# **Grantee: Delaware**

Grant: B-08-DN-10-0001

# July 1, 2021 thru September 30, 2021 Performance

Grant Number: Obligation Date: Award Date:

B-08-DN-10-0001

Grantee Name: Contract End Date: Review by HUD:

Delaware

Reviewed and Approved

**Grant Award Amount:**\$19,600,000.00

Active

Active

Angelique Lord

LOCCS Authorized Amount: Estimated PI/RL Funds:

\$19,600,000.00 \$9,744,326.24

**Total Budget:** \$29,344,326.24

**Disasters:** 

**Declaration Number** 

NSP

### **Narratives**

### **Areas of Greatest Need:**

Congress passed Public Law 110-289, the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA), which created the Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1 (NSP 1), which provides \$3.92 billion to states, counties and cities in order to acquire, rehabilitate, demolish and redevelop foreclosed and abandoned residential properties. On October 6, 2008, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published the final notice of allocation formula and program regulations in the Federal Register Vol. 73. No. 194. HUD devised a formula to allocate funds based on the number of foreclosures, sub-prime and delinquent loans in each jurisdiction. Hence, a statutory requirement was issued that each state receives a minimum allocation of 0.5% of the total \$3.92 billion, which equates to \$19.6 million. This document is a substantial amendment to the FY 2008 Action Plan submitted by the State of Delaware to HUD for the NSP. The Action Plan is the annual update to the Consolidated Plan for FY 2008 - 2012. This amendment outlines the expected distribution and use of \$19,600,000 allocated to the State of Delaware through the NSP authorized by HERA. The State of Delaware is the only designated recipient of the NSP funds within Delaware. The purpose of the NSP is to assist communities that have been, or are likely to be, affected by foreclosed and abandoned properties, while providing affordable rental and homeownership opportunities to households at or below 120 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) in areas of greatest need. Further, 25 percent of the total NSP funds must be targeted to provide homeownership or rental housing to households whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of AMI. The Delaware State Housing Authority (DSHA) will implement the SP funds and ensure they are delivered expeditiously to the areas of greatest need across the entire State. DSHA will use the NSP funds for the purposes intended - to promote neighborhood stabilization where subprime lending, foreclosure and housing vacancies have negatively affected the housing market. Eigible uses of the NSP funds must meet a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) national objective as stated in Title 1 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 - which states the eligible activities must benefit low- and moderate-income persons. For the purposes of these funds, HUD also created a middle-income category for households between 80% and 120% of AMI. The eligible activities for the NSP are as follows: , , Use A: Establish financing mechanisms for purchase and redevelopment of foreclosed upon homes and residential properties, including such mechanisms as soft-seconds, loan loss reserves, and shared-equity loans for low-, moderate- and middle-income (LMMI) homebuyer , Use B: Purchase and rehabilitate homes and residential properties that have been abandoned or foreclosed upon, in order to sell rent or redevelop such homes and properties , Use C: Establish land banks for homes that have been foreclosed upon , Use D: Demolish blighted structures , Use E: Redevelop demolished or vacant properties , , In Delaware, foreclosures have had an impact on mny neighborhoods throughout the State. In somelurban aother newer suburban communities' foreclosures have increased due to overbuilding of homes not affordable to many residents of that community and recent loose lendi

### **Areas of Greatest Need:**

ng practices. In addition, there is some indication that many freclosures on moderate-cost housing in low-income neighborhoods may be related to people using the equity in their home during a time of increasing home values. This practice led to no resources for homeowners to fall back upon once the home values stopped increasing and they had little or no equity in their homes. , , AREAS OF GREATEST NEED , , DSHA prioritized the geographic areas of greatest need by zip code u

### **Distribution and and Uses of Funds:**

DSHA utilized a competitive RFP process to allocate the States funds. This process began with the development of a Draft Delaware NSP RFP. This Draft RFP was distributed at a NSP Open Forum held on October 14, 2008; advertised through a Legal Notice in a newspaper of general circulation throughout the State of Delaware; a DSHA Highlights e-mail direct mailing to over 3,000 parties and individuals interested in housing in Delaware; and on the DSHA website. DSHAs NSP Open Forum was open to all interested parties and explained the NSP and DSHAs NSP RFP process. All parties that attended the NSP



