>
Washington County Board of Commissioners	FL109	155	100.00%	0	0.00%	155
Chipley Housing Authority	FL038	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50

#### FL-517 Hendry, Hardee, Highlands Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF AVON PARK	FL012	0	0.00%	590	100.00%	<b>590</b>
PUNTA GORDA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL060	341	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>341</b>
ARCADIA HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL055	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	<b>150</b>
HENDRY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL123	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>43</b>

#### FL-518 Columbia, Hamilton, Lafayette, Suwannee Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Columbia County Housing Authority	FL125	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	<b>142</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Live Oak	FL027	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-519 Pasco County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pasco County Housing Authority	FL104	1499	94.93%	80	5.07%	1579

#### FL-520 Citrus, Hernando, Lake, Sumter Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Eustis	FL040	0	0.00%	826	100.00%	826
Hernando County Housing Authority	FL137	390	68.66%	178	31.34%	568
Lake County Housing Agency	FL106	485	100.00%	0	0.00%	485
Citrus County Housing Services	FL147	233	100.00%	0	0.00%	233
Brooksville Housing Authority	FL074	0	0.00%	205	100.00%	205

#### FL-600 Miami-Dade County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MIAMI DADE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEV	FL005	15178	96.18%	603	3.82%	15781
HIALEAH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL066	4800	97.48%	124	2.52%	4924
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH	FL017	3123	87.41%	450	12.59%	3573
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF HOMESTEAD	FL068	1618	100.00%	0	0.00%	1618
MIAMI HSG CONSERVATION	FL145	136	100.00%	0	0.00%	136
Carrfour Supportive Housing, Inc.	FL881	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-601 Ft Lauderdale/Broward County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
BROWARD COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL079	5777	92.11%	495	7.89%	<b>6272</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE	FL010	2941	90.24%	318	9.76%	<b>3259</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF POMPANO BEACH	FL028	1096	89.25%	132	10.75%	<b>1228</b>
HA HOLLYWOOD	FL136	761	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>761</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF DEERFIELD BEACH	FL081	482	73.81%	171	26.19%	<b>653</b>
DANIA BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL116	499	84.01%	95	15.99%	594

#### FL-603 Ft Myers, Cape Coral/Lee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CTIY OF FORT MYERS	FL047	2094	93.15%	154	6.85%	<b>2248</b>
HA LEE COUNTY	FL128	271	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>271</b>

#### FL-604 Monroe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MONROE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL144	200	8.17%	2248	91.83%	<b>2448</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF KEY WEST	FL013	254	40.71%	370	59.29%	<b>624</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Florida

#### FL-605 West Palm Beach/Palm Beach County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
WEST PALM BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL009	3245	94.33%	195	5.67%	<b>3440</b>
PALM BEACH COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL080	2595	92.98%	196	7.02%	<b>2791</b>
DELRAY BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL083	1111	84.29%	207	15.71%	<b>1318</b>
HA BOCA RATON	FL119	621	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>621</b>
RIVIERA BEACH HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL076	0	0.00%	374	100.00%	<b>374</b>
PAHOKEE HOUSING AUTHORITY	FL021	76	37.62%	126	62.38%	202
Housing Partnership Inc.	FL880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### FL-606 Naples/Collier County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Collier County Housing Authority	FL141	466	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>466</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-500 Atlanta CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of ATLANTA Georgia	GA006	19754	93.82%	1302	6.18%	<b>21056</b>
CITY OF ATLANTA, Dept. of Housing and Community Devel	GA269	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Macon	GA007	3070	83.90%	589	16.10%	<b>3659</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Jonesboro	GA228	1877	98.95%	20	1.05%	<b>1897</b>
NORTHWEST GA HOUSING AUTHORITY	GA285	567	43.05%	750	56.95%	<b>1317</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Americus	GA062	716	62.42%	431	37.58%	<b>1147</b>
Northeast Georgia Housing Authority	GA284	0	0.00%	942	100.00%	<b>942</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Brunswick	GA009	750	82.06%	164	17.94%	914
TRI-CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	GA283	0	0.00%	705	100.00%	705
Housing Authority of the City of Newnan	GA095	85	16.13%	442	83.87%	527
Housing Authority of the City of Waycross	GA028	0	0.00%	494	100.00%	494
Housing Authority of the City of Griffin	GA061	0	0.00%	480	100.00%	480
Housing Authority of the City of Lavonia	GA094	0	0.00%	434	100.00%	434
Housing Authority of the City of Cedartown	GA025	0	0.00%	420	100.00%	420
Housing Authority of the City of Quitman	GA085	0	0.00%	387	100.00%	387
Housing Authority of the City of Eatonton	GA072	0	0.00%	383	100.00%	383
Housing Authority of the City of Valdosta	GA100	0	0.00%	383	100.00%	383
FLINT AREA CONSOLIDATED	GA280	0	0.00%	357	100.00%	357





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of LaGrange	GA026	0	0.00%	355	100.00%	355
Housing Authority of the City of Gainesville	GA059	0	0.00%	328	100.00%	328
Housing Authority of the City of McDonough	GA182	0	0.00%	325	100.00%	325
Housing Authority of the City of Sandersville	GA199	0	0.00%	321	100.00%	321
Housing Authority of the City of Albany	GA023	61	19.37%	254	80.63%	315
Housing Authority of the City of Statesboro	GA132	0	0.00%	306	100.00%	306
Housing Authority of the City of Thomasville	GA024	0	0.00%	304	100.00%	304
Housing Authority of the City of Harlem	GA169	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Housing Authority of the City of Winder	GA183	0	0.00%	290	100.00%	290
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Oglethorpe	GA246	0	0.00%	288	100.00%	288
Housing Authority of the City of Cordele	GA063	0	0.00%	284	100.00%	284
Housing Authority of the City of Menlo	GA244	0	0.00%	280	100.00%	280
Housing Authority of the City of Comer	GA103	0	0.00%	261	100.00%	261
Housing Authority of the City of Moultrie	GA060	0	0.00%	250	100.00%	250
Housing Authority of the County of Stewart	GA118	0	0.00%	249	100.00%	249
Housing Authority of the City of Cave Spring	GA130	0	0.00%	244	100.00%	244
Housing Authority of the City of Clayton	GA115	0	0.00%	237	100.00%	237
Housing Authority of the City of Carrollton	GA116	193	83.19%	39	16.81%	232
Housing Authority of the City of Nahunta	GA263	0	0.00%	231	100.00%	231
Housing Authority of the City of Sylvania	GA152	0	0.00%	224	100.00%	224
Housing Authority of the City of Dublin	GA069	0	0.00%	221	100.00%	221
Housing Authority of the City of West Point	GA065	0	0.00%	214	100.00%	214



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Nashville	GA092	0	0.00%	212	100.00%	212
Housing Authority of the City of Camilla	GA096	0	0.00%	211	100.00%	211
Housing Authority of the City of Tallapoosa	GA097	0	0.00%	210	100.00%	210
Housing Authority of the City of McRae	GA084	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Housing Authority of the City of Warrenton	GA127	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the City of Royston	GA090	0	0.00%	186	100.00%	186
Housing Authority of the City of Monroe	GA073	0	0.00%	185	100.00%	185
Housing Authority of the City of Hawkinsville	GA089	0	0.00%	185	100.00%	185
Housing Authority of the City of Eastman	GA080	0	0.00%	174	100.00%	174
Housing Authority of the City of Waynesboro	GA086	0	0.00%	168	100.00%	168
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Gaines	GA167	0	0.00%	165	100.00%	165
ETOWAH AREA CONSOLIDATED	GA281	0	0.00%	163	100.00%	163
Housing Authority of the City of Fitzgerald	GA070	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159
Housing Authority of the City of Buford	GA091	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159
Housing Authority of the City of Nicholls	GA113	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159
Housing Authority of the City of Social Circle	GA147	0	0.00%	157	100.00%	157
Housing Authority of the City of Ringgold	GA216	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
Housing Authority of the City of Milledgeville	GA200	0	0.00%	152	100.00%	152
Housing Authority of the City of Swainsboro	GA131	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Housing Authority of the City of Colquitt	GA198	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	142
Housing Authority of the County of Douglas	GA106	0	0.00%	141	100.00%	141
Housing Authority of the City of Lincolnton	GA211	0	0.00%	141	100.00%	141



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Ellijay	GA176	0	0.00%	132	100.00%	132
Housing Authority of the City of Calhoun	GA119	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	GA224	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Vernon	GA238	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of Jesup	GA066	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Douglas	GA076	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Housing Authority of the City of Blackshear	GA134	0	0.00%	114	100.00%	114
Housing Authority of the City of Baxley	GA071	0	0.00%	114	100.00%	114
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	GA105	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Washington	GA144	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Rochelle	GA175	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Sylvester	GA104	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of the City of Pelham	GA098	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Housing Authority of the City of Senoia	GA204	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Danielsville	GA126	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Blakely	GA114	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of the City of Thomson	GA128	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of the City of Edison	GA162	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Conyers	GA184	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of Union City	GA197	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Housing Authority of the City of Soperton	GA239	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Housing Authority of the City of Adel	GA088	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Screven	GA141	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the City of Loganville	GA171	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Bowdon	GA207	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Perry	GA252	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Roberta	GA223	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Alamo	GA178	0	0.00%	79	100.00%	79
Housing Authority of the City of Reidsville	GA220	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of the City of Covington	GA245	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of the City of Glenwood	GA194	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of the City of Vidalia	GA145	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Boston	GA117	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Fort Valley	GA205	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
SOUTHEAST GEORGIA CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AUTHOR	GA282	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Housing Authority of the City of Crawfordville	GA192	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the City of Cairo	GA083	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of the County of Harris	GA161	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Gibson	GA190	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of the City of Sparta	GA210	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Ashburn	GA087	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Chatsworth	GA206	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of the City of Alma	GA133	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of the City of Tennille	GA195	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Buchanan	GA124	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Villa Rica	GA107	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Jasper	GA201	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Madison	GA193	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Arlington	GA111	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Vienna	GA208	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Ocala	GA168	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Warner Robins	GA160	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43
Housing Authority of the City of Manchester	GA108	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of the City of Canton	GA213	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Barnesville	GA177	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of McCaysville	GA241	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Monticello	GA203	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of the City of Commerce	GA125	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Ellaville	GA214	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Newton	GA109	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Housing Authority of the City of Hampton	GA110	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Claxton	GA166	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Norcross	GA209	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Buena Vista	GA179	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Metter	GA189	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Louisville	GA157	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Summerville	GA153	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Housing Authority of the City of Jefferson	GA163	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	21
Housing Authority of the City of Abbeville	GA186	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Unadilla	GA217	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the County of Lee	GA129	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Byron	GA243	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Hazlehurst	GA137	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Doerun	GA112	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of LaFayette	GA170	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Hogansville	GA135	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Cumming	GA196	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Jackson	GA185	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Union Point	GA191	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Dahlonega	GA174	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Shellman	GA229	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of the City of Homerville	GA172	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Lawrenceville	GA093	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Thomaston	GA247	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Glennville	GA139	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Hinesville	GA221	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas	GA148	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Cuthbert	GA226	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-501 Georgia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Lumber City	GA155	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Woodbury	GA230	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Franklin	GA233	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Tifton	GA101	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Grantville	GA218	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Elberton	GA074	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Bremen	GA254	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Millen	GA142	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Hartwell	GA081	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Dawson	GA067	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the County of Houston	GA268	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Lyons	GA120	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### GA-502 Fulton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Fulton County	GA264	847	95.49%	40	4.51%	<b>887</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Roswell	GA099	0	0.00%	532	100.00%	<b>532</b>
Housing Authority of the City of College Park	GA232	408	83.95%	78	16.05%	<b>486</b>
Housing Authority of the City of East Point	GA078	480	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>480</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Fairburn	GA180	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Palmetto	GA187	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-503 Athens-Clarke County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Athens	GA003	0	0.00%	1717	100.00%	1717

#### GA-504 Augusta-Richmond County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Augusta	GA001	4072	71.80%	1599	28.20%	5671

#### GA-505 Columbus-Muscogee/Russell County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	GA004	2403	100.00%	0	0.00%	2403
PHENIX CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	AL005	772	35.43%	1407	64.57%	2179

#### GA-506 Marietta/Cobb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Marietta	GA010	2038	87.58%	289	12.42%	2327
CITY OF MARIETTA	GA266	657	100.00%	0	0.00%	657





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Georgia

#### GA-507 Savannah/Chatham County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Savannah	GA002	2811	71.25%	1134	28.75%	<b>3945</b>

#### GA-508 DeKalb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Georgia Residential Finance	GA901	16898	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>16898</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Dekalb, GA	GA237	4484	99.23%	35	0.77%	<b>4519</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	GA011	793	41.52%	1117	58.48%	<b>1910</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lithonia	GA188	96	44.04%	122	55.96%	<b>218</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Hawaii

#### HI-500 Hawaii Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
County of Hawaii	HI002	1805	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1805</b>
County of Maui	HI004	1464	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1464</b>
Kauai County Housing Agency	HI005	1345	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1345</b>

#### HI-501 Honolulu City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City and County of Honolulu	HI003	4616	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4616</b>
Hawaii Public Housing Authority	HI901	3681	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3681</b>
Hawaii Public Housing Authority	HI001	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	<b>47</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Idaho

#### ID-500 Boise/Ada County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	ID901	3505	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3505</b>
Ada County Housing Authority	ID021	763	27.34%	2028	72.66%	<b>2791</b>
Boise City Housing Authority	ID013	1282	96.83%	42	3.17%	<b>1324</b>
Idaho Housing and Finance Association	ID020	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	<b>10</b>

#### ID-501 Idaho Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Southwestern Idaho Cooperative Housing Authority	ID016	876	92.41%	72	7.59%	<b>948</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pocatello	ID005	680	94.44%	40	5.56%	<b>720</b>
Housing Authority of the City of American Falls	ID012	0	0.00%	160	100.00%	<b>160</b>
Twin Falls Housing Authority	ID001	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	<b>142</b>
Nampa Housing Authority	ID002	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	<b>75</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Buhl	ID010	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City Jerome	ID011	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-500 McHenry County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
McHenry County Housing Authority	IL116	977	92.08%	84	7.92%	1061

#### IL-501 Rockford/Winnebago, Boone Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rockford Housing Authority	IL022	1842	64.77%	1002	35.23%	2844
Winnebago County Housing Authority	IL083	516	64.18%	288	35.82%	804
Housing Authority of the County of Boone	IL122	238	42.05%	328	57.95%	566

#### IL-502 Waukegan, North Chicago/Lake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Lake, IL.	IL056	2918	86.77%	445	13.23%	3363
Housing Authority of the City of Waukegan	IL026	848	89.93%	95	10.07%	943
Housing Authority of the City of North Chicago, IL	IL107	542	76.02%	171	23.98%	713

#### IL-503 Champaign, Urbana, Rantoul/Champaign County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Champaign County	IL006	1813	78.59%	494	21.41%	2307



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-504 Madison County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Madison County Housing Authority	IL015	1087	72.61%	410	27.39%	1497
Housing Authority - City of Alton	IL055	0	0.00%	620	100.00%	620
Granite City Housing Authority	IL005	0	0.00%	354	100.00%	354

#### IL-506 Joliet, Bolingbrook/Will County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Joliet	IL024	1330	39.63%	2026	60.37%	3356
Kendall Housing Authority	IL137	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Grundy County Housing Authority	IL027	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126

#### IL-507 Peoria, Pekin/Fulton,Tazewell, Peoria, Woodford Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Peoria Housing Authority	IL003	1967	72.80%	735	27.20%	2702
Fulton County Housing Authority	IL084	260	38.07%	423	61.93%	683
Woodford County Housing Authority	IL104	240	61.54%	150	38.46%	390
Housing Authority of East Peoria	IL124	295	100.00%	0	0.00%	295
Housing Authority of the City of Pekin	IL044	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-508 East St. Louis, Belleville/St. Clair County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of City of East St. Louis	IL001	0	0.00%	21281	100.00%	<b>21281</b>
St. Clair County Housing Authority	IL030	2593	91.24%	249	8.76%	<b>2842</b>

#### IL-509 DeKalb City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Of The County Of DeKalb	IL089	564	52.71%	506	47.29%	<b>1070</b>

#### IL-510 Chicago CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chicago Housing Authority	IL002	51471	98.30%	891	1.70%	<b>52362</b>
Housing Authority Cook County	IL025	12909	96.65%	448	3.35%	<b>13357</b>
Habitat Property Management Corp	IL802	0	0.00%	347	100.00%	<b>347</b>
Illinois Housing Development Authority	IL901	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### IL-511 Cook County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Village of Oak Park	IL103	442	87.35%	64	12.65%	<b>506</b>
Maywood Housing Authority	IL054	449	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>449</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Cicero	IL130	232	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>232</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-511 Cook County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Park Forest	IL136	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>177</b>

#### IL-512 Bloomington/Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority Of The City Of Danville, IL	IL011	680	49.96%	681	50.04%	<b>1361</b>
Menard County Housing Authority	IL028	514	53.43%	448	46.57%	<b>962</b>
Kankakee County Housing Authority	IL039	708	78.58%	193	21.42%	<b>901</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington, IL	IL051	445	66.82%	221	33.18%	<b>666</b>
Mason County Housing Authority	IL042	150	23.55%	487	76.45%	<b>637</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Vermilion, Ill.	IL036	108	32.14%	228	67.86%	336
Housing Authority of the County of McLean, Ill.	IL117	316	100.00%	0	0.00%	316
Logan County Housing Authority	IL040	62	21.02%	233	78.98%	295
DeWitt County Housing Authority	IL031	0	0.00%	265	100.00%	265
Housing Authority of the County of Ford	IL034	50	19.16%	211	80.84%	261
Livingston County Housing Authority	IL094	73	35.96%	130	64.04%	203
Housing Authority of Piatt County	IL128	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60

#### IL-513 Springfield/Sangamon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	IL004	1974	85.34%	339	14.66%	<b>2313</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-514 Dupage County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DuPage Housing Authority	IL101	2759	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2759</b>

#### IL-515 South Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Calhoun County	IL049	0	0.00%	725	100.00%	<b>725</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Jersey	IL074	195	40.97%	281	59.03%	<b>476</b>
Housing Authority of Christian County, Illinois	IL038	173	42.82%	231	57.18%	<b>404</b>
Macoupin County Housing Authority	IL047	0	0.00%	354	100.00%	<b>354</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Shelby, IL.	IL087	30	12.20%	216	87.80%	<b>246</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, IL.	IL070	15	6.17%	228	93.83%	243
Montgomery County Housing Authority	IL037	89	38.86%	140	61.14%	229
Clay County Housing Authority	IL065	0	0.00%	147	100.00%	147
Housing Authority of the County of Clark, IL.	IL069	30	24.59%	92	75.41%	122
Housing Authority of Greene County	IL072	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Effingham County Housing Authority	IL062	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of Edgar County	IL120	75	100.00%	0	0.00%	75
Housing Authority County of Coles	IL100	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-516 Decatur/Macon County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Decatur Housing Authority	IL012	1123	54.23%	948	45.77%	<b>2071</b>

#### IL-517 Aurora, Elgin/Kane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Elgin	IL092	1094	87.52%	156	12.48%	<b>1250</b>
Aurora Housing Authority of the City of Aurora	IL090	893	76.39%	276	23.61%	<b>1169</b>

#### IL-518 Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Moline Housing Authority	IL020	234	10.82%	1928	89.18%	<b>2162</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Freeport	IL029	0	0.00%	1002	100.00%	<b>1002</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Rock Island	IL018	415	46.06%	486	53.94%	<b>901</b>
Grtr Metro. Area Hsng Auth of Rock Island County	IL010	347	39.66%	528	60.34%	<b>875</b>
Housing Authority for LaSalle County	IL014	536	66.50%	270	33.50%	<b>806</b>
The Housing Authority of Henry County	IL009	185	27.57%	486	72.43%	671
Knox County Housing Authority	IL085	280	52.53%	253	47.47%	533
Whiteside County Housing Authority	IL032	285	82.61%	60	17.39%	345
Housing Authority of the County of JoDaviess	IL082	41	15.59%	222	84.41%	263
Lee County Housing Authority	IL035	42	16.54%	212	83.46%	254
Ogle County Housing Authority	IL095	141	65.28%	75	34.72%	216



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-518 Rock Island, Moline/Northwestern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bureau County Housing Authority	IL086	71	34.98%	132	65.02%	203
Carroll County Housing Authority	IL081	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Mercer County Housing Authority	IL131	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35

#### IL-519 West Central Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Quincy Housing Authority	IL016	145	26.13%	410	73.87%	555
Housing Authority of Adams County	IL046	0	0.00%	362	100.00%	362
Warren County Housing Authority	IL091	100	28.17%	255	71.83%	355
Housing Authority of the County of McDonough	IL076	125	44.80%	154	55.20%	279
Morgan County Housing Authority	IL079	180	77.92%	51	22.08%	231
The Housing Authority of the County of Cass IL.	IL102	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Housing Authority of the County of Brown	IL099	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	178
Pike County Housing Authority	IL071	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Scott County Housing Authority	IL073	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	146
Hancock County Housing Authority	IL097	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Henderson County Housing Authority	IL115	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-520 Southern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Randolph County Housing Authority	IL052	50	5.76%	818	94.24%	<b>868</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Jackson, IL.	IL053	557	69.54%	244	30.46%	<b>801</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Williamson	IL050	163	20.40%	636	79.60%	<b>799</b>
Housing Authority of Gallatin County	IL060	0	0.00%	683	100.00%	<b>683</b>
Alexander County Housing Authority	IL007	0	0.00%	450	100.00%	<b>450</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Bond	IL078	0	0.00%	419	100.00%	419
Housing Authority of Marion County	IL057	277	69.25%	123	30.75%	400
Housing Authority Of The County of Wayne, Illinois	IL088	70	20.00%	280	80.00%	350
Housing Authority of the County of Hardin	IL066	0	0.00%	346	100.00%	346
Housing Authority - County of Saline	IL043	128	39.51%	196	60.49%	324
Housing Authority of Pope County	IL058	0	0.00%	308	100.00%	308
Housing Authority Of The County Of Wabash, IL.	IL093	0	0.00%	237	100.00%	237
Hamilton County Housing Authority	IL118	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of Jefferson County	IL059	99	50.51%	97	49.49%	196
Housing Authority of Johnson County	IL063	0	0.00%	187	100.00%	187
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, Illinois	IL126	120	66.67%	60	33.33%	180
Housing Authority of the County of Franklin	IL061	65	38.46%	104	61.54%	169
Housing Authority of Pulaski County	IL045	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Housing Authority of the County of Union	IL067	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Housing Authority of the County of Richland	IL096	80	72.73%	30	27.27%	110
Edwards County Housing Authority	IL080	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
White County Housing Authority	IL068	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Illinois

#### IL-520 Southern Illinois CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Mt. Vernon, Illinois	IL123	64	100.00%	0	0.00%	64
Massac County Housing Authority	IL041	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Perry County Housing Authority	IL048	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the County of Lawrence, IL.	IL108	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Illinois Dept. of Commerce & Economic Opport.	IL911	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-500 South Bend, Mishawaka/St. Joseph County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of South Bend	IN015	2124	70.33%	896	29.67%	<b>3020</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Mishawaka	IN020	307	26.31%	860	73.69%	<b>1167</b>
Housing Authority of the County of St. Joseph	IN100	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>224</b>

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Evansville	IN016	1937	50.04%	1934	49.96%	<b>3871</b>
Fort Wayne Housing Authority	IN003	3099	95.03%	162	4.97%	<b>3261</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hammond	IN010	898	31.31%	1970	68.69%	<b>2868</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Gary Indiana	IN011	1654	60.45%	1082	39.55%	<b>2736</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Peru	IN091	212	9.34%	2058	90.66%	<b>2270</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Anderson	IN006	1227	69.05%	550	30.95%	1777
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomington	IN022	1364	78.71%	369	21.29%	1733
Housing Authority of the City of Terre Haute	IN021	916	74.59%	312	25.41%	1228
Housing Authority of the City of New Albany	IN012	408	33.39%	814	66.61%	1222
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	IN071	1215	100.00%	0	0.00%	1215
Vincennes Housing Authority	IN002	372	33.04%	754	66.96%	1126
Housing Authority of the City of Muncie	IN005	864	86.40%	136	13.60%	1000
Kokomo Housing Authority	IN007	689	69.46%	303	30.54%	992
Housing Authority of the City of East Chicago	IN029	694	78.51%	190	21.49%	884
Housing Authority of the City of Huntingburg	IN028	0	0.00%	809	100.00%	809



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Elkhart	IN026	727	93.56%	50	6.44%	777
Housing Authority of the City of Charlestown	IN025	70	9.43%	672	90.57%	742
Housing Authority of the City of Michigan City	IN019	371	55.37%	299	44.63%	670
Housing Authority of the City of Crawfordsvil	IN047	477	75.36%	156	24.64%	633
Delaware County Housing Authority	IN004	256	41.63%	359	58.37%	615
Housing Authority of the City of Richmond	IN009	273	44.39%	342	55.61%	615
Columbus Housing Authority	IN058	579	100.00%	0	0.00%	579
Housing Authority of the City of Jeffersonville	IN023	410	74.28%	142	25.72%	552
Housing Authority of the City of Marion, IN	IN041	471	100.00%	0	0.00%	471
Mount Vernon Housing Authority	IN037	203	51.92%	188	48.08%	391
Housing Authority of the County of Fayette	IN073	376	100.00%	0	0.00%	376
New Castle Housing Authority	IN050	306	85.96%	50	14.04%	356
Knox County Housing Authority	IN067	334	100.00%	0	0.00%	334
Housing Authority of the City of Goshen	IN101	320	100.00%	0	0.00%	320
Seymour Housing Authority	IN056	158	50.16%	157	49.84%	315
Bloomfield Housing Authority	IN032	50	16.61%	251	83.39%	301
Housing Authority of the City of Elwood	IN079	301	100.00%	0	0.00%	301
Sullivan Housing Authority	IN034	0	0.00%	288	100.00%	288
Housing Authority of the City of Logansport	IN092	283	100.00%	0	0.00%	283
Housing Authority of the City of Angola	IN039	0	0.00%	270	100.00%	270
Housing Authority of the City of Bedford	IN031	168	64.62%	92	35.38%	260
Housing Authority of the City of Tell City	IN018	67	25.97%	191	74.03%	258



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-502 Indiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Decatur	IN062	178	70.63%	74	29.37%	252
Rockport Housing Authority	IN024	0	0.00%	250	100.00%	250
Brazil Housing Authority	IN035	115	49.36%	118	50.64%	233
Linton Housing Authority	IN055	219	100.00%	0	0.00%	219
Noblesville Housing Authority	IN080	185	100.00%	0	0.00%	185
Housing Authority of the City of Greencastle	IN094	184	100.00%	0	0.00%	184
Cannelton Housing Authority	IN043	179	100.00%	0	0.00%	179
Fulton County Housing Authority	IN069	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Housing Authority of the City of Warsaw	IN060	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Washington Housing Authority	IN030	0	0.00%	167	100.00%	167
Housing Authority of the City of Union City	IN086	161	100.00%	0	0.00%	161
Rockville Housing Authority	IN048	133	100.00%	0	0.00%	133
Housing Authority of the City of Greensburg	IN078	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
Greendale Housing Authority	IN090	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	104
Housing Authority of the City of Kendallville	IN036	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the City of Rome City	IN089	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Fremont Housing Authority	IN085	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Jasonville	IN077	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Portland	IN084	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Sellersburg	IN083	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the County of Jennings	IN104	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Marshall County Housing Authority	IN103	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Indiana

#### IN-503      Indianapolis CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Indianapolis Housing Agency	IN017	8514	97.72%	199	2.28%	8713
Indiana Housing and Community Development Au	IN901	5201	100.00%	0	0.00%	5201





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-500 Sioux City/Dakota, Woodbury Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Sioux City Housing Authority	IA018	1200	93.82%	79	6.18%	<b>1279</b>
Siouxland Regional Housing Authority	IA136	353	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>353</b>
South Sioux City Housing Agency	NE175	294	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>294</b>
Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing Authority	NE180	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>73</b>
Emerson Housing Authority	NE073	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Iowa City Housing Authority	IA022	1272	81.17%	295	18.83%	<b>1567</b>
Cedar Rapids Housing Services	IA024	1265	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1265</b>
Southern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA117	1070	95.62%	49	4.38%	<b>1119</b>
Eastern Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA126	983	89.04%	121	10.96%	<b>1104</b>
Waterloo Housing Authority	IA050	1056	96.00%	44	4.00%	<b>1100</b>
City of Dubuque	IA087	1063	100.00%	0	0.00%	1063
Region XII Regional Housing Authority	IA122	928	100.00%	0	0.00%	928
Davenport Housing Commission	IA045	776	95.57%	36	4.43%	812
Fort Dodge Municipal Housing Agency	IA107	665	94.33%	40	5.67%	705
Area XV Multi-County Housing Agency	IA124	422	72.01%	164	27.99%	586
Low Rent Housing Agency of Clinton	IA098	424	75.71%	136	24.29%	560
Northwest Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA129	522	100.00%	0	0.00%	522
Iowa Northland Regional Housing Authority	IA132	313	61.49%	196	38.51%	509



