Grantee: Montana

Grant: B-08-DN-30-0001

April 1, 2019 thru June 30, 2019 Performance Report





| Grant Number: B-08-DN-30-0001 | Obligation Date: | Award Date: |
|---|--|---|
| Grantee Name: Montana | Contract End Date: | Review by HUD: Reviewed and Approved |
| Grant Award Amount: \$19,600,000.00 | Grant Status: Active | QPR Contact: No QPR Contact Found |
| LOCCS Authorized Amount: \$19,600,000.00 | Estimated PI/RL Funds: \$5,435,600.65 | |
| Total Budget: | | |

\$25,035,600.65

Disasters:

Declaration Number

NSP

Narratives

Areas of Greatest Need:

According to HUD, NSP funds must be focused on Areas of Greatest Need and for projects that will have a meaningful impact on communities. The Notice states, "NSP funds will be used to meet the congressionally identified needs of abandoned and foreclosed homes in the targeted areas set forth in the grantee's substantial amendment." HERA requires that NSP funds be targeted to Areas of Greatest Need within the State of Montana and be used or obligated on or before September 19, 2010. Therefore, NSP funds, as required by HERA, can only be dispersed in those areas determined to be an Area of Greatest Need (AGN). In Montana, the following 24 Counties and 7 Indian Reservations have been identified as Areas of Greatest Need: Counties:

Anaconda-Deer Lodge, Big Horn, Broadwater, Butte-Silver Bow, Cascade, Custer, Flathead, Golden Valley, Gallatin, Glacier, Lake, Lewis & Clark, Lincoln, Meagher, Mineral, Missoula, Petroleum, Pondera, Powell, Ravalli, Roosevelt, Rosebud, Sanders, and Yellowstone Indian Reservations:

Blackfeet, Crow, Fort Belknap, Fort Peck, Flathead, Northern Cheyenne, and Rocky Boy

While the Montana NSP Amendment identified the State's Areas of Greatest Need (AGN) as part of an amendment to the State's Consolidated Plan, HUD required that the AGN's identified by the State must also target NSP funds to high risk census tracts, block groups, or neighborhoods within the AGN while meeting the low, moderate and middle income (LMMI) NSP objective. Due to the large geographical area of the counties and the recommendation from HUD, all Areas of Greatest Need identified by the State were eligible to apply for NSP funds. As a result, Montana NSP applicants were required to identify 'sub-areas of greatest need' in their eligible county or reservation using HUD data, data collected by MDOC, or other local data (such as foreclosure filings or the number of homes in default) to define a sub-area of greatest need and appropriate NSP eligible activity proposals.

Distribution and and Uses of Funds:

Distribution and Use of Funds

MDOC began accepting applications from all eligible local governments located within an AGN. As outlined in the MDOC application guidelines, several ranking priorities were used to determine which applications would be approved as eligible. Ranking priorities included: NSP Eligible Activity; Recognizable Impact; Capacity of Grantee; Citizen Participation; Assistance to low income households; and Readiness to Proceed. Absolute priority funding was given to those applications that proposed to address the foreclosure issues in their community and provide at least 25 percent of all NSP awarded funds to assist households at or below 50 percent of area median income. To ensure the assistance to low-income households proposed in any NSP project, MDOC developed contract conditions with required performance levels in order to receive NSP grant payments.

Additionally, lump sum awards were not awarded to eligible applicants due to the unpredictable nature of the housing market to secure foreclosed properties and to ensure that all NSP funds were obligated by September 19, 2010. Therefore, all NSP project funds were awarded through a 'performance based grant' system. The intent of a performance based grant award system was to award NSP funds to those grantees that were first successful in obligating and expending funds for eligible activities. The sub-grantee or local government's role in a performance based system is to assure that approved projects are completed on time, are within the approved budget, and according to the original scope of work. The number of housing units, the budget, and the implementation schedule, provided by the local government and approved by MDOC, is the guide to determine the successful performance of the local government. In this manner, MDOC ensured that approved local governments were continually obligating the funds through a successive project activity contract on an incremental basis. MDOC awarded all NSP funds through



eight approved grantees to carryout NSP eligible activities.