Open Forum were invited to participate in a NSP Question and Answer Conference Call on October 16, 2008, hosted by a panel from DSHA. The final Delaware Neighborhood Stabilization Program Request for Proposals and Application package was posted on DSHAs website on October 17, 2008. Applications were due to DSHA at 4:00 on Friday, October 31, 2008 at the DSHA offices in either Dover or Wilmington. Eligible applicants included units of local government and nonprofits. DSHA strongly suggested that applicants join together and submit a single application using a strong lead applicant and partners with experience in the areas of expertise that would lead to a strong comprehensive NSP. DSHA received nine applications totaling \$60,485,250.00, of which one application did not meet the minimum threshold requirements. The eight eligible applications were reviewed and ranked by DSHA staff and interested parties by the following criteria: , , Areas 25 points, Impact on communities 15 points, Management capacity of greatest need 10 points , Promoting long-term and partnerships 15 points, Low-income targeting 10 points , Budget and affordability 15 points, Program description 5 points, Performance measurements 5 points , , , leveraging

### **Distribution and and Uses of Funds:**

; 100 POINTS TOTAL , Areas of greatest need was given the most weight to ensure that need was the primary factor in recommending funding and the following three areas were considered: , , (A) the number and percentage of home foreclosures in each unit of general local government , (B) the number and percentage of homes financed by a subprime mortgage related loan in each State or unit of general local government , (C) data indicating areas likely

### **Acquisition and Relocation:**

bsp; 44 148 The number of NSP affordable housing units made available to low- , moderate-, and middle-income households - i.e., = 120% of area median income - reasonably expected to be produced by activity and income level as provided for in DRGR, by each NSP activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule f

### **Definitions and Descriptions:**

(1) Definition of BLIGHTED STRUCTURE in context of state or local law. Response: According to HUD, a structure is blighted when it exhibits objectively determinable signs of deterioration sufficient to constitute a threat to human health, safety, and public welfare. Further, DSHA considers a structure to be blighted if it does not meet the Delaware State Housing Code or the local building code for each subgrantee. (2) Definition of AFFORDABLE RENTS. \*Note: Grantees may use the definition they have adopted for their CDBG program but should review their existing definition to ensure compliance with NSP program –specific requirements such as continued affordability. Response: For any NSP-funded rental activity, affordable rents are defined as 30 percent of the household's monthly gross income, less utility allowances. Total monthly costs (rent plus tenant-paid utilities) cannot exceed 30 percent of the HUD AMI for the appropriate County, household size, bedroom size, and target income group. DSHA annually prepares and updates Utility Allowance Charts for Kent and Sussex Counties that show the applicable utility allowance for each tenant-provided utility. New Castle County prepares a similar chart for New Castle County utility allowances. (3) Describe how the grantee will ensure continued affordability for NSP assisted housing. Response: HUD requires that States ensure, to the maximum extent practicable and for the longest feasible term, that the sale, rental or redevelopment of abandoned and foreclosed upon homes and residential properties remain affordable to individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of AMI. Additionally, for units originally assisted with funds to meet the requirement, that 25 percent of the funds be used to assist households with incomes at or below 50 percent of AMI, and that these units remain affordable to households with incomes at or below 50 percent of AMI. All proposals submitted to and accepted by DSHA included at least the minimum long-term affordability restrictions as set forth in the RFP. The following is the threshold criteria: SUBSIDY AMOUNT MIN. AFFORDABILITY PERIOD MINIMUM forth in the RFP. The following is the threshold criteria: RESTRICTION , , Less than \$10,000 5 Years Subsidy recapture, reduced by 20% each year, \$10,001 - \$20,000 Subsidy recapture, reduced by 15% each year, \$20,001 10 Years \$40,000 15 Years Subsidy recapture, reduced by 10% each year, \$40,001 - \$60,000

### **Definitions and Descriptions:**

; 20 Years Subsidy recapture, reduced by 5% each year , \$60,001 and above Years &

### Low Income Targeting:

At least \$4,900,000 of the NSP funds will be utilized for housing individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

The following is a projected breakdown by subgrantees:

SUBRECIPIENT	TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS	TARGET POPULATIONS AT OR BELOW 50% AMI
,		
• Dover	22	6
• Wilmington	65	13
New Castle County	55	11
• Kent County	25	7
Sussex County	25	7
,		

### Low Income Targeting:

/p>

Our currentestimate of funds to be used for assistinghouseholds with incomes at 50% of the AMI and under would be \$5,407,925 (about 28% of NSP funds). However, this is an estimate and actual use of funds may be higher or lower, but will be no less than \$4,900,000 or 25% of the funds provided to Delaware under the NSP. While DSHA commits to using 25% of the funds for housing for people at or below 50% AMI, we may not be able to deliver 25% of the total units to people in those income ranges due to the higher subsidy needed to serve people with lower incomes.



### **Acquisition and Relocation:**

Indicate whether grantee intends to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income dwelling units (i.e., = 80% of area median income). If so, include the number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units - i.e., = 80% of area median income - reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities. DSHA expects that nine units of housing in New Castle County (including the City of Wilmington) will be demolished and redeveloped as a direct result of NSP-assisted activities. These units are likely to be in older more urban areas. DSHA will work with the subgrantees to ensure that the demolition activity is limited to those areas identified as redevelopment areas. Units to be demolished will be substandard, vacant units and would not require relocation. SUBRECIPIENT TOTAL UNITS At or below 50% AMI 51% to 120% AMI , , Dover 22 6 16 , Wilmington

65 13 52 , New Castle County 55 11 44 , Kent County 25 7 18 , Sussex County 25 7 18 , . . . TOTAL 192 &n

### **Public Comment:**

ns or in an effort to spread the NSP funds around, did not provide an application that demonstrated a plan to use NSP funds to stabilize communities in a strategic way. Sussex County asked for a large percentage of the NSP State allocation to purchase and resale properties throughout the County. DSHA provided adequate funding to allow Sussex County to make a major impact in a few areas of greatest need.

Comments from Brandy A. Bennett, Sussex County Housing Coordinator

### Response:

Many of the issues identified in Ms. ennetts letter have been addressed elsewhere in the comments. Our clear understanding is that NSP funding needs to be targeted to areas of greatest need and directed to pockets of critical need. While we understand Sussex Countys desire for flexibility in targeting the funding based on actual foreclosure activity at the time the funding is available, we need to target the funds in our Plan to HUD due December 1, 2008 to a much more local level than Countywide.

Our Request for Proposals specifically indicated that targeting areas of greatest need was a priority and was the factor receiving the most points in our scoring process. DSHA staff encouraged Sussex County to target the zip codes with high foreclosures and subprime loans and even provided direction as to which zip codes in Sussex appeared to have significant need. Usingwww.HousingPolicy.orginformation on foreclosures which has a rating of relative need Statewide, Sussex County has no zip codes in the top 10 areas of need for Delaware and only one zip code in the top 15 areas of need. However, DSHA considered other data including foreclosure data provided by Sussex County and elected to fund additional zip codes beyond the two that appear to have greater relative need using HUD's methodology for establishing relative need.

Comments from Kevin Gilmore, Executive Director, Sussex County Habitat for Humanity

#### Response:

All of the issues identified in Mr. Gilmore's letter have been addressed elsewhere in the comments.

### **Public Comment:**

This section provides a summary of public comments received to the proposed NSP Substantial Amendment.

DSHA provided an opportunity to comment on the draft plan from November 12, 2008 through November 26, 2008. DSHA posted the NSP Substantial Amendment on the Agency web-site and provided it at several public locations. DSHA published the notice of the NSP amendments comment period in the States two main Newspapers. Those notices provided the following information:

Persons who would like to comment on this Substantial Amendment may send those comments to Andy Lorenz, Delaware State Housing Authority, 18 The Green, Dover, DE 19901 or Andy@destatehousing.com. Comments must be received by 4:00 p.m. on November 26, 2008.