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mason City Housing Authority	IA108	494	100.00%	0	0.00%	494
City of Marshalltown Rent Assistance Program	IA125	464	100.00%	0	0.00%	464
Shenandoah Low Rent Housing Agency	IA019	0	0.00%	434	100.00%	434
Muscatine Municipal Housing Agency	IA049	376	88.26%	50	11.74%	426
Upper Explorerland Regional Housing Authority	IA130	407	100.00%	0	0.00%	407
Southeast Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA128	267	66.92%	132	33.08%	399
Low Rent Housing Agency of Burlington	IA015	325	81.66%	73	18.34%	398
North Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA127	398	100.00%	0	0.00%	398
Afton Housing Commission	IA003	0	0.00%	359	100.00%	359
Low Rent Housing Agency of Knoxville	IA119	263	75.79%	84	24.21%	347
Cedar Falls Housing Commission	IA113	326	100.00%	0	0.00%	326
Ottumwa Housing Authority	IA004	237	92.22%	20	7.78%	257
Oskaloosa Municipal Housing Agency	IA100	230	100.00%	0	0.00%	230
Charles City Hsg and Redev Authority	IA002	197	86.78%	30	13.22%	227
Evansdale Municipal Housing Authority	IA038	126	55.75%	100	44.25%	226
Albia Housing Agency	IA114	96	43.44%	125	56.56%	221
Fort Madison Housing Authority	IA047	70	31.82%	150	68.18%	220
Keokuk Housing Authority	IA030	171	85.07%	30	14.93%	201
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Onawa	IA014	0	0.00%	201	100.00%	201
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Missouri Valley	IA029	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Warren County Housing Authority	IA120	171	100.00%	0	0.00%	171
Grinnell Low Rent Housing Authority	IA084	166	100.00%	0	0.00%	166



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Centerville Municipal Housing Agency	IA042	98	66.22%	50	33.78%	148
Corning Housing Commission	IA001	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Low Rent Housing Agency of Spirit Lake	IA057	142	100.00%	0	0.00%	142
Rock Rapids Municipal Housing Agency	IA046	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Low Rent Housing Agency of Emmetsburg	IA056	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Low Rent Housing Agency of Decorah	IA054	86	100.00%	0	0.00%	86
Municipal Housing Agency Of Manning	IA021	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
Lenox Low Rent Housing Agency	IA032	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Farragut	IA010	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Waverly	IA013	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Bancroft	IA028	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Tabor Low Rent Housing Agency	IA012	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Chariton Housing Authority	IA016	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Mount Ayr	IA026	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Red Oak	IA044	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Clarinda Low Rent Housing Agency	IA034	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Leon	IA027	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Essex Low Rent Housing Agency	IA025	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Lone Tree Housing Commission	IA006	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Sioux Center	IA011	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Stanton Housing Commission	IA005	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Hamburg	IA007	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Iowa

#### IA-501 Iowa Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Sidney	IA008	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Malvern Low Rent Housing Agency	IA009	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Low Rent Housing Agency Of Winterset	IA017	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Bettendorf Low Rent Housing Agency	IA123	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Villisca Low Rent Housing Agency	IA079	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Mid Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA133	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### IA-502 Des Moines/Polk County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	IA020	3424	99.16%	29	0.84%	<b>3453</b>
Central Iowa Regional Housing Authority	IA131	1008	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1008</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-501 Kansas City/Wyandotte County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Kansas City, KS Housing Authority	KS001	1501	66.86%	744	33.14%	2245
Bonner Springs Housing Authority	KS009	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72

#### KS-502 Wichita/Sedgwick County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wichita Housing Authority	KS004	2573	95.83%	112	4.17%	2685
Sedgwick County Housing Authority	KS169	342	100.00%	0	0.00%	342
Sedgwick Housing Authority	KS066	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105

#### KS-503 Topeka/Shawnee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Topeka Housing Authority	KS002	1315	98.50%	20	1.50%	1335

#### KS-505 Overland Park, Shawnee/Johnson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Johnson County Housing Authority	KS162	1447	100.00%	0	0.00%	1447
Olathe Housing Authority	KS043	509	80.79%	121	19.21%	630



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Victoria Housing Authority	KS158	0	0.00%	4263	100.00%	<b>4263</b>
Lawrence/Douglas County Housing Authority	KS053	762	97.69%	18	2.31%	<b>780</b>
South Central KS Area Agency On Aging (Cowley Co.)	KS166	648	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>648</b>
Bird City Housing Authority	KS003	0	0.00%	578	100.00%	<b>578</b>
Leavenworth Housing Authority	KS068	469	88.66%	60	11.34%	<b>529</b>
LYON COUNTY/ECKAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	KS159	499	100.00%	0	0.00%	499
Salina Housing Authority	KS038	347	79.41%	90	20.59%	437
Pittsburg Housing Authority	KS149	429	100.00%	0	0.00%	429
North Central Flint Hills Area Agency on Aging	KS167	404	100.00%	0	0.00%	404
Ford County Housing Authority	KS165	401	100.00%	0	0.00%	401
Pleasanton Housing Authority	KS052	0	0.00%	369	100.00%	369
Crawford County	KS161	332	100.00%	0	0.00%	332
Manhattan Housing Authority	KS063	252	78.26%	70	21.74%	322
Housing Authority of the City of Colby	KS005	0	0.00%	315	100.00%	315
Chanute Housing Authority	KS062	25	9.73%	232	90.27%	257
Paola Housing Authority	KS039	0	0.00%	191	100.00%	191
South Hutchinson Housing Authority	KS016	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
Ellis County Housing Authority	KS170	187	100.00%	0	0.00%	187
Dodge City Housing Authority	KS006	134	72.83%	50	27.17%	184
NEK-CAP, Inc.	KS168	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	177
Wellington Housing Authority	KS037	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Jetmore Housing Authority	KS047	0	0.00%	161	100.00%	161



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Newton Housing Authority	KS015	0	0.00%	144	100.00%	144
Humboldt Housing Authority	KS061	0	0.00%	139	100.00%	139
Liberal Housing Authority	KS072	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
Wamego Housing Authority	KS042	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Housing Authority of the City of Herington KS	KS100	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Great Bend Housing Authority	KS041	82	71.93%	32	28.07%	114
Hays Housing Authority	KS091	78	76.47%	24	23.53%	102
Blue Rapids Housing Authority	KS030	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
Strong City Housing Authority	KS070	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Garden City Housing Authority	KS071	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Fort Scott Housing Authority	KS040	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Newton Housing Authority	KS073	72	78.26%	20	21.74%	92
Phillipsburg Housing Authority	KS036	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Kinsley Housing Authority	KS023	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Sabetha Housing Authority	KS054	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Luray Housing Authority	KS026	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Atchison Housing Authority	KS017	32	41.03%	46	58.97%	78
Marion Housing Authority	KS032	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Seneca Housing Authority	KS010	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
Linn Housing Authority	KS014	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Sterling Housing Authority	KS028	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Washington Housing Authority	KS007	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ulysses Housing Authority	KS058	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Waterville Housing Authority	KS060	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Holton Housing Authority	KS008	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Frontenac Housing Authority	KS131	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Russell Housing Authority	KS027	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Osborne Housing Authority	KS020	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Anthony Housing Authority	KS018	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Burrton Housing Authority	KS078	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Norton Housing Authority	KS034	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41
Housing Authority of Medicine Lodge	KS057	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Valley Falls Housing Authority	KS056	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Parsons Housing Authority	KS044	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Beloit Housing Authority	KS019	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Gaylord Housing Authority	KS051	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Hillsboro Housing Authority	KS096	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Minneapolis Housing Authority	KS033	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Junction City Housing Authority	KS105	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Atwood Housing Authority	KS022	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Downs Housing Authority	KS086	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Greenleaf Housing Authority	KS083	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Howard Housing Authority	KS079	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Mankato Housing Authority	KS141	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Horton Housing Authority	KS011	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Columbus Housing Authority	KS143	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
City of Clay Center	KS031	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Nicodemus Housing Authority	KS081	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Halstead Housing Authority	KS112	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Goodland	KS055	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Oakley Housing Authority	KS021	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Florence Housing Authority	KS094	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Belleville Housing Authority	KS095	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Winfield Housing Authority	KS132	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
St. Francis Housing Authority	KS076	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Solomon Housing Authority	KS152	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Lincoln Housing Authority	KS121	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Neodesha Housing Authority	KS069	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Lindsborg Housing Authority	KS065	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Hill City Housing Authority	KS082	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Cawker City Housing Authority	KS113	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Chapman Housing Authority	KS147	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Augusta Housing Authority	KS029	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Galena Housing Authority	KS045	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Stafford Housing Authority	KS142	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Oberlin Housing Authority	KS012	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kansas

#### KS-507 Kansas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Girard Housing Authority	KS077	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Hanover Housing Authority	KS013	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Moundridge Housing Authority	KS059	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Cherryvale Housing Authority	KS155	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Iola Housing Authority	KS049	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Lyons Housing Authority	KS025	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Agra Housing Authority	KS050	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of the City of Hoxie	KS080	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	9
Hutchinson Housing Authority	KS163	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Kentucky Housing Corporation-State Agency	KY901	5592	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5592</b>
Housing Authority of Salyserville/Magoffin Co.	KY177	0	0.00%	3603	100.00%	<b>3603</b>
Housing Authority of Frankfort	KY003	480	26.92%	1303	73.08%	<b>1783</b>
Cumberland Valley Regional Housing Authority	KY160	1374	93.22%	100	6.78%	<b>1474</b>
Covington CDA	KY133	1194	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1194</b>
Housing Authority of Hopkinsville	KY011	580	57.43%	430	42.57%	1010
Boone County Fiscal Court	KY135	998	100.00%	0	0.00%	998
Housing Authority of Somerset	KY008	322	35.70%	580	64.30%	902
Housing Authority of Henderson	KY012	738	85.02%	130	14.98%	868
Housing Authority of Newport	KY015	585	67.47%	282	32.53%	867
Appalachian Foothills Housing Agency Inc	KY161	780	100.00%	0	0.00%	780
City of Richmond Section 8 Housing Program	KY132	735	100.00%	0	0.00%	735
Campbell County Department of Housing	KY136	673	100.00%	0	0.00%	673
Pike County Housing Authority	KY121	598	90.33%	64	9.67%	662
Ashland Planning and CDA	KY142	616	100.00%	0	0.00%	616
Housing Authority of Glasgow	KY026	338	55.23%	274	44.77%	612
Bowling Green CDA	KY171	606	100.00%	0	0.00%	606
Housing Authority of Harrodsburg	KY062	0	0.00%	598	100.00%	598
Housing Authority of Georgetown	KY061	399	71.12%	162	28.88%	561
City of Paducah	KY137	554	100.00%	0	0.00%	554
Lake Cumberland Housing Agency Inc	KY163	514	100.00%	0	0.00%	514
Housing Authority of Maysville	KY017	108	21.26%	400	78.74%	508



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Danville	KY014	0	0.00%	482	100.00%	482
Paris-Bourbon County Community Dev. Agency	KY140	472	100.00%	0	0.00%	472
Housing Authority of Mayfield	KY040	289	61.62%	180	38.38%	469
Housing Authority of Madisonville	KY007	253	54.06%	215	45.94%	468
Housing Authority of Winchester	KY018	0	0.00%	463	100.00%	463
Housing Authority of Corbin	KY010	0	0.00%	455	100.00%	455
Housing Authority of Owensboro	KY009	289	65.24%	154	34.76%	443
Housing Authority of Cynthiana	KY021	203	49.15%	210	50.85%	413
Pineville/Bell County Community Dev Agency	KY141	401	100.00%	0	0.00%	401
Housing Authority of Paris	KY013	0	0.00%	394	100.00%	394
Campbellsville Housing and Redevelopment Authority	KY047	274	71.73%	108	28.27%	382
Housing Authority of Liberty	KY073	0	0.00%	373	100.00%	373
Housing Authority of Lyon County	KY025	0	0.00%	367	100.00%	367
Housing Authority of Floyd County	KY157	301	82.02%	66	17.98%	367
Housing Authority of Pikeville	KY107	341	100.00%	0	0.00%	341
Housing Authority of Owingsville	KY106	0	0.00%	336	100.00%	336
Laurel County Section 8 Housing	KY169	330	100.00%	0	0.00%	330
Housing Authority of Flemingsburg	KY060	0	0.00%	328	100.00%	328
Housing Authority of Albany	KY046	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Danville Community Development Agency	KY138	297	100.00%	0	0.00%	297
Housing Authority of Bardstown	KY071	169	61.45%	106	38.55%	275
Housing Authority of Russellville	KY023	0	0.00%	273	100.00%	273



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Mount Sterling	KY020	0	0.00%	265	100.00%	265
Housing Authority of Prestonsburg	KY035	144	54.55%	120	45.45%	264
Housing Authority of Richmond	KY016	0	0.00%	260	100.00%	260
Housing Authority of Springfield	KY056	69	27.71%	180	72.29%	249
Housing Authority of Lebanon	KY022	72	29.75%	170	70.25%	242
Housing Authority of Murray	KY030	0	0.00%	237	100.00%	237
Housing Authority of Greensburg	KY053	118	51.75%	110	48.25%	228
Housing Authority of Paintsville	KY027	87	38.33%	140	61.67%	227
Housing Authority of Middlesborough	KY019	0	0.00%	225	100.00%	225
Housing Authority of Williamsburg	KY031	0	0.00%	222	100.00%	222
Housing Authority of Pineville	KY039	0	0.00%	222	100.00%	222
Housing Authority of Covington	KY002	0	0.00%	211	100.00%	211
Housing Authority of Cadiz	KY042	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	206
Housing Authority of Cumberland	KY029	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	206
Housing Authority of Martin	KY038	0	0.00%	199	100.00%	199
Barbourville Urban Renewal & CDA	KY150	198	100.00%	0	0.00%	198
Housing Authority of Central City	KY070	0	0.00%	197	100.00%	197
Housing Authority of Paducah	KY006	0	0.00%	186	100.00%	186
Housing Authority of Dawson Springs	KY075	0	0.00%	181	100.00%	181
HA of Lawrence County	KY086	130	76.47%	40	23.53%	170
Housing Authority of Nicholasville	KY034	0	0.00%	160	100.00%	160
Housing Authority of Monticello	KY048	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Ashland	KY074	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of Morehead	KY032	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of Barbourville	KY028	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Housing Authority of Columbia	KY064	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Housing Authority of Hickman	KY037	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of Irvine	KY036	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of Elizabethtown	KY054	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Housing Authority of Owenton	KY098	0	0.00%	108	100.00%	108
Housing Authority of Fulton	KY043	0	0.00%	104	100.00%	104
Housing Authority of Radcliff	KY087	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Housing Authority of McCreary County	KY081	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Burkesville	KY055	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Housing Authority of Hazard	KY024	0	0.00%	94	100.00%	94
Housing Authority of Providence	KY085	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Housing Authority of Harlan	KY077	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Housing Authority of Bowling Green	KY063	0	0.00%	83	100.00%	83
Housing Authority of Sturgis	KY094	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
Housing Authority of Manchester	KY066	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing Authority of Morgantown	KY041	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Housing Authority of Princeton	KY072	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
Housing Authority of Stanton	KY080	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Housing Authority of Berea	KY090	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Williamstown	KY069	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of Dayton	KY129	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of Tompkinsville	KY050	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Housing Authority Vanceburg	KY084	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Shelbyville	KY089	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Todd County	KY170	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of Irvington	KY101	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Housing Authority of Catlettsburg	KY033	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Housing Authority of Hodgenville	KY083	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Franklin	KY099	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Eminence	KY078	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of McKee	KY147	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of Morganfield	KY093	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of Olive Hill	KY092	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of Beaver Dam	KY122	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of Benton	KY091	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Stanford	KY079	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Falmouth	KY059	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Greenville	KY100	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Mount Vernon	KY097	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Whitesburg	KY044	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Versailles	KY049	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Kentucky

#### KY-500 Kentucky Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of London	KY065	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Beattyville	KY058	0	0.00%	31	100.00%	31
Housing Authority of Jackson	KY045	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Carrollton	KY057	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Horse Cave	KY067	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Knott County	KY096	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Lancaster	KY052	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of Scottsville	KY104	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	2
Housing Authority of Dry Ridge	KY158	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Martin County	KY149	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### KY-501 Louisville-Jefferson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Louisville Metro Housing Authority	KY001	10577	92.87%	812	7.13%	11389

#### KY-502 Lexington-Fayette County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Lexington	KY004	2857	76.47%	879	23.53%	3736





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-500 Lafayette/Acadiana Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	LA005	1798	54.04%	1529	45.96%	<b>3327</b>
Housing Authority of the Parish of St. Landry	LA067	721	97.56%	18	2.44%	<b>739</b>
Housing Authority of Crowley	LA029	403	64.90%	218	35.10%	<b>621</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Morgan City	LA036	138	35.84%	247	64.16%	<b>385</b>
St. Martin Parish Police Jury	LA178	342	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>342</b>
Housing Authority of Rayne	LA028	0	0.00%	331	100.00%	331
City of New Iberia	LA165	322	100.00%	0	0.00%	322
Southwest Acadia Consolidated Housing Authority	LA091	0	0.00%	318	100.00%	318
Housing Authority of the Town of Gueydan	LA035	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Housing Authority of South Landry	LA073	0	0.00%	262	100.00%	262
Housing Authority of the Town of Church Point	LA032	88	36.07%	156	63.93%	244
Iberia Parish Government	LA189	229	100.00%	0	0.00%	229
St. Mary Parish Council	LA220	200	100.00%	0	0.00%	200
Housing Authority of New Iberia	LA027	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of Kaplan	LA026	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the City of Breaux Bridge	LA059	0	0.00%	191	100.00%	191
Ville Platte City	LA192	153	100.00%	0	0.00%	153
Housing Authority of the Town of Mamou	LA031	20	13.99%	123	86.01%	143
Housing Authority of City of Opelousas	LA055	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Evangeline Parish Police Jury	LA182	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Housing Authority of the Village of Parks	LA084	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Housing Authority of the Town of Berwick	LA056	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-500 Lafayette/Acadiana Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Ville Platte	LA030	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the Town of Basile	LA058	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Rayne City	LA174	93	100.00%	0	0.00%	93
Housing Authority of the City of Eunice	LA025	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of the Town of Patterson	LA070	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the Town of Youngsville	LA100	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
St. Martinville City	LA173	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Housing Authority of Duson	LA130	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Auth. of The Town of St. Martinville	LA040	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Jeanerette Public Housing Agency	LA168	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
Housing Authority of the Town of Erath	LA047	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Abbeville	LA034	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the Town of Delcambre	LA065	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18

#### LA-502 Shreveport, Bossier/Northwest Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SHREVEPORT	LA002	3361	77.41%	981	22.59%	<b>4342</b>
Bossier Parish Section 8	LA190	855	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>855</b>
Housing Authority of Homer	LA089	0	0.00%	517	100.00%	<b>517</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Minden	LA037	137	44.77%	169	55.23%	<b>306</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Logansport	LA077	0	0.00%	276	100.00%	<b>276</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-502 Shreveport, Bossier/Northwest Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DeSoto Parish Police Jury	LA230	212	100.00%	0	0.00%	212
Webster Parish Police Jury	LA213	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	177
Housing Authority of the Town of Cotton Valley	LA117	0	0.00%	166	100.00%	166
Housing Authority of the City of Bossier City	LA042	0	0.00%	152	100.00%	152
Housing Authority of the Town of Oil City	LA108	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Caddo Parish Commission	LA184	141	100.00%	0	0.00%	141
Housing Authority of Vivian	LA088	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the Town of Arcadia	LA045	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of the Town of Mansfield	LA112	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Gibsland	LA098	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Red River Parish Police Jury	LA163	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Community Support Programs, Inc.	LA888	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### LA-503 New Orleans/Jefferson Parish CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of New Orleans	LA001	17999	96.44%	665	3.56%	<b>18664</b>
Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	LA013	4730	88.91%	590	11.09%	<b>5320</b>
St. Bernard Parish Government	LA187	539	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>539</b>
Housing Authority of Westwego	LA011	0	0.00%	137	100.00%	<b>137</b>
Pilgrim Rest Community Development Agency	LA889	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>90</b>
New Orleans - DHAP Phase 2 & 3 Only	LA996	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-503 New Orleans/Jefferson Parish CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Slidell - DHAP Phase 2 & 3 Only	LA997	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Jefferson Parish - DHAP Phase 2 & 3 Only	LA999	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### LA-504 Baton Rouge CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of East Baton Rouge	LA003	3942	82.57%	832	17.43%	<b>4774</b>
Louisiana Housing Authority	LA903	1565	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1565</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Donaldsonville	LA043	0	0.00%	306	100.00%	<b>306</b>
Baton Rouge City	LA219	279	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>279</b>
City of Port Allen	LA199	141	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>141</b>
Plaquemine City	LA179	134	100.00%	0	0.00%	134
Housing Authority of the Town of White Castle	LA093	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129
Ascension Parish Section 8 Program	LA009	109	100.00%	0	0.00%	109
City of Donaldsonville	LA202	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
West Baton Rouge Parish Council	LA204	80	100.00%	0	0.00%	80
New Roads City	LA205	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Iberville Parish Government	LA214	48	100.00%	0	0.00%	48
Town of White Castle	LA266	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
Housing Authority of the Town of New Roads	LA113	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-505 Monroe/Northeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Monroe	LA006	1548	83.77%	300	16.23%	<b>1848</b>
Housing Authority of Ruston	LA054	0	0.00%	690	100.00%	<b>690</b>
Ouachita Parish Police Jury	LA171	552	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>552</b>
West Monroe Housing Authority	LA186	463	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>463</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Delhi	LA114	40	9.13%	398	90.87%	<b>438</b>
Housing Authority of Farmerville	LA052	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Ruston City	LA232	290	100.00%	0	0.00%	290
Housing Authority of Newellton	LA169	251	100.00%	0	0.00%	251
Housing Authority of the Town of Winnsboro	LA109	0	0.00%	193	100.00%	193
Housing Authority of the Town of Grambling	LA097	150	87.21%	22	12.79%	172
Morehouse Parish Police Jury	LA258	158	100.00%	0	0.00%	158
East Carroll Parish Police Jury	LA195	142	100.00%	0	0.00%	142
Housing Authority of the Parish of Caldwell	LA125	114	82.01%	25	17.99%	139
Lincoln Parish Police Jury	LA212	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Housing Authority of the Town of Lake Providence	LA102	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Union Parish Police Jury	LA196	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114
Housing Authority of the Town of Jonesboro	LA061	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Claiborne Parish Section 8	LA246	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
Bienville Parish Police Jury	LA241	81	100.00%	0	0.00%	81
Housing Authority of the Town of Rayville	LA105	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
West Carroll Parish Police Jury Housing Assistance	LA233	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
Housing Authority of the Town of East Hodge	LA127	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-505 Monroe/Northeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tallulah City	LA242	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
Jackson Parish Police Jury	LA248	18	100.00%	0	0.00%	18
Franklin Parish Police Jury	LA229	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
East Carroll Parish Housing Authority	LA262	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### LA-506 Slidell/Southeast Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of City of Slidell	LA103	612	85.96%	100	14.04%	<b>712</b>
Tangipahoa Parish Council	LA207	394	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>394</b>
Housing Authority of Hammond	LA104	354	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>354</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Denham Springs	LA101	184	55.09%	150	44.91%	<b>334</b>
Bogalusa Housing Authority	LA024	100	40.00%	150	60.00%	<b>250</b>
Housing Authority of City of Covington	LA238	159	100.00%	0	0.00%	159
Washington Parish Housing Authority	LA217	155	100.00%	0	0.00%	155
Housing Authority of the Town of Pontchatoula	LA075	65	48.87%	68	51.13%	133
Town of Independence HA	LA099	55	73.33%	20	26.67%	75
Kentwood	LA206	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
St. Bernard Parish - DHAP Phase 2 & 3 Only	LA998	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-507 Alexandria/Central Louisiana CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Alexandria	LA023	1114	76.62%	340	23.38%	<b>1454</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Natchitoches	LA115	450	95.74%	20	4.26%	<b>470</b>
Housing Authority of Rapides Parish	LA129	372	92.54%	30	7.46%	<b>402</b>
Housing Authority of Natchitoches Parish	LA166	334	84.77%	60	15.23%	<b>394</b>
Housing Authority of Vernon Parish	LA128	66	27.05%	178	72.95%	<b>244</b>
Housing Authority of Grant Parish	LA120	113	55.67%	90	44.33%	203
Housing Authority of the Town of Bunkie	LA062	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Housing Authority of the City of Leesville	LA111	56	28.57%	140	71.43%	196
Pineville Housing Authority	LA057	168	88.42%	22	11.58%	190
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE TOWN OF COLFAX	LA122	50	29.76%	118	70.24%	168
Housing Authority of the Town of Simmesport	LA072	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	LA132	140	100.00%	0	0.00%	140
Housing Authority of the Town of Olla	LA124	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Winn Parish Police Jury	LA257	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92
Housing Authority of Jena	LA142	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
Catahoula Parish Police Jury	LA222	66	100.00%	0	0.00%	66
Housing Authority of the Town of Cottonport	LA071	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Concordia Parish Police Jury	LA159	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
Housing Authority of Winnfield	LA123	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of the Town of Marksville	LA038	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Ferriday	LA076	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-508 Houma-Terrebonne, Thibodaux CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Kenner	LA012	1322	86.86%	200	13.14%	<b>1522</b>
Housing Authority of St. Charles Parish	LA094	395	57.16%	296	42.84%	<b>691</b>
Terrebonne Ph.Consolid.Govt.,Federal Programs Division	LA211	534	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>534</b>
Lafourche Parish Housing Authority	LA253	271	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>271</b>
St. John the Baptist Parish Housing Authority	LA181	260	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>260</b>
Thibodaux City	LA194	255	100.00%	0	0.00%	255
Housing Authority of St. James Parish	LA092	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
Housing Authority of the City of Thibodaux	LA044	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of St. John the Baptist Parish	LA095	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Assumption Parish Police Jury	LA215	108	100.00%	0	0.00%	108
Housing Authority of Lafourche Parish	LA080	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Houma	LA090	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54

#### LA-509 Louisiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAKE CHARLES	LA004	1986	77.64%	572	22.36%	<b>2558</b>
Calcasieu Parish Human Services Department	LA172	574	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>574</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Lake Arthur	LA041	0	0.00%	437	100.00%	<b>437</b>
Housing Authority of Oakdale	LA033	120	43.48%	156	56.52%	<b>276</b>
Housing Authority of Sabine Parish	LA074	108	49.54%	110	50.46%	<b>218</b>
Town of Kinder	LA247	172	100.00%	0	0.00%	172





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Louisiana

#### LA-509 Louisiana Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Sulphur	LA063	108	65.85%	56	34.15%	164
Housing Authority of the Town of Elton	LA066	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	146
Jefferson Davis Parish Section 8	LA188	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Housing Authority of the Town of Welsh	LA039	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of the City of DeRidder	LA086	38	32.20%	80	67.80%	118
Housing Authority of the Town of Vinton	LA046	50	46.30%	58	53.70%	108
Housing Authority of the Town of Kinder	LA069	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Housing Authority of the City of DeQuincy	LA106	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the Town of Iowa	LA231	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Village of Fenton Housing Authority	LA261	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Oberlin	LA068	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Jennings	LA118	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the Town of Merryville	LA082	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maine

#### ME-500 Maine Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Maine State Housing Authority	ME901	4340	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4340</b>
Augusta Housing Authority	ME030	381	9.29%	3721	90.71%	<b>4102</b>
Lewiston Housing Authority	ME005	1238	86.63%	191	13.37%	<b>1429</b>
Fort Fairfield Housing Authority	ME002	132	11.61%	1005	88.39%	<b>1137</b>
Waterville Housing Authority	ME008	394	41.04%	566	58.96%	<b>960</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Westbrook	ME015	705	89.13%	86	10.87%	791
Auburn Housing Authority	ME007	590	75.26%	194	24.74%	784
Sanford Housing Authority	ME011	593	87.72%	83	12.28%	676
Brunswick Housing Authority	ME006	423	70.50%	177	29.50%	600
Housing Authority City of Bangor	ME009	440	78.43%	121	21.57%	561
South Portland Housing Authority	ME020	389	71.64%	154	28.36%	543
Presque Isle Housing Authority	ME004	75	14.65%	437	85.35%	512
Bath Housing Authority	ME019	134	27.92%	346	72.08%	480
Ellsworth Housing Authority	ME027	377	100.00%	0	0.00%	377
Old Town Housing Authority	ME018	209	68.30%	97	31.70%	306
Caribou Housing Agency	ME025	193	100.00%	0	0.00%	193
Brewer Housing Authority	ME021	133	72.68%	50	27.32%	183
Van Buren Housing Authority	ME001	101	55.49%	81	44.51%	182
Biddeford Housing Authority	ME028	156	100.00%	0	0.00%	156
Southwest Harbor Housing Authority	ME022	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Tremont Housing Authority	ME026	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Mount Desert Housing Authority	ME024	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maine

#### ME-500 Maine Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bar Harbor Housing Authority	ME023	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Saco Housing Authority	ME031	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### ME-502 Portland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portland Housing Authority	ME003	1809	90.72%	185	9.28%	1994



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-500 Cumberland/Allegany County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Cumberland	MD005	0	0.00%	1180	100.00%	<b>1180</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Frostburg	MD008	0	0.00%	330	100.00%	<b>330</b>
Housing Authority Of Allegany County	MD030	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### MD-501 Baltimore CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority Of Baltimore City	MD002	19024	97.89%	410	2.11%	<b>19434</b>
Baltimore County, MD	MD033	6133	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6133</b>

#### MD-502 Harford County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harford County Housing Agency	MD025	1194	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1194</b>
Havre de Grace Housing Authority	MD012	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	<b>61</b>

#### MD-503 Annapolis/Anne Arundel County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ANNAPOLIS	MD001	384	3.29%	11271	96.71%	<b>11655</b>
MD Dept. Of Housing and Community Development	MD901	2338	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2338</b>
Housing Commisison Of Anne Arundel County	MD018	1991	96.79%	66	3.21%	<b>2057</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-504 Howard County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Howard County Housing Commission	MD023	944	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>944</b>

#### MD-506 Carroll County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Carroll County Housing & Community Dev	MD032	649	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>649</b>
City Of Westminster Housing Office	MD027	293	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>293</b>

#### MD-507 Cecil County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cecil County Housing Agency	MD029	634	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>634</b>
Elkton Housing Authority	MD016	40	27.03%	108	72.97%	<b>148</b>

#### MD-508 Charles, Calvert, St.Mary's Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of St. Mary's County, MD	MD021	1313	94.87%	71	5.13%	<b>1384</b>
County Commissioners Charles County	MD024	909	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>909</b>
Housing Authority of Calvert County	MD022	339	87.15%	50	12.85%	<b>389</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-509 Frederick City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Frederick Housing Authority	MD003	710	43.24%	932	56.76%	1642