Administrative Funds

The 10 percent of NSP Administrative funds available were distributed as follows: A total of five percent (or \$980,000) was retained by the State to fulfill the administrative and monitoring requirements of the program, and five percent (or \$980,000) was available to local governments receiving NSP grant funds for grant administration. The local government administrative fund amount was directly proportional to the amount of project funds that were awarded.

Eligible Applicants

For the purposes of Montana's NSP program, eligible applicants were limited to Indian reservations, counties, cities, and towns in areas of the State designated as Areas of Greatest Need.

Eligible Activities

MDOC made NSP funds available for the following statutorily eligible activities. NSP funds are eligible to:

- a) Establish financing mechanisms for purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed upon homes and residential properties, including such
- mechanisms as soft-seconds, loan loss reserves, and shared-equity loans for low- and moderate-income homebuyers.

b) Purchase and rehabilitate homes and residential proper

Distribution and and Uses of Funds:

d or foreclosed upon, in order to sell, rent, or redevelop such homes and properties.

- c) Establish land banks for homes that have been foreclosed upon.
- d) Demolish blighted structures.
- e) Redevelop demolished or vacant properties.

Grant Amounts

MDOC strived to distribute NSP funds fairly and reasonably given the strong interest and need for NSP funds and the limited timeframe to obligate the funds. As implemented by MDOC, the performance based grant award system is to award NSP funds to those grantees that were first successful in obligating and expending funds for eligible activities. This grant award system was the key component to successfully obligating all funds by September 19, 2010. MDOC also recommended that eligible applicants apply for funding amounts commensurate with:

- a) Cost of the project;
- b) Amounts of NSP funds available for the specific activity;
- c) Consideration/Collaboration of other proposals submitted from Areas of Greatest Need;
- d) Availability of other funding sources;
- e) The distribution of NSP funds to families or individuals at or below 50% of AMI;
- f) Need of the jurisdiction (HUD Risk Score, subprime lending activities, and Notices of Trustee Sales, i.e., foreclosure filings); and

g) The applicant's capacity to carry out the proposed activities in a timely manner (conformance to the HUD requirements for the 18 month obligation of funds and conformance to the 4 year expenditure of NSP funds).

Award of Funds

As required by HERA, NSP funds must be used or obligated within 18 months of receipt of the State's grant or by September 19, 2010. Therefore, the State implemented an incremental, obligation-based or performance based grant approach for the NSP projects, to assure that the \$19.6 million of NSP funds is used or obligated during the 18-month timeframe of the State's receipt of Montana's NSP funds. Grant Application Selection Criteria and Priorities

MDOC has established the priorities described below that was used in the NSP proposal review process for all NSP applications submitted. Neighborhood Stabilization: MDOC will give priority to those proposals that can demonstrate a strong relationship to neighborhood stabilization activities, which is consistent with existing local housing priorities.

Recognizable Impact: MDOC will give priority to those proposals located within Areas of Greatest Need that can demonstrate the eligible NSP activities would result in a long-term, recognizable and visible impact and would promote overall neighborhood stabilization and community renewal.

Capacity of Applicant and Program Administrators: An applicant must have the management capacity to undertake and satisfactorily complete the project it is proposing. If any question arises during the evaluation as to the management and capability of completing the project, MDOC mayrequestadditionalinforma

Low Income Targeting:

Low-Income Targeting

In compliance with the HERA requirement, at least one-fourth of the total funds obligated through Montana's NSP allocation were available to met the set-aside requirement. Therefore, \$4,900,000 or 25% of the total NSP allocation of \$19.6 million that the State of Montana was available for the purchase and redevelopment of abandoned or foreclosed homes or residential properties for individuals or families whose income does not exceed 50 percent of AMI.