The Substantial Amendment to the Delaware FY 2008 Annual Action Plan is available on DSHAs website at www.destatehousing.com and at the following locations:

### KENT COUNTY

- Delaware State Housing Authority, 18 The Green, Dover, DE 19901
- Kent County Comm. Develop. Section, Kent County Complex, 555 Bay Road, Dover, DE 19901
- City of Dover Public Library, 45 South State Street, Dover, DE 19901

### SUSSEX COUNTY

- Sussex County, Sussex County Administrative Building West Complex, P.O. Box 589, Georgetown, DE 19947
- Seaford Public Library, 402 North Porter Street, Seaford, DE 19973

### NEW CASTLE COUNTY

- Delaware State Housing Authority, Carvel State Office Building, 820 North French Street, Tenth Floor, Wilmington, DE 19801
  - New Castle County Department of Community Services, Community Development and Housing Division, New Castle



County Government Center, 87 Reads Way, New Castle, DE 19720-1603

• Wilmington Public Library, Central Library, 10th and Market Streets, Wilmington, DE 19801

,

DSHA received comment on 11/25/08 from Van Temple at the Diamond State Community Land Trust, Representative Daniel B. Short, 39th District, State Representative and Ralph W. Peters Jr., President of Sussex County Habitat for Humanity Board of Directors. Copies of the letters are attached as appendix 3. The comments are in italics below, with DSHAs responses:

Comments from Van Temple, Diamond State Community Land Trust

### Comment

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the Substantial Amendment developed to include the Neighborhood Stabilization Program funding that is to be made available to Delaware. From our telephone conversations since the Substantial Amendment was posted I understand that DSHA intends to submit an NSP plan to HUD that will allow partners like Diamond State CLT to continue in the interim period to pursue financial innovations that may expand the size and scope of not only permanently affordable owner-occupied housing, but all the other initiatives as well. As time goes by, we are seeing more groups across the country work on financing mechanisms that go well beyond the typical leveraging of FHLB funds, for instance.

We strongly encourage DSHA to look at ways to expand this one-time NSP funding stimulusn

### **Public Comment:**

g thelevel with the entire \$19.6 million before it has been distributed to the County/City sub-recipients. Leveraging at the sub-recipient level in smaller dollar amounts is not likely to yield the overall expansion of the funds that are possible at the state level.

DSCLT is continuing to develop its New Markets Tax Credits (NMTC) Leveraging plans. We are very close to having NMTC commitment letters and we already have the key players for our approach in place. Over the next few weeks we intend to present this plan to the County/City sub-recipients and other implementation partners to seek their support. Our intent in pursuing this initiative is to HELP MAKE THE PIE BIGGER for the whole of Delaware and take full advantage of this much needed foreclosure recovery stimulus.

#### Response:

DSHA encourages all jurisdictions recommended for funding to continue to discuss creative ways to use NSP funds to leverage additional funds to address the needs of neighborhoods impacted by foreclosures. To the extent that jurisdictions would like to work together to use New Market Tax Credits to make a greater impact, DSHA will be supportive and as flexible as possible.

#### Comment:

From our telephone conversations I understand that DSHA expects a substantial portion of the homes for sale to become a part of the states permanently affordable housing stock. When I read the Amendment I see that reflected in the language. However, I am not sure that the groups understand that same intent. Since the Amendment was issued I have had conversations with two of the five successful sub-recipients and neither one has that understanding. -Diamond State CLT

### Response

DSHA has revised the sections in each of the activities and in the narrative to try and make it clearer that we expect a portion of the homes for sale to become part of the States permanently-affordable housing stock.

### Comment

Permanent Affordability Intent. Part of what makes the Amendment not as clear as it could be is the wording inconsistency between the Threshold Criteria table and the NSP Information by Activity for each County/City group. The Threshold Criteria indicates that for subsidy amounts of \$60,001 and above the subsidy retention period is 30 years. At the same time in each County/City Section G. 11, For housing related activities states - A subsidy of \$60,000 or more would require that the home become a part of Delaware's permanently affordable housing stock. This appears to be an internal inconsistency that should be resolve.

### Response:

There was an internal inconsistency within the document as identified above, DSHA has resolved this inconsistency by adding language to section C-3 and revising the language in County/City Section G.11, Duration of term of assistance.