#### MD-511 Mid-Shore Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ST. Michaels Housing Authority	MD013	20	6.73%	277	93.27%	297
Queen Anne's County Housing Authority	MD034	136	60.18%	90	39.82%	226
Housing Authority of the Town of Easton	MD019	139	72.77%	52	27.23%	191
Housing Authority of Cambridge	MD010	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60

#### MD-512 Hagerstown/Washington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hagerstown Housing Authority	MD006	884	89.11%	108	10.89%	992
Housing Authority of Washington County	MD028	578	88.51%	75	11.49%	653

#### MD-513 Wicomico, Somerset, Worcester Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wicomico County Housing Authority	MD014	383	50.39%	377	49.61%	760
Housing Authority Of Crisfield	MD009	23	10.80%	190	89.20%	213



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Maryland

#### MD-600 Prince George's County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Prince Georges County	MD015	5798	97.48%	150	2.52%	<b>5948</b>
College Park Housing Authority	MD017	0	0.00%	934	100.00%	<b>934</b>
Glenarden Housing Authority	MD011	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	<b>60</b>

#### MD-601 Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING OPPRTY COM OF MONTGOMERY CO	MD004	7044	94.31%	425	5.69%	<b>7469</b>
Rockville Housing Enterprises	MD007	359	78.21%	100	21.79%	<b>459</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-500 Boston CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Department of Housing & Community Development	MA901	20945	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>20945</b>
Boston Housing Authority	MA002	14199	85.36%	2435	14.64%	<b>16634</b>

#### MA-502 Lynn CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lynn Housing Authority	MA023	1407	46.41%	1625	53.59%	<b>3032</b>

#### MA-503 Cape Cod Islands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Barnstable Housing Authority	MA046	314	58.47%	223	41.53%	<b>537</b>
Falmouth Housing Authority	MA047	319	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>319</b>
Yarmouth Housing Authority	MA095	249	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>249</b>
Bourne Housing Authority	MA110	76	60.80%	49	39.20%	<b>125</b>
Dennis Housing Authority	MA138	98	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>98</b>
Sandwich Housing Authority	MA181	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28

#### MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Holyoke Housing Authority	MA005	1389	40.52%	2039	59.48%	<b>3428</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-504 Springfield/Hampden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	MA035	2884	90.63%	298	9.37%	<b>3182</b>
Chicopee Housing Authority	MA008	393	27.12%	1056	72.88%	<b>1449</b>
West Springfield Housing Authority	MA078	251	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>251</b>
Westfield Housing Authority	MA050	240	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>240</b>

#### MA-505 New Bedford CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New Bedford Housing Authority	MA007	1899	83.22%	383	16.78%	<b>2282</b>

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Worcester Housing Authority	MA012	2191	89.21%	265	10.79%	<b>2456</b>
Clinton Housing Authority	MA021	0	0.00%	1195	100.00%	<b>1195</b>
Milford Housing Authority	MA069	556	93.29%	40	6.71%	<b>596</b>
Leominster Housing Authority	MA086	399	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>399</b>
Fitchburg Housing Authority	MA037	219	62.57%	131	37.43%	<b>350</b>
Shrewsbury Housing Authority	MA041	173	79.72%	44	20.28%	217
Southbridge Housing Authority	MA076	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
Gardner Housing Authority	MA127	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
Oxford Housing Authority	MA106	87	100.00%	0	0.00%	87



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-506 Worcester City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Warren Housing Authority	MA105	66	100.00%	0	0.00%	66
Holden Housing Authority	MA082	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	59
Winchendon Housing Authority	MA039	35	59.32%	24	40.68%	59
Athol Housing Authority	MA100	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Webster Housing Authority	MA123	44	100.00%	0	0.00%	44
Auburn Housing Authority	MA159	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8
The Bridge of Central Massachusetts	MA188	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MA-507 Pittsfield/Berkshire, Franklin, Hampshire Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Adams Housing Authority	MA034	371	17.02%	1809	82.98%	2180
Pittsfield Housing Authority	MA029	580	49.83%	584	50.17%	1164
Northampton Housing Authority	MA026	770	76.62%	235	23.38%	1005
Franklin County Regional Housing Authority	MA094	579	100.00%	0	0.00%	579
Greenfield Housing Authority	MA096	526	96.69%	18	3.31%	544
Amherst Housing Authority	MA085	413	81.78%	92	18.22%	505
Ware Housing Authority	MA088	99	100.00%	0	0.00%	99
Williamstown Housing Authority	MA066	97	100.00%	0	0.00%	97
Adams Housing Authority	MA051	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
Stockbridge Housing Authority	MA080	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
Berkshire County Regional Housing Authority	MA187	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-508 Lowell CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lowell Housing Authority	MA001	1171	10.19%	10318	89.81%	<b>11489</b>
Middlesex North Resources Center, Inc.	MA881	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>
Community TeamWork, Inc.	MA882	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### MA-509 Cambridge CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cambridge Housing Authority	MA003	3146	77.35%	921	22.65%	<b>4067</b>

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Salem Housing Authority	MA055	1088	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1088</b>
Gloucester Housing Authority	MA025	633	85.20%	110	14.80%	<b>743</b>
Newburyport Housing Authority	MA032	102	16.97%	499	83.03%	<b>601</b>
Methuen Housing Authority	MA081	558	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>558</b>
Beverly Housing Authority	MA044	420	85.71%	70	14.29%	<b>490</b>
Haverhill Housing Authority	MA087	462	100.00%	0	0.00%	462
Saugus Housing Authority	MA099	150	52.45%	136	47.55%	286
Peabody Housing Authority	MA054	262	100.00%	0	0.00%	262
North Andover Housing Authority	MA107	133	58.08%	96	41.92%	229
Danvers Housing Authority	MA118	145	70.39%	61	29.61%	206



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510 Gloucester, Haverhill, Salem/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rockport Housing Authority	MA073	153	100.00%	0	0.00%	153
Andover Housing Authority	MA084	127	89.44%	15	10.56%	142
Amesbury Housing Authority	MA116	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
Salisbury Housing Authority	MA174	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Ipswich Housing Authority	MA056	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
Groveland Housing Authority	MA132	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Bridgewell	MA880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MA-510, 513, 516 & 518 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Woburn Housing Authority	MA019	288	30.70%	650	69.30%	<b>938</b>
Chelmsford Housing Authority	MA108	562	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>562</b>
Everett Housing Authority	MA027	365	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>365</b>
Wakefield Housing Authority	MA074	331	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>331</b>
Acton Housing Authority	MA057	155	58.05%	112	41.95%	<b>267</b>
Melrose Housing Authority	MA063	240	100.00%	0	0.00%	240
Dracut Housing Authority	MA043	68	28.81%	168	71.19%	236
Concord Housing Authority	MA098	85	45.95%	100	54.05%	185
Wayland Housing Authority	MA101	78	42.62%	105	57.38%	183
Watertown Housing Authority	MA093	156	100.00%	0	0.00%	156
Natick Housing Authority	MA092	102	67.11%	50	32.89%	152



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-510, 513, 516 & 518 Shared Jurisdiction

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wilmington Housing Authority	MA061	11	7.48%	136	92.52%	147
Winchester Housing Authority	MA125	143	100.00%	0	0.00%	143
Marlborough C.D.A. - Housing Division	MA070	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Lexington Housing Authority	MA067	68	51.13%	65	48.87%	133
Reading Housing Authority	MA075	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
Tewksbury Housing Authority	MA139	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	110
Burlington Housing Authority	MA112	93	100.00%	0	0.00%	93
Hudson Housing Authority	MA091	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
Billerica Housing Authority	MA077	65	100.00%	0	0.00%	65
Maynard Housing Authority	MA137	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Holliston Housing Authority	MA165	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Belmont Housing Authority	MA042	47	100.00%	0	0.00%	47
North Reading Housing Authority	MA089	22	100.00%	0	0.00%	22
American Training by Chelmsford Housing	MA883	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brockton Housing Authority	MA024	1780	95.24%	89	4.76%	1869
Quincy Housing Authority	MA020	845	89.42%	100	10.58%	945
Plymouth Housing Authority	MA059	513	100.00%	0	0.00%	513
Weymouth Housing Authority	MA045	225	76.79%	68	23.21%	293



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-511 Quincy, Brockton, Weymouth, Plymouth City and County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rockland Housing Authority	MA133	154	82.80%	32	17.20%	<b>186</b>
Middleborough Housing Authority	MA060	154	100.00%	0	0.00%	154
Abington Housing Authority	MA079	86	67.19%	42	32.81%	128
Bridgewater Housing Authority	MA126	69	54.33%	58	45.67%	127
Hanson Housing Authority	MA155	25	20.00%	100	80.00%	125
Pembroke Housing Authority	MA111	83	67.48%	40	32.52%	123
Hingham Housing Authority	MA119	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Halifax Housing Authority	MA121	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	14
Duxbury Housing Authority	MA071	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	13
Brockton Area Multi Services Inc.	MA884	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Scituate Housing Authority	MA049	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MA-515 Fall River CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fall River Housing Authority	MA006	2431	58.14%	1750	41.86%	<b>4181</b>

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lawrence Housing Authority	MA010	998	28.76%	2472	71.24%	<b>3470</b>
Malden Housing Authority	MA022	977	68.04%	459	31.96%	<b>1436</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-516 Massachusetts Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Medford Housing Authority	MA015	987	73.60%	354	26.40%	<b>1341</b>
Revere Housing Authority	MA014	470	40.21%	699	59.79%	<b>1169</b>
Framingham Housing Authority	MA028	983	85.70%	164	14.30%	<b>1147</b>
Chelsea Housing Authority	MA016	515	50.19%	511	49.81%	1026
Dedham Housing Authority	MA040	461	82.32%	99	17.68%	560
Braintree Housing Authority	MA053	480	92.49%	39	7.51%	519
Norwood Housing Authority	MA109	341	85.89%	56	14.11%	397
Needham Housing Authority	MA065	120	60.91%	77	39.09%	197
Milton Housing Authority	MA147	144	100.00%	0	0.00%	144
Stoughton Housing Authority	MA117	48	38.71%	76	61.29%	124
Medway Housing Authority	MA157	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
Walpole Housing Authority	MA140	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
Holbrook Housing Authority	MA072	82	100.00%	0	0.00%	82
Bellingham Housing Authority	MA090	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30
Millis Housing Authority	MA154	21	77.78%	6	22.22%	27
Wellesley Housing Authority	MA135	11	100.00%	0	0.00%	11

#### MA-517 Somerville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Somerville Housing Authority	MA031	1193	95.98%	50	4.02%	<b>1243</b>
Arlington Housing Authority	MA048	422	89.22%	51	10.78%	<b>473</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Massachusetts

#### MA-518 Brookline, Newton CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brookline Housing Authority	MA033	619	66.92%	306	33.08%	<b>925</b>
Waltham Housing Authority	MA013	450	69.88%	194	30.12%	<b>644</b>
Newton Housing Authority	MA036	441	89.82%	50	10.18%	<b>491</b>

#### MA-519 Attleboro, Taunton/Bristol County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Taunton Housing Authority	MA017	1071	91.46%	100	8.54%	<b>1171</b>
Dartmouth Housing Authority	MA172	197	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>197</b>
North Attleborough Housing Authority	MA170	104	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>104</b>
Easton Housing Authority	MA122	101	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>101</b>
Attleboro Housing Authority	MA018	96	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>96</b>
Mansfield Housing Authority	MA134	64	100.00%	0	0.00%	64
Swansea Housing Authority	MA169	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bath Charter Township Housing Commission	MI194	25	0.58%	4259	99.42%	<b>4284</b>
Calumet Housing Commission	MI057	0	0.00%	833	100.00%	<b>833</b>
Port Huron Housing Commission	MI039	578	85.25%	100	14.75%	<b>678</b>
Greenville Housing Commission	MI023	107	15.99%	562	84.01%	<b>669</b>
Benton Harbor Housing Commission	MI010	201	40.69%	293	59.31%	<b>494</b>
Cheboygan Housing Commission	MI030	120	25.92%	343	74.08%	463
Hancock Housing Commission	MI063	40	10.00%	360	90.00%	400
Montcalm Housing Commission	MI186	318	92.98%	24	7.02%	342
Grayling Housing Commission	MI047	130	39.51%	199	60.49%	329
Baldwin Housing Commission	MI050	180	60.00%	120	40.00%	300
Sturgis Housing Commission	MI069	0	0.00%	257	100.00%	257
Iron County Housing Commission	MI119	169	66.27%	86	33.73%	255
Lapeer Housing Commission	MI100	122	49.00%	127	51.00%	249
Saint Louis Housing Commission	MI061	155	62.25%	94	37.75%	249
Mount Pleasant Housing Commission	MI074	50	21.74%	180	78.26%	230
Dowagiac Housing Commission	MI120	128	57.66%	94	42.34%	222
Iron Mountain Housing Commission	MI013	0	0.00%	220	100.00%	220
Gladstone Housing Commission	MI077	0	0.00%	218	100.00%	218
Benton Township Housing Commission	MI032	75	36.95%	128	63.05%	203
Belding Housing Commission	MI025	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Lake Linden Housing Commission	MI104	0	0.00%	198	100.00%	198
Algonac Housing Commission	MI114	0	0.00%	196	100.00%	196



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Boyne City Housing Commission	MI084	60	31.75%	129	68.25%	189
South Haven Housing Commission	MI082	0	0.00%	175	100.00%	175
Gladwin City Housing Commission	MI095	0	0.00%	168	100.00%	168
Ontonagon Housing Commission	MI042	0	0.00%	164	100.00%	164
Alma Housing Commission	MI121	64	41.83%	89	58.17%	153
Laurium Housing Commission	MI054	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission	MI036	50	33.56%	99	66.44%	149
Bronson Housing Commission	MI016	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Manistique Housing Commission	MI049	59	40.69%	86	59.31%	145
Menominee Housing Commission	MI087	61	42.96%	81	57.04%	142
Bay City Housing Commission	MI024	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Rogers City Housing Commission	MI079	0	0.00%	138	100.00%	138
Mackinac County Housing Commission	MI158	0	0.00%	132	100.00%	132
Baraga Housing Commission	MI019	25	19.84%	101	80.16%	126
East Jordan Housing Commission	MI118	0	0.00%	123	100.00%	123
Schoolcraft County Housing Commission	MI178	35	28.46%	88	71.54%	123
Luce County Housing Commission	MI132	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	110
L'Anse Housing Commission	MI108	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	109
Cadillac Housing Commission	MI060	25	23.81%	80	76.19%	105
Reed City Housing Commission	MI020	90	85.71%	15	14.29%	105
Paw Paw Housing Commission	MI088	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Niles Housing Commission	MI076	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bessemer Housing Commission	MI012	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
Marysville Housing Commission	MI161	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Coldwater Housing Commission	MI056	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Ewart Housing Commission	MI112	25	26.32%	70	73.68%	95
Saint Clair Housing Commission	MI052	30	32.97%	61	67.03%	91
Alpena Housing Commission	MI022	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Saint Joseph Housing Commission	MI046	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Escanaba Housing Commission	MI083	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Saranac Housing Commission	MI124	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Iron River Housing Commission	MI090	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Hillsdale Housing Commission	MI103	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
Bangor Housing Commission	MI181	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Big Rapids Housing Commission	MI041	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
East Tawas Housing Commission	MI102	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Kingsford Housing Commission	MI091	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Charlevoix Housing Commission	MI182	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Ironwood Housing Commission	MI018	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Wakefield Housing Commission	MI015	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Ionia Housing Commission	MI117	20	41.67%	28	58.33%	48
Covert Public Housing Commission	MI189	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Rapid River Housing Commission	MI187	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Middleville Housing Commission	MI183	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-500 Michigan Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Manistee Housing Commission	MI078	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Houghton Housing Commission	MI107	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Hermansville Housing Commission	MI192	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Caseville Housing Commission	MI191	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Bay County Housing Department	MI166	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24

#### MI-501 Detroit CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Detroit Housing Commission	MI001	6067	94.80%	333	5.20%	6400

#### MI-502 Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plymouth Housing Commission	MI045	1882	94.62%	107	5.38%	1989
River Rouge Housing Commission	MI008	249	16.68%	1244	83.32%	1493
Westland Housing Commission	MI139	1238	100.00%	0	0.00%	1238
Taylor Housing Commission	MI089	978	93.41%	69	6.59%	1047
Inkster Housing Commission	MI027	751	72.28%	288	27.72%	1039
Livonia Housing Commission	MI055	909	90.36%	97	9.64%	1006
Dearborn Housing Commission	MI003	96	17.58%	450	82.42%	546
Hamtramck Housing Commission	MI004	0	0.00%	442	100.00%	442



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-502 Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Westland/Wayne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Romulus Housing Commission	MI072	0	0.00%	424	100.00%	424
Lincoln Park Housing Commission	MI051	293	82.54%	62	17.46%	355
Ecorse Housing Commission	MI007	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Melvindale Housing Commission	MI048	134	69.07%	60	30.93%	194
Rockwood Housing Commission	MI081	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
Highland Park Housing Commission	MI105	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Wayne Housing Commission	MI029	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Allen Park Housing Commission	MI053	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29

#### MI-503 St. Clair Shores, Warren/Macomb County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Roseville Housing Commission	MI037	309	36.27%	543	63.73%	<b>852</b>
Clinton Township Housing Commission	MI040	23	7.42%	287	92.58%	<b>310</b>
Eastpointe Housing Commission	MI044	171	61.29%	108	38.71%	<b>279</b>
St Clair Shores Housing Commission	MI059	90	41.86%	125	58.14%	<b>215</b>
Sterling Heights Housing Commission	MI157	40	45.45%	48	54.55%	<b>88</b>
Mount Clemens Housing Commission	MI028	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
New Haven Housing Commission	MI180	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-504 Pontiac, Royal Oak/Oakland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pontiac Housing Commission	MI005	770	54.84%	634	45.16%	<b>1404</b>
Ferndale Housing Commission	MI096	975	90.45%	103	9.55%	<b>1078</b>
Royal Oak Township Housing Commission	MI033	0	0.00%	375	100.00%	<b>375</b>
Southfield Housing Commission	MI097	334	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>334</b>
South Lyon Housing Commission	MI021	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	<b>195</b>
Madison Heights Housing Commission	MI188	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MI-505 Flint/Genesee County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Flint Housing Commission	MI009	1018	73.34%	370	26.66%	<b>1388</b>

#### MI-506 Grand Rapids/Wyoming/Kent County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Grand Rapids Housing Commission	MI073	3190	96.29%	123	3.71%	<b>3313</b>
Wyoming Housing Commission	MI115	1122	98.25%	20	1.75%	<b>1142</b>
Kent County Housing Commission	MI198	481	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>481</b>
Rockford Housing Commission	MI093	90	54.88%	74	45.12%	<b>164</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-508 Lansing, East Lansing/Ingham County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	MI901	28451	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>28451</b>
Lansing Housing Commission	MI058	1834	88.00%	250	12.00%	<b>2084</b>
Ingham County Housing Commission	MI168	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	<b>64</b>

#### MI-509 Washtenaw County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ann Arbor Housing Commission	MI064	1639	90.80%	166	9.20%	<b>1805</b>
Ypsilanti Housing Commission	MI026	0	0.00%	734	100.00%	<b>734</b>

#### MI-510 Saginaw City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Saginaw Housing Commission	MI006	1257	86.27%	200	13.73%	<b>1457</b>

#### MI-512 Grand Traverse, Antrim, Leelanau Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Traverse City Housing Commission	MI080	208	80.31%	51	19.69%	<b>259</b>
Elk Rapids Housing Commission	MI116	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	<b>110</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-513 Marquette, Alger Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Munising Housing Commission	MI094	25	26.32%	70	73.68%	95
Negaunee Housing Commission	MI068	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Marquette Housing Commission	MI070	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Ishpeming Housing Commission	MI101	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41

#### MI-514 Battle Creek/Calhoun County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Battle Creek Housing Commission	MI035	780	74.71%	264	25.29%	1044
Albion Housing Commission	MI014	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30

#### MI-515 Monroe City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bedford Township Housing Commission	MI156	0	0.00%	153	100.00%	153
Dundee Housing Commission	MI142	0	0.00%	97	100.00%	97
Monroe Housing Commission	MI011	0	0.00%	89	100.00%	89
Luna Pier Housing Commission	MI098	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Michigan

#### MI-516 Norton Shores, Muskegon City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Muskegon Heights Housing Commission	MI031	50	14.29%	300	85.71%	350
Muskegon Housing Commission	MI066	189	70.26%	80	29.74%	269

#### MI-517 Jackson City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jackson Housing Commission	MI038	475	53.49%	413	46.51%	888

#### MI-523 Eaton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Potterville Housing Commission	MI167	25	21.93%	89	78.07%	114
Housing Services Mid-Michigan	MI880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-500 Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PHA in and for the City of Minneapolis	MN002	5196	81.84%	1153	18.16%	<b>6349</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ST LOUIS PARK, MINNESOTA	MN144	268	45.35%	323	54.65%	<b>591</b>
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF BLOOMINGTON	MN152	551	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>551</b>
RICHFIELD HRA	MN216	231	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>231</b>
Plymouth Housing & Redevelopment Authority	MN170	210	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>210</b>
HRA OF HOPKINS, MINNESOTA	MN078	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
HRA OF THE CITY OF MOUND, MINNESOTA	MN074	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61

#### MN-501 St. Paul/Ramsey County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Public Housing Agency of the City of St Paul	MN001	4391	41.26%	6252	58.74%	<b>10643</b>
METROPOLITAN COUNCIL	MN163	6204	99.61%	24	0.39%	<b>6228</b>
SOUTH METRO HUMAN SERVICES	MN802	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>
MENTAL HEALTH RESOURCES	MN801	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
SOUTH CENTRAL MULTI COUNTY HRA	MN219	691	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>691</b>
OLMSTED COUNTY HRA	MN151	532	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>532</b>
MANKATO EDA	MN063	430	91.49%	40	8.51%	<b>470</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of WINONA, MINNESOTA	MN006	165	37.50%	275	62.50%	<b>440</b>
RICE COUNTY HRA	MN193	329	74.94%	110	25.06%	<b>439</b>
SOUTHEAST MN MULTI-COUNTY HRA	MN197	387	100.00%	0	0.00%	387
NEW ULM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	MN128	124	43.82%	159	56.18%	283
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF ALBERT LEA, MINNESOTA	MN077	155	67.10%	76	32.90%	231
HRA OF RED WING, MINNESOTA	MN090	169	80.86%	40	19.14%	209
HRA of ST. JAMES, MINNESOTA	MN031	0	0.00%	203	100.00%	203
Housing & Redevelopment Authority of Austin	MN085	177	89.85%	20	10.15%	197
BLUE EARTH COUNTY EDA	MN167	72	47.37%	80	52.63%	152
HRA of MONTGOMERY, MINNESOTA	MN033	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
LE SUEUR COUNTY HRA	MN171	118	100.00%	0	0.00%	118
OWATONNA HRA	MN220	113	100.00%	0	0.00%	113
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Faribault	MN157	0	0.00%	103	100.00%	103
FARIBAULT COUNTY HRA	MN200	93	100.00%	0	0.00%	93
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Janesville	MN208	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
HRA OF THE CITY OF BLUE EARTH, MINNESOTA	MN022	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
MOWER COUNTY HRA	MN191	40	58.82%	28	41.18%	68
HRA of LE SUEUR, MINNESOTA	MN039	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF WASECA, MINNESOTA	MN087	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA OF NEW RICHLAND, MINNESOTA	MN097	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Dodge Center	MN206	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
HRA of ST. PETER, MINNESOTA	MN046	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-502 Rochester/Southeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
North Mankato Housing and Redevelopment Autho	MN019	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
HRA OF SLEEPY EYE, MINNESOTA	MN060	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
HRA of FAIRMONT, MINNESOTA	MN054	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19

#### MN-503 Dakota, Anoka, Washington, Scott, Carver Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
WASHINGTON COUNTY HRA	MN212	90	3.01%	2898	96.99%	<b>2988</b>
DAKOTA COUNTY CDA	MN147	2331	95.49%	110	4.51%	<b>2441</b>
SCOTT COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY	MN184	386	96.98%	12	3.02%	<b>398</b>
HRA OF THE CITY OF SOUTH ST PAUL, MINNESOTA	MN010	302	89.88%	34	10.12%	<b>336</b>
CARVER COUNTY CDA	MN211	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	<b>105</b>
HRA OF COLUMBIA HEIGHTS	MN105	0	0.00%	91	100.00%	91
SCOTT CARVER DAKOTA CAP AGENCY	MN803	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MN-504 Northeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of AITKIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA	MN037	31	9.63%	291	90.37%	<b>322</b>
ITASCA COUNTY HRA	MN154	248	83.50%	49	16.50%	<b>297</b>
HRA OF CLOQUET, MINNESOTA	MN073	155	75.61%	50	24.39%	<b>205</b>
KOOCHICHING COUNTY HRA	MN153	128	76.19%	40	23.81%	<b>168</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-504 Northeast Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA OF INTERNATIONAL FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN023	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
HRA of GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA	MN057	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
HRA OF MOOSE LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN091	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA of TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA	MN024	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
HRA of CARLTON, MINNESOTA	MN055	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30

#### MN-505 St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of ST. CLOUD, MINNESOTA	MN038	944	95.16%	48	4.84%	992
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF BRAINERD, MINNESOTA	MN032	320	91.43%	30	8.57%	350
STEARNS COUNTY HRA	MN172	251	81.23%	58	18.77%	309
TODD COUNTY HRA	MN180	162	89.01%	20	10.99%	182
HRA OF CITY OF DELANO, MINNESOTA	MN062	0	0.00%	179	100.00%	179
CASS COUNTY HRA	MN188	137	84.57%	25	15.43%	162
HRA of WADENA, MINNESOTA	MN018	74	50.34%	73	49.66%	147
MORRISON COUNTY HRA	MN179	131	100.00%	0	0.00%	131
HRA of BRAHAM, MINNESOTA	MN052	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
HRA OF MORA, MINNESOTA	MN101	81	72.97%	30	27.03%	111
HRA OF LINDSTROM, MINNESOTA	MN102	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
Cambridge Economic Development Authority	MN067	58	65.91%	30	34.09%	88
HRA OF STAPLES	MN075	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-505 St. Cloud/Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA OF LONG PRAIRIE, MINNESOTA	MN088	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
HRA of LITTLE FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN042	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
HRA OF PEQUOT LAKES, MINNESOTA	MN095	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA of WALKER, MINNESOTA	MN025	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
HRA OF CITY OF MELROSE, MINNESOTA	MN065	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
HRA of PINE CITY, MINNESOTA	MN059	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
HRA OF CASS LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN100	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43
HRA of SAUK CENTRE, MINNESOTA	MN028	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
HRA OF PRINCETON, MINNESOTA	MN064	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA OF PINE RIVER, MINNESOTA	MN092	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
HRA OF CROSBY, MINNESOTA	MN082	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20

#### MN-506 Northwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NW MN MULTI-COUNTY HRA	MN158	652	96.31%	25	3.69%	<b>677</b>
HRA of BEMIDJI, MINNESOTA	MN009	183	38.05%	298	61.95%	<b>481</b>
EDHA of EAST GRAND FORKS	MN045	317	83.42%	63	16.58%	<b>380</b>
CROOKSTON HOUSING AND EDA	MN021	188	77.69%	54	22.31%	<b>242</b>
HRA OF WARREN, MINNESOTA	MN076	0	0.00%	176	100.00%	<b>176</b>
CLEARWATER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	MN186	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
HRA OF RED LAKE FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN071	0	0.00%	83	100.00%	83



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-506 Northwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of BAGLEY, MINNESOTA	MN047	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
HRA Of VILLAGE OF GREENBUSH, MINNESOTA	MN086	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF BAUDETTE, MINNESOTA	MN113	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
THIEF RIVER FALLS HOUSING & REDEVELOPMENT AUT	MN027	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
HRA OF WARROAD, MINNESOTA	MN061	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
HRA of PARK RAPIDS, MINNESOTA	MN043	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MN-508 Moorhead/West Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CLAY COUNTY HRA	MN164	360	82.76%	75	17.24%	<b>435</b>
HRA OF HENNING, MINNESOTA	MN083	0	0.00%	361	100.00%	<b>361</b>
HRA of FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN008	185	60.66%	120	39.34%	<b>305</b>
DOUGLAS COUNTY HRA	MN192	249	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>249</b>
HRA OF DETROIT LAKES, MINNESOTA	MN107	167	86.98%	25	13.02%	<b>192</b>
OTTER TAIL COUNTY HRA	MN177	140	84.85%	25	15.15%	165
MOORHEAD PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MN017	95	60.90%	61	39.10%	156
STEVENS COUNTY HRA	MN182	128	100.00%	0	0.00%	128
BECKER COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	MN190	74	72.55%	28	27.45%	102
HRA of GLENWOOD, MINNESOTA	MN056	0	0.00%	92	100.00%	92
HRA of MORRIS, MINNESOTA	MN030	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
BRECKENRIDGE HRA OF BRECKENRIDGE, Minnesota	MN072	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-508 Moorhead/West Central Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
GRANT COUNTY HRA	MN169	51	71.83%	20	28.17%	71
HRA of PERHAM, MINNESOTA	MN020	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
HRA of ALEXANDRIA, MINNESOTA	MN035	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
HRA OF BARNESVILLE, MINNESOTA	MN068	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33

#### MN-509 Duluth/St. Louis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of DULUTH, MINNESOTA	MN003	1472	85.38%	252	14.62%	1724
HRA of VIRGINIA, MINNESOTA	MN007	582	89.54%	68	10.46%	650
HRA of CHISHOLM, MINNESOTA	MN005	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
HRA of ELY, MINNESOTA	MN053	0	0.00%	123	100.00%	123
THE HRA OF HIBBING, MINNESOTA	MN004	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	109
HRA of EVELETH, MINNESOTA	MN011	0	0.00%	95	100.00%	95
HRA OF GILBERT, MINNESOTA	MN117	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
HRA OF COOK, MINNESOTA	MN096	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30

