

Definitions and Descriptions:

Definitions: Blighted Structure-South Dakota law does not have a definition of "Blighted Structure." Blighted Structures will be defined as physical structures exhibiting signs of deterioration or is potentially hazardous to persons or surrounding property, including but not limited to: (a) a structure that is in danger of partial or complete collapse; (b) a structure with any exterior parts that are loose or in danger of falling; or (c) a structure with any parts, such as floors, porches, railings, stairs, ramps, balconies or roofs, that are accessible and that have either collapsed, are in danger of collapsing or are unable to support the weight of normally imposed loads. Vacant Property-South Dakota does not have a definition of "Vacant Property" in the state law. Vacant Property can refer to either a building or land that for a minimum of 90 days has been:

1. unoccupied and unsecured (not prohibiting entry);
2. unoccupied and secured by other than normal means;
3. unoccupied and a dangerous structure;
4. unoccupied and condemned;
5. unoccupied and has city code violations; or
6. condemned and illegally occupied.

Vacant Property does not mean any building being constructed pursuant to a valid permit issued pursuant to the city building code and residential code for one- and two-family dwellings. Raw land would not be defined as Vacant Property. Vacant Property could include buildings or land which was previously developed via infrastructure improvements such as roads, water, sewer, power lines, etc. However, land that has been farmland, open space, wilderness, etc. would not be defined as Vacant Property. Abandoned-Per Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 194 dated October 6, 2008, Abandoned will be defined as follows: A home is Abandoned when mortgage or tax foreclosure proceedings have been initiated for that property, no mortgage or tax payments have been made by the property owner for at least 90 days, AND the property has been Vacant for at least 90 days. Foreclosed-Per Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 194 dated October 6, 2008, Foreclosed will be defined as follows: A property "has been foreclosed upon" at the point that, under state or local law, the mortgage or tax foreclosure is complete. HUD generally will not consider a foreclosure to be complete until after the title for the property has been transferred from the former homeowner under some type of foreclosure proceeding or transfer in lieu of foreclosure, in accordance with state or local law. Affordable Rents-Affordable Rents will be defined as a tenant paying no more than 30 percent of their annual income for gross housing costs, including utility costs, with adjustments for smaller and larger families. Land Bank-Per Federal Register Vol. 74, No. 117 dated June 19, 2009, A land bank is a governmental or nongovt nonprofit entity established, at least in part, to assemble, temporarily manage, and dispose of vacant land for the purpose of staand maintain, assemble, facilitate redevelopment of, market, and dispose of the land-banked properties. If the land bank is a governmental entity, it may also maintain foreclosed property that it does not own, provided itc

Definitions and Descriptions:

charges the owner of the property the full cost of the service or places a lien on the property for the full cost of the service. Continued Affordability: Depending on the type of activity and funding level, the affordability periods will vary. Affordability periods as outlined under the HOME Program 24 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 92.252(a), (c), (e), and (f) and 24 CFR 92.254, will be utilized for NSP funding. Applicants will indicate within their application, the number of units

Low Income Targeting:

It is estimated that \$7,644,000 (39 percent) may be allocated for housing serving individuals and families whose income does not exceed 50 percent of the area median income (AMI). Discussions are underway with nonprofit agencies and service providers to redevelop Vacant Property for permanent housing for tenant populations serving special needs and homeless. It is anticipated that approximately \$5,000,000 will be used to purchase and renovate, redevelop, or newly construct housing under 24 CFR 570.201(e) to serve this targeted population. Discussions with potential applicants indicates that majority of these funds will be requested for Sioux Falls, Rapid City and Indian reservations. To the greatest extent possible, nonprofit agencies will be encouraged to create financing mechanisms necessary to allow households of 50 percent AMI to purchase single family homes that were previously Abandoned or Foreclosed. NSP funds will also be eligible for the acquisition and rehabilitation of Abandoned or Foreclosed homes for rental units.