### Comment:

A second question within the Section G. 11 wording - "A subsidy of \$60,000 or more..." I assume that this is referring to the net amount of NSP funding that goes into a particular house after the sales proceeds are accounted for and not the total of all subsidy sources going into the house. Is this the proper understanding? I am sure there will be other non-NSP subsidies goinito many othshoe-siibptakiqreteeapm/gHml/g&spt;apm;lap;;/&am;;&apt&mt

### **Public Comment:**

/p>

### Response:

This is correct; the affordability restrictions would only apply to the net NSP funding provided to support the home being moved from foreclosure to productive use. The wording has been revised to make it clear that these restrictions only apply to the NSP portion of the subsidy.

### Comment

Zip Code Duplication. Some zip codes that are duplicated in the different jurisdictions. These include 19904, 19802 and 19963. If the allocations for these zip codes could be split based on the percentage of population served by one jurisdiction or another, or be allocated, in full to a single jurisdiction - this would enable the state to expand the program into other areas that are in need, but not currently represented in the plan.

### Response

Several zip codes cross jurisdictions, in the case of 19802, Wilmington and New Castle each indicated that they would be working in the part of the zip code within their jurisdiction. In the case of other zip codes, this was not detailed in the applications, but DSHA will ensure that Governments awarded the NSP funding will work only in those areas that fall within their jurisdiction, unless agreements dictating otherwise are developed between jurisdictions.

### Comment:

Opportunity to Review. We request that the RFP applicants are given an opportunity to review their scoring, as well as the



proposals and scoring of the other jurisdictions. While the plan submitted to HUD is flexible in nature, it does not detail how each jurisdiction specifically plans to do the work. I would assume that the jurisdictions would like the opportunity to share best practices and ideas as this will be a state-wide effort.

#### Response

DSHA would be willing to provide individual feedback on scoring to applicants requesting a debriefing. Applicants are aware of other jurisdictions that have been recommended for funding and are welcome to share information about planned implementation efforts. DSHA does plan to offer opportunities for jurisdictions planning to participate in the NSP to discuss how they plan to implement their activities through future conference calls and meetings.

#### Comment:

Miscellaneous. Sussex County Consolidated Plan is not listed as an appendix like the other jurisdictions. It would also be helpful if Appendix 1 were made available to the public and the jurisdictions to further understand DSHAs allocation process.

#### Response

Sussex County does not prepare a Consolidated Plan for HUD, but is included in the Consolidated Plan submitted by DSHA for Kent and Sussex Counties. It is located

at www.destatehousing.com/information/informationmedia/consol\_action\_plan2008.pdf

Appendix 1 is the zip code information that was provided to all applicants at the public forum held on 10/14/08 and provided on the DSHA web-site as a data source for applicants to use. This is the first data that DSHA had, but is not the only data used in making funding recommendations. On 10/23/08, we were notified that the HUD data, along with other data, was available and mapped on www.Policymaps.com. We reviewed this data as well asata oww.houinpolcyorgnbp;annb;fodhatfalyosien&ayp;s/p&pg; &a:&m:

### **Public Comment:**

уp

#### Comment:

Using the data provided by DSHA for foreclosure filings in Delaware, the Sussex County zip codes in the States action plan represent 13.45 of the foreclosure filings represented in the plan, yet the county was only allocated 10.75% of the funding. While the subprime lending numbers were less, just 9.82%, a median allocation would be 11.64% or \$2,165,040.

Comparatively, the City of Wilmington's median foreclosure filing and subprime scoring percentage is 17.34% yet they were allocated 30.11% of the resources allocated.

#### Response:

Throughout the NSP process, Congress, HUD and DSHA have been clear that NSP funding must be targeted to areas of greatest need. As DSHA staff prepared for developing our Substantial Amendment for NSP funding, staff attended a number of meetings and conference calls to get direction on how to allocate funding and consistently received direction that assistance was to be targeted to stabilizing neighborhoods as the name of the program implied. While data was not available at a neighborhood level in all cases, Delaware had good data by zip code. DSHA repeatedly encouraged Sussex County and other applicants to target areas of greatest need where impact could be demonstrated on how NSP assistance improved the current and likely future stability of neighborhoods. We asked that they not try to do one house in every zip code or neighborhood, but concentrate activity in areas of greatest need.