#### MN-511 Southwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of WORTHINGTON, MINNESOTA	MN034	250	65.79%	130	34.21%	380
KANDIYOHI COUNTY HRA	MN168	328	100.00%	0	0.00%	328





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-511 Southwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA of PIPESTONE, MINNESOTA	MN049	53	23.35%	174	76.65%	<b>227</b>
HRA of BENSON, MINNESOTA	MN014	0	0.00%	178	100.00%	<b>178</b>
MCLEOD COUNTY HRA	MN203	121	76.10%	38	23.90%	<b>159</b>
HRA of REDWOOD FALLS, MINNESOTA	MN036	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
HRA of TRACY, MINNESOTA	MN040	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AUTH. OF CHIPPEWAGO CO.	MN173	129	100.00%	0	0.00%	129
HRA OF JACKSON, MINNESOTA	MN089	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
HRA of LUVERNE, MINNESOTA	MN048	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
HRA OF HUTCHINSON, MINNESOTA	MN103	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
PUBLIC HOUSING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF MARSHAL	MN041	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing & Redevelopment Authority Of Lincoln	MN183	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
MEEKER COUNTY HRA	MN178	69	79.31%	18	20.69%	87
RENVILLE COUNTY HRA	MN161	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
SWIFT COUNTY HRA	MN166	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
HRA of MONTEVIDEO, MINNESOTA	MN026	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76
Housing and Redevelopment Authority of Yellow	MN174	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
HRA OF CLARKFIELD, MINNESOTA	MN069	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
HRA of MADISON, MINNESOTA	MN029	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
HRA OF WINDOM, MINNESOTA	MN080	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
HRA OF COTTONWOOD, MINNESOTA	MN098	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
BIG STONE COUNTY HRA	MN176	34	72.34%	13	27.66%	47
HRA of MOUNTAIN LAKE, MINNESOTA	MN058	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Minnesota

#### MN-511 Southwest Minnesota CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HRA IN AND FOR THE CITY OF WILLMAR, MINNESOTA	MN051	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
HRA OF LITCHFIELD, MINNESOTA	MN070	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
HRA OF LAKE BENTON, MINNESOTA	MN108	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-500 Jackson/Rankin, Madison Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VI	MS058	5023	96.36%	190	3.64%	<b>5213</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Jackson	MS103	784	72.59%	296	27.41%	<b>1080</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Canton	MS061	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Vicksburg	MS086	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Hazlehurst	MS094	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. IV	MS019	2478	81.09%	578	18.91%	<b>3056</b>
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. V	MS030	1747	63.46%	1006	36.54%	<b>2753</b>
Tennessee Valley Regional Housing Authority	MS006	1580	84.22%	296	15.78%	<b>1876</b>
South Delta Regional Housing Authority	MS095	1688	95.48%	80	4.52%	<b>1768</b>
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VII	MS057	1565	92.00%	136	8.00%	<b>1701</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of McComb	MS003	0	0.00%	1232	100.00%	1232
The Housing Authority of the City of Meridian	MS004	211	18.81%	911	81.19%	1122
North Delta Regional Housing Authority	MS128	754	87.57%	107	12.43%	861
The Housing Authority of the City of Hattiesburg	MS001	0	0.00%	624	100.00%	624
The Housing Authority of the City of Iuka	MS075	0	0.00%	480	100.00%	480
The Housing Authority of the City of Laurel	MS002	0	0.00%	435	100.00%	435
The Housing Authority of the City of Baldwyn	MS085	0	0.00%	430	100.00%	430
The Housing Authority of the City of Natchez	MS105	0	0.00%	408	100.00%	408



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Clarksdale	MS007	0	0.00%	389	100.00%	389
The Housing Authority of the City of Columbus	MS076	0	0.00%	388	100.00%	388
The Housing Authority of the City of Aberdeen	MS071	0	0.00%	330	100.00%	330
The Housing Authority of the City of Holly Springs	MS062	0	0.00%	290	100.00%	290
The Housing Authority of the City of Booneville	MS065	0	0.00%	276	100.00%	276
The Housing Authority of the City of Greenwood	MS107	260	100.00%	0	0.00%	260
The Housing Authority of the City of West Point	MS059	0	0.00%	235	100.00%	235
The Housing Authority of the City of Senatobia	MS090	0	0.00%	212	100.00%	212
The Housing Authority of the City of Tupelo	MS077	0	0.00%	201	100.00%	201
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. II	MS016	189	100.00%	0	0.00%	189
The Housing Authority of the City of Water Valley	MS078	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
The Housing Authority of the City of Sardis	MS081	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
The Housing Authority of the City of Okolona	MS070	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
The Housing Authority of the City of Brookhaven	MS060	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of the City of Hughes	AR061	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Oxford Housing Authority	MS093	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
The Housing Authority of the City of Starkville	MS047	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
The Housing Authority of the City of Shelby	MS089	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
The Housing Authority of the City of Winona	MS082	0	0.00%	112	100.00%	112
The Housing Authority of the City of Walnut	MS080	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
The Housing Authority of the City of Mound Bayou	MS110	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
The Housing Authority of the City of Corinth	MS072	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Mississippi

#### MS-501 Mississippi Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of Attala County	MS117	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
The Housing Authority of the City of Waynesboro	MS068	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
The Housing Authority of the City of Summit	MS084	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
The Housing Authority of the City of Forest	MS111	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
The Housing Authority of the City of Richton	MS067	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
The Housing Authority of the City of Louisville	MS079	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
The Housing Authority of the City of Amory	MS083	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
The Housing Authority of the City of Pontotoc	MS096	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
The Housing Authority of the City of Yazoo City	MS063	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
The Housing Authority of the City of Itta Bena	MS121	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### MS-503 Gulf Port/Gulf Coast Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mississippi Regional Housing Authority No. VIII	MS040	7769	96.95%	244	3.05%	<b>8013</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Biloxi	MS005	701	36.66%	1211	63.34%	<b>1912</b>
Bay Waveland Housing Authority	MS301	380	58.10%	274	41.90%	<b>654</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Waveland	MS101	0	0.00%	186	100.00%	<b>186</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Bay St. Louis	MS064	0	0.00%	160	100.00%	<b>160</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach	MS109	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
The Housing Authority of the City of Picayune	MS066	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-500 St. Louis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of St. Louis County	MO004	6497	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6497</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Kirkwood	MO187	0	0.00%	275	100.00%	<b>275</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Olivette	MO132	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	<b>200</b>
Wellston Housing Authority	MO138	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	<b>130</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Hillsdale	MO220	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	<b>56</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pagedale	MO218	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22

#### MO-501 St. Louis CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
St. Louis Housing Authority	MO001	6982	78.38%	1926	21.62%	<b>8908</b>

#### MO-503 St. Charles City & County, Lincoln, Warren Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of St. Charles	MO006	265	26.93%	719	73.07%	<b>984</b>
Housing Assistance Prog of St Charles County	MO227	715	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>715</b>

#### MO-600 Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Springfield Housing Authority	MO058	777	96.28%	30	3.72%	<b>807</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-600 Springfield/Greene, Christian, Webster Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Dallas County Public Housing Agency-OACAC	MO216	588	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>588</b>
Republic Housing Authority	MO077	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	<b>86</b>

#### MO-602 Joplin/Jasper, Newton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Joplin, MO	MO188	540	95.41%	26	4.59%	<b>566</b>
ESCSWA dba Jasper Cty Public Housing Agency	MO215	354	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>354</b>
Webb City Housing Authority	MO061	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
Neosho Housing Authority	MO062	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	<b>29</b>

#### MO-603 St. Joseph/Andrew, Buchanan, DeKalb Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
St. Joseph Housing Authority	MO003	970	72.44%	369	27.56%	<b>1339</b>
ABCD Housing Agency	MO219	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>227</b>

#### MO-604 Kansas City, Independence, Lee's Summit/Jackson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Kansas City, Missouri	MO002	7947	97.86%	174	2.14%	<b>8121</b>
Independence Housing Authority	MO017	1647	84.59%	300	15.41%	<b>1947</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-604 Kansas City, Independence, Lee's Summit/Jackson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lee's Summit Housing Authority	MO030	649	81.23%	150	18.77%	<b>799</b>
Community Housing Network	MO880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
ST. FRANCOIS COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO203	2335	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2335</b>
LINCOLN COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO199	2094	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2094</b>
White River Regional Housing Authority	AR197	1654	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1654</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, MO	MO007	1167	83.30%	234	16.70%	<b>1401</b>
St. Clair County Housing Authority	MO197	1291	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1291</b>
FRANKLIN COUNTY PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	MO205	1030	100.00%	0	0.00%	1030
Housing Authority of the City of Illmo	MO057	0	0.00%	766	100.00%	766
Phelps County Public Housing Agency	MO206	756	100.00%	0	0.00%	756
Howell County Public Housing Agency	MO217	690	100.00%	0	0.00%	690
Grundy County Public Housing Agency	MO196	682	100.00%	0	0.00%	682
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SIKESTON	MO008	349	52.25%	319	47.75%	668
Marshall Housing Authority	MO016	103	16.32%	528	83.68%	631
Housing Authority of the City of Charleston	MO012	0	0.00%	575	100.00%	575
Housing Authority of the City of Jefferson	MO009	231	52.26%	211	47.74%	442
Lafayette County Housing Authority	MO204	418	100.00%	0	0.00%	418
Boone County Public Housing Agency	MO198	401	100.00%	0	0.00%	401





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Mexico	MO010	151	37.84%	248	62.16%	399
Pulaski County Housing Authority	MO207	394	100.00%	0	0.00%	394
Ripley County Public Housing Agency	MO212	367	100.00%	0	0.00%	367
Housing Authority of the City of Sedalia, MO	MO074	270	76.70%	82	23.30%	352
Liberty Housing Authority	MO210	343	100.00%	0	0.00%	343
Housing Authority of the City of Fulton	MO014	110	32.93%	224	67.07%	334
Scotland County Public Housing Agency	MO200	329	100.00%	0	0.00%	329
Housing Authority of the City of Holcomb	MO035	0	0.00%	304	100.00%	304
Housing Authority of the City of Hayti Heights	MO223	0	0.00%	296	100.00%	296
Nevada Housing Authority	MO133	88	30.45%	201	69.55%	289
Housing Authority of the City of West Plains	MO037	205	72.95%	76	27.05%	281
Housing Authority of the City of Moberly	MO011	0	0.00%	278	100.00%	278
Housing Authority of the City of Caruthersville	MO036	0	0.00%	273	100.00%	273
Housing Authority of the City of Bowling Green	MO125	0	0.00%	253	100.00%	253
Housing Authority of the City of Kirksville	MO145	200	81.97%	44	18.03%	244
Chillicothe Housing Authority	MO065	89	38.03%	145	61.97%	234
Ray County Housing Authority	MO213	231	100.00%	0	0.00%	231
Excelsior Springs Housing Authority	MO053	166	76.85%	50	23.15%	216
Housing Authority of the City of Poplar Bluff	MO013	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the City of Melbourne	AR103	0	0.00%	197	100.00%	197
Lawson Housing Authority	MO073	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
Housing Authority of the City of Houston	MO040	83	43.92%	106	56.08%	189



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Nodaway County Housing Authority	MO190	187	100.00%	0	0.00%	187
Housing Authority of the City of Rolla	MO149	160	86.49%	25	13.51%	185
Housing Authority of the City of Hannibal	MO129	168	92.31%	14	7.69%	182
Clay County Public Housing Agency	AR176	170	100.00%	0	0.00%	170
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	MO052	0	0.00%	166	100.00%	166
Carrollton Housing Authority	MO107	86	53.09%	76	46.91%	162
Housing Authority of the City of Cameron	MO078	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Housing Authority of the City of Bloomfield	MO019	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Housing Authority of the City of New Madrid	MO064	50	33.33%	100	66.67%	150
Housing Authority of the City of Lancaster	MO147	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Mound City Housing Authority	MO033	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
Brunswick Housing Authority	MO059	0	0.00%	135	100.00%	135
Housing Authority of the City of Cabool	MO209	46	35.94%	82	64.06%	128
Aurora Housing Authority	MO071	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Maryville Housing Authority	MO072	88	68.75%	40	31.25%	128
Housing Authority of the City of Mammoth Spring	AR086	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Brookfield Housing Authority	MO075	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Smithville Housing Authority	MO041	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Slater Housing Authority	MO069	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of the City of Hornersville	MO029	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Hayti	MO020	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Housing Authority of the City of Mountain Grove	MO060	0	0.00%	107	100.00%	107



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Higginsville Housing Authority	MO110	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Housing Authority of the City of Macon	MO111	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
Housing Authority of the City of Yellville	AR122	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Vandalia	MO179	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Wardell	MO063	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Lanagan Housing Authority	MO048	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Cardwell	MO027	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of the City of Potosi	MO021	0	0.00%	94	100.00%	94
Bethany Housing Authority	MO067	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
Housing Authority of Southwest City	MO051	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	AR074	0	0.00%	88	100.00%	88
Housing Authority of the City of Festus	MO221	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Weston Housing Authority	MO193	82	100.00%	0	0.00%	82
Housing Authority of the City of Alton	MO156	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Chaffee	MO066	0	0.00%	77	100.00%	77
Housing Authority of the City of Bernie	MO024	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Marionville Housing Authority	MO081	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Princeton Housing Authority	MO103	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Branson Housing Authority	MO045	0	0.00%	69	100.00%	69
Housing Authority of the City of Ava	MO192	30	45.45%	36	54.55%	66
Richmond Housing Authority	MO070	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Glasgow	MO039	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Senath	MO023	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Clarkton	MO025	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of East Prairie	MO076	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the City of Morehouse	MO092	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Boonville	MO054	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Pineville Housing Authority	MO050	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Kennett	MO018	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Marceline Housing Authority	MO046	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Tarkio Housing Authority	MO032	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of the City of Gideon	MO044	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Clinton Housing Authority	MO031	0	0.00%	37	100.00%	37
Richland Housing Authority	MO068	0	0.00%	37	100.00%	37
Lexington Housing Authority	MO096	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Plattsburg Housing Authority	MO043	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Steele	MO022	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Sainte Genevieve	MO191	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Fayette	MO056	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Osceola Housing Authority	MO038	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Noel Housing Authority	MO049	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Malden	MO028	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Memphis	MO146	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Portageville	MO042	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Missouri

#### MO-606 Missouri Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Norwood	MO189	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Mansfield	MO090	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Housing Authority of the City of Thayer	MO098	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Anderson Housing Authority	MO047	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Dexter	MO034	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Lebanon Housing Authority	MO079	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of the City of Campbell	MO026	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Warrensburg Housing Authority	MO194	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
New Madrid Public Housing Agency	MO208	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Oran	MO080	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Imboden	AR057	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Montana

#### MT-500 Montana Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Montana Department of Commerce	MT901	4102	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4102</b>
Missoula Housing Authority	MT033	774	42.20%	1060	57.80%	<b>1834</b>
Housing Authority of Billings	MT001	654	57.17%	490	42.83%	<b>1144</b>
Great Falls Housing Authority	MT002	265	42.88%	353	57.12%	<b>618</b>
Helena Housing Authority	MT004	381	69.15%	170	30.85%	<b>551</b>
Housing Authority of Butte	MT003	109	22.95%	366	77.05%	475
Dawson County Housing Authority	MT029	0	0.00%	176	100.00%	176
Richland County Housing Authority	MT006	100	62.50%	60	37.50%	160
Housing Authority of the City of Anaconda	MT005	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
Housing Authority of Glasgow	MT007	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Whitefish Housing Authority	MT015	16	44.44%	20	55.56%	36
City of Ronan Housing Authority	MT036	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hall County Housing Authority	NE003	481	73.66%	172	26.34%	<b>653</b>
Hastings Housing Authority	NE150	477	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>477</b>
Scotts Bluff County Housing Authority	NE078	410	96.24%	16	3.76%	<b>426</b>
McCook Housing Authority	NE123	77	23.55%	250	76.45%	<b>327</b>
Alliance Housing Authority	NE141	187	70.57%	78	29.43%	<b>265</b>
Norfolk Housing Agency	NE157	254	100.00%	0	0.00%	254
Kearney Housing Authority	NE004	133	52.99%	118	47.01%	251
Beemer Housing Authority	NE099	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	248
West Central Nebraska Joint Housing Authority	NE179	215	100.00%	0	0.00%	215
Beatrice Housing Authority	NE114	204	100.00%	0	0.00%	204
Fremont Housing Authority	NE100	164	90.11%	18	9.89%	182
York Housing Authority	NE094	99	54.70%	82	45.30%	181
Niobrara Housing Authority	NE077	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	162
Goldenrod Regional Housing Agency	NE181	137	100.00%	0	0.00%	137
Lexington Housing Authority	NE010	122	91.04%	12	8.96%	134
Columbus Housing Authority	NE104	100	85.47%	17	14.53%	117
Wood River Housing Authority	NE091	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Chappell Housing Authority	NE115	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Oakland Housing Authority	NE103	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Loup City Housing Authority	NE008	0	0.00%	81	100.00%	81
Cozad Housing Authority	NE083	59	75.64%	19	24.36%	78
Central Nebraska Jt Housing Authority	NE182	76	100.00%	0	0.00%	76



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Genoa Housing Authority	NE093	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Gresham Housing Authority	NE011	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Broken Bow Housing Authority	NE117	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
David City Housing Authority	NE025	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Stanton Housing Authority	NE029	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
North Loup Housing Authority	NE131	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Clarkson Housing Authority	NE027	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Neligh Housing Authority	NE022	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Gothenburg Housing Authority	NE120	25	45.45%	30	54.55%	55
Alma Housing Authority	NE024	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Fairmont Housing Authority	NE064	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Ord Housing Authority	NE005	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Syracuse Housing Authority	NE015	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Nelson Housing Authority	NE082	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Coleridge Housing Authority	NE039	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Hooper Housing Authority	NE049	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Wayne Housing Authority	NE109	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Albion Housing Authority	NE040	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Chadron Housing Authority	NE143	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Crete Housing Authority	NE041	25	64.10%	14	35.90%	39
Fairbury Housing Authority	NE030	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Lyons Housing Authority	NE088	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ravenna Housing Authority	NE108	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Benkelman Housing Authority	NE016	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Red Cloud Housing Authority	NE006	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Imperial Housing Authority	NE021	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Edgar Housing Authority	NE033	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Ansley Housing Authority	NE111	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Ainsworth Housing Authority	NE035	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Creighton Housing Authority	NE034	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Hay Springs Housing Authority	NE046	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Nebraska City Housing Authority	NE012	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Pawnee City Housing Authority	NE028	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
St. Edward Housing Authority	NE059	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
St. Paul Housing Authority	NE050	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Stromsburg Housing Authority	NE017	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Wymore Housing Authority	NE018	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Bassett Housing Authority	NE071	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Wilber Housing Authority	NE047	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Henderson Housing Authority	NE038	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Bridgeport Housing Authority	NE106	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	25
Curtis Housing Authority	NE097	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Plattsmouth Housing Authority	NE074	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Sutherland Housing Authority	NE096	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Burwell Housing Authority	NE026	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Hemingford Housing Authority	NE102	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Humboldt Housing Authority	NE014	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Grant Housing Authority	NE020	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Minden Housing Authority	NE051	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Cambridge Housing Authority	NE070	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Newman Grove Housing Authority	NE037	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Gibbon Housing Authority	NE110	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
North Platte Housing Authority	NE125	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Clay Center Housing Authority	NE019	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Falls City Housing Authority	NE095	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Blair Housing Authority	NE092	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Harvard Housing Authority	NE068	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Weeping Water Housing Authority	NE085	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Tekamah Housing Authority	NE072	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Verdigre Housing Authority	NE032	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Tilden Housing Authority	NE067	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Aurora Housing Authority	NE090	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Gordon Housing Authority	NE107	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Sargent Housing Authority	NE053	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19
Indianola Housing Authority	NE075	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Oshkosh Housing Authority	NE076	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-500 Nebraska Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Auburn Housing Authority	NE065	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Friend Housing Authority	NE063	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Tecumseh Housing Authority	NE098	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Lynch Housing Authority	NE043	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Deshler Housing Authority	NE036	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Bayard Housing Authority	NE086	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Oxford Housing Authority	NE069	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Blue Hill Housing Authority	NE031	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Schuyler Housing Authority	NE023	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Cairo Housing Authority	NE101	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Shelton Housing Authority	NE057	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Greeley Housing Authority	NE042	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10

#### NE-501 Omaha, Council Bluffs CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Omaha Housing Authority	NE001	4545	93.42%	320	6.58%	<b>4865</b>
Bellevue Housing Authority	NE174	275	19.04%	1169	80.96%	<b>1444</b>
Douglas County Housing Authority	NE153	1008	95.36%	49	4.64%	<b>1057</b>
Municipal Housing Agency of Council Bluffs	IA023	687	97.86%	15	2.14%	<b>702</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nebraska

#### NE-502 Lincoln CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lincoln Housing Authority	NE002	3016	88.45%	394	11.55%	3410



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Nevada

#### NV-500 Las Vegas/Clark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority	NV018	10570	81.86%	2342	18.14%	<b>12912</b>

#### NV-501 Reno, Sparks/Washoe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CITY OF RENO HOUSING AUTHORITY	NV001	2747	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2747</b>
LASSEN COUNTY	CA152	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### NV-502 Nevada Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NEVADA RURAL HSG AUTH	NV905	1463	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1463</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Hampshire

#### NH-500 New Hampshire Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Salem	NH017	0	0.00%	7750	100.00%	<b>7750</b>
Keene Housing	NH010	687	92.59%	55	7.41%	<b>742</b>
Dover Housing Authority	NH003	317	42.95%	421	57.05%	<b>738</b>
Portsmouth Housing Authority	NH004	406	60.78%	262	39.22%	<b>668</b>
Laconia Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH007	407	63.69%	232	36.31%	<b>639</b>
Concord Housing Authority	NH005	245	59.18%	169	40.82%	414
Berlin Housing Authority	NH011	285	74.80%	96	25.20%	381
Housing Authority of the City of Rochester NH	NH008	182	52.30%	166	47.70%	348
Somersworth Housing Authority	NH006	183	65.12%	98	34.88%	281
Lancaster Housing Authority	NH015	68	30.09%	158	69.91%	226
Claremont Housing Authority	NH012	140	73.68%	50	26.32%	190
Newmarket Housing Authority	NH013	72	40.22%	107	59.78%	179
Exeter Housing Authority	NH014	169	100.00%	0	0.00%	169
Lebanon Housing Authority	NH009	163	100.00%	0	0.00%	163
Derry Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH022	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Northumberland Housing Authority	NH016	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15

#### NH-501 Manchester CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Manchester Housing & Redevelopment Authority	NH001	2037	75.47%	662	24.53%	<b>2699</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Hampshire

#### NH-502 Nashua/Hillsborough County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New Hampshire Housing Finance Agency	NH901	3498	100.00%	0	0.00%	3498
Nashua Housing Authority	NH002	856	65.14%	458	34.86%	1314
Harbor Homes, Inc.	NH888	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-500 Atlantic City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority and Urban Redevelopment Age	NJ014	1049	43.60%	1357	56.40%	<b>2406</b>
Pleasantville Housing Authority	NJ059	245	75.38%	80	24.62%	<b>325</b>
Buena Housing Authority	NJ202	0	0.00%	262	100.00%	<b>262</b>

#### NJ-501 Bergen County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bergen County Housing Authority	NJ067	3586	98.38%	59	1.62%	<b>3645</b>
Housing Authority of the Borough of Lodi	NJ011	477	27.03%	1288	72.97%	<b>1765</b>
Hackensack Housing Authority	NJ028	0	0.00%	715	100.00%	<b>715</b>
Englewood Housing Authority	NJ055	609	89.69%	70	10.31%	<b>679</b>
Fort Lee Housing Authority	NJ071	490	87.50%	70	12.50%	<b>560</b>
Cliffside Park Housing Authority	NJ070	347	89.66%	40	10.34%	387
Edgewater Housing Authority	NJ075	154	65.81%	80	34.19%	234
Garfield Housing Authority	NJ034	0	0.00%	152	100.00%	152

#### NJ-502 Burlington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Burlington Housing Authority	NJ020	0	0.00%	1223	100.00%	<b>1223</b>
Burlington County Housing Authority	NJ215	628	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>628</b>
Florence Housing Authority	NJ038	0	0.00%	473	100.00%	<b>473</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-502 Burlington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Beverly Housing Authority	NJ018	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90

#### NJ-503 Camden City & County/Gloucester, Cape May, Cumberland Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gloucester County Housing Authority	NJ204	1928	94.84%	105	5.16%	2033
Housing Authority of the City of Camden	NJ010	1424	86.62%	220	13.38%	1644
Gloucester City Housing Authority	NJ218	0	0.00%	953	100.00%	953
Cape May Housing Authority	NJ062	0	0.00%	600	100.00%	600
Vineland Housing Authority	NJ063	466	82.33%	100	17.67%	566
Bridgeton Housing Authority	NJ049	203	45.41%	244	54.59%	447
Glassboro Housing Authority	NJ051	232	75.82%	74	24.18%	306
Millville Housing Authority	NJ061	191	69.20%	85	30.80%	276
Ocean City Housing Authority	NJ053	0	0.00%	268	100.00%	268
Haddon Housing Authority	NJ064	0	0.00%	266	100.00%	266
Borough of Clementon Housing Authority	NJ073	69	31.22%	152	68.78%	221
Collingswood Housing Authority	NJ079	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Wildwood Housing Authority	NJ080	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Township of Pennsauken Housing	NJ118	77	100.00%	0	0.00%	77
Cherry Hill Housing Authority	NJ115	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-504 Newark/Essex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Newark Housing Authority	NJ002	6758	83.62%	1324	16.38%	<b>8082</b>
East Orange Housing Authority	NJ050	1410	88.74%	179	11.26%	<b>1589</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Orange	NJ025	741	61.96%	455	38.04%	<b>1196</b>
Montclair Housing Authority	NJ086	321	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>321</b>
Irvington Housing Authority	NJ037	240	82.76%	50	17.24%	<b>290</b>
BLOOMFIELD TOWNSHIP HA	NJ099	270	100.00%	0	0.00%	270
West Orange Housing Authority	NJ108	120	100.00%	0	0.00%	120

#### NJ-506 Jersey City,Bayonne/Hudson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority City of Jersey City	NJ009	3626	66.48%	1828	33.52%	<b>5454</b>
North Bergen Housing Authority	NJ004	457	21.64%	1655	78.36%	<b>2112</b>
Bayonne Housing Authority	NJ012	252	26.50%	699	73.50%	<b>951</b>
Union City Housing Authority	NJ026	693	76.83%	209	23.17%	<b>902</b>
Guttenberg Housing Authority	NJ036	198	22.97%	664	77.03%	<b>862</b>
West New York Housing Authority	NJ030	462	62.52%	277	37.48%	739
Hoboken Housing Authority	NJ015	326	54.88%	268	45.12%	594
Weehawken Housing Authority	NJ077	350	78.65%	95	21.35%	445
Secaucus Housing Authority	NJ083	250	100.00%	0	0.00%	250
Harrison Housing Authority	NJ016	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
Garden State Episcopal CDC	NJ882	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-507 New Brunswick/Middlesex County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Perth Amboy Housing Authority	NJ006	920	61.09%	586	38.91%	<b>1506</b>
New Brunswick Housing Authority	NJ022	903	65.77%	470	34.23%	<b>1373</b>
Woodbridge Housing Authority	NJ033	405	47.04%	456	52.96%	<b>861</b>
Carteret Housing Authority	NJ047	464	57.35%	345	42.65%	<b>809</b>
Edison Housing Authority	NJ043	375	75.15%	124	24.85%	<b>499</b>
South Amboy Housing Authority	NJ035	111	30.83%	249	69.17%	360
Old Bridge Housing Authority	NJ110	204	77.27%	60	22.73%	264
Highland Park Housing Authority	NJ044	145	59.18%	100	40.82%	245
Sayreville Housing Authority	NJ106	174	100.00%	0	0.00%	174

#### NJ-508 Monmouth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Long Branch Housing Authority	NJ008	866	26.84%	2361	73.16%	<b>3227</b>
Monmouth County PHA	NJ095	1889	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1889</b>
Asbury Park Housing Authority	NJ007	347	44.54%	432	55.46%	<b>779</b>
Neptune Housing Authority	NJ048	318	41.51%	448	58.49%	<b>766</b>
Keansburg Housing Authority	NJ060	249	33.33%	498	66.67%	<b>747</b>
Housing Authority of the Township of Middletown	NJ081	245	47.12%	275	52.88%	520
Red Bank Housing Authority	NJ046	260	50.78%	252	49.22%	512
Freehold Housing Authority	NJ069	0	0.00%	354	100.00%	354
Belmar Housing Authority	NJ057	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-508 Monmouth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Highlands Housing Authority	NJ041	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Collaborative Support Programs of NJ	NJ880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Community Enterprises Corporation	NJ881	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NJ-509 Morris County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Morris County Housing Authority	NJ092	634	82.55%	134	17.45%	<b>768</b>
Morristown Housing Authority	NJ023	184	24.15%	578	75.85%	<b>762</b>
Dover Housing Authority	NJ068	248	74.47%	85	25.53%	<b>333</b>
Boonton Housing Authority	NJ052	159	56.79%	121	43.21%	<b>280</b>
Madison Housing Authority	NJ105	190	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>190</b>

#### NJ-510 Lakewood Township/Ocean County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Prog	NJ214	1058	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1058</b>
Housing Authority of the Township of Lakewood	NJ054	763	83.39%	152	16.61%	<b>915</b>
Brick Housing Authority	NJ065	142	41.40%	201	58.60%	<b>343</b>
Berkeley Housing Authority	NJ056	25	33.33%	50	66.67%	<b>75</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-511 Paterson/Passaic County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Passaic	NJ013	1828	53.65%	1579	46.35%	<b>3407</b>
Paterson Housing Authority	NJ021	2352	86.82%	357	13.18%	<b>2709</b>
Passaic County Public Housing Agency	NJ090	835	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>835</b>
Clifton Housing Authority	NJ089	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>264</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Paterson	NJ091	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### NJ-512 Salem County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Salem Housing Authority	NJ058	30	12.66%	207	87.34%	<b>237</b>
Penns Grove Housing Authority	NJ074	35	53.85%	30	46.15%	<b>65</b>