Public Comment:

If SDHDA contracts with another entity to assist in administering NSP, administration funds will be utilized to pay for their services. In addition SDHDA could utilize a portion of the administration funds to fund eligible activities. Applicants will outline in their application budgets, the cost associated with administering their program or developing their project. Applicants will be compensated according to a reasonable budget as outline in their application. Additional clarification regarding the 10 percent administration funds was incorporated into The Plan for this comment.

One written comment requested clarification of "vacant". Clarification was made in The Plan to state Vacant Property can refer to a building or land.

Another written comment was in regards to demolish Blighted Structures and whether NSP funds could be utilized to acquire the property. Further clarification was provided in The Plan as follows "NSP funds can only be used for the cost of clearing the Blighted Structure. No NSP funds can be requested for purchase of the Blighted Structure unless the project also qualifies under acquisition of an Abandoned or Foreclosed property".

A comment requested clarification on whether NSP funds could be utilized to acquire the demolished or vacant structure. NSP funds can be used to acquire demolished or Vacant Property. Further clarification was made in The Plan.

The final written comment was in regards to affordability restrictions. References to the affordability restrictions were modified in The Plan to accurately reflect the proper page.

Acquisition and Relocation:

NSP funds are anticipated to serve households of 50 percent or less, 80 percent or less, and 120 percent or less of AMI in each of the different eligible activities.

An estimated \$1,000,000 is anticipated to be used to demolish Blighted Structures, resulting in approximately 100 units across the state of South Dakota. A small number of dwelling units may be converted to rental housing with emphasis on special needs housing. However the Vacant Property may also be Blighted Structures and the cost of rehabilitation would be too costly.

In order to meet NSP program requirements, census tracts and block groups will be utilized to ensure at least 51 percent of the residents within the census tract or block group have incomes at or below 120 percent AMI, or the corresponding targeted AMI level.

Homeownership assistance and acquisition and rehabilitation of Abandoned or Foreclosed homes for resale or rental are anticipated to serve more households of 120 percent AMI. Under the eligible use of purchase and rehabilitate residential properties that have been Abandoned or Foreclosed and redevelopment of demolished or Vacant Property, it is anticipated that a majority of the funds will be utilized to serve households that do not exceed 50 percent AMI. There is strong public interest in providing housing opportunities for special needs or homeless populations, creating an estimated 65 units of permanent housing for households at or below 50 percent AMI, 43 units for 80 percent AMI and 35 units for 120 percent AMI. Since 50 percent of the current foreclosures are located in Sioux Falls and Rapid City, it is estimated that 50 percent of the NSP funds for this activity will be utilized in these two communities.

For the total activities it is anticipated that 85 units and \$7,644,000 million of the funding will be utilized for households of 50 percent AMI or less. An additional 73 housing units and \$5,761,000 million of NSP funds will be for households of 80 percent AMI or less. The remaining \$4,235,000 million will be targeted for households of 120 percent of AMI or less.

Capacity of nonprofit agencies, developers and changes within community needs will dictate the need to further evaluate the estimated funding and AMI levels for the specified activities.



Public Comment:

SDHDA posted the second Amended NSP Plan on its website on February 10, 2010. The 15 day public comment period began on the 10th and ended at 5:00 p.m. CT on February 24, 2010. Notice of the public comment period appeared in the daily newspapers and a statewide press release was sent to TV, print, and radio media.

SDHDA received no written comments for the February 2010 comment period.

SDHDA posted the first Amended NSP Plan on its website on August 19, 2009. The 15 day public comment period began on the 19th and ended at 5:00 p.m. CT on September 2, 2009. Notice of the public comment period appeared in the daily newspapers and a statewide press release was sent to TV, print, and radio media.

Written comments for the August and September 2009 comment period are as follows:

Two written comments were received in regards to not permitting NSP funds to be used for acquisition unless properties were foreclosed or abandoned. SDHDA received confirmation from HUD that NSP funds can only be used for acquisition when properties are foreclosed or abandoned. No changes were made to The Plan regarding these comments.