As part of the application ranking priorities, absolute priority funding was given to those applicants that proposed to address the foreclosure issues in their proposed community and provide at least 25 percent of all NSP awarded funds to assist households at or below 590 percent of area median income.

Distribution and and Uses of Funds:

tion.

Citizen Participation Requirements: Public involvement can be a key factor in developing community understanding and support for a proposed NSP project and ultimately lead to a more successful project. By involving the public up-front in the development of NSP grant proposals, potential applicants can make more people aware of the opportunities available through a proposed NSP project.

Assistance to Low-Income Households at or Below 50 percent AMI: In order to meet the requirement that at least 25 percent of all NSP funds assist households at or below 50 percent of the area median income (AMI), the State will give absolute priority to proposals that address foreclosed and abandoned property which will serve persons in this income category.

Priority Need Areas: MDOC will give priority to proposals that address foreclosures and abandonment in the Priority Need Areas or sub-area of greatest need as defined in the NSP substantial amendment and the NSP application guidelines. To gualify for this criterion, an applicant is not required to use all NSP funds in the geographic location(s) defined as Priority Need Areas. The State encouraged the use of local data to identify further priority need areas.

Definitions and Descriptions:

Definitions and Descriptions **Blighted Structure**

The definition of "blighted structure" can include any one of the following categories:

§ Structures that would follow the definition under MCA 7-15-4206 part (a):

o "Blighted Structure" means a structure that is conducive to ill health, transmission of disease, infant mortality, juvenile delinquency, and crime, that substantially impairs or arrests the sound growth of the city or its environs, that retards the provision of housing accommodations, or that constitutes and economic or social liability or is detrimental or constitutes a menace to the public health, safety, welfare and morals in its present conditions and use by reason of substantial physical dilapidation, deterioration, age, obsolescence, or defective construction, material, and arrangement of buildings or improvements, whether residential or non-residential.

§ Units identified as "substantially substandard" or "severely substandard" according to the Point Detraction Guideline utilized in the Montana CDBG Program, Application Guidelines for Housing and Neighborhood Renewal Projects, Appendix L, as follows:

o Substantially Substandard - Unit appears to need substantial repair. (Rehabilitation may not be cost-effective. Alternative forms of assistance may be more appropriate.)

o Severely Substandard - Unit appears dilapidated. Demolition and housing replacement should be considered.

Affordable Rents

For units designated to serve households earning 50% of AMI or less, the rents will be published Low HOME Rents.

For units designated to serve households earning 51% to 80% of AMI, the maximum allowable rent will be calculated to equal 30% of 80% the area's median income for the given year.

For units designated to serve households earning 81% to 120% of AMI, the maximum allowable rent will be calculated to equal 30% of 80% the area's median income for the given year.

Continued Affordability

HUD requires that states ensure, to the maximum extent practicable and for the longest feasible term, that the sale, rental or redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed upon homes and residential properties remain affordable to individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income (AMI). All homebuyer and rental units assisted must include provisions for long-term affordability restrictions.

MDOC will require that all grantees adhere to the MDOC HOME Program affordability requirements and standards as further described in the Montana NSP Amendment. Any rental units assisted or developed with NSP funds fulfilling the requirements to create housing for households with incomes no greater than 50 percent AMI must remain available to households within that income limit for the duration of the MDOC HOME Program affordability period.

Housing Rehabilitation Standards

"Rehabilitation" includes using NSP funds to make improvements to substandard residential structures. NSP housing rehabilitation or related redevelopment projects would focus on bringing housing units up to basic code standards by addressing structural deficiencies, improving electrical systems, plumbing and roofing, and

Definitions and Descriptions:

incorporating energy conservation measures. Abandoned Property

As defined by the HUD within the NSP Notice, "A home is "abandoned" when mortgage or tax foreclosure proceedings have been initiated for that property, no mortgage or tax payments have been made by the property owner for at least 90 days, AND the property has been vacant for at least 90 days.'