#### NJ-513 Somerset County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Franklin Housing Authority	NJ042	230	58.97%	160	41.03%	<b>390</b>
Somerville Housing Authority	NJ097	170	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>170</b>
Manville Housing Authority	NJ112	90	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>90</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-514 Trenton/Mercer County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STATE OF NJ DEPT. OF COMM. AFFAIRS	NJ912	21894	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>21894</b>
Princeton Housing Authority	NJ027	0	0.00%	504	100.00%	<b>504</b>
Trenton Housing Authority	NJ005	0	0.00%	467	100.00%	<b>467</b>
Hamilton Township HA	NJ212	222	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>222</b>
Hightstown Housing Authority	NJ045	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
N.J. HSG. AND MORTGAGE FINANCE AGCY	NJ902	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NJ-515 Elizabeth/Union County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Elizabeth Housing Authority	NJ003	1133	53.49%	985	46.51%	<b>2118</b>
Plainfield Housing Authority	NJ039	844	87.10%	125	12.90%	<b>969</b>
Housing Authority City of Linden	NJ066	357	41.51%	503	58.49%	<b>860</b>
Rahway Housing Authority	NJ032	188	24.97%	565	75.03%	<b>753</b>
Union County Housing Authority	NJ113	371	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>371</b>
Union Township Housing Authority	NJ109	163	100.00%	0	0.00%	163
Summit Housing Authority	NJ017	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71

#### NJ-516 Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hunterdon County Division of Housing	NJ084	427	58.41%	304	41.59%	<b>731</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Jersey

#### NJ-516 Warren, Sussex, Hunterdon Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Warren County Housing Authority	NJ102	666	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>666</b>
Phillipsburg Housing Authority	NJ024	0	0.00%	259	100.00%	<b>259</b>
Phillipsburg DCD	NJ088	210	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>210</b>
Newton Housing Authority	NJ076	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	<b>99</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Mexico

#### NM-500 Albuquerque CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Albuquerque Housing Authority	NM001	4161	96.93%	132	3.07%	<b>4293</b>
Bernalillo County Housing Department	NM057	2131	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2131</b>

#### NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Eastern Regional Housing Authority	NM063	1892	97.23%	54	2.77%	<b>1946</b>
Mesilla Valley Public Housing Authority	NM003	1612	87.94%	221	12.06%	<b>1833</b>
Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	NM009	1125	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1125</b>
Western Regional Housing Authority	NM067	911	97.02%	28	2.98%	<b>939</b>
Clovis Housing and Redevelopment Agency, Inc.	NM002	608	70.62%	253	29.38%	<b>861</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Sunland Park	NM075	0	0.00%	751	100.00%	751
Housing Authority of the City of Raton	NM008	0	0.00%	639	100.00%	639
El Camino Real Housing Authority	NM077	591	100.00%	0	0.00%	591
Housing Authority of the County of San Juan	NM066	355	100.00%	0	0.00%	355
Housing Authority of the City of Gallup	NM006	63	19.09%	267	80.91%	330
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Fe	NM050	285	89.91%	32	10.09%	317
Housing Authority of the City of Truth or Consequences	NM020	170	55.19%	138	44.81%	308
Housing Authority of the City of Alamogordo	NM004	0	0.00%	267	100.00%	267
Housing Authority of the City of Tucumcari	NM033	140	58.33%	100	41.67%	240
Housing Authority of the County of San Miguel	NM049	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of San Miguel County	NM061	177	100.00%	0	0.00%	177





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Mexico

#### NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Bernalillo Dept of Housing Services	NM035	0	0.00%	169	100.00%	169
Housing Authority of the City of Las Vegas	NM007	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Housing Authority of the County of Dona Ana	NM062	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Housing Authority of the City of Grants	NM030	98	83.76%	19	16.24%	117
Housing Authority of the Village of Wagon Mound	NM032	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Lovington	NM023	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the City of Artesia	NM021	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the County of Taos	NM038	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Housing Authority of the Village of Pecos	NM054	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Town of Springer	NM022	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the Town of Bayard	NM024	0	0.00%	47	100.00%	47
Housing Authority of the Village of Cuba	NM071	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Village of Cimarron	NM048	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Eunice	NM027	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the County of Rio Arriba	NM039	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Housing Authority of the Village of Fort Sumner	NM025	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	NM055	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	21
Santa Clara Housing Authority	NM029	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Village of Chama	NM047	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the Village of Los Lunas	NM070	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the City of Lordsburg	NM034	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of the Town of Mountainair	NM069	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New Mexico

NM-501 New Mexico Balance of State CoC						
PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Village of Maxwell	NM026	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-500 Rochester, Irondequoit, Greece/Monroe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Rochester Housing Authority	NY041	8897	92.59%	712	7.41%	9609
Village of Fairport	NY406	497	100.00%	0	0.00%	497

#### NY-501 Elmira/Steuben, Allegany, Livingston, Chemung, Schuyler Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hornell Housing Authority	NY067	134	54.47%	112	45.53%	246
Elmira Housing Authority	NY030	0	0.00%	241	100.00%	241
Village of Elmira Heights	NY402	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	59
Town of Horseheads	NY432	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35

#### NY-502 Auburn/Cayuga County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Auburn Housing Authority	NY066	394	71.38%	158	28.62%	552

#### NY-503 Albany City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
NYS Housing Trust Fund Corporation	NY904	46182	100.00%	0	0.00%	46182
Albany Housing Authority	NY009	2807	81.39%	642	18.61%	3449
Cohoes Housing Authority	NY022	327	48.16%	352	51.84%	679



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-503 Albany City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Watervliet Housing Authority	NY025	215	71.43%	86	28.57%	301
Village of Green Island	NY535	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46

#### NY-504 Cattaraugus County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Salamanca	NY403	174	100.00%	0	0.00%	174
Olean Housing Authority	NY093	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NY-505 Syracuse/Onondaga, Oswego Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Syracuse Housing Authority	NY001	3846	47.70%	4217	52.30%	8063
Village of Manlius	NY527	699	100.00%	0	0.00%	699
City of Oswego, Office of Community Dev.	NY027	489	100.00%	0	0.00%	489
City of Fulton	NY504	440	100.00%	0	0.00%	440
Village of North Syracuse Housing Authority	NY107	271	100.00%	0	0.00%	271

#### NY-507 Schenectady City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Schenectady Municipal Housing Authority	NY028	1387	73.86%	491	26.14%	1878



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-507 Schenectady City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Amsterdam Housing Authority	NY060	443	76.64%	135	23.36%	<b>578</b>

#### NY-508 Buffalo, Niagara Falls/Erie, Niagara, Orleans, Genesee, Wyoming Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Buffalo	NY409	5214	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>5214</b>
Town of Amherst	NY091	4990	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4990</b>
Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	NY002	472	18.50%	2079	81.50%	<b>2551</b>
Niagara Falls Housing Authority	NY011	0	0.00%	1264	100.00%	<b>1264</b>
Buffalo Municipal Housing Authority	NY449	972	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>972</b>
City of Niagara Falls	NY404	967	100.00%	0	0.00%	967
City of North Tonawanda	NY405	603	100.00%	0	0.00%	603
Lackawanna Municipal Housing Authority	NY029	0	0.00%	479	100.00%	479
Batavia Housing Authority	NY052	0	0.00%	341	100.00%	341
Lockport Housing Authority	NY070	188	65.73%	98	34.27%	286
Kenmore Municipal Housing Authority	NY400	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50

#### NY-510 Ithaca/Tompkins County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ithaca Housing Authority	NY054	992	52.13%	911	47.87%	<b>1903</b>
Cortland Housing Authority	NY021	306	49.84%	308	50.16%	<b>614</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-511 Binghamton, Union Town/Broome, Otsego, Chenango, Delaware, Cortland Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Binghamton Housing Authority	NY016	821	76.94%	246	23.06%	<b>1067</b>
Ilion Housing Authority	NY059	270	50.47%	265	49.53%	<b>535</b>
Town of Union Community Development	NY505	522	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>522</b>
Village of Walton	NY541	409	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>409</b>
Herkimer Housing Authority	NY019	52	13.30%	339	86.70%	<b>391</b>
Oneonta Housing Authority	NY068	80	27.40%	212	72.60%	292
Village of Cobleskill	NY532	263	100.00%	0	0.00%	263
Norwich Housing Authority	NY065	203	80.24%	50	19.76%	253
St. Johnsville Housing Authority	NY098	102	57.63%	75	42.37%	177
Little Falls Housing Authority	NY109	142	100.00%	0	0.00%	142
Village of Canajoharie	NY413	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60

#### NY-512 Troy/Rensselaer County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hoosick Housing Authority	NY501	215	8.89%	2203	91.11%	<b>2418</b>
Troy Housing Authority	NY012	925	85.97%	151	14.03%	<b>1076</b>
Rensselaer Housing Authority	NY033	98	25.79%	282	74.21%	<b>380</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-513 Wayne, Ontario, Seneca, Yates Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Newark Housing Authority	NY089	377	55.20%	306	44.80%	<b>683</b>
Geneva Housing Authority	NY044	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	<b>162</b>

#### NY-514 Jamestown, Dunkirk/Chautauqua County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jamestown Housing Authority	NY017	268	30.84%	601	69.16%	<b>869</b>
Dunkirk Housing Authority	NY063	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	<b>40</b>
Southern Tier Environments for Living	NY889	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### NY-516 Clinton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Plattsburgh Housing Authority	NY018	191	52.19%	175	47.81%	<b>366</b>
Town of Jay	NY522	42	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>42</b>

#### NY-518 Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Utica	NY443	1285	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1285</b>
Rome Housing Authority	NY034	684	94.48%	40	5.52%	<b>724</b>
Village of New Hartford	NY552	374	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>374</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-518 Utica, Rome/Oneida, Madison Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Utica Housing Authority	NY006	175	54.01%	149	45.99%	<b>324</b>
Oneida Housing Authority	NY102	106	62.35%	64	37.65%	<b>170</b>
Canastota Housing Authority	NY521	135	100.00%	0	0.00%	135
Boonville Housing Authority	NY534	30	100.00%	0	0.00%	30

#### NY-519 Columbia, Greene Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hudson Housing Authority	NY061	131	26.68%	360	73.32%	<b>491</b>
Catskill Housing Authority	NY032	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	<b>146</b>

#### NY-520 Franklin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrietstown Housing Authority	NY087	135	23.20%	447	76.80%	<b>582</b>
Tupper Lake Housing Authority	NY081	0	0.00%	282	100.00%	<b>282</b>
Malone Housing Authority	NY080	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	<b>90</b>
Lake Placid Village, Inc.	NY517	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-522 Jefferson, Lewis, St. Lawrence Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Ogdensburg Housing Authority	NY039	50	1.94%	2528	98.06%	<b>2578</b>
Watertown Housing Authority	NY010	0	0.00%	849	100.00%	<b>849</b>
Massena Housing Authority	NY031	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
West Carthage Housing Authority	NY414	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	<b>25</b>
Wilna Housing Authority	NY058	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>
Canton Housing Authority	NY097	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### NY-523 Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Hamilton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Glens Falls Housing Authority	NY079	728	80.62%	175	19.38%	<b>903</b>
Mechanicville Housing Authority	NY015	180	21.92%	641	78.08%	<b>821</b>
Saratoga Springs Housing Authority	NY020	90	19.15%	380	80.85%	<b>470</b>
Gloversville Housing Authority	NY048	221	47.42%	245	52.58%	<b>466</b>
Town of Colonie	NY408	424	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>424</b>
Town of Rotterdam	NY516	245	100.00%	0	0.00%	245
Fort Plain Housing Agency	NY530	242	100.00%	0	0.00%	242
Village of Ballston Spa	NY562	159	100.00%	0	0.00%	159
City of Johnstown	NY433	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Town of Stillwater	NY561	129	100.00%	0	0.00%	129
Town of Guilderland	NY422	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	110
Village of Scotia	NY512	106	100.00%	0	0.00%	106



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-523 Glens Falls, Saratoga Springs/Saratoga, Washington, Warren, Hamilton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Village of Corinth	NY538	91	100.00%	0	0.00%	91
Village of Highland Falls	NY125	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
Town of Coeymans	NY557	81	100.00%	0	0.00%	81
Whitehall Housing Authority	NY073	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
Town of Glenville	NY513	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
Town of Bethlehem	NY427	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	59
Town of Clifton Park	NY416	59	100.00%	0	0.00%	59
Village of Waterford	NY630	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
Town of Niskayuna	NY430	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Town of Waterford	NY503	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45
Town of Schodack	NY431	43	100.00%	0	0.00%	43
Town of Hadley	NY421	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33
Town of Nassau	NY564	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Town of Wilton	NY424	31	100.00%	0	0.00%	31
Town of Corinth	NY519	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
Town of Knox	NY447	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Dolgeville Housing Authority	NY417	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Town of Duanesburg	NY428	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	15



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-600 New York City CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
New York City Housing Authority	NY005	99037	99.12%	878	0.88%	99915
NYC Dept of Housing Preservation and Dev	NY110	34823	100.00%	0	0.00%	34823
NYS Housing Finance Agency Starrett City	NY913	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
NYS Housing Finance Agency	NY912	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NY-601 Poughkeepsie/Dutchess County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
City of Poughkeepsie	NY137	785	100.00%	0	0.00%	785
The City of Beacon Housing Authority	NY049	309	45.24%	374	54.76%	683
Poughkeepsie Housing Authority	NY062	147	38.58%	234	61.42%	381
Town of Poughkeepsie Sect 8 Hsg	NY568	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112

#### NY-602 Newburgh, Middletown/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Newburgh	NY051	431	68.41%	199	31.59%	630
Port Jervis CDA	NY134	327	100.00%	0	0.00%	327
Village of Kiryas Joel HA	NY158	322	100.00%	0	0.00%	322
Port Jervis Housing Authority	NY099	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Islip Housing Authority	NY077	1044	77.33%	306	22.67%	<b>1350</b>
Town of Babylon	NY130	881	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>881</b>
Town of Brookhaven, Dept. of Housing & Human	NY149	797	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>797</b>
Village of Hempstead HA	NY085	475	71.43%	190	28.57%	<b>665</b>
Town of Huntington Housing Authority	NY035	573	88.29%	76	11.71%	<b>649</b>
Housing Authority of Long Beach	NY050	389	74.24%	135	25.76%	524
Freeport Housing Authority	NY023	211	40.73%	307	59.27%	518
Town of Hempstead Dept. of Urban Renewal	NY892	423	100.00%	0	0.00%	423
Glen Cove Public Housing Authority	NY069	0	0.00%	352	100.00%	352
Glen Cove CDA	NY121	340	100.00%	0	0.00%	340
North Hempstead Housing Authority	NY086	225	66.57%	113	33.43%	338
Town of Southampton	NY141	321	100.00%	0	0.00%	321
Village of Rockville Centre	NY159	303	100.00%	0	0.00%	303
Town of Hempstead Housing Authority	NY046	0	0.00%	293	100.00%	293
North Fork Hsg Alliance Inc	NY152	285	100.00%	0	0.00%	285
Riverhead Housing Development Corporation	NY127	196	100.00%	0	0.00%	196
Town of East Hampton	NY154	189	100.00%	0	0.00%	189
Village of Patchogue CDA	NY128	173	100.00%	0	0.00%	173
Town of Oyster Bay Housing Authority	NY055	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	146
Rockville Centre HA	NY100	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Town of Smithtown	NY155	111	100.00%	0	0.00%	111
Village of Greenport Housing Authority	NY146	87	100.00%	0	0.00%	87



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-603 Nassau, Suffolk Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Village of Great Neck Housing Authority	NY144	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Village of Island Park HA	NY120	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
Village of Farmingdale HA	NY151	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Village of Sea Cliff	NY147	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Mercy Haven Inc.	NY888	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Transitional Services of NY for Long Island,	NY893	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Family and Children's Association	NY894	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Options for Community Living	NY891	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NY-604 Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Municipal Hsng Authority City Yonkers	NY003	3249	1.82%	175470	98.18%	<b>178719</b>
Tuckahoe Housing Authority	NY008	0	0.00%	1827	100.00%	<b>1827</b>
City of New Rochelle Housing Authority	NY113	1165	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1165</b>
North Tarrytown Housing Authority	NY026	0	0.00%	1018	100.00%	<b>1018</b>
City of Peekskill	NY123	726	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>726</b>
White Plains Housing Authority	NY042	400	61.73%	248	38.27%	648
Town of Mamaroneck HA	NY117	647	100.00%	0	0.00%	647
New Rochelle Housing Authority	NY088	345	68.32%	160	31.68%	505
Village of Ossining Section 8 Program	NY094	254	59.91%	170	40.09%	424
Greenburgh Housing Authority	NY057	303	75.19%	100	24.81%	403



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-604 Yonkers, Mount Vernon/Westchester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mount Kisco Housing Authority	NY038	0	0.00%	386	100.00%	386
Tarrytown Municipal Housing Authority	NY013	0	0.00%	337	100.00%	337
Town of Eastchester	NY111	255	100.00%	0	0.00%	255
Tuckahoe HA, Village of	NY165	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Port Chester Housing Authority	NY014	0	0.00%	160	100.00%	160
Town of Yorktown	NY132	152	100.00%	0	0.00%	152
Village of Mount Kisco	NY176	109	100.00%	0	0.00%	109
Village of Port Chester	NY118	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Village of Pelham HA	NY116	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Village of Mamaroneck HA	NY101	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Peekskill Housing Authority	NY082	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
White Plains Housing Authority/Section 8	NY115	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NY-606 Rockland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Town of Ramapo Housing Authority	NY084	642	69.56%	281	30.44%	<b>923</b>
Village of Spring Valley HA	NY148	815	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>815</b>
Village of New Square PHA	NY138	670	89.93%	75	10.07%	<b>745</b>
Village of Nyack HA	NY114	236	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>236</b>
Village of Spring Valley Housing Authority	NY056	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	<b>115</b>
Village of Kaser	NY160	92	100.00%	0	0.00%	92



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### New York

#### NY-607 Sullivan County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Monticello Housing Authority	NY071	554	60.61%	360	39.39%	<b>914</b>
Woodridge Housing Authority	NY064	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	<b>102</b>

#### NY-608 Kingston/Ulster County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Kingston Housing Authority	NY045	359	21.52%	1309	78.48%	<b>1668</b>
Town of Saugerties P H A	NY529	180	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>180</b>
Ellenville Housing Authority	NY103	144	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>144</b>
Kingston CDA	NY139	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-500 Winston-Salem/Forsyth County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Winston-Salem	NC012	4433	70.31%	1872	29.69%	<b>6305</b>
Piedmont Triad Regional Council	NC166	842	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>842</b>

#### NC-501 Asheville/Buncombe County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Asheville	NC007	1612	90.26%	174	9.74%	<b>1786</b>

#### NC-502 Durham City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Durham	NC013	2758	79.09%	729	20.91%	<b>3487</b>

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Eastern Carolina Regional Housing Authority	NC010	0	0.00%	2400	100.00%	<b>2400</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lumberton	NC014	596	32.73%	1225	67.27%	<b>1821</b>
Economic Improvement Council, Inc.	NC145	1595	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1595</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Concord	NC008	541	34.11%	1045	65.89%	<b>1586</b>
Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	NC161	1393	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1393</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Kinston	NC004	803	58.10%	579	41.90%	1382





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Laurinburg	NC018	530	41.34%	752	58.66%	1282
The Graham Housing Authority	NC059	1005	82.72%	210	17.28%	1215
Housing Authority of the City of New Bern	NC005	0	0.00%	1132	100.00%	1132
Western Piedmont Council of Governments	NC159	1074	100.00%	0	0.00%	1074
Housing Authority of the City of Greenville	NC022	746	71.32%	300	28.68%	1046
Rocky Mount Housing Authority	NC019	266	26.23%	748	73.77%	1014
Mountain Projects, Inc.	NC152	1008	100.00%	0	0.00%	1008
Housing Authority of the City of Wilson	NC020	661	65.71%	345	34.29%	1006
Statesville Housing Authority	NC072	704	71.40%	282	28.60%	986
Asheboro Housing Authority	NC081	783	81.73%	175	18.27%	958
Roanoke-Chowan Regional Housing Authority	NC118	882	95.04%	46	4.96%	928
Sanford Housing Authority	NC035	741	80.19%	183	19.81%	924
Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	NC151	880	100.00%	0	0.00%	880
City of Hickory Public Housing Authority	NC056	449	52.89%	400	47.11%	849
Housing Authority of the City of Goldsboro	NC015	237	30.31%	545	69.69%	782
Lexington Housing Authority	NC039	521	71.76%	205	28.24%	726
Rowan County Housing Authority	NC102	668	97.09%	20	2.91%	688
Monroe Housing Authority	NC065	318	46.29%	369	53.71%	687
Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	NC144	664	100.00%	0	0.00%	664
Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	NC140	646	100.00%	0	0.00%	646
Johnston County Housing Assistance Payments Program	NC164	619	100.00%	0	0.00%	619
Thomasville Housing Authority	NC071	100	16.89%	492	83.11%	592



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mid-East Regional Housing Authority	NC087	537	91.48%	50	8.52%	587
Nash-Edgecombe Economic Development, Inc.	NC137	585	100.00%	0	0.00%	585
Franklin-Vance-Warren Opportunity, Inc.	NC155	579	100.00%	0	0.00%	579
Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	NC149	567	100.00%	0	0.00%	567
City of Albemarle Department of Public Housing	NC075	333	65.68%	174	34.32%	507
Redevelopment Commission of the Town of Tarboro	NC017	0	0.00%	499	100.00%	499
Choanoke Area Development Association, Inc.	NC139	483	100.00%	0	0.00%	483
Chatham County Housing Authority	NC120	470	100.00%	0	0.00%	470
Four Square Community Action, Inc.	NC146	469	100.00%	0	0.00%	469
Columbus County Public Housing Agency	NC138	467	100.00%	0	0.00%	467
Washington Housing Authority	NC032	370	81.50%	84	18.50%	454
Coastal Community Action, Inc.	NC141	444	100.00%	0	0.00%	444
Four County Community Services, Inc.	NC150	437	100.00%	0	0.00%	437
The New Reidsville Housing Authority	NC098	220	53.14%	194	46.86%	414
Bladenboro Housing Authority	NC089	232	58.73%	163	41.27%	395
Rockingham Housing Authority	NC025	62	15.82%	330	84.18%	392
Madison County Housing Authority	NC175	191	49.48%	195	50.52%	386
Hertford Housing Authority	NC031	0	0.00%	383	100.00%	383
Roanoke Rapids Housing Authority	NC117	0	0.00%	378	100.00%	378
Elizabeth City Housing Authority	NC026	0	0.00%	377	100.00%	377
Williamston Housing Authority	NC077	157	45.24%	190	54.76%	347
Hot Springs Housing Authority	NC045	0	0.00%	336	100.00%	336



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Valdese Housing Authority	NC055	0	0.00%	311	100.00%	311
Ayden Housing Authority	NC082	0	0.00%	290	100.00%	290
Greene County Public Housing Agency	NC163	286	100.00%	0	0.00%	286
Whiteville Housing Authority	NC037	0	0.00%	268	100.00%	268
Caswell County Housing Assistance Program	NC160	267	100.00%	0	0.00%	267
Maxton Housing Authority	NC048	0	0.00%	250	100.00%	250
The New Randleman Housing Authority	NC063	0	0.00%	249	100.00%	249
Pembroke Housing Authority	NC114	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	247
Mount Olive Housing Authority	NC105	0	0.00%	243	100.00%	243
Town of East Spencer Housing Authority	NC134	239	100.00%	0	0.00%	239
Southern Pines Housing Authority	NC052	0	0.00%	230	100.00%	230
Mooresville Housing Authority	NC024	0	0.00%	225	100.00%	225
Macon Program For Progress	NC165	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	224
The New Edenton Housing Authority	NC068	0	0.00%	203	100.00%	203
Marshall Housing Authority	NC080	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Lenoir Housing Authority	NC074	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Ahoskie Housing Authority	NC085	0	0.00%	197	100.00%	197
Housing Authority of the City of Salisbury	NC016	0	0.00%	193	100.00%	193
Wadesboro Housing Authority	NC050	131	72.38%	50	27.62%	181
Morganton Housing Authority	NC049	0	0.00%	175	100.00%	175
Hendersonville Housing Authority	NC027	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Mars Hill Housing Authority	NC058	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Oxford Housing Authority	NC073	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	158
Elizabethtown Housing Authority	NC093	0	0.00%	151	100.00%	151
Farmville Housing Authority	NC076	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Plymouth Housing Authority	NC078	0	0.00%	143	100.00%	143
Madison Housing Authority	NC054	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121
Housing Authority of the Town of Mount Airy	NC023	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Andrews Housing Authority	NC051	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	101
Robersonville Housing Authority	NC067	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the Town of Beaufort	NC061	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Roxboro Housing Authority	NC060	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Robeson County Housing Authority	NC084	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Forest City Housing Authority	NC095	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Burlington Housing Authority	NC066	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Programs of the Town of Murphy	NC030	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Star Housing Authority	NC029	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Smithfield Housing Authority	NC040	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Waynesville Housing Authority	NC062	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Princeville Housing Authority	NC169	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Mount Gilead Housing Authority	NC044	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Troy Housing Authority	NC043	25	45.45%	30	54.55%	55
Selma Housing Authority	NC036	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Brevard Housing Authority	NC090	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-503 North Carolina Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hamlet Housing Authority	NC053	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Dunn Housing Authority	NC079	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Vance County Housing Authority	NC174	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Benson Housing Authority	NC028	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Clarkton Housing Authority	NC092	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Fairmont Housing Authority	NC047	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Harnett County Housing	NC158	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### NC-504 Greensboro, High Point CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Greensboro	NC011	3022	67.83%	1433	32.17%	4455
Housing Authority of the City of High Point	NC006	1434	48.36%	1531	51.64%	2965

#### NC-505 Charlotte/Mecklenburg County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Charlotte	NC003	4998	87.53%	712	12.47%	5710



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-506 Wilmington/Brunswick, New Hanover, Pender Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wilmington	NC001	1958	59.93%	1309	40.07%	<b>3267</b>
Brunswick County Public Housing Agency	NC147	472	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>472</b>
Pender County Housing Department	NC173	217	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>217</b>

#### NC-507 Raleigh/Wake County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Raleigh	NC002	3869	53.24%	3398	46.76%	<b>7267</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Wake	NC021	422	37.15%	714	62.85%	<b>1136</b>
North Carolina Commission of Indian Affairs	NC901	936	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>936</b>

#### NC-509 Gastonia/Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Gastonia Housing Authority	NC057	1255	96.39%	47	3.61%	<b>1302</b>
Lincolnton Housing Authority	NC070	275	51.40%	260	48.60%	<b>535</b>
City of Shelby, Department of Housing	NC034	0	0.00%	446	100.00%	<b>446</b>
Kings Mountain Housing Authority	NC064	0	0.00%	206	100.00%	<b>206</b>
City of Mount Holly, Dept. of Housing	NC119	0	0.00%	83	100.00%	<b>83</b>
Belmont Housing Authority	NC088	0	0.00%	76	100.00%	76



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Carolina

#### NC-511 Fayetteville/Cumberland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fayetteville Metropolitan Housing Authority	NC009	1913	72.38%	730	27.62%	<b>2643</b>

#### NC-513 Chapel Hill/Orange County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Orange County Housing Authority	NC104	623	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>623</b>
Town of Chapel Hill Department of Housing	NC046	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	<b>50</b>

#### NC-516 Northwest North Carolina CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Northwestern Regional Housing Authority	NC167	1945	97.49%	50	2.51%	<b>1995</b>
North Wilkesboro Housing Authority	NC069	0	0.00%	249	100.00%	<b>249</b>
Spruce Pine Housing Authority	NC033	0	0.00%	172	100.00%	<b>172</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Dakota

#### ND-500 North Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Nelson County Housing Authority	ND058	0	0.00%	2706	100.00%	<b>2706</b>
Grand Forks Housing Authority	ND012	1265	93.63%	86	6.37%	<b>1351</b>
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	ND014	1294	97.00%	40	3.00%	<b>1334</b>
Burleigh County Housing Authority	ND021	1074	95.55%	50	4.45%	<b>1124</b>
Minot Housing Authority	ND017	882	96.92%	28	3.08%	<b>910</b>
Ramsey County Housing Authority	ND013	183	24.05%	578	75.95%	761
Morton County Housing Authority	ND010	648	100.00%	0	0.00%	648
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF STUTSMAN COUNTY, ND	ND011	569	100.00%	0	0.00%	569
Housing Authority of Cass County	ND001	386	75.10%	128	24.90%	514
Stark County Housing Authority	ND031	340	100.00%	0	0.00%	340
Traill County Housing Authority	ND019	39	11.89%	289	88.11%	328
Eddy County Housing Authority	ND016	55	17.41%	261	82.59%	316
Barnes County Housing Authority	ND022	212	100.00%	0	0.00%	212
Pembina County Housing Authority	ND044	178	100.00%	0	0.00%	178
Housing Authority of the City of Williston	ND002	81	54.36%	68	45.64%	149
Richland County Housing Authority	ND035	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Walsh County Housing Authority	ND049	100	86.96%	15	13.04%	115
Rolette County Housing Authority	ND003	64	70.33%	27	29.67%	91
Housing Authority of Foster County	ND028	60	70.59%	25	29.41%	85
Housing Authority of Mountrail County	ND026	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
McHenry/Pierce County Housing Authority	ND055	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57
Housing Authority of the County of Ransom	ND025	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### North Dakota