SDHDA posted the NSP Plan on its website November 10, 2008. The 15 day public comment period began on the 10th and ended at 5:00 p.m. CT on November 25, 2008. Notice of the public comment period appeared in the daily newspapers and a statewide press release was sent to TV, print, and radio media. Interested parties were also given the opportunity to discuss with SDHDA staff and comment on the NSP plan at the SDHDA annual conference held on November 18 and 19, 2008.

Written comments received for the November comment period are as follows:

One written comment indicated their appreciation for allowing NSP funds to be utilized to demolish blighted structures. No change to the NSP Plan was made.

A written comment requested incorporating in The Plan that “blighted” could be defined by a local TIF or BID ordinance. Per the Federal Register Vol. 73, No. 194, dated October 6, 2008, the NSP plan is to define a blighted structure. The Federal Register did not request definition of a blighted area. Area Median Income requirements will be utilized in determining that the proposed activities will meet the National Objective of serving households of 120 percent AMI or less.

Another written comment requested that The Plan incorporate a provision that the Governor’s House could be utilized as “infill” to redevelop demolished or vacant properties. This comment has been incorporated.

One comment was received asking that the demolition of structures such as former schools, churches or commercial building or other such facilities, for the redevelopment as housing, be defined as an authorized use under this Plan. Further clarification was made in The Plan to indicate these structures would be eligible for demolition, only if they are considered Blighted Structures.

A written comment suggested making the application process as simplified as possible. SDHDA will take this comment into consideration when drafting the application form. If other funding source utilized in conjunction with NSP funds, applicants will need to follow timing requirements of the most restrictive program. No change was made to The Plan for this comment. ;

Public Comment:

modified if necessary. HUD will not require one-for-one replacement of low- and moderate-income dwellings units that are demolished or converted for activities assisted with NSP, however, the NSP funds are to be focused on neighborhood stabilization. While demolition is an eligible activity NSP funds should be focused on providing housing opportunities for eligible households. No change was made to The Plan; however, SDHDA will monitor the dollar amount requested per activity to determine modifications at a future date, if necessary.

A written comment requested further clarification of the allocation process. Additional information was provided in The Plan to further explain this process.

A couple of written comments were in regards to the application process - one concerning a point system and the second in relation to environmental reviews. No point system will be utilized with this funding source. HUD environmental reviews will be completed for each activity and the level of review will be dependent on the type of activity being requested. Additional clarification regarding the application process was incorporated into the NSP Plan. In addition, careful consideration will be given while drafting the NSP application form to ensure it will provide guidance to the applicant and outline the information needed to fulfill HUD requirements.

One commentator requested SDHDA to consider formulating a community score for each county. HUD has created an Estimated Foreclose and Abandonment Risk Score based on census tracts, which is similar to this proposal. This information can be found on the HUD website at http://www.huduser.org/datasets/nsp_target.html. In addition it is anticipated that South Dakota’s information may continue to change, affecting the areas determined to have the greatest need. Based on this information, no change was made to The Plan. SDHDA would like to utilize the information currently available and remain flexible to entertain applications as demonstrated need may change.

Another written comment requested SDHDA to hold meetings with potential applicants regarding the final NSP Plan. Upon approval of the NSP Plan by HUD, SDHDA will host future meetings to further discuss NSP funding and eligible activities. No changes were made to The Plan regarding this comment.

One written comment asked if SHDA would consider all eligible activities. There are five eligible activities as outlined in HERA Section 2301 (c) (3). SDHDA will not entertain land banking as an eligible activity, so no change was made to The Plan for this comment. All other activities are outlined within The Plan.

In addition this comment further stated that The Plan should notify applicants that all eligible activities are subject to change and interpretation based on HUD’s approval of The Plan and/or changes being issued regarding the NSP Notice. This notice was incorporated into The Plan to ensure applicants are aware of these potential changes.