Foreclosed Property

HUD has defined "foreclosed property" within the NSP Notice. as "A property "has been foreclosed upon" at the point that, under state or local law, the mortgage or tax foreclosure is complete. HUD generally will not consider a foreclosure to be complete until after the title for the property has been transferred from the former homeowner under some type of foreclosure proceeding or transfer in lieu of foreclosure, in accordance with state or local law." In order to qualify for NSP funds any foreclosed properties cannot be occupied. Area of Greatest Need



The State's definition of "Area of Greatest Need", is locate in a separate section of the action plan. Vacant Property

The State has defined "vacant property" as any property that is an unoccupied structure or unoccupied parcel of land upon which no structure(s) are present.

Public Comment:

&nbp;

2. Please consider the risk to applicants of obligating administrative or project costs without a firm commitment of funds from MDOC.

MDOC Response:

MDOC does not expect eligible applicants to firmly obligate resources in the absence of a commitment of funds from MDOC Additional language was added to the amendment to clarify that MDOC recommends that all NSP recipients clearly state in their procurement actions that all contracts obligating NSP funds are contingent upon the firm receipt of funds from MDOC. This process is similar to standard practice in the regular state CDBG program.

3. Please consider an abbreviated application deadline to ensure a level playing field and to offer a more transparent system.

MDOC Response:

In response to comments from several tribes and small, rural communities from all parts of the State, MDOC has established a three-stage, request for proposals process. This staged process will allow MDOC to provide technical assistance to those who have not worked previously with the State CDBG program, and work with eligible recipients to review preliminary project proposals and to suggest modifications and ensure consistency with NSP requirements.

4. Is a public hearing for NSP proposals required?

MDOC Response:

Yes, MDOC will require one public hearing prior to submission of an application for NSP funds. Three factors influenced this decision: Montana CDBG's long history of encouraging public participation in the development of local CDBG projects, the Montana Constitution's requirement for open government, and the Schweitzer Administration's strong affirmation of openness and citizen participation in State government. The local unit of government will be responsible to hold and document the hearing, which should encourage consultation with intended beneficiaries and local citizens.

- 5. How do you plan to prioritize criteria to fund proposals?
- a. First come/first served?
- b. Greater need: "Qualified Need" vs. "Priority Need" groups?
- c. Most responsive to the NSP criteria?
- d. Permanent, single-family owned affordable housing proposals versus rentals?

MDOC Response:

MDOC is determined to obligate and expend as much as possible of the NSP funds to benefit Montana families during the established timeframes. As required by HUD, MDOC included additional language to clearly state that Montana's NSP funds will be prioritized to address HERA's requirement that at least 25 percent of the funds will be used on foreclosed or abandoned properties that will assist families or individuals whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income. See additional discussion under Section B of the Amendment, Distribution Process.

6. Please consider allowing eligible recipients to use the most current local data in lieu of the data referenced in the draft. Local cur

Acquisition and Relocation:

Acquisition and Relocation

The HUD NSP Notice requires information on replacement for all housing units for low and moderate-income households that are lost due to demolition or modification of housing, the HUD NSP Notice requires information about how such losses will be mitigated. One-for-one replacement is required under the regular CDBG program as found in 24 CFR 42.375.

While no NSP project applications, received by MDOC, proposed to demolish an occupied property; MDOC did provide applicants the opportunity to apply for NSP funds for projects that may have proposed a voluntary demolition of an occupied residence that would have been considered unsuitable for habitation to assist families at or below 120% AMI. Relocation assistance for occupants of structures proposed for demolition must be voluntary. The individual or family would receive assistance according to the Uniform Relocation Act. No resident would be forcibly removed from the property and Montana would not exercise eminent domain under any circumstances for projects utilizing NSP funds.