#### ND-500 North Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Dickey/Sargent Counties	ND052	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
Housing Authority of the City of Cooperstown	ND040	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52
Cavalier County Housing Authority	ND024	50	100.00%	0	0.00%	50
Dunn County Housing Authority	ND037	49	100.00%	0	0.00%	49
Northwest Regional Housing Authority	ND070	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
McIntosh County Housing Authority	ND039	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
LaMoure County Housing Authority	ND038	31	79.49%	8	20.51%	39
McKenzie County Housing Authority	ND036	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33
Emmons County Housing Authority	ND054	4	13.79%	25	86.21%	29
Housing Authority of the County of Wells	ND057	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Towner County Housing Authority	ND009	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Mercer County Housing Authority	ND015	17	100.00%	0	0.00%	17
Benson County Housing Authority	ND030	16	100.00%	0	0.00%	16
North Dakota Housing & Finance Agency	ND901	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-500 Cincinnati/Hamilton County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
CINCINNATI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH004	11376	80.54%	2749	19.46%	14125

#### OH-501 Toledo/Lucas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lucas Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH006	4391	50.39%	4323	49.61%	8714

#### OH-502 Cleveland/Cuyahoga County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH003	14926	73.77%	5307	26.23%	20233
PARMA PUBLIC HOUSING AGENCY	OH073	742	100.00%	0	0.00%	742
Emerald Development and Economic Network	OH882	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
New Avenues to Independence, Inc.	OH880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### OH-503 Columbus/Franklin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Columbus Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH001	13370	91.31%	1272	8.69%	14642



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-504 Youngstown/Mahoning County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Youngstown Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH002	2259	18.30%	10082	81.70%	<b>12341</b>

#### OH-505 Dayton, Kettering/Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
DAYTON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH005	3937	58.92%	2745	41.08%	<b>6682</b>

#### OH-506 Akron/Summit County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Akron Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH007	4975	80.20%	1228	19.80%	<b>6203</b>

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Mansfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH016	1735	40.53%	2546	59.47%	<b>4281</b>
Lorain Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH012	2999	81.45%	683	18.55%	<b>3682</b>
BROWN METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH081	38	1.22%	3073	98.78%	<b>3111</b>
Portsmouth Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH010	616	30.03%	1435	69.97%	<b>2051</b>
Jefferson Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH014	818	41.67%	1145	58.33%	<b>1963</b>
LAKE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH025	1457	75.26%	479	24.74%	1936
Zanesville Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH009	939	51.45%	886	48.55%	1825



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH031	1567	89.75%	179	10.25%	1746
CITY OF MIDDLETOWN	OH065	1662	100.00%	0	0.00%	1662
Springfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH021	1299	78.25%	361	21.75%	1660
Trumbull Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH008	985	59.37%	674	40.63%	1659
ERIE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH028	1047	65.36%	555	34.64%	1602
GREENE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH022	1436	93.49%	100	6.51%	1536
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH043	1030	80.66%	247	19.34%	1277
Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH044	1041	88.07%	141	11.93%	1182
BUTLER METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH015	1111	100.00%	0	0.00%	1111
WAYNE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH036	954	87.93%	131	12.07%	1085
CLERMONT METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH038	916	84.74%	165	15.26%	1081
Fairfield Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH070	979	90.73%	100	9.27%	1079
Athens Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH041	833	77.42%	243	22.58%	1076
Belmont Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH020	275	26.04%	781	73.96%	1056
MIAMI METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH062	985	100.00%	0	0.00%	985
HURON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH030	572	65.22%	305	34.78%	877
Cambridge Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH033	695	85.49%	118	14.51%	813
Ironton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH019	84	10.47%	718	89.53%	802
Chillicothe Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH024	530	66.58%	266	33.42%	796
HANCOCK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH082	789	100.00%	0	0.00%	789
MEDINA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH027	530	70.01%	227	29.99%	757
Pickaway Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH059	650	87.01%	97	12.99%	747



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pike Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH060	525	75.00%	175	25.00%	700
Knox Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH050	674	100.00%	0	0.00%	674
TUSCARAWAS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH063	574	90.54%	60	9.46%	634
COLUMBIANA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH026	487	85.14%	85	14.86%	572
Marion Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH076	549	100.00%	0	0.00%	549
ASHTABULA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH029	489	100.00%	0	0.00%	489
Hocking Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH032	306	62.83%	181	37.17%	487
City of Marietta	OH077	487	100.00%	0	0.00%	487
Coshocton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH037	253	53.60%	219	46.40%	472
Delaware Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH079	441	95.87%	19	4.13%	460
WARREN METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH049	448	100.00%	0	0.00%	448
SANDUSKY METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH054	334	75.57%	108	24.43%	442
Perry County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH034	218	49.32%	224	50.68%	442
ADAMS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH046	288	66.67%	144	33.33%	432
Gallia Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH047	184	46.94%	208	53.06%	392
London Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH023	0	0.00%	387	100.00%	387
Logan County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH072	296	83.15%	60	16.85%	356
Shelby Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH061	219	63.29%	127	36.71%	346
CLINTON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH053	293	85.92%	48	14.08%	341
CRAWFORD METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH039	324	100.00%	0	0.00%	324
DARKE COUNTY METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH045	314	100.00%	0	0.00%	314
Fayette Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH056	297	100.00%	0	0.00%	297



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Ohio

#### OH-507 Ohio Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HARRISON METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH067	265	90.44%	28	9.56%	293
Jackson County Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH040	208	74.55%	71	25.45%	279
GEAUGA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH042	171	63.10%	100	36.90%	271
Henry Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH071	228	100.00%	0	0.00%	228
SENECA METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH075	203	100.00%	0	0.00%	203
Vinton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH078	196	100.00%	0	0.00%	196
Morgan Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH066	140	73.68%	50	26.32%	190
Noble Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH069	92	48.94%	96	51.06%	188
Monroe Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH058	179	100.00%	0	0.00%	179
Williams Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH074	161	100.00%	0	0.00%	161
Meigs Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH035	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
Bowling Green Housing Agency	OH085	119	100.00%	0	0.00%	119
Morrow Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH083	102	100.00%	0	0.00%	102
HIGHLAND METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH086	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
PREBLE METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH080	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52
Fulton Metropolitan Housing Authority	OH084	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### OH-508 Canton, Massillon, Alliance/Stark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
STARK METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHORITY	OH018	1577	86.27%	251	13.73%	<b>1828</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-500 North Central Oklahoma CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Stillwater	OK146	656	96.33%	25	3.67%	<b>681</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Ponca City	OK111	134	87.01%	20	12.99%	<b>154</b>
Housing Authority of Osage County	OK123	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	<b>121</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bristow	OK033	87	74.36%	30	25.64%	<b>117</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Oilton	OK022	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	<b>46</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Drumright	OK010	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Cushing	OK132	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the City of Newkirk	OK056	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Yale	OK120	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the City of Pawnee	OK060	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16

#### OK-501 Tulsa City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	OK073	4829	98.98%	50	1.02%	<b>4879</b>

#### OK-502 Oklahoma City CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
OKLAHOMA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY	OK901	10739	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>10739</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City	OK002	4287	99.17%	36	0.83%	<b>4323</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-503 Oklahoma Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Shawnee	OK095	497	84.67%	90	15.33%	<b>587</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Seminole	OK032	189	54.31%	159	45.69%	<b>348</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Watonga	OK023	0	0.00%	275	100.00%	<b>275</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Wewoka	OK096	154	87.50%	22	12.50%	<b>176</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Tecumseh	OK148	31	34.07%	60	65.93%	<b>91</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Prague	OK011	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the City of Konawa	OK105	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Guthrie	OK055	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Del City	OK150	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Geary	OK057	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of Waynoka	OK103	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of the City of Maud	OK083	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Seiling	OK064	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Housing Authority of the City of Langston	OK106	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Stroud	OK050	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12

#### OK-504 Norman/Cleveland County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Norman	OK139	1185	95.95%	50	4.05%	<b>1235</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-505 Northeast Oklahoma CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Smi	AR003	1527	62.87%	902	37.13%	<b>2429</b>
Crawford Co. Public Facilities Board	AR194	432	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>432</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Miami,Oklaho	OK027	243	91.01%	24	8.99%	<b>267</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Alma	AR096	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	<b>248</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Stilwell	OK067	29	56.86%	22	43.14%	<b>51</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Picher	OK012	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Afton	OK119	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Commerce	OK063	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of the City of Van Buren	AR029	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Mountain View	OK154	0	0.00%	546	100.00%	<b>546</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Granite	OK092	0	0.00%	442	100.00%	<b>442</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Cheyenne	OK097	0	0.00%	400	100.00%	<b>400</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	OK005	92	39.66%	140	60.34%	<b>232</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Roosevelt	OK042	0	0.00%	226	100.00%	<b>226</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Cache	OK026	0	0.00%	225	100.00%	225
Housing Authority of the City of Comanche	OK003	0	0.00%	200	100.00%	200
Housing Authority of the Town of Mountain Park	OK108	0	0.00%	177	100.00%	177
Housing Authority of the Town of Indianoma	OK053	0	0.00%	159	100.00%	159



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Anadarko	OK008	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Housing Authority of the City of Pauls Valley	OK149	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of the City of Snyder	OK018	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Housing Authority of the Town of Hydro	OK046	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of the Town of Tipton	OK116	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the Town of Lone Wolf	OK147	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of the Town of Ryan	OK085	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Housing Authority of the City of Walters	OK017	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of the Town of Sterling	OK037	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Housing Authority of the City of Minco	OK084	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Housing Authority of the City of Sayre	OK040	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of the Town of Tuttle	OK071	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Waurika	OK079	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of the Town of Mangum	OK039	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Housing Authority of the City of Wynnewood	OK065	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Stratford	OK086	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Apache	OK034	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of the Town of Temple	OK016	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the Caddo Electric Cooperativ	OK134	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Elk City	OK015	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Hobart	OK089	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the Town of Cyril	OK036	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-506 Southwest Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the Town of Fort Cobb	OK113	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the City of Grandfield	OK021	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of the Town of Cement	OK035	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Terral	OK070	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of the Town of Ringling	OK041	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8

#### OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Hartshorne	OK072	0	0.00%	2505	100.00%	<b>2505</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Muskogee	OK099	868	97.97%	18	2.03%	<b>886</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Idabel	OK004	0	0.00%	297	100.00%	<b>297</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Keota	OK121	0	0.00%	282	100.00%	<b>282</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Valliant	OK061	0	0.00%	274	100.00%	<b>274</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Henryetta	OK142	115	46.00%	135	54.00%	250
Housing Authority of the City of Broken Bow	OK006	217	88.57%	28	11.43%	245
Housing Authority of the City of Hugo	OK044	178	91.75%	16	8.25%	194
Housing Authority of the City of Ada	OK024	110	56.70%	84	43.30%	194
Housing Authority of the Choctaw Electric Cooperat	OK137	0	0.00%	173	100.00%	173
Polk County Housing Authority	AR117	125	77.64%	36	22.36%	161
Housing Authority of the City of Stigler	OK013	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of the City of Atoka	OK124	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-507 Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wetumka	OK031	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Housing Authority of the City of McAlester	OK062	73	68.22%	34	31.78%	107
Housing Authority of the City of Krebs	OK078	0	0.00%	95	100.00%	95
Housing Authority of the Cookson Hills Electric Co	OK136	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of the Town of Tishomingo	OK066	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the City of Heavener	OK007	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of the Town of Talihina	OK088	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Housing Authority of the Town of Fort Gibson	OK118	44	68.75%	20	31.25%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Madill	OK030	0	0.00%	59	100.00%	59
Housing Authority of the City of Greenwood	AR171	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of the City of Wilburton	OK029	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Holdenville	OK048	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the Kiamichi Electric Coop	OK131	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Coalgate	OK020	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the Town of Antlers	OK025	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Weleetka	OK028	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Kingston	OK076	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the Town of Wister	OK087	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of the City of Haileyville	OK068	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Boswell	OK101	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Housing Authority of the City of Beggs	OK075	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the Town of Clayton	OK069	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oklahoma

#### OK-507      Southeastern Oklahoma Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Boley	OK052	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	8



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-500 Eugene, Springfield/Lane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority & Comm Svcs of Lane Co	OR006	3028	96.25%	118	3.75%	3146

#### OR-501 Portland, Gresham/Multnomah County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Portland	OR002	8964	98.30%	155	1.70%	9119

#### OR-502 Medford, Ashland/Jackson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Jackson County	OR015	2057	100.00%	0	0.00%	2057

#### OR-503 Central Oregon CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Central Oregon Regional Housing Authority	OR034	1189	21.82%	4261	78.18%	5450

#### OR-505 Oregon Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Salem	OR011	2978	99.87%	4	0.13%	2982
Linn-Benton Housing Authority	OR019	2486	97.95%	52	2.05%	2538



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-505 Oregon Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Yamhill County	OR016	1343	95.79%	59	4.21%	<b>1402</b>
Northwest Oregon Housing Authority	OR028	1107	89.56%	129	10.44%	<b>1236</b>
Housing Authority of Lincoln County	OR005	497	41.28%	707	58.72%	<b>1204</b>
Marion County Housing Authority	OR014	1182	100.00%	0	0.00%	1182
Coos-Curry Housing Authority	OR020	763	75.84%	243	24.16%	1006
Housing Authority of Douglas County	OR003	746	86.14%	120	13.86%	866
Klamath Housing Authority	OR017	815	100.00%	0	0.00%	815
Housing and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk County	OR008	703	86.68%	108	13.32%	811
Josephine Housing Community Development Council	OR031	794	100.00%	0	0.00%	794
Northeast Oregon Housing Authority	OR032	729	100.00%	0	0.00%	729
Housing Authority of the County of Umatilla	OR007	329	46.60%	377	53.40%	706
CRESCENT CITY HSG AUTH	CA061	590	100.00%	0	0.00%	590
Mid-Columbia Housing Authority	OR026	551	100.00%	0	0.00%	551
Housing Authority of Malheur County	OR027	374	100.00%	0	0.00%	374
North Bend Housing Authority	OR009	0	0.00%	307	100.00%	307
Columbia Gorge Housing Authority	WA013	271	100.00%	0	0.00%	271

#### OR-506 Hillsboro, Beaverton/Washington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Washington County	OR022	2780	98.58%	40	1.42%	<b>2820</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Oregon

#### OR-507      Clackamas County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Clackamas County	OR001	1555	42.53%	2101	57.47%	3656





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-500 Philadelphia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Philadelphia Housing Authority	PA002	19433	93.75%	1295	6.25%	20728
1260 Housing Development Corporation	PA889	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### PA-501 Harrisburg/Dauphin County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrisburg Housing Authority	PA008	1345	45.41%	1617	54.59%	2962
Housing Authority of the County of Dauphin	PA035	1072	65.53%	564	34.47%	1636

#### PA-502 Upper Darby, Chester, Haverford/Delaware County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chester Housing Authority	PA007	1566	47.57%	1726	52.43%	3292
Housing Authority County of Delaware	PA023	2814	88.43%	368	11.57%	3182

#### PA-503 Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Luzerne	PA057	1115	87.93%	153	12.07%	1268
Wilkes Barre Housing Authority	PA047	876	84.72%	158	15.28%	1034
The Housing Authority of the City of Hazleton	PA044	347	54.99%	284	45.01%	631
Housing Authority of the City of Pittston	PA042	147	25.97%	419	74.03%	566



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-503 Wilkes-Barre, Hazleton, Luzerne County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Auth of the City of Nanticoke	PA043	51	14.57%	299	85.43%	350

#### PA-504 Lower Merion, Norristown, Abington/Montgomery County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Montgomery County Housing Authority	PA012	2822	60.31%	1857	39.69%	4679

#### PA-505 Chester County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Chester	PA046	1838	68.10%	861	31.90%	2699

#### PA-506 Reading/Berks County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Reading Housing Authority	PA009	604	57.20%	452	42.80%	1056
Housing Authority of the County of Berks	PA071	682	91.30%	65	8.70%	747

#### PA-508 Scranton/Lackawanna County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Scranton Housing Authority	PA003	1016	47.32%	1131	52.68%	2147



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-508 Scranton/Lackawanna County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lackawanna County Housing Authority	PA038	886	62.75%	526	37.25%	<b>1412</b>
The Housing Auth of the City of Carbondale	PA030	171	24.19%	536	75.81%	<b>707</b>

#### PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Snyder County Housing Authority	PA092	292	10.08%	2606	89.92%	<b>2898</b>
Allentown Housing Authority	PA004	1499	64.11%	839	35.89%	<b>2338</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Lycoming	PA021	675	38.20%	1092	61.80%	<b>1767</b>
Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA081	1652	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1652</b>
JOHNSTOWN HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA019	935	59.07%	648	40.93%	<b>1583</b>
Schuylkill County Housing Authority	PA016	631	40.97%	909	59.03%	1540
Housing Authority of the City of Pottsville	PA037	371	25.00%	1113	75.00%	1484
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA075	1331	92.62%	106	7.38%	1437
Bethlehem Housing Authority	PA011	497	44.65%	616	55.35%	1113
ALTOONA HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA031	933	89.03%	115	10.97%	1048
Housing Authority of the County of Lebanon	PA052	740	70.68%	307	29.32%	1047
Housing Authority of the County of Franklin	PA034	311	29.99%	726	70.01%	1037
Tioga County Housing Authority	PA050	213	24.74%	648	75.26%	861
Northampton County Housing Authority	PA076	853	100.00%	0	0.00%	853
Easton Housing Authority	PA024	562	73.75%	200	26.25%	762
Housing Auth of the County of Northumberland	PA060	464	61.87%	286	38.13%	750



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA028	475	68.25%	221	31.75%	696
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BLAIR	PA069	470	69.22%	209	30.78%	679
Wayne County Housing Authority	PA078	675	100.00%	0	0.00%	675
Centre County Housing Authority	PA088	624	96.89%	20	3.11%	644
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF HUNTINGDON	PA027	307	50.58%	300	49.42%	607
Adams County Housing Authority	PA091	600	100.00%	0	0.00%	600
Housing Authority of the County of Union	PA082	499	87.70%	70	12.30%	569
The Housing Auth of the County of Mifflin	PA041	220	42.15%	302	57.85%	522
Carbon County Housing Authority	PA067	432	83.72%	84	16.28%	516
The Housing Authority of Montour County	PA032	156	31.01%	347	68.99%	503
Susquehanna Co Housing/Redevelopment Auth	PA074	253	54.76%	209	45.24%	462
Bradford County Housing Authority	PA064	264	59.46%	180	40.54%	444
Columbia County Housing Authority	PA083	413	94.72%	23	5.28%	436
SOMERSET COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA029	91	20.92%	344	79.08%	435
Sunbury Housing Authority	PA053	240	57.83%	175	42.17%	415
The Wyoming Co Housing & Redevelopment Auth	PA073	397	100.00%	0	0.00%	397
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BEDFORD	PA085	307	88.47%	40	11.53%	347
Shamokin Housing Authority	PA055	221	68.63%	101	31.37%	322
The Housing Authority of Clinton County	PA040	0	0.00%	279	100.00%	279
Sullivan County Housing Authority	PA068	36	100.00%	0	0.00%	36
FULTON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA072	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-510 Lancaster City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
The Housing Auth of the City of Lancaster	PA036	1003	66.29%	510	33.71%	1513
Lancaster County Housing Authority	PA090	868	100.00%	0	0.00%	868

#### PA-511 Bristol, Bensalem/Bucks County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Bucks County Housing Authority	PA051	3410	89.43%	403	10.57%	3813

#### PA-512 York City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of York	PA022	1517	69.68%	660	30.32%	2177

#### PA-600 Pittsburgh, McKeesport, Penn Hills/Allegheny County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh	PA001	7146	32.42%	14895	67.58%	22041
ALLEGHENY COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA006	5732	87.75%	800	12.25%	6532
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MCKEESPORT	PA005	545	15.11%	3061	84.89%	3606



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-601 Western Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
WESTMORELAND COUNTY HSG AUTHORITY	PA018	1804	54.42%	1511	45.58%	<b>3315</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BUTLER	PA010	1444	49.83%	1454	50.17%	<b>2898</b>
WASHINGTON COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA017	999	35.45%	1819	64.55%	<b>2818</b>
FAYETTE COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA015	1082	63.99%	609	36.01%	<b>1691</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN	PA056	128	8.65%	1352	91.35%	<b>1480</b>
MERCER COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA020	383	45.01%	468	54.99%	851
CONNELLSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA025	0	0.00%	843	100.00%	843
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF MCKEAN	PA080	468	61.82%	289	38.18%	757
Housing Authority of the County of Armstrong	PA039	264	36.62%	457	63.38%	721
HOUSING AUTH CO OF LAWRENCE	PA026	392	55.52%	314	44.48%	706
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF DUBOIS	PA063	192	32.43%	400	67.57%	592
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF INDIANA COUNTY	PA048	569	100.00%	0	0.00%	569
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF CLARION	PA086	361	64.93%	195	35.07%	556
COUNTY OF POTTER HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA077	295	55.77%	234	44.23%	529
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF MEADVILLE	PA033	155	29.75%	366	70.25%	521
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF WARREN	PA079	65	13.51%	416	86.49%	481
BRADFORD CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA049	0	0.00%	458	100.00%	458
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	PA061	263	60.18%	174	39.82%	437
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF VENANGO	PA089	394	90.78%	40	9.22%	434
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ELK	PA054	221	52.25%	202	47.75%	423
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF OIL CITY	PA059	167	45.50%	200	54.50%	367
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF GREENE	PA045	30	8.93%	306	91.07%	336



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Pennsylvania

#### PA-601 Western Pennsylvania CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
TITUSVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA058	126	45.99%	148	54.01%	274
CLEARFIELD COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY	PA065	122	100.00%	0	0.00%	122

#### PA-603 Beaver County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF BEAVER	PA014	746	36.00%	1326	64.00%	2072

#### PA-605 Erie City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF ERIE	PA013	1134	38.12%	1841	61.88%	2975
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF ERIE	PA087	895	97.81%	20	2.19%	915
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CORRY	PA066	159	50.96%	153	49.04%	312



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-502 Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
PUERTO RICO DEPT OF HOUSING	RQ901	9426	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>9426</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN JUAN	RQ006	4664	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4664</b>
PUERTO RICO HOUSING FINANCE CORP	RQ911	2238	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2238</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF BAYAMON	RQ011	2213	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2213</b>
PUERTO RICO PUBLIC HOUSING ADMINISTRATION	RQ005	0	0.00%	1407	100.00%	<b>1407</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF ARECIBO	RQ020	928	100.00%	0	0.00%	928
MUNICIPALITY OF CAROLINA	RQ014	805	100.00%	0	0.00%	805
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYNABO	RQ016	383	100.00%	0	0.00%	383
MUNICIPALITY OF VEGA BAJA	RQ032	277	100.00%	0	0.00%	277
MUNICIPALITY OF TOA BAJA	RQ022	244	100.00%	0	0.00%	244
Municipality of Utuado	RQ033	197	100.00%	0	0.00%	197
MUNICIPALITY OF TOA ALTA	RQ053	181	100.00%	0	0.00%	181
Municipality of Barranquitas	RQ060	180	100.00%	0	0.00%	180
MUNICIPALITY OF CAMUY	RQ040	156	100.00%	0	0.00%	156
MUNICIPALITY OF COROZAL HOUSING AUTHORITY	RQ023	139	100.00%	0	0.00%	139
MUNICIPALITY OF VEGA ALTA	RQ056	126	100.00%	0	0.00%	126
MUNICIPALITY OF BARCELONETA	RQ054	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
MUNICIPALITY OF NARANJITO	RQ064	123	100.00%	0	0.00%	123
MUNICIPALITY OF COMERIO	RQ034	118	100.00%	0	0.00%	118
MUNICIPALITY OF CIALES	RQ052	74	100.00%	0	0.00%	74
MUNICIPALITY OF LARES	RQ065	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
MUNICIPALITY OF AIBONITO	RQ059	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-502 Puerto Rico Balance of Commonwealth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF MOROVIS	RQ024	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	53
MUNICIPALITY OF OROCOVIS	RQ080	47	100.00%	0	0.00%	47
MUNICIPALITY OF FLORIDA	RQ072	45	100.00%	0	0.00%	45

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ901	1745	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1745</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF PONCE	RQ008	1574	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1574</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF CAGUAS	RQ007	1325	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1325</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF MAYAGUEZ	RQ009	842	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>842</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF TRUJILLO ALTO	RQ013	501	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>501</b>
MUNICIPALITY OF HUMACAO	RQ025	276	100.00%	0	0.00%	276
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUADILLA	RQ012	265	100.00%	0	0.00%	265
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYAMA	RQ017	264	100.00%	0	0.00%	264
MUNICIPALITY OF PENUELAS	RQ019	240	100.00%	0	0.00%	240
MUNICIPALITY OF CAYEY	RQ018	239	100.00%	0	0.00%	239
MUNICIPALITY OF CIDRA	RQ062	190	100.00%	0	0.00%	190
MUNICIPALITY OF MANATI	RQ028	182	100.00%	0	0.00%	182
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUAS BUENAS	RQ082	161	100.00%	0	0.00%	161
MUNICIPALITY OF SABANA GRANDE	RQ048	156	100.00%	0	0.00%	156
MUNICIPALITY OF GUAYANILLA	RQ021	146	100.00%	0	0.00%	146



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF GURABO	RQ041	143	100.00%	0	0.00%	143
The Municipality of Quebradillas	RQ031	141	100.00%	0	0.00%	141
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN SEBASTIAN	RQ026	139	100.00%	0	0.00%	139
VIRGIN ISLANDS HOUSING AUTHORITY	VQ001	0	0.00%	134	100.00%	134
MUNICIPALITY OF LAS PIEDRAS	RQ063	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	125
MUNICIPALITY OF NAGUABO	RQ047	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
MUNICIPALITY OF AGUADA	RQ073	121	100.00%	0	0.00%	121
MUNICIPALITY OF YABUCOA	RQ045	117	100.00%	0	0.00%	117
MUNICIPALITY OF JUANA DIAZ	RQ038	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
MUNICIPALITY OF VIEQUES	RQ074	115	100.00%	0	0.00%	115
Anasco Housing Authority	RQ043	110	100.00%	0	0.00%	110
Municipality of San Lorenzo	RQ037	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
MUNICIPALITY OF CABO ROJO	RQ061	107	100.00%	0	0.00%	107
MUNICIPALITY OF HORMIGUEROS	RQ035	103	100.00%	0	0.00%	103
MUNICIPALITY OF SALINAS	RQ069	97	100.00%	0	0.00%	97
MUNICIPALITY OF YAUCO	RQ083	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
MUNICIPALITY OF ARROYO	RQ068	95	100.00%	0	0.00%	95
MUNICIPALITY OF SAN GERMAN	RQ030	88	100.00%	0	0.00%	88
MUNICIPALITY OF ISABELA	RQ066	86	100.00%	0	0.00%	86
MUNICIPALITY OF VILLALBA	RQ049	84	100.00%	0	0.00%	84
MUNICIPALITY OF PATILLAS	RQ057	83	100.00%	0	0.00%	83
MUNICIPALITY OF FAJARDO	RQ036	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Puerto Rico

#### PR-503 South-Southeast Puerto Rico CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
MUNICIPALITY OF RIO GRANDE	RQ050	78	100.00%	0	0.00%	78
MUNICIPALITY OF HATILLO	RQ039	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
MUNICIPALITY OF SANTA ISABEL	RQ058	70	100.00%	0	0.00%	70
MUNICIPALITY OF LAJAS	RQ071	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
MUNICIPALITY OF LUQUILLO	RQ081	69	100.00%	0	0.00%	69
MUNICIPALITY OF MOCA	RQ010	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
MUNICIPALITY OF COAMO	RQ042	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
MUNICIPALITY OF JUNCOS	RQ077	55	100.00%	0	0.00%	55
MUNICIPALITY OF GUANICA	RQ044	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	54
MUNICIPALITY OF ADJUNTAS	RQ055	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	52
MUNICIPALITY OF CEIBA	RQ070	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	41
MUNICIPALITY OF LAS MARIAS	RQ046	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	40
MUNICIPALITY OF MARICAO	RQ029	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	35
MUNICIPALITY OF RINCON	RQ067	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
MUNICIPALITY OF CANOVANAS	RQ075	34	100.00%	0	0.00%	34
MUNICIPALITY OF LOIZA	RQ027	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	5
MUNICIPALITY OF JAYUYA	RQ076	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	4



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Rhode Island

#### RI-500 Rhode Island Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tiverton Housing Authority	RI027	51	0.09%	54610	99.91%	<b>54661</b>
Housing Authority Providence	RI001	2334	68.35%	1081	31.65%	<b>3415</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Pawtucket	RI002	686	36.14%	1212	63.86%	<b>1898</b>
Rhode Island Housing	RI901	1835	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1835</b>
Central Falls Housing Authority	RI004	553	42.09%	761	57.91%	<b>1314</b>
The Housing Authority of the City of Newport	RI005	507	45.43%	609	54.57%	1116
Woonsocket Housing Authority	RI003	734	69.18%	327	30.82%	1061
Cumberland Housing Authority	RI010	384	42.57%	518	57.43%	902
Cranston Housing Authority	RI006	257	38.13%	417	61.87%	674
East Providence Housing Authority	RI007	445	78.35%	123	21.65%	568
Coventry Housing Authority	RI016	278	65.57%	146	34.43%	424
North Providence Housing Authority	RI017	168	40.00%	252	60.00%	420
Warwick Housing Authority	RI011	349	83.29%	70	16.71%	419
Johnston Housing Authority	RI009	205	53.81%	176	46.19%	381
Westerly Housing Authority	RI008	198	56.09%	155	43.91%	353
Burrillville Housing Authority	RI014	84	25.15%	250	74.85%	334
Lincoln Housing Authority	RI018	124	39.24%	192	60.76%	316
East Greenwich Housing Authority	RI024	292	97.01%	9	2.99%	301
Bristol Housing Authority	RI019	251	83.39%	50	16.61%	301
West Warwick Housing Authority	RI015	97	33.22%	195	66.78%	292
Warren Housing Authority	RI022	224	88.89%	28	11.11%	252
Narragansett Housing Authority	RI026	182	80.18%	45	19.82%	227