A written and verbal comment received was in regards to the 10 percent administration funds. The comments

requested SDHDA’s consideration to allow a portion of the administration funds to be utilized by the recipient in carrying out their proposed activity. SDHDA will utilize the administration funds to cover costs associated with NSP.

Overall	This Report Period	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$21,474.00	\$22,588,166.77
Total Budget	\$21,474.00	\$22,562,744.36
Total Obligated	\$21,474.75	\$22,562,744.36
Total Funds Drawdown	\$7,539.01	\$22,422,208.82
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$19,481,226.89
Program Income Drawdown	\$7,539.01	\$2,940,981.93
Program Income Received	\$21,474.75	\$2,962,744.34



Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$20,705,159.71
HUD Identified Most Impacted and Distressed	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 25,422.41
Match Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 25,422.41
Non-Match Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00

Funds Expended

Overall	This Period	To Date
Ability Building Services, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,822,777.55
Habitat for Humanity of Yankton County	\$ 0.00	\$ 283,681.43
Homes Are Possible, Inc. (HAPI)	\$ 0.00	\$ 943,786.83
JB Holdings LLC	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	\$ 0.00	\$ 120,300.00
NeighborWorks Dakota Home Resources	\$ 0.00	\$ 779,694.87
Oglala Sioux Tribe Partnership for Housing, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 271,934.72
Parkview Villa, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,307,439.00
Pennington County Housing and Redevelopment	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,365,910.00
Pettigrew Heights Limited Partnership	\$ 0.00	\$ 862,000.00
Pheasant Valley Courtyard, LLC	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,599,500.00
Black Hills Area Habitat for Humanity	\$ 0.00	\$ 633,839.15
Rapid City Community Development Corp	\$ 0.00	\$ 119,629.64
Rural America Initiatives	\$ 0.00	\$ 940,875.27
SESDAC	\$ 0.00	\$ 521,901.00
Sakura, LLC	\$ 0.00	\$ 560,421.05
Sicangu Tikiaga Okiciyapi HFH, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 33,088.40
Sioux Empire Housing Partnership	\$ 0.00	\$ 72,053.74
Sioux Falls Housing Corporation	\$ 0.00	\$ 586,892.00
South Dakota Ellsworth Development Authority	\$ 0.00	\$ 2,206,655.55
South Dakota Housing Development Authority1	\$ 0.00	\$ 754,496.63
Southeastern Behavioral HealthCare	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,079,637.73
Black Hills Workshop Foundation	\$ 0.00	\$ 366,000.00
Sunshine Park Limited Partnership	\$ 0.00	\$ 361,991.00
The Harvest Initiative, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 504,025.00
VOA (Volunteers of America), Dakotas	\$ 0.00	\$ 423,423.00
Yankton County Historical Society	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Yankton Women's/Children's Center	\$ 0.00	\$ 840,388.10
City of Centerville	\$ 0.00	\$ 71,245.77
Community Connections, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 589,915.00
Crow Creek Housing Authority	\$ 0.00	\$ 7,680.42
DakotAbilities, Inc.	\$ 0.00	\$ 519,194.34
Faulkton Development Corp.	\$ 0.00	\$ 96,182.52
Habitat for Humanity - Greater Sioux Falls	\$ 0.00	\$ 58,600.00

Progress Toward Required Numeric Targets

Requirement	Target	Projected	Actual
Overall Benefit Percentage	99.99%	.00%	.00%
Minimum Non Federal Match	\$.00	\$.00	\$25,422.41
Overall Benefit Amount	\$21,560,630.19	\$.00	\$.00
Limit on Public Services	\$2,940,000.00	\$.00	\$.00
Limit on Admin/Planning	\$1,960,000.00	\$999,957.89	\$969,172.97
Limit on Admin	\$.00	\$999,957.89	\$969,172.97
Most Impacted and Distressed	\$.00	\$.00	\$.00



Overall Progress Narrative:

For quarter ending March 31, 2021, there was receipt of \$21,474.75 in program income from loan repayment and interest earnings. All program income was budgeted to administration. Funds in the amount of \$7,539.41 were expended for administrative costs, leaving a current available balance of program income in the amount of \$21,762.41.