Public Comment:

Public Comment

Prior to the publication, the State of Montana encouraged initial comments from the public to assist in drafting the amendment. Presentations or conferences regarding NSP involving MDOC staff prior to November 13, 2008, the date of publication of the amendment were: NSP Presentations Given Statewide

- League of Cities and Towns in Missoula on October 9, 2008.
- Montana Association of Counties in Hamilton on September 24, 2008.
- Four statewide housing workshops held at the following locations:

o Glendive - October 15, 2008



o Billings – October 16, 2008

o Missoula - October 29, 2008

o Great Falls - October 30, 2008

A two-hour statewide combined Go-to-Webinar (internet-based conference call) and METNET (video-conferencing) on November 20. MDOC staff presented this amendment and provided an opportunity for comments and questions.

NSP Presentations Given to all Montana Tribes

- Conference call with representatives of several Montana reservations, Governor's Office of Economic Development, and local HUD Field Representatives on October 5, 2008.

A two-hour statewide combined Go-to-Webinar (internet-based conference call) and METNET (video-conferencing) on November 20. MDOC staff presented this amendment and provided an opportunity for comments and questions.

A meeting on November 21 in Billings with representatives of several Indian tribes, reservations and a representative of NeighborWorks Montana to discuss how NSP funds can be used by Montana's tribes.

General Public Correspondence to NSP

Numerous e-mail's, letters and phone calls with elected officials and non-profit organizations.

Summary of Public Comments on Montana's NSP Amendment

Montana Department of Commerce (MDOC) received the following comments on Montana's draft action plan amendment for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program during the 15 day public comment period held November 13 through November 27, 2008. Comments were grouped according to common subject areas. MDOC responses are as follows:

1. Please consider how difficult it is to design, build, and sell or lease up within the 12 month limit for redevelopment of demolished or vacant properties, especially if procurement is involved.

MDOC Response:

MDOC agrees that the proposed time frame is too restrictive for most prospective applicants. The following change has been inserted into the final amendment: "Occupancy of any project will need to be completed within four years of the date of HUD's approval of the MDOC NSP Amendment.

Public Comment:

d pertinent.

MDOC Response:

MDOC encourages applicants in Areas of Greatest Need to use local data to support their NSP proposals. Each eligible applicant should highlight pertinent local data within its proposal(s), wherever relevant. All such data will be considered by MDOC during application review by MDOC.

7. Foreclosure is not currently as big a problem in Montana as in some other states. Please consider the fact that Montana's market trends lag behind national trends, so foreclosures and the risk of foreclosures have not yet impacted many places in Montana.

MDOC Response:

MDOC has collected data from many sources demonstrating an acceleration of the foreclosure problem in the Areas of Greatest Need.

8. Please consider a plan to distribute funding through regional organizations or non-profits.

MDOC Response:

MDOC has established that eligible applicants will be limited to reservations and local governments located within the designated Areas of Greatest Need for submitting proposals to MDOC when the RFP process has begun. Federal regulations require that grant recipients have adequate management capacity and financial management systems that meet generally accepted accounting principles. Given the limited time frames for NSP implementation, MDOC believes that this responsibility is best assured by limiting eligible applicants to Indian reservations and general purpose local governments (counties and municipalities) Many of Montana's Indian tribes are familiar with CDBG requirements because of past experience with the HUD Indian CDBG Program. Likewise, many counties and municipalities are also familiar with CDBG requirements due to past experience with either the HUD Entitlement CDBG Program or the State CDBG Program. See discussion under Section B of the Amendment, Eligible Applicants.

However, MDOC encourages regional and non-profit organizations to partner with tribes and local governments to develop and implement NSP proposals.

9. Please consider redistribution of funds within a region to accomplish the benefit within respective regions.

MDOC Response:

HERA has established that NSP funds can only be used in the Areas of Greatest Need and obligated or used within 18 months, this timeframe begins the date that HUD approves Montana's amendment. If funds are not obligated before the end of 18 months, HUD will recapture the funds. Due to the complex and challenging regulatory framework for NSP, the redistribution of NSP funds to a regional area could restrict the implementation of Montana's NSP program.