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Rhode Island

#### RI-500 Rhode Island Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
South Kingstown Housing Authority	RI012	138	77.53%	40	22.47%	178
Portsmouth Housing Authority	RI013	85	52.80%	76	47.20%	161
Jamestown Housing Authority	RI021	0	0.00%	153	100.00%	153
Smithfield Housing Authority	RI020	53	60.23%	35	39.77%	88
Gateway Healthcare, Inc.	RI029	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Kent County Mental Health Center	RI028	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-500 Charleston/Low Country CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF CHARLESTON	SC001	1528	41.66%	2140	58.34%	<b>3668</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF N CHARLESTON	SC057	2026	97.36%	55	2.64%	<b>2081</b>
CHARLESTON CO HSG & REDEV AUTH	SC056	1079	77.57%	312	22.43%	<b>1391</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BEAUFORT	SC026	562	42.58%	758	57.42%	<b>1320</b>

#### SC-501 Greenville, Anderson, Spartanburg / Upstate CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
THE GREENVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC004	2756	94.25%	168	5.75%	<b>2924</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SPARTANBURG	SC003	1827	75.75%	585	24.25%	<b>2412</b>
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 1	SC008	1653	93.23%	120	6.77%	<b>1773</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GREENWOOD	SC030	975	80.91%	230	19.09%	<b>1205</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ANDERSON	SC037	500	78.13%	140	21.88%	<b>640</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GREER	SC016	280	50.54%	274	49.46%	554
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF EASLEY	SC053	0	0.00%	399	100.00%	399
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF UNION	SC019	274	70.98%	112	29.02%	386
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GAFFNEY	SC017	0	0.00%	299	100.00%	299
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ABBEVILLE	SC012	0	0.00%	154	100.00%	154
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAURENS	SC011	0	0.00%	129	100.00%	129
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF WOODRUFF	SC040	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-502 Columbia/Midlands CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF COLUMBIA	SC002	3444	75.34%	1127	24.66%	<b>4571</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF AIKEN	SC007	875	39.75%	1326	60.25%	<b>2201</b>
SC STATE HOUSING AUTHORITY	SC911	2064	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2064</b>
SC REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY NO 3	SC024	572	68.75%	260	31.25%	<b>832</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ROCK HILL	SC022	500	60.46%	327	39.54%	<b>827</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CHESTER	SC020	269	41.77%	375	58.23%	644
Housing Authority of Lancaster	SC032	247	56.01%	194	43.99%	441
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF FORT MILL	SC036	154	35.57%	279	64.43%	433
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF NEWBERRY	SC035	235	62.33%	142	37.67%	377
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF YORK	SC046	233	87.27%	34	12.73%	267
Housing Authority of the City of Cayce	SC061	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23

#### SC-503 Myrtle Beach, Sumter City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF SUMTER	SC023	925	54.13%	784	45.87%	<b>1709</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF FLORENCE	SC027	772	72.35%	295	27.65%	<b>1067</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MYRTLE BEACH	SC034	750	70.42%	315	29.58%	<b>1065</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CONWAY	SC025	368	55.67%	293	44.33%	<b>661</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF LAKE CITY	SC018	311	52.01%	287	47.99%	<b>598</b>
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MARION	SC021	153	29.31%	369	70.69%	522
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF HARTSVILLE	SC029	210	48.50%	223	51.50%	433



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Carolina

#### SC-503 Myrtle Beach, Sumter City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF DARLINGTON	SC005	93	26.88%	253	73.12%	346
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CHERAW	SC031	180	56.25%	140	43.75%	320
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF GEORGETOWN	SC028	163	61.98%	100	38.02%	263
MARLBORO CO HSG & REDEV AUTHORITY	SC059	253	100.00%	0	0.00%	253
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF BENNETTSVILLE	SC015	64	25.60%	186	74.40%	250
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MCCOLL	SC048	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF KINGSTREE	SC039	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF MULLINS	SC033	60	100.00%	0	0.00%	60
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF ATLANTIC BEACH	SC060	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	41





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Dakota

#### SD-500 South Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Meade County Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD047	245	7.69%	2942	92.31%	<b>3187</b>
Sioux Falls Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD016	1849	98.77%	23	1.23%	<b>1872</b>
Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment Commissi	SD045	1329	94.32%	80	5.68%	<b>1409</b>
Watertown Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD043	315	38.65%	500	61.35%	<b>815</b>
Aberdeen Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD034	503	90.96%	50	9.04%	<b>553</b>
Brookings Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD056	366	100.00%	0	0.00%	366
Lawrence County Housing & Redevelopment Commi	SD048	294	100.00%	0	0.00%	294
Huron Housing Authority	SD036	258	100.00%	0	0.00%	258
Vermillion Housing and Redevelopment Commissi	SD055	258	100.00%	0	0.00%	258
Pierre Housing & Redevelopment Commision	SD035	218	100.00%	0	0.00%	218
Yankton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD058	160	100.00%	0	0.00%	160
Mobridge Housing & Redevlopment Commission	SD057	150	100.00%	0	0.00%	150
City of Mitchell Housing and Redevelopmt Commission	SD014	116	82.27%	25	17.73%	141
Madison Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD011	111	84.73%	20	15.27%	131
City of Lennox Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD010	30	24.19%	94	75.81%	124
Howard Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD013	0	0.00%	113	100.00%	113
Milbank Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD037	64	62.75%	38	37.25%	102
Volga Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD031	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Canton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD039	68	68.00%	32	32.00%	100
Lake Andes Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD018	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Webster Housing and Redevelopment Commision	SD040	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Hot Springs Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD019	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### South Dakota

#### SD-500 South Dakota Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Redfield Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD026	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
Wessington Springs Housing and Redevelopment Commiss	SD021	17	33.33%	34	66.67%	51
Lake Norden Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD024	0	0.00%	43	100.00%	43
Kennebec Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD008	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Martin Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD022	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
De Smet Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD009	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Parker Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD017	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Sisseton Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD020	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	23
Butte County Housing Authority	SD059	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	20
Miller Housing & Redevelopment Commision	SD038	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Murdo Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD023	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Burke Housing and Redevelopment Commission	SD007	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Lemmon Housing & Redevelopment Commission	SD025	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-500 Chattanooga/Southeast Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Chattanooga Housing Authority	TN004	3578	39.86%	5399	60.14%	<b>8977</b>
Franklin County Housing Authority	TN125	0	0.00%	1929	100.00%	<b>1929</b>
South Pittsburg Housing Authority	TN037	0	0.00%	672	100.00%	<b>672</b>
Dayton Housing Authority	TN062	354	59.10%	245	40.90%	<b>599</b>
Cleveland Housing Authority	TN054	208	39.10%	324	60.90%	<b>532</b>
Etowah Housing Authority	TN026	122	34.46%	232	65.54%	354
Southeast Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN117	227	100.00%	0	0.00%	227
Athens Housing Authority	TN015	52	24.76%	158	75.24%	210
Grundy Housing Authority	TN092	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### TN-501 Memphis/Shelby County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Millington Housing Authority	TN045	0	0.00%	296	100.00%	<b>296</b>
Shelby County Housing Authority	TN095	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### TN-502 Knoxville/Knox County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Knoxville's Community Development Corp.	TN003	3818	60.35%	2508	39.65%	<b>6326</b>
East Tennessee Human Resource Agency	TN113	784	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>784</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-503 Central Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pulaski Housing Authority	TN011	0	0.00%	1116	100.00%	<b>1116</b>
Dickson Housing Authority	TN079	694	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>694</b>
Lewisburg Housing Authority	TN032	0	0.00%	580	100.00%	<b>580</b>
Fayetteville Housing Authority	TN014	0	0.00%	441	100.00%	<b>441</b>
Manchester Housing Authority	TN028	0	0.00%	427	100.00%	<b>427</b>
Franklin Housing Authority	TN035	42	10.29%	366	89.71%	408
Hohenwald Housing Authority	TN059	0	0.00%	403	100.00%	403
Tullahoma Housing Authority	TN024	116	35.58%	210	64.42%	326
Mt. Pleasant Housing Authority	TN047	0	0.00%	303	100.00%	303
The Clarksville Housing Authority	TN010	0	0.00%	214	100.00%	214
Springfield Housing Authority	TN036	0	0.00%	202	100.00%	202
Shelbyville Housing Authority	TN039	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Columbia Housing and Redevelopment Corporatio	TN046	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Gallatin Housing Authority	TN029	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Hartsville Housing Authority	TN071	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Lawrenceburg Housing Authority	TN048	0	0.00%	62	100.00%	62
Portland Housing Authority	TN073	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60

#### TN-504 Nashville-Davidson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Metropolitan Development & Housing Agency	TN005	6854	92.83%	529	7.17%	<b>7383</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-504 Nashville-Davidson County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Tennessee Housing Development Agency	TN903	6131	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>6131</b>

#### TN-506 Upper Cumberland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Crossville Housing Authority	TN042	334	62.08%	204	37.92%	<b>538</b>
McMinnville Housing Authority	TN053	0	0.00%	434	100.00%	<b>434</b>
Smithville Housing Authority	TN068	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	<b>298</b>
Livingston Housing Authority	TN056	0	0.00%	265	100.00%	<b>265</b>
Rockwood Housing Authority	TN018	0	0.00%	199	100.00%	<b>199</b>
Oliver Springs Housing Authority	TN078	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Lebanon Housing Authority	TN017	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Highlands Residential Services	TN033	0	0.00%	122	100.00%	122
South Carthage Housing Authority	TN072	0	0.00%	120	100.00%	120
Woodbury Housing Authority	TN077	0	0.00%	114	100.00%	114
Lafayette Housing Authority	TN090	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Sparta Housing Authority	TN044	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Harriman Housing Authority	TN055	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-507 Jackson/West Tennessee CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jackson Housing Authority	TN007	1419	87.86%	196	12.14%	<b>1615</b>
Union City Housing Authority	TN009	0	0.00%	508	100.00%	<b>508</b>
Brownsville Housing Authority	TN013	216	43.90%	276	56.10%	<b>492</b>
Huntingdon Housing Authority	TN052	0	0.00%	423	100.00%	<b>423</b>
Newbern Housing Authority	TN075	0	0.00%	326	100.00%	<b>326</b>
Covington Housing Authority	TN041	0	0.00%	318	100.00%	318
Ripley Housing Authority	TN057	0	0.00%	318	100.00%	318
Paris Housing Authority	TN008	0	0.00%	286	100.00%	286
Lexington Housing Authority	TN040	0	0.00%	244	100.00%	244
Milan Housing Authority	TN031	0	0.00%	220	100.00%	220
Dyersburg Housing Authority	TN021	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Parsons-Decaturville Housing Authority	TN051	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Erin Housing Authority	TN074	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Trenton Housing Authority	TN025	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
Gallaway Housing Authority	TN084	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Savannah Housing Authority	TN049	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Waverly Housing Authority	TN030	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Humboldt Housing Authority	TN027	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Bolivar Housing Authority	TN050	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
McKenzie Housing Authority	TN082	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Martin Housing Authority	TN069	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-509 Appalachian Regional CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Johnson City Housing Authority	TN002	627	15.10%	3525	84.90%	<b>4152</b>
Kingsport Housing and Redevelopment Authority	TN006	1242	60.53%	810	39.47%	<b>2052</b>
Bristol Housing	TN066	200	52.36%	182	47.64%	<b>382</b>
Elizabethton Housing and Development Agency	TN076	215	68.25%	100	31.75%	<b>315</b>
Rogersville Housing Authority	TN043	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	<b>162</b>
Erwin Housing Authority	TN081	0	0.00%	148	100.00%	148
Greeneville Housing Authority	TN058	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116

#### TN-510 Murfreesboro/Rutherford County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Murfreesboro Housing Authority	TN020	659	56.76%	502	43.24%	<b>1161</b>

#### TN-512 Morristown/Blount, Sevier, Campbell, Cocke Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Morristown Housing Authority	TN038	424	50.36%	418	49.64%	<b>842</b>
Maryville Housing Authority	TN065	356	50.14%	354	49.86%	<b>710</b>
LaFollette Housing Authority	TN012	328	74.04%	115	25.96%	<b>443</b>
Loudon Housing Authority	TN064	0	0.00%	400	100.00%	<b>400</b>
Oak Ridge Housing Authority	TN088	295	74.31%	102	25.69%	<b>397</b>
Sweetwater Housing Authority	TN016	0	0.00%	354	100.00%	354



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Tennessee

#### TN-512      Morristown/Blount, Sevier, Campbell, Cocke Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Jefferson City Housing Authority	TN019	0	0.00%	336	100.00%	336
Lenoir City Housing Authority	TN061	0	0.00%	302	100.00%	302
Jellico Housing Authority	TN034	0	0.00%	290	100.00%	290
Clinton Housing Authority	TN022	0	0.00%	270	100.00%	270
Newport Housing Authority	TN060	0	0.00%	138	100.00%	138
Sevierville Housing Authority	TN063	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	121





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-500 San Antonio/Bexar County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
San Antonio Housing Authority	TX006	13495	94.81%	738	5.19%	<b>14233</b>
Bexar County Housing Authority	TX452	1926	96.35%	73	3.65%	<b>1999</b>
Alamo Area Council of Governments	TX564	98	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>98</b>

#### TX-503 Austin/Travis County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Austin Housing Authority	TX001	5749	48.51%	6103	51.49%	<b>11852</b>
Texas Department of Housing & Community Affairs	TX901	1591	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1591</b>
Housing Authority of Travis County	TX480	568	88.20%	76	11.80%	<b>644</b>

#### TX-600 Dallas City & County, Irving CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texa	TX009	19487	95.58%	902	4.42%	<b>20389</b>
Dallas County Housing Assistance Program	TX559	4369	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4369</b>
Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	TX434	2701	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2701</b>
Garland Housing Authority	TX435	1526	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1526</b>
City of Mesquite Housing Office	TX436	1404	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1404</b>
Housing Authority of Plano	TX128	908	97.84%	20	2.16%	928
Housing Authority of McKinney	TX027	355	72.01%	138	27.99%	493
Housing Authority of Farmersville	TX221	0	0.00%	280	100.00%	280



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-600 Dallas City & County, Irving CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Princeton	TX133	0	0.00%	142	100.00%	142
Balch Springs Housing Authority	TX533	42	100.00%	0	0.00%	42
Housing Authority of the City of Frisco	TX144	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
McKinney Housing Authority	TX489	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Lancaster Housing Authority	TX437	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### TX-601 Fort Worth, Arlington/Tarrant County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Fort Worth	TX004	5397	60.70%	3495	39.30%	<b>8892</b>
Arlington Housing Authority	TX433	3758	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3758</b>
Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	TX431	2569	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2569</b>
Weatherford Housing Authority	TX349	518	87.21%	76	12.79%	<b>594</b>
Housing Authority of Grapevine	TX291	83	89.25%	10	10.75%	<b>93</b>
Housing Authority of Haltom City	TX153	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28

#### TX-603 El Paso City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of El Paso, TX	TX003	5515	80.16%	1365	19.84%	<b>6880</b>
Housing Authority of Anthony	TX439	453	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>453</b>
El Paso County Housing Authority	TX432	125	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>125</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-603 El Paso City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
El Paso County Housing Authority	TX538	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20

#### TX-604 Waco/McLennan County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Waco	TX010	2426	70.94%	994	29.06%	3420
Housing Authority of Coolidge	TX228	0	0.00%	333	100.00%	333
Housing Authority of Wortham	TX086	0	0.00%	289	100.00%	289
Mexia Housing Authority	TX354	0	0.00%	150	100.00%	150
Housing Authority of Hubbard	TX405	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Whitney	TX218	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of Teague	TX273	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Housing Authority of Lott	TX252	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Mart	TX333	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Rosebud	TX255	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of Marlin	TX246	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of City of Moody	TX116	0	0.00%	46	100.00%	46
Housing Authority of Meridian	TX276	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Clifton	TX339	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of McGregor	TX102	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Housing Authority of Groesbeck	TX219	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	6
Hill County Housing Authority	TX476	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Corpus Christi Housing Authority	TX008	1352	28.63%	3370	71.37%	<b>4722</b>
Brownsville Housing Authority	TX007	2163	54.09%	1836	45.91%	<b>3999</b>
Central Texas Council of Governments	TX482	3402	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3402</b>
Housing Authority of Port Arthur	TX034	2949	96.97%	92	3.03%	<b>3041</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Galveston	TX017	2109	85.21%	366	14.79%	<b>2475</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Beaumont	TX023	2067	91.50%	192	8.50%	2259
Deep East Texas Council of Governments	TX512	1945	100.00%	0	0.00%	1945
Housing Authority of the City of Abilene	TX327	1550	96.88%	50	3.13%	1600
Denton Housing Authority	TX392	1526	95.73%	68	4.27%	1594
Ark-Tex Council of Governments Housing Authority	TX499	1585	100.00%	0	0.00%	1585
Housing Authority of the City of Laredo	TX011	1383	91.41%	130	8.59%	1513
Housing Authority of Lubbock	TX018	1010	66.80%	502	33.20%	1512
McAllen Housing Authority	TX028	1269	84.88%	226	15.12%	1495
Housing Authority of Odessa	TX455	1219	94.28%	74	5.72%	1293
Housing Authority of the City of Brownwood	TX021	501	44.53%	624	55.47%	1125
Edinburg Housing Authority	TX062	970	86.61%	150	13.39%	1120
Del Rio Housing Authority	TX016	661	59.50%	450	40.50%	1111
Housing Authority of the City of Nacogdoches	TX486	1025	94.47%	60	5.53%	1085
Cameron County Housing Authority	TX509	1006	95.54%	47	4.46%	1053
Hidalgo County Housing Authority	TX497	716	69.11%	320	30.89%	1036
Tyler Housing Authority	TX456	999	100.00%	0	0.00%	999
Housing Authority City of Orange	TX037	868	90.42%	92	9.58%	960



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pharr Housing Authority	TX073	828	86.61%	128	13.39%	956
San Angelo Housing Authority	TX470	816	88.60%	105	11.40%	921
Longview Housing Authority	TX459	871	100.00%	0	0.00%	871
Harlingen Housing Authority	TX065	798	91.72%	72	8.28%	870
Mission Housing Authority	TX046	749	91.01%	74	8.99%	823
Eagle Pass Housing Authority	TX019	485	61.78%	300	38.22%	785
Mercedes Housing Authority	TX029	420	56.30%	326	43.70%	746
Housing Authority of the City of Texas City	TX032	422	57.65%	310	42.35%	732
Housing Authority of Texarkana	TX014	616	85.56%	104	14.44%	720
Kingsville Housing Authority	TX114	649	97.16%	19	2.84%	668
Texoma Council of Governments	TX542	667	100.00%	0	0.00%	667
Weslaco Housing Authority	TX051	484	73.33%	176	26.67%	660
Housing Authority of Marshall	TX457	651	100.00%	0	0.00%	651
The Housing Authority of the City of Gainesvi	TX072	416	63.90%	235	36.10%	651
Alamo Housing Authority	TX064	120	19.54%	494	80.46%	614
Greenville	TX522	609	100.00%	0	0.00%	609
Brazoria County Housing Authority, TX	TX484	586	100.00%	0	0.00%	586
San Benito Housing Authority	TX025	362	64.41%	200	35.59%	562
Donna Housing Authority	TX177	296	54.92%	243	45.08%	539
Beeville Housing Authority	TX152	370	71.15%	150	28.85%	520
Housing Authority of Corsicana	TX033	188	38.37%	302	61.63%	490
South Plains Regional Housing Authority	TX514	484	100.00%	0	0.00%	484



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Bay City	TX035	266	56.60%	204	43.40%	470
Hale County Housing Authority	TX537	380	82.61%	80	17.39%	460
Terrell Housing Authority	TX493	458	100.00%	0	0.00%	458
Midland County Housing Authority	TX534	458	100.00%	0	0.00%	458
Victoria Housing Authority	TX085	347	83.61%	68	16.39%	415
Housing Authority of Sweetwater	TX061	0	0.00%	394	100.00%	394
Anderson County Housing Authority	TX488	393	100.00%	0	0.00%	393
Housing Authority of Waxahachie	TX015	0	0.00%	391	100.00%	391
Marble Falls Housing Authority	TX263	227	58.96%	158	41.04%	385
Housing Authority of Commerce	TX024	175	45.57%	209	54.43%	384
Housing Authority of Paris	TX048	293	76.50%	90	23.50%	383
Housing Authority of Montgomery County	TX560	382	100.00%	0	0.00%	382
Big Spring Housing Authority	TX485	366	100.00%	0	0.00%	366
Housing Authority of Beckville	TX232	331	93.24%	24	6.76%	355
Cleburne Housing Agency	TX495	355	100.00%	0	0.00%	355
Grayson County Housing Authority	TX458	353	100.00%	0	0.00%	353
New Braunfels Housing Authority	TX343	292	90.68%	30	9.32%	322
Seguin Housing Authority	TX303	286	89.38%	34	10.63%	320
Housing Authority of Borger	TX036	0	0.00%	311	100.00%	311
Housing Authority of Walker County	TX461	251	83.39%	50	16.61%	301
Housing Authority of the City of Winters	TX329	0	0.00%	300	100.00%	300
Housing Authority of Ballinger	TX077	0	0.00%	298	100.00%	298



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Wolfe City	TX104	0	0.00%	288	100.00%	288
Crystal City Housing Authority	TX105	215	74.91%	72	25.09%	287
Liberty County Housing Authority	TX505	275	100.00%	0	0.00%	275
Housing Authority of Canyon	TX045	0	0.00%	270	100.00%	270
Housing Authority of Floydada	TX189	140	53.23%	123	46.77%	263
Robstown Housing Authority	TX163	177	67.30%	86	32.70%	263
Housing Authority of Clarendon	TX162	0	0.00%	260	100.00%	260
Alice Housing Authority	TX178	154	63.11%	90	36.89%	244
Uvalde Housing Authority	TX421	217	89.67%	25	10.33%	242
San Marcos Housing Authority	TX087	228	95.80%	10	4.20%	238
Housing Authority of Dublin	TX047	0	0.00%	234	100.00%	234
Gregory Housing Authority	TX302	39	17.18%	188	82.82%	227
Athens Housing Authority	TX519	224	100.00%	0	0.00%	224
Elsa Housing Authority	TX224	132	60.55%	86	39.45%	218
Brackettville Housing Authority	TX239	0	0.00%	218	100.00%	218
Taylor Housing Authority	TX031	142	65.74%	74	34.26%	216
Falfurrias Housing Authority	TX201	182	84.26%	34	15.74%	216
Starr County Housing Authority	TX396	214	100.00%	0	0.00%	214
Yoakum Housing Authority	TX326	0	0.00%	213	100.00%	213
Aransas Pass Housing Authority	TX313	211	100.00%	0	0.00%	211
Jacksonville Housing Authority	TX516	207	100.00%	0	0.00%	207
Housing Authority City of Alpine	TX284	123	60.59%	80	39.41%	203



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
San Juan Housing Authority	TX447	151	75.12%	50	24.88%	201
Housing Authority of Denison	TX026	0	0.00%	201	100.00%	201
Port Isabel Housing Authority	TX173	117	58.21%	84	41.79%	201
Los Fresnos Housing Authority	TX206	102	51.52%	96	48.48%	198
Housing Authority of Wellington	TX151	0	0.00%	194	100.00%	194
Housing Authority of Haskell	TX053	0	0.00%	190	100.00%	190
La Joya Housing Authority	TX448	128	69.57%	56	30.43%	184
Housing Authority of the City of Mineola	TX060	0	0.00%	182	100.00%	182
Schertz Housing Authority	TX350	153	84.53%	28	15.47%	181
Housing Authority of the City of Brenham	TX330	101	55.80%	80	44.20%	181
Housing Authority of Detroit	TX250	0	0.00%	180	100.00%	180
Rusk Housing Authority	TX372	175	100.00%	0	0.00%	175
Carrizo Springs Housing Authority	TX300	149	86.13%	24	13.87%	173
Housing Authority of Ferris	TX342	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Housing Authority of the City of Pearsall	TX332	118	69.41%	52	30.59%	170
Floresville Housing Authority	TX193	87	52.10%	80	47.90%	167
Housing Authority of the City of Killeen	TX079	84	50.60%	82	49.40%	166
La Grange Housing Authority	TX381	91	55.83%	72	44.17%	163
Fort Stockton Housing Authority	TX500	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	162
Port Lavaca Housing Authority	TX395	83	51.55%	78	48.45%	161
Devine Housing Authority	TX210	50	31.65%	108	68.35%	158
Edna Housing Authority	TX096	132	84.08%	25	15.92%	157





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Palacios	TX378	57	36.31%	100	63.69%	157
Housing Authority of Mabank	TX212	0	0.00%	156	100.00%	156
Housing Authority of Baird	TX197	85	54.84%	70	45.16%	155
Housing Authority of Cisco	TX042	68	44.16%	86	55.84%	154
Pleasanton Housing Authority	TX208	108	70.13%	46	29.87%	154
Cotulla Housing Authority	TX335	47	31.33%	103	68.67%	150
Duval County Housing Authority	TX376	117	80.14%	29	19.86%	146
Housing Authority of Sherman	TX078	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Stockdale Housing Authority	TX243	0	0.00%	145	100.00%	145
Sinton Housing Authority	TX174	109	76.76%	33	23.24%	142
Mathis Housing Authority	TX164	92	64.79%	50	35.21%	142
Housing Authority of Anson	TX080	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Georgetown Housing Authority	TX264	100	71.43%	40	28.57%	140
Housing Authority of Ennis	TX070	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
Roma Housing Authority	TX449	104	77.61%	30	22.39%	134
Housing Authority of Marfa	TX318	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Elgin Housing Authority	TX377	85	65.89%	44	34.11%	129
Housing Authority of Aspermont	TX200	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Housing Authority of Colorado City	TX056	0	0.00%	125	100.00%	125
Cuero Housing Authority	TX309	103	82.40%	22	17.60%	125
Housing Authority of Diboll	TX229	0	0.00%	124	100.00%	124
Housing Authority of Newton	TX223	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Henderson	TX050	0	0.00%	119	100.00%	119
Yorktown Housing Authority	TX312	0	0.00%	118	100.00%	118
Housing Authority of Copperas Cove	TX353	0	0.00%	117	100.00%	117
Edcouch Housing Authority	TX202	54	46.55%	62	53.45%	116
Housing Authority of Temple	TX030	0	0.00%	116	100.00%	116
Three Rivers Housing Authority	TX176	0	0.00%	115	100.00%	115
Lamesa Housing Authority	TX535	113	100.00%	0	0.00%	113
Housing Authority of De Kalb	TX137	66	61.68%	41	38.32%	107
Housing Authority of Pecos	TX320	0	0.00%	106	100.00%	106
Round Rock Housing Authority	TX322	94	92.16%	8	7.84%	102
Boerne Housing Authority	TX444	101	100.00%	0	0.00%	101
Housing Authority of Coleman	TX321	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Burnet Housing Authority	TX358	100	100.00%	0	0.00%	100
Housing Authority of Belton	TX213	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Livingston	TX352	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Pittsburg	TX049	25	25.00%	75	75.00%	100
Housing Authority of Tulia	TX183	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	100
Housing Authority of Stamford	TX167	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Housing Authority of Tatum	TX341	63	63.64%	36	36.36%	99
Housing Authority of Balmorea	TX316	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
New Boston Property Management	TX054	0	0.00%	96	100.00%	96
Jim Hogg County Housing Authority	TX511	75	78.95%	20	21.05%	95



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Orange County	TX113	0	0.00%	95	100.00%	95
Housing Authority of Alto	TX272	51	54.84%	42	45.16%	93
Luling Housing Authority	TX074	0	0.00%	93	100.00%	93
Housing Authority of Overton	TX068	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of DeLeon	TX069	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of Crosbyton	TX184	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of Rogers	TX265	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of Slaton	TX257	64	74.42%	22	25.58%	86
Housing Authority of Roby	TX180	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Housing Authority of Bonham	TX038	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Housing Authority of Wills Point	TX217	40	47.62%	44	52.38%	84
Granger Housing Authority	TX281	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Gonzales Housing Authority	TX081	42	50.00%	42	50.00%	84
Housing Authority of Kirbyville	TX282	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84
Housing Authority of Fruitvale	TX525	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Housing Authority of Cross Plains	TX172	0	0.00%	78	100.00%	78
Poteet Housing Authority	TX236	24	30.77%	54	69.23%	78
Housing Authority of Avinger	TX101	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Ingleside Housing Authority	TX317	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of Stanton	TX190	0	0.00%	74	100.00%	74
Housing Authority of Talco	TX145	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72
Housing Authority of Avery	TX310	0	0.00%	72	100.00%	72



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Smithville Housing Authority	TX266	45	63.38%	26	36.62%	71
Housing Authority of Wink	TX160	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Johnson City Housing Authority	TX256	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of Ladonia	TX092	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of Malakoff	TX209	0	0.00%	70	100.00%	70
Housing Authority of Jefferson	TX044	25	36.23%	44	63.77%	69
Housing Authority of the City of Huntsville	TX406	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Llano Housing Authority	TX328	0	0.00%	68	100.00%	68
Housing Authority of City of Oglesby	TX271	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Hidalgo Housing Authority	TX445	67	100.00%	0	0.00%	67
Housing Authority of the City of Munday	TX120	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Housing Authority of the City of Dayton	TX168	0	0.00%	67	100.00%	67
Taft Housing Authority	TX191	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of Cooper	TX076	0	0.00%	66	100.00%	66
Housing Authority of Rockwall	TX095	36	54.55%	30	45.45%	66
Housing Authority of Ralls	TX546	45	69.23%	20	30.77%	65
Kenedy Housing Authority	TX147	34	53.13%	30	46.88%	64
Housing Authority of Bartlett	TX274	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of Edgewood	TX242	34	53.97%	29	46.03%	63
Housing Authority of Crockett	TX222	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Housing Authority of Center	TX059	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Rankin	TX293	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Hamilton	TX083	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Flatonia Housing Authority	TX297	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Midland	TX379	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of San Saba	TX334	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Garrison	TX233	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Clarksville	TX207	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Grandview	TX347	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Bangs	TX235	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Tahoka Housing Authority	TX166	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of the City of Gladewater	TX058	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Bastrop Housing Authority	TX259	22	37.93%	36	62.07%	58
Housing Authority of Rising Star	TX170	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of San Augustine	TX383	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
City of La Marque Housing Authority	TX530	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	58
Housing Authority of Maud	TX188	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Willacy County Housing Authority	TX454	57	100.00%	0	0.00%	57
Housing Authority of Grand Saline	TX336	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Housing Authority of the City of Bellville	TX304	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Housing Authority of Jasper	TX492	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of Linden	TX135	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Housing Authority of Mount Pleasant	TX244	0	0.00%	55	100.00%	55
Dilley Housing Authority	TX397	34	62.96%	20	37.04%	54