Project Summary

Project #, Project Title	This Report	To Date	
	Program Funds Drawdown	Project Funds Budgeted	Program Funds Drawdown
1, Homeownership Assistance	\$0.00	\$63,853.02	\$63,853.02
2, Acquisition	\$0.00	\$4,898,653.49	\$4,546,316.47
3, Clearance and Demolition	\$0.00	\$269,015.86	\$144,239.23
4, Redevelopment/Reconstruction	\$0.00	\$15,745,108.55	\$13,457,065.40
5223314, Administration	\$0.00	\$999,957.89	\$683,597.22
6, Land Banking	\$0.00	\$586,155.55	\$586,155.55
9999, Restricted Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activities

Project # / 2 / Acquisition



Grantee Activity Number: 1008-02-2
Activity Title: Black Hills HFH - 420 Watertown

Activity Type:
 Acquisition - general

Project Number:
 2

Projected Start Date:
 05/01/2009

Benefit Type:
 Direct (HouseHold)

National Objective:
 NSP Only - LMMI

Activity Status:
 Completed

Project Title:
 Acquisition

Projected End Date:
 12/31/2010

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:
 Black Hills Area Habitat for Humanity

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$38,752.59
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$57,785.28
Program Income Received	\$2,369.00	\$33,451.81
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Black Hills Area Habitat for Humanity	\$0.00	\$96,537.87
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Acquisition and rehabilitation of 1 foreclosed single family home for sale to homebuyer at or below 60% AMI.

Location Description:

420 East Watertown Street, Rapid City (Pennington County)

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Parcels acquired	0	1/1
# of Properties	0	1/1
Total acquisition compensation	0	42300/42300



	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected	
	Total		Total	
# of Housing Units	0		1/1	
# of Singlefamily Units	0		1/1	

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	1/0	0/1	1/1	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	1/0	0/1	1/1	100.00

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Activity Supporting Documents: None



Grantee Activity Number: 1030-00-2-L
Activity Title: Parkview Villa (fka Heritage Court)

Activity Type:

Acquisition - general

Project Number:

2

Projected Start Date:

04/29/2010

Benefit Type:

Direct (HouseHold)

National Objective:

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

Activity Status:

Completed

Project Title:

Acquisition

Projected End Date:

08/31/2011

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Parkview Villa, Inc.

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,203,174.61
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$104,264.39
Program Income Received	\$10,288.00	\$60,833.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Parkview Villa, Inc.	\$0.00	\$1,307,439.00
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

Acquisition and rehabilitation of a foreclosed, multifamily structure containing 34 units of rental housing. 30 of the 34 total units will be targeting to households at or below 50% AMI. Effective 9/29/11, the total budget was increased due to higher costs for rehab.

Location Description:

105 SE Front Avenue, Wagner (Charles Mix County), SD

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Parcels acquired	0	1/1
# of Properties	0	1/1



Total acquisition compensation

0

600000/71250

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	27/30
# of Multifamily Units	0	27/30

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	27/30	0/0	27/30	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	27/30	0/0	27/30	100.00

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources

	Amount
Other Loan Source	\$190,094.00
Total Other Funding Sources	\$0.00

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Activity Supporting Documents: None



Grantee Activity Number: 1039-00-2

Activity Title: Pleasant Hill Village

Activity Type:

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

Project Number:

2

Projected Start Date:

04/28/2011

Benefit Type:

Direct (HouseHold)

National Objective:

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

Activity Status:

Completed

Project Title:

Acquisition

Projected End Date:

10/01/2012

Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:

Sakura, LLC

Overall

	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$520,511.11
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$39,909.94
Program Income Received	\$5,745.00	\$159,100.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Sakura, LLC	\$0.00	\$560,421.05
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:

This activity involves the acquisition and rehabilitation of a foreclosed, multifamily property consisting of 8 six-plex buildings containing 48 townhouse units. 12 of the 48 units are targeted to households at or below 50% AMI.