10. Please consider a streamlined approach to the application and reporting requirements.

MDOC Response:

Many of the application requirements are dictated by HUD; however, MDOC has proposed a three-stage RFP process to allow all



eligible recipients time to respond with proposals that are consistent with the NSP. See additional discussion underSectionBintheAmendment,

Public Comment:

Distribution Process.

11. Several Comments were received requesting set-asides for the following categories:

- o Community Land Trusts,
- o Elderly Persons,
- o Tribes,
- o Entitlement Areas,
- o Specific geographic regions in the State, and
- o Non-profit organizations.

MDOC Response:

The NSP Federal Register Notice established the eligible uses of NSP funds. Additionally, MDOC has proposed a three-stage RFP process to quickly implement Montana's NSP and to be responsive to the needs of all of the various interest groups. In addition, MDOC is concerned that allocating NSP funds through categorical set-asides could create a potential obstacle for assuring that all the NSP funds will be committed to local projects within the required 18 month timeframe. See additional discussion under Section B in the Amendment, Award of Funds.

| Overall | This Report Period | To Date |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$25,035,600.65 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$25,035,600.65 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$23,202,068.83 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$23,022,355.01 |
| Program Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$19,599,999.97 |
| Program Income Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$3,422,355.04 |
| Program Income Received | \$0.00 | \$3,591,461.52 |
| Total Funds Expended | \$0.00 | \$22,845,118.96 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Expended | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Match Contributed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Progress Toward Required Numeric Targets

| Requirement | Target | Actual |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Overall Benefit Percentage (Projected) | | 0.00% |
| Overall Benefit Percentage (Actual) | | 0.00% |
| Minimum Non-Federal Match | \$0.00 | \$7,000,000.00 |
| Limit on Public Services | \$2,940,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Limit on Admin/Planning | \$1,960,000.00 | \$1,429,985.59 |
| Limit on Admin | \$0.00 | \$1,429,985.59 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Threshold (Projected) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Progress towards LH25 Requirement | \$6,258,900.16 | \$6,636,746.99 |

Overall Progress Narrative:

Montana staff is actively working with a TA provider to update reporting and reconcile NSP activity data. TA provider recommends not going back in time to reflect activity for QPRs that are delinquent, nor to provide reporting for each activity in this, or past due QPRs. We will have fully reconciled data to input for the next reporting period and will be fully reconciled in the next 30 days, with TA provider assistance. We are on track to closeout the entire grant by mid September.



Project Summary

| Project #, Project Title | This Report Period | To Dat | e |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Program Funds Drawdown | Project Funds Budgeted | Program Funds Drawdown |
| 0001, NSP Eligible Use A - Financing Mechanisms SF | \$0.00 | \$1,081,969.91 | \$0.00 |
| 0002, NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, New Construction | \$0.00 | \$6,468,500.00 | \$6,468,500.00 |
| 0003, NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, Demolition, New | \$0.00 | \$4,900,000.00 | \$4,900,000.00 |
| 0004, NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition & Rehab of SF | \$0.00 | \$5,833,198.09 | \$4,315,168.00 |
| 0005, NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition & Rehab for CLT | \$0.00 | \$5,145,998.00 | \$2,646,127.97 |
| 607000- SA, State Administration | \$0.00 | \$865,000.00 | \$865,000.00 |
| 607000- SGA, Sub Grantee Admin | \$0.00 | \$740,934.65 | \$405,204.00 |