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Point	TX370	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Mason	TX261	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Naples	TX121	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Nixon Housing Authority	TX175	13	25.00%	39	75.00%	52
Waelder Housing Authority	TX109	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Whitesboro	TX311	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	52
Housing Authority of Tom Bean	TX115	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Loraine	TX258	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Bells	TX089	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Runge Housing Authority	TX165	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Gatesville	TX283	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Alba	TX241	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Ranger	TX043	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Olton	TX196	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Eden	TX260	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Windom	TX220	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of Rotan	TX182	0	0.00%	49	100.00%	49
Housing Authority of Blooming Grove	TX238	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of Brady	TX251	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of the City of Monahans	TX408	0	0.00%	48	100.00%	48
Housing Authority of Howe	TX108	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of the City of Woodville	TX225	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hughes Springs	TX112	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Merkel	TX158	20	45.45%	24	54.55%	44
Housing Authority of Como	TX287	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of Goldthwaite	TX269	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	42
Housing Authority of Bronte	TX278	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Deport	TX117	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Gorman	TX192	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Kerens	TX305	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Seagraves	TX275	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of Santa Anna	TX204	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of Dawson	TX249	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Grandfalls	TX267	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Grapeland	TX295	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of Blossom	TX539	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Housing Authority of Tioga	TX199	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Housing Authority of City of Leonard	TX100	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Daingerfield	TX106	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Omaha	TX122	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Mount Vernon	TX337	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Falls City Housing Authority	TX323	0	0.00%	32	100.00%	32
Housing Authority of Caddo Mills	TX307	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Levelland	TX171	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Hale Center	TX277	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of Pineland	TX187	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of Granbury	TX214	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Housing Authority of the City of Knox City	TX124	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of McLean	TX157	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Trenton	TX127	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24
Housing Authority of Comanche	TX169	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Whitewright	TX107	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Housing Authority of Big Sandy	TX356	0	0.00%	22	100.00%	22
Bowie County Housing Authority	TX550	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Cumby	TX289	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of O'Donnell	TX549	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Van	TX344	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Gunter	TX139	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Post	TX179	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Pottsboro	TX091	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Junction	TX306	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Timpson	TX226	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Hemphill	TX227	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Schulenburg Housing Authority	TX296	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Lometa	TX345	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Ector	TX088	0	0.00%	19	100.00%	19





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Corrigan	TX230	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of Hamlin	TX195	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Smiley Housing Authority	TX103	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	18
Housing Authority of Celeste	TX126	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Housing Authority of the City of El Campo	TX355	0	0.00%	17	100.00%	17
Lockhart Housing Authority	TX211	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Spur	TX215	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Kyle Housing Authority	TX367	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Memphis	TX286	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Van Alstyne	TX132	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Trinidad	TX237	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of Honey Grove	TX093	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
Housing Authority of the City of Groveton	TX231	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	15
Housing Authority of Robert Lee	TX270	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of Savoy	TX097	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Housing Authority of Bogata	TX138	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of Royse City	TX247	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of Spearman	TX156	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	12
Housing Authority of Hico	TX090	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	11
Housing Authority of Winnsboro	TX288	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	9
Housing Authority of Cleveland	TX198	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	6
Housing Authority of Gilmer	TX071	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-607 Texas Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Goliad Housing Authority	TX510	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Tenaha	TX262	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Kermit Housing Authority	TX391	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Huntington	TX348	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Lockney	TX552	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Atlanta Property Management	TX531	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Kemp	TX387	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Housing Authority of Eldorado	TX279	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### TX-611 Amarillo CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Panhandle Community Services	TX481	1991	100.00%	0	0.00%	1991
City of Amarillo	TX472	1518	100.00%	0	0.00%	1518

#### TX-624 Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wichita Falls Housing Assistance Program	TX498	989	100.00%	0	0.00%	989
Housing Authority of Wichita Falls	TX022	0	0.00%	642	100.00%	642
Housing Authority of Paducah	TX084	0	0.00%	321	100.00%	321
Housing Authority the City of Mineral Wells	TX298	235	74.37%	81	25.63%	316



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-624 Wichita Falls/Wise, Palo Pinto, Wichita, Archer Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Breckenridge	TX039	95	34.42%	181	65.58%	<b>276</b>
Housing Authority of Quanah	TX075	110	56.70%	84	43.30%	194
Housing Authority of the City of Crowell	TX308	0	0.00%	170	100.00%	170
Housing Authority of Strawn	TX290	0	0.00%	98	100.00%	98
Housing Authority of Throckmorton	TX325	0	0.00%	94	100.00%	94
Housing Authority of Olney	TX041	0	0.00%	87	100.00%	87
Housing Authority of Archer City	TX094	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of the City of Nocona	TX186	0	0.00%	64	100.00%	64
Housing Authority of Bridgeport	TX067	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Housing Authority of Newcastle	TX216	0	0.00%	57	100.00%	57
Housing Authority of Burkburnett	TX111	16	28.57%	40	71.43%	56
Housing Authority of Bryson	TX099	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Seymour	TX052	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Housing Authority of Henrietta	TX082	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	38
Housing Authority of Childress	TX194	0	0.00%	34	100.00%	34
Electra Housing Authority	TX066	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of Vernon	TX240	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	14
Electra Housing Authority	TX523	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	12
Housing Authority of Decatur	TX155	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	10
Burkburnett Housing Assistance Program	TX502	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-700 Houston, Pasadena/Harris, Fort Bend Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Houston Housing Authority	TX005	17096	73.03%	6312	26.97%	<b>23408</b>
Harris County Housing Authority	TX441	4379	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>4379</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Baytown	TX012	853	68.57%	391	31.43%	<b>1244</b>
City of Pasadena Housing Assistance Program	TX440	1097	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1097</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Rosenberg	TX483	453	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>453</b>
Harris County-Other DHAP Only	TX999	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### TX-701 Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Brazos Valley Council of Governments	TX526	1902	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1902</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bryan	TX020	0	0.00%	236	100.00%	<b>236</b>
Housing Authority of Cameron	TX134	141	73.06%	52	26.94%	<b>193</b>
Housing Authority of City of Navasota	TX469	0	0.00%	174	100.00%	<b>174</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Madisonville	TX245	0	0.00%	165	100.00%	<b>165</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Bremond	TX351	0	0.00%	110	100.00%	110
Housing Authority of Rockdale	TX380	0	0.00%	82	100.00%	82
Housing Authority of the City of Caldwell	TX118	0	0.00%	75	100.00%	75
Housing Authority of Thorndale	TX301	0	0.00%	58	100.00%	58
Housing Authority of the City of Hearne	TX063	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	44
Housing Authority of the City of Franklin	TX340	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Housing Authority of the City of Buffalo	TX357	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Texas

#### TX-701 Bryan, College Station/Brazos Valley CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Calvert	TX150	0	0.00%	33	100.00%	33
Housing Authority of the City of Centerville	TX253	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	24



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Utah

#### UT-500 Salt Lake City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the County of Salt Lake	UT003	2408	86.06%	390	13.94%	<b>2798</b>
Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	UT004	2670	99.33%	18	0.67%	<b>2688</b>
West Valley City Housing Authority	UT025	531	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>531</b>
Tooele County Housing Authority	UT020	215	87.76%	30	12.24%	<b>245</b>

#### UT-503 Utah Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	UT002	892	58.76%	626	41.24%	<b>1518</b>
Roosevelt City Housing Authority	UT028	91	8.18%	1022	91.82%	<b>1113</b>
Davis Community Housing Authority	UT009	1036	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1036</b>
Logan City Housing Authority	UT026	369	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>369</b>
Housing Authority of Carbon County	UT016	273	92.54%	22	7.46%	<b>295</b>
St. George Housing Authority	UT021	256	100.00%	0	0.00%	256
Beaver City Housing Authority	UT006	4	1.59%	248	98.41%	252
Emery County Housing Authority	UT015	68	35.98%	121	64.02%	189
Weber Housing Authority	UT022	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124
Bear River Regional Housing Authority	UT030	122	100.00%	0	0.00%	122
Cedar City Housing Authority	UT031	114	100.00%	0	0.00%	114
Housing Authority of Southeastern Utah	UT014	73	100.00%	0	0.00%	73
Myton City Housing Authority	UT029	33	100.00%	0	0.00%	33



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.

### Utah

#### UT-504 Provo/Mountainland CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Utah County	UT011	1059	97.78%	24	2.22%	1083
Housing Authority of the City of Provo	UT007	883	84.82%	158	15.18%	1041



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Vermont

#### VT-500 Vermont Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Vermont State Housing Authority	VT901	3646	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3646</b>
Springfield Housing Authority	VT004	61	14.35%	364	85.65%	<b>425</b>
Barre Housing Authority	VT005	185	43.74%	238	56.26%	<b>423</b>
Brattleboro Housing Authority	VT002	187	50.68%	182	49.32%	<b>369</b>
Rutland Housing Authority	VT003	194	59.51%	132	40.49%	<b>326</b>
Montpelier Housing Authority	VT008	122	38.49%	195	61.51%	317
Bennington Housing Authority	VT009	207	100.00%	0	0.00%	207
St. Albans City Housing Authority	VT011	85	100.00%	0	0.00%	85

#### VT-501 Burlington/Chittenden County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Burlington Housing Authority	VT001	1636	85.21%	284	14.79%	<b>1920</b>
Winooski Housing Authority	VT006	320	84.21%	60	15.79%	<b>380</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-500 Richmond/Henrico, Chesterfield, Hanover Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Virginia Housing Development Authority	VA901	9551	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>9551</b>
Richmond Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA007	3358	87.36%	486	12.64%	<b>3844</b>

#### VA-501 Norfolk/Chesapeake, Suffolk, Isle of Wright, Southampton Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Norfolk Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA006	3909	49.14%	4046	50.86%	<b>7955</b>
Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA012	1619	83.15%	328	16.85%	<b>1947</b>
Franklin Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA018	315	22.83%	1065	77.17%	<b>1380</b>
Suffolk Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA025	809	88.61%	104	11.39%	<b>913</b>

#### VA-502 Roanoke City & County, Salem CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Roanoke Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA011	2049	81.44%	467	18.56%	<b>2516</b>
Covington Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA037	58	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>58</b>
Roanoke Total Action Against Poverty	VA043	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### VA-503 Virginia Beach CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Va. Beach Dept. of Hsg & Neighborhood Pres.	VA039	2023	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>2023</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-504 Charlottesville CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Charlottesville Redev & Housing Authority	VA016	533	49.72%	539	50.28%	<b>1072</b>
County of Albemarle/Office of Housing	VA036	429	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>429</b>
Piedmont Housing Alliance	VA880	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### VA-505 Newport News, Hampton/Virginia Peninsula CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hampton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA017	3053	95.32%	150	4.68%	<b>3203</b>
Newport News Redevelopment & Housng Authority	VA003	2401	75.74%	769	24.26%	<b>3170</b>
Williamsburg Redevelopment & Housing Auth.	VA026	0	0.00%	309	100.00%	<b>309</b>
James City County Office of Hsg & Comm Dev	VA041	154	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>154</b>

#### VA-507 Portsmouth CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portsmouth Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA001	1949	82.58%	411	17.42%	<b>2360</b>

#### VA-508 Lynchburg CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Lynchburg Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA013	979	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>979</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-513 Harrisonburg, Winchester/Western Virginia CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Harrisonburg Redevelopment & Housing Authorit	VA014	843	79.45%	218	20.55%	<b>1061</b>

#### VA-521 Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Hopewell Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA005	403	10.39%	3475	89.61%	<b>3878</b>
Lee County Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA034	532	13.89%	3299	86.11%	<b>3831</b>
Danville Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA010	945	42.84%	1261	57.16%	<b>2206</b>
Bristol Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA002	264	12.69%	1817	87.31%	<b>2081</b>
Wise County Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA024	751	61.71%	466	38.29%	<b>1217</b>
Petersburg Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA020	737	77.01%	220	22.99%	957
Waynesboro Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA022	481	76.23%	150	23.77%	631
Accomack-Northampton Regional Housing Auth	VA040	538	100.00%	0	0.00%	538
Norton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA015	110	22.63%	376	77.37%	486
Martinsville Redevelopment & Housng Authority	VA045	484	100.00%	0	0.00%	484
Staunton Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA023	234	54.80%	193	45.20%	427
Marion Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA030	246	68.91%	111	31.09%	357
Wytheville Redev. & Housing Authority	VA021	135	41.80%	188	58.20%	323
Cumberland Plateau Regional Housing Authority	VA029	0	0.00%	238	100.00%	238
Scott County Redev. & Housing Authority	VA031	197	87.56%	28	12.44%	225
Abingdon Redevelopment and Housing Authority	VA032	121	59.90%	81	40.10%	202
Big Stone Gap Redevelopment and Housing Auth.	VA038	124	100.00%	0	0.00%	124



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.

### Virginia

#### VA-521 Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Buckingham Housing Development Corp. Inc.	VA044	112	100.00%	0	0.00%	112
People Inc. of Southwest Virginia	VA042	91	100.00%	0	0.00%	91

#### VA-600 Arlington County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Arlington County Dept of Human Services	VA028	1546	100.00%	0	0.00%	1546

#### VA-601 Fairfax County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Fairfax County Redevelopment & Hsg Authority	VA019	3731	90.12%	409	9.88%	4140

#### VA-602 Loudoun County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Loudoun County Department of Family Services	VA035	688	100.00%	0	0.00%	688

#### VA-603 Alexandria CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Alexandria Redevelopment & Housing Authority	VA004	1926	80.72%	460	19.28%	2386



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Virginia

#### VA-604 Prince William County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Prince William County Office of HCD	VA046	2059	100.00%	0	0.00%	2059



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-500 Seattle/King County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Seattle Housing Authority	WA001	10070	82.83%	2088	17.17%	<b>12158</b>
HA OF KING COUNTY	WA002	8758	98.00%	179	2.00%	<b>8937</b>
HA City of Renton	WA011	415	68.60%	190	31.40%	<b>605</b>

#### WA-501 Washington Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Bremerton	WA003	1819	87.08%	270	12.92%	<b>2089</b>
HA Of Thurston County	WA049	1974	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1974</b>
Housing Authority City of Bellingham	WA025	1663	96.80%	55	3.20%	<b>1718</b>
Peninsula Housing Authority	WA004	611	42.79%	817	57.21%	<b>1428</b>
Housing Authority City of Longview	WA007	1387	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1387</b>
HA City of Kennewick	WA012	975	81.73%	218	18.27%	1193
HA City of Walla Walla	WA057	940	100.00%	0	0.00%	940
HA Of Island County	WA024	275	34.25%	528	65.75%	803
Housing Authority of Skagit County	WA061	654	100.00%	0	0.00%	654
HA Of Asotin County	WA017	217	34.34%	415	65.66%	632
Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Auth	WA036	374	64.04%	210	35.96%	584
HA City of Kelso	WA020	281	50.09%	280	49.91%	561
HA Of Chelan Co and the City of Wenatchee	WA064	537	100.00%	0	0.00%	537
HA City of Richland	WA065	0	0.00%	465	100.00%	465
HA City of Pasco and Franklin County	WA021	318	74.30%	110	25.70%	428



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-501 Washington Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA Of Grant County	WA014	237	62.86%	140	37.14%	377
HA Of Grays Harbor County	WA018	223	93.31%	16	6.69%	239
HA City of Anacortes	WA010	0	0.00%	222	100.00%	222
Housing Authority of Okanogan County	WA071	165	100.00%	0	0.00%	165
HA City of Sedro Woolley	WA030	0	0.00%	140	100.00%	140
HA City of Kalama	WA019	27	21.26%	100	78.74%	127
Housing Authority of Kittitas County	WA009	0	0.00%	111	100.00%	111
Housing Authority City of Othello	WA026	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Ferry County Joint Housing Authority	WA069	28	100.00%	0	0.00%	28
HA Of Whatcom County	WA041	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### WA-502 Spokane City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Spokane	WA055	5049	98.36%	84	1.64%	5133

#### WA-503 Tacoma, Lakewood/Pierce County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Tacoma	WA005	4101	93.44%	288	6.56%	4389
HA Of Pierce County	WA054	2768	95.68%	125	4.32%	2893



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Washington

#### WA-504 Everett/Snohomish County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Snohomish County	WA039	3398	98.18%	63	1.82%	<b>3461</b>
HA City of Everett	WA006	2539	93.38%	180	6.62%	<b>2719</b>

#### WA-507 Yakima City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
HA City of Yakima	WA042	895	87.57%	127	12.43%	<b>1022</b>
Housing Authority of Sunnyside, Washington	WA035	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	<b>136</b>

#### WA-508 Vancouver/Clark County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	WA008	2502	95.79%	110	4.21%	<b>2612</b>





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-500 Wheeling, Weirton Area CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Wheeling	WV003	447	32.99%	908	67.01%	<b>1355</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Weirton	WV016	535	79.61%	137	20.39%	<b>672</b>
Housing Authority of Benwood and McMechen	WV014	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	<b>209</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Moundsville	WV011	0	0.00%	205	100.00%	<b>205</b>

#### WV-501 Huntington/Cabell, Wayne Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Huntington	WV004	1431	90.57%	149	9.43%	<b>1580</b>
Housing Authority of Wayne County WV	WV049	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	<b>0</b>

#### WV-503 Charleston/Kanawha, Putnam, Boone, Clay Counties CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Charleston/Kanawha Housing Authority	WV001	3014	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3014</b>
Housing Authority of Boone County	WV042	497	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>497</b>
Housing Authority of the City of St. Albans	WV021	0	0.00%	121	100.00%	<b>121</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Dunbar	WV024	0	0.00%	109	100.00%	<b>109</b>
Housing Authority of the City of South Charleston	WV022	0	0.00%	101	100.00%	<b>101</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-508 West Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Parkersburg	WV005	1460	81.70%	327	18.30%	<b>1787</b>
Housing Authority of Mingo County	WV037	1443	96.01%	60	3.99%	<b>1503</b>
Housing Authority of Raleigh County	WV039	1405	94.93%	75	5.07%	<b>1480</b>
Fairmont/Morgantown Housing Authority	WV009	1078	92.69%	85	7.31%	<b>1163</b>
Housing Authority of Randolph County	WV045	598	63.68%	341	36.32%	<b>939</b>
Housing Authority of the County of Jackson	WV035	915	100.00%	0	0.00%	915
Clarksburg/Harrison Housing Authority	WV027	616	90.72%	63	9.28%	679
Housing Authority of the City of Beckley	WV015	503	80.48%	122	19.52%	625
Housing Authority of the City of Morgantown	WV002	0	0.00%	617	100.00%	617
Housing Authority of the City of Martinsburg	WV006	339	71.52%	135	28.48%	474
Housing Authority of the City of Keyser	WV010	222	47.23%	248	52.77%	470
Housing Authority of the City of Bluefield	WV018	384	86.88%	58	13.12%	442
Greenbrier County Housing Authority	WV046	345	100.00%	0	0.00%	345
Housing Authority of the City of Spencer	WV026	0	0.00%	323	100.00%	323
Housing Authority of the City of Pt. Pleasant	WV017	125	43.10%	165	56.90%	290
Housing Authority of the City of Buckhannon	WV013	82	31.42%	179	68.58%	261
Housing Authority of the City of Mount Hope	WV007	0	0.00%	248	100.00%	248
Housing Authority of the City of Piedmont	WV029	0	0.00%	149	100.00%	149
Housing Authority of the City of Williamson	WV008	0	0.00%	136	100.00%	136
Housing Authority of the City of Weston	WV028	0	0.00%	99	100.00%	99
Housing Authority of the City of Elkins	WV020	0	0.00%	90	100.00%	90
Housing Authority of the City of Grafton	WV012	0	0.00%	84	100.00%	84



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### West Virginia

#### WV-508 West Virginia Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Grant County Housing Authority	WV034	46	100.00%	0	0.00%	46
Housing Authority of the City of Romney	WV044	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Superior	WI001	169	4.52%	3574	95.48%	<b>3743</b>
Brown County Housing Authority	WI186	3395	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>3395</b>
LaCrosse County Housing Authority	WI253	0	0.00%	1263	100.00%	<b>1263</b>
Kenosha Housing Authority	WI195	1181	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1181</b>
Waukesha Housing Authority	WI142	792	94.51%	46	5.49%	<b>838</b>
Beloit Housing Authority	WI064	598	74.01%	210	25.99%	808
City of Appleton Housing Authority	WI065	597	95.83%	26	4.17%	623
Menomonie Housing Authority	WI004	0	0.00%	593	100.00%	593
Janesville Neighborhood Services	WI219	543	100.00%	0	0.00%	543
Housing Authority of Winnebago County, WI	WI213	413	82.77%	86	17.23%	499
Fond du Lac County Housing Authority	WI246	430	89.77%	49	10.23%	479
Wausau Community Development Authority	WI031	435	95.60%	20	4.40%	455
Walworth County Housing Authority	WI244	410	100.00%	0	0.00%	410
Sauk County Housing Authority	WI204	298	72.86%	111	27.14%	409
Chippewa County Housing Authority	WI248	392	100.00%	0	0.00%	392
Wisconsin Rapids Housing Authority	WI068	243	82.94%	50	17.06%	293
Ladysmith Housing Authority	WI111	0	0.00%	278	100.00%	278
Shawano County Housing Authority	WI139	0	0.00%	264	100.00%	264
Ashland Housing Authority	WI131	62	23.48%	202	76.52%	264
Eau Claire County Housing Authority	WI193	221	84.67%	40	15.33%	261
Sheboygan Housing Authority	WI047	194	75.49%	63	24.51%	257
Door County Housing Authority	WI206	255	100.00%	0	0.00%	255



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Portage County Housing Authority	WI237	250	100.00%	0	0.00%	250
Algoma Housing Authority	WI034	0	0.00%	247	100.00%	247
West Bend Housing Authority	WI083	244	100.00%	0	0.00%	244
Stevens Point Housing Authority	WI037	0	0.00%	242	100.00%	242
Richland Center Housing Authority	WI046	0	0.00%	240	100.00%	240
Marinette County Housing Authority	WI241	234	100.00%	0	0.00%	234
Trempealeau County Housing Authority	WI166	222	96.52%	8	3.48%	230
Richland County Housing Authority	WI256	217	100.00%	0	0.00%	217
Antigo Housing Authority	WI085	149	70.62%	62	29.38%	211
La Crosse Housing Authority	WI006	144	70.59%	60	29.41%	204
Osceola Housing Authority	WI073	0	0.00%	204	100.00%	204
Sawyer County Housing Authority	WI222	197	100.00%	0	0.00%	197
Reedsville Housing Authority	WI030	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
Prairie du Chien Housing Authority	WI067	0	0.00%	195	100.00%	195
New London Housing Authority	WI048	97	56.07%	76	43.93%	173
Rhineland Housing Authority	WI070	141	83.93%	27	16.07%	168
Peshtigo Housing Authority	WI129	0	0.00%	168	100.00%	168
Kaukauna Housing Authority	WI043	90	54.88%	74	45.12%	164
Mauston Housing Authority	WI069	113	69.33%	50	30.67%	163
Dodge County Housing Authority	WI233	152	100.00%	0	0.00%	152
Oconto Housing Authority	WI044	0	0.00%	146	100.00%	146
Barron County Housing Authority	WI245	140	100.00%	0	0.00%	140



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Marshfield Community Development Authority	WI011	95	68.35%	44	31.65%	139
Monroe County Housing Authority	WI205	132	100.00%	0	0.00%	132
Wausaukee Housing Authority	WI063	0	0.00%	131	100.00%	131
Westby Housing Authority	WI117	0	0.00%	130	100.00%	130
Chilton Housing Authority	WI251	0	0.00%	128	100.00%	128
Platteville Housing Authority	WI208	128	100.00%	0	0.00%	128
Marinette Housing Authority	WI049	0	0.00%	126	100.00%	126
Rice Lake Housing Authority	WI050	93	75.61%	30	24.39%	123
Washburn Housing Authority	WI127	73	64.60%	40	35.40%	113
Tomah Public Housing Authority	WI096	76	68.47%	35	31.53%	111
Shawano Housing Authority	WI045	48	44.44%	60	55.56%	108
Manitowoc Housing Authority	WI024	0	0.00%	105	100.00%	105
River Falls Housing Authority	WI060	75	72.12%	29	27.88%	104
Housing Authority of the City of Barron	WI023	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Spooner Housing Authority	WI016	0	0.00%	102	100.00%	102
Park Falls Housing Authority	WI098	0	0.00%	100	100.00%	100
Ashland County Housing Authority	WI231	68	68.00%	32	32.00%	100
Dunn County Housing Authority	WI160	89	100.00%	0	0.00%	89
Abbotsford Housing Authority	WI026	0	0.00%	86	100.00%	86
Eau Claire Housing Authority	WI207	0	0.00%	85	100.00%	85
Dodgeville Housing Authority	WI091	80	100.00%	0	0.00%	80
Amery Housing Authority	WI019	40	50.00%	40	50.00%	80



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Pulaski Housing Authority	WI075	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	80
Evansville Housing Authority	WI203	79	100.00%	0	0.00%	79
Burnett County Housing Authority	WI242	33	43.42%	43	56.58%	76
Thorp Housing Authority	WI077	0	0.00%	73	100.00%	73
Lafayette County Housing Authority	WI221	52	72.22%	20	27.78%	72
Woodville Housing Authority	WI059	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Hudson Housing Authority	WI042	0	0.00%	71	100.00%	71
Housing Authority of the City of Shell Lake	WI061	0	0.00%	65	100.00%	65
Hurley Housing Authority	WI040	0	0.00%	63	100.00%	63
Grantsburg Housing Authority	WI071	0	0.00%	61	100.00%	61
Watertown Housing Authority	WI076	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Boscobel Housing Authority	WI158	0	0.00%	60	100.00%	60
Luck Housing Authority	WI057	0	0.00%	56	100.00%	56
Wittenberg Housing Authority	WI039	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	54
Albany Housing Authority	WI055	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	53
Lake Mills Housing Authority	WI041	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Plymouth Housing Authority	WI018	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	51
Jefferson Housing Authority	WI086	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Merrill Housing Authority	WI017	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	50
Monroe Housing Authority	WI028	0	0.00%	45	100.00%	45
Housing Authority of the City of New Richmond	WI020	20	45.45%	24	54.55%	44
Lincoln County Housing Authority	WI226	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Baraboo Community Development Authority	WI090	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
DePere Housing Authority	WI102	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Stanley Housing Authority	WI015	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	40
Altoona Housing Authority	WI033	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Mondovi Housing Authority	WI066	0	0.00%	39	100.00%	39
Slinger Housing Authority	WI247	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Greenwood Housing Authority	WI032	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Viroqua Housing Authority	WI118	0	0.00%	36	100.00%	36
Housing Authority of the City of Oshkosh, WI	WI113	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	35
Clintonville Housing Authority	WI072	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
City of Edgerton Housing Authority	WI025	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Brillion Housing Authority	WI021	0	0.00%	30	100.00%	30
Housing Authority of the City of Cumberland	WI052	0	0.00%	29	100.00%	29
Sauk City Housing Authority	WI093	0	0.00%	28	100.00%	28
Bruce Housing Authority	WI029	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Chetek Housing Authority	WI051	0	0.00%	27	100.00%	27
Sparta Housing Authority	WI058	0	0.00%	26	100.00%	26
Crawford County Housing Authority	WI230	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	25
Green Bay Housing Authority	WI074	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Frederic Housing Authority	WI056	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	16
New Berlin Housing Authority	WI259	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Taylor County Housing Authority	WI263	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0





## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-500 Wisconsin Balance of State CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Oconto County Housing Authority	WI262	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Waukesha County Housing Authority	WI261	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
City of Appleton Housing Authority	WI217	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0
Fond du Lac Housing Authority	WI038	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0

#### WI-501 Milwaukee City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee	WI002	6166	89.26%	742	10.74%	6908
Milwaukee Co DHHS - Housing Division	WI218	2071	100.00%	0	0.00%	2071
CDA of the City of West Allis	WI201	557	100.00%	0	0.00%	557
South Milwaukee Housing Auth.	WI008	0	0.00%	209	100.00%	209

#### WI-502 Racine City & County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of Racine County	WI183	1574	98.99%	16	1.01%	1590

#### WI-503 Madison/Dane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Madison Community Development Authority	WI003	1839	93.73%	123	6.27%	1962



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wisconsin

#### WI-503 Madison/Dane County CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Wisconsin Housing & Economic Development Authority	WI901	1693	100.00%	0	0.00%	<b>1693</b>
Dane County Housing Authority	WI214	1211	97.74%	28	2.26%	<b>1239</b>
DeForest Housing Authority	WI249	0	0.00%	44	100.00%	<b>44</b>



## CoC-PHA Crosswalk

*Important Notes About This Data: The report shows PHA unit breakdown for HCV and PH units by CoC. The data are ordered in descending order by total number of ACC Units (HCV + PH Units). The first five records with the greatest number of total units are highlighted for each CoC.*

### Wyoming

#### WY-500 Wyoming Statewide CoC

PHA Name	PHA Code	HCV Units	% HCV	PH Units	% PH	Total ACC Units
Housing Authority of the City of Cheyenne	WY002	1912	95.03%	100	4.97%	<b>2012</b>
Housing Authority of the City of Casper	WY004	529	91.36%	50	8.64%	<b>579</b>
Rock Springs Housing Authority	WY003	67	47.18%	75	52.82%	<b>142</b>
Evanston Housing Authority	WY013	86	74.14%	30	25.86%	<b>116</b>
Hanna Housing Authority	WY010	0	0.00%	80	100.00%	<b>80</b>
Housing Authority of the Town of Douglas	WY005	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Lusk Housing Authority	WY008	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	20
Housing Authority of the City of Buffalo	WY015	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0