Location Description:

1714 North 7th Street, Rapid City, SD (Pennington County)

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Properties	0	2/1

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	24/12
# of Multifamily Units	0	24/12

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	12/12	0/0	12/12	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	12/12	0/0	12/12	100.00

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources

	Amount
Lender Loan	\$1,600,000.00
Other Loan Source	\$200,000.00
Personal Funds	\$262,237.00
Total Other Funding Sources	\$0.00

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / 4 / Redevelopment/Reconstruction



Grantee Activity Number: 1002-02-4
Activity Title: Yankton HFH - 817 Capital Street

Activity Type:
 Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures
Project Number:
 4
Projected Start Date:
 05/01/2009
Benefit Type:
 Direct (HouseHold)
National Objective:
 NSP Only - LMMI

Activity Status:
 Completed
Project Title:
 Redevelopment/Reconstruction
Projected End Date:
 08/31/2010
Completed Activity Actual End Date:

Responsible Organization:
 Habitat for Humanity of Yankton County

Overall	Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$54,800.13
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$6,704.15
Program Income Received	\$3,072.00	\$33,792.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Habitat for Humanity of Yankton County	\$0.00	\$61,504.28
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

Activity Description:
 Redevelopment of vacant property - New Construction of a previously acquired/demolished single family home.

Location Description:
 817 Capital Street, Yankton, Yankton County, SD

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

# of Properties	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
	0	1/1



	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	1/1
# of Singlefamily Units	0	1/1

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

	This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	1/0	0/1	1/1	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	1/0	0/1	1/1	100.00

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources

	Amount
Personal Funds	\$19,425.87
Total Other Funding Sources	\$0.00

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / 5223314 / Administration



Grantee Activity Number: 1000

Activity Title: Administration

Activity Type:

Administration

Project Number:

5223314

Projected Start Date:

04/29/2009

Benefit Type:

N/A

National Objective:

N/A

Activity Status:

Under Way

Project Title:

Administration

Projected End Date:

03/03/2013

Completed Activity Actual End Date:**Responsible Organization:**

South Dakota Housing Development Authority1

Overall**Total Projected Budget from All Sources****Jan 1 thru Mar 31, 2021 To Date**

\$0.00 \$999,957.89

Total Budget

\$21,474.00 \$999,957.89

Total Obligated

\$21,474.75 \$999,957.89

Total Funds Drawdown

\$7,539.01 \$969,172.97

Program Funds Drawdown

\$0.00 \$683,597.22

Program Income Drawdown

\$7,539.01 \$285,575.75

Program Income Received

\$0.75 \$1,394.22

Total Funds Expended

\$0.00 \$754,496.63

South Dakota Housing Development Authority1

\$0.00 \$754,496.63

Most Impacted and Distressed Expended

\$0.00 \$0.00

Activity Description:

Administration of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) in South Dakota. The grantee will use the designated program funds and 10% of all program income for this activity.

Location Description:

NSP funds have been targeted to areas of greatest demonstrated need. These areas have the greatest percentage and number of home foreclosures, highest number and percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan, and those areas identified as likely to face a significant rise in home foreclosure rates. While the number of foreclosures and subprime mortgages in South Dakota are substantially less than other areas around the country, there are a number of foreclosures and sub-prime mortgage in the state, with the highest concentration in the Sioux Falls and Rapid City MSAs. Funds were originally targeted to specific counties and Indian Reservations. The NSP Plan has been amended to authorize the use of NSP funds statewide.

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

No Accomplishments Performance Measures

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Activity Supporting Documents:

None