Activities

Project # / Title: 0003 / NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, Demolition, New

| Grantee Activity Number: | Missoula 1 SA | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Activity Title: | Acquisition | |
| | | |
| | A attactive Otations | |

| Activitiy Category: | Activity Status: |
|--|--|
| Acquisition - general | Under Way |
| Project Number: | Project Title: |
| 0003 | NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, Demolition, New |
| Projected Start Date: | Projected End Date: |
| 12/01/2009 | 07/31/2013 |
| Benefit Type: Direct (HouseHold) | Completed Activity Actual End Date: |
| National Objective: NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside | Responsible Organization: City of Missoula |
| | |

| Overall | Apr 1 thru Jun 30, 2019 | To Date |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$488,455.89 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$488,455.89 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$488,455.89 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$488,455.89 |
| Program Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$488,455.89 |
| Program Income Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Program Income Received | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Funds Expended | \$0.00 | \$488,455.89 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Expended | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Match Contributed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Activity Description:

! Proposed household and unit counts are for this activity are listed under new construction activity for this grantee Activity 1 -Acquisition of foreclosed property to complete activities two and three for City of Missoula. All activities reported under Missoula 3, Missoula 3 SA

Location Description:

1311 E Broadway, Missoula MT

Activity Progress Narrative:



Accomplishments Performance Measures

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Total | Total |
| # of Properties | 0 | 0/0 |
| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
| | Total | Total |
| # of Housing Units | 0 | 0/0 |

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

| | т | his Report Peric | bd | Cumula | tive Actual Tota | I / Expected | |
|---------------------|-----|------------------|-------|--------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| | Low | Mod | Total | Low | Mod | Total | Low/Mod |
| # of Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0 |
| # Renter Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0 |

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



Missoula 2 Clearance and demolition

| Activitiy Category: | Activity Status: |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Clearance and Demolition | Under Way |
| Project Number: | Project Title: |
| 0003 | NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, Demolition, New |
| Projected Start Date: | Projected End Date: |
| 03/01/2010 | 06/01/2010 |
| Benefit Type: Direct (HouseHold) | Completed Activity Actual End Date: |
| National Objective: | Responsible Organization: |
| NSP Only - LMMI | City of Missoula |

| Overall | Apr 1 thru Jun 30, 2019 | To Date |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$112,500.00 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$112,500.00 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$112,500.00 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$112,500.00 |
| Program Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$112,500.00 |
| Program Income Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Program Income Received | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Funds Expended | \$0.00 | \$112,500.00 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Expended | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Match Contributed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Activity Description:

! Proposed household and unit counts are for this activity are listed under new construction activity for this grantee Activity 2 for City of Missoula - Demolition of foreclosed property, clearing of site in preparation for new construction (activity 3). All activities reported under Missoula 3, Missoula 3 SA

Location Description:

1311 East Broadway, Missoula MT 59802

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Total | Total |
| # of Properties | 0 | 0/0 |
| # of buildings (non-residential) | 0 | 0/0 |
| # of public facilities | 0 | 0/0 |



| # of Businesses | 0 | 0/0 |
|---------------------------------|---|-----|
| # of Non-business Organizations | 0 | 0/0 |

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Total | Total |
| # of Housing Units | 0 | 0/0 |

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

| | This | This Report Period | | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected | | cpected | |
|-----------------|------|--------------------|-------|---|-----|---------|---------|
| | Low | Mod | Total | Low | Mod | Total | Low/Mod |
| # of Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0 |

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount





Missoula 2 SA Clearance and demo

| Activitiy Category: | Activity Status: |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Clearance and Demolition | Under Way |
| Project Number: | Project Title: |
| 0003 | NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition, Demolition, New |
| Projected Start Date: | Projected End Date: |
| 03/01/2010 | 06/01/2010 |
| Benefit Type: Direct (HouseHold) | Completed Activity Actual End Date: |
| National Objective: | Responsible Organization: |
| NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside | City of Missoula |

| Overall | Apr 1 thru Jun 30, 2019 | To Date |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$37,500.00 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$37,500.00 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$37,500.00 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$37,500.00 |
| Program Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$37,500.00 |
| Program Income Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Program Income Received | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Funds Expended | \$0.00 | \$37,500.00 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Expended | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Match Contributed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Activity Description:

! Proposed household and unit counts are for this activity are listed under new construction activity for this grantee Demo and clearance of site acquired in Activity 1, preparation for new construction. All activities reported under Missoula 3, Missoula 3 SA

Location Description:

1311 East Broadway, Missoula MT

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Total | Total |
| # of Properties | 0 | 0/0 |





0

of Housing Units

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total

0/0

Project # / Title: 0004 / NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition & Rehab of SF

| Grantee Activity Number: | ADLC 2 |
|--|--|
| Activity Title: | Rehabilitation/Reconstruction |
| | |
| Activitiy Category: | Activity Status: |
| Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential struc | ures Under Way |
| Project Number: | Project Title: |
| 0004 | NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition & Rehab of SF |
| Projected Start Date: | Projected End Date: |
| 03/01/2010 | 07/31/2013 |
| Benefit Type: | Completed Activity Actual End Date: |
| Direct (HouseHold) | |
| National Objective: | Responsible Organization: |
| NSP Only - LMMI | Anaconda Deer Lodge County |
| | |
| | |

| Overall | Apr 1 thru Jun 30, 2019 | To Date |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$809,405.00 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$809,405.00 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$809,405.00 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$809,405.00 |
| | | |



| \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$809,405.00 \$0.00 |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$0.00 | \$809,405.00 |
| \$0.00 \$0.00 | \$0.00 \$0.00 |
| | \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 |

Activity Description:

rehabilitation of acquired single family homes for resale to LMMI

Location Description:

scattered site single family foreclosed homes in eastern portion in City of Anaconda MT

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Total | Total |
| # of Properties | 0 | 0/4 |
| | | |
| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected |
| | Total | Total |

0

of Housing Units

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

| | This | Report Period | | Cumulative | Actual Total / Ex | kpected | |
|-----------------|------|---------------|-------|------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| | Low | Mod | Total | Low | Mod | Total | Low/Mod |
| # of Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0/4 | 0/4 | 0 |

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount

0/4



| Activitiy Category: | Activity Status: |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Acquisition - general | Under Way |
| Project Number: | Project Title: |
| 0004 | NSP Eligible Use B - Acquisition & Rehab of SF |
| Projected Start Date: | Projected End Date: |
| 11/18/2009 | 07/30/2013 |
| Benefit Type: Direct(HouseHold) | Completed Activity Actual End Date: |
| National Objective: | Responsible Organization: |
| NSP Only - LMMI | Pondera County |

| Overall | Apr 1 thru Jun 30, 2019 | To Date |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| Total Projected Budget from All Sources | N/A | \$25,849.47 |
| Total Budget | \$0.00 | \$25,849.47 |
| Total Obligated | \$0.00 | \$25,849.47 |
| Total Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$25,849.47 |
| Program Funds Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$25,849.47 |
| Program Income Drawdown | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Program Income Received | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Funds Expended | \$0.00 | \$25,849.47 |
| Most Impacted and Distressed Expended | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Match Contributed | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

Activity Description:

! Proposed household and unit counts are for this activity are listed under rehab/reconstruction activity for this grantee Acquisition of vacant foreclosed home to be demolished, redeveloped and resold to a household at or below 120% of AMI. Activities reported under Pondera 2 NSA adn Pondera 2 SA

Location Description:

foreclosed properties in Pondera County

Activity Progress Narrative:

Accomplishments Performance Measures

| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Total | Total | | | |
| # of Properties | 0 | 0/0 | | | |





| | This Report Period | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Total | Total | | | |
| # of Housing Units | 0 | 0/0 | | | |

Beneficiaries Performance Measures

| | Thi | This Report Period | | | Cumulative Actual Total / Expected | | |
|-----------------|-----|--------------------|-------|-----|------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| | Low | Mod | Total | Low | Mod | Total | Low/Mod |
| # of Households | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0/0 | 0 |

Activity Locations

No Activity Locations found.

Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail

No Other Match Funding Sources Found

Other Funding Sources

No Other Funding Sources Found Total Other Funding Sources Amount