Sussex County began their application: - Sussex County, although largely rural, has not escaped the foreclosure crisis that is affecting the nation. In fact, as the maps reveal, there does not appear to be an area of the County that has not been impacted by foreclosures. Normally, the west and east sides of the County have drastically different real estate markets due to the primarily agricultural nature of the west side and the resort attractiveness of the east. However, the foreclosure crisis is unbiased when it comes to subprime lending, adjustable rate mortgages, and the ripples of an economy going sour - Sussex continued to make an argument that the whole County was an area of greatest need, while other applicants targeted zip codes and neighborhoods and laid out plans as to how NSP funding would stabilize those areas and thereby strengthen the greater community.

### Comment

It is my understanding that Sussex County was restricted to focusing on just five zip codes, while other jurisdictions were allowed to focus on as many as seven. Given that 20% of foreclosure filings in the State are in Sussex County, an expanded geographic focus may have constituted a greater need during the DSHA scoring process.

### Response

DSHA did not restrict Sussex County to five zip codes, but encouraged them to target zip codes of greatest need and tried to provide assistance by identifying the zip codes that appeared to be areas of greatest need. Recognizing that Sussex County has areas of significant need, DSHA staff did strongly suggest that Sussex County target zip codes so that their application would meet thresholdriteriawhih incudethattheapplcantagtdaesogeatt nd.npthereaaiircriisnderfore were able to identify more zip codes as areas of highest need.

### Comment:

There are a nuzpaelinu

### **Public Comment:**

I in the different jurisdictions. These include 19904, 19802 and 19963. It is my thought that the allocations for these zip codes could be split based on the percentage of population served by one jurisdiction or another.

### Response

Several zip codes cross jurisdictions, in the case of 19802, Wilmington and New Castle each indicated that they would be working in the part of the zip code within their jurisdiction. In the case of other zip codes this, was not detailed in the applications, but DSHA will ensure that Governments awarded the NSP funding will work only in those areas that fall within their jurisdiction, unless agreements dictating otherwise are developed between jurisdictions.

### Comment:

According to the Delaware Housing Coalition's WHO CAN AFFORD TO LIVE IN DELAWARE, the average home price in Kent County is \$205,000, compared to \$279,000 in Sussex County, yet the States NSP plan assumes that both counties will complete 32 total unit. This is particularly concerning considering that Kent County received an allocation that is \$500,000 more than Sussex County.

### Response:

The chart in Section E assumes that both Kent and Sussex Counties will address a total of 25 units with 7 of them being for people earning 50% of AMI or less and 18 being for people earning between 50% and 120% of AMI. DSHA used information in the Sussex and Kent County Plans to develop targets based on costs and activities in their applications. Sussex County



provided information indicating an average subsidy of \$57,500 per unit. With a total actual allocation of \$2,000,000, and with \$100,000 set aside for administration, the estimated units would now be 33 units. Kents cost estimates included several units of special needs housing that were to have significant NSP investments and reduced the overall number of homes that would be assisted.

#### Comment

I would also like to request that the RFP applicants are given an opportunity to review their scoring, as well as the proposals and scoring of the other jurisdictions. While the plan submitted to HUD is flexible in nature, it does not detail how each jurisdiction specifically plans to do the work. I would assume that the jurisdictions would like the opportunity to share best practices and ideas as this will be a state-wide effort.

#### Response

DSHA would be willing to provide individual feedback on scoring to applicants requesting a debriefing. Applicants are aware of other jurisdictions that have been recommended for funding and are welcome to share information about planned implementation efforts. DSHA does plan to offer opportunities for jurisdictions planning to participate in NSP to discuss how they plan to implement their activities through future conference calls and meetings.

#### Comment:

Please note that Delawares Plan exceeds the maximum 10% allowance for administration and that Sussex County Consolidated Plan is not listed as an appendix like theotherurisdictions. Ialsohink tt itwoulbe heul ipedixwre mdeavilleo teublcandejuridictons tofurresSaammp;m;rsos alocatorocs.&; espone

ENTITY &spnbs;mbp; \pm;np;nbsp;nsp;p;ns

### **Public Comment:**

; ,	AWARD	5% rese	erved for Admini	strative Costs
,	New Castle	County	7,000,000.00	350,000.00
	<ul> <li>Wilmington</li> </ul>		5,600,000.00	280,000.00
,	Kent County	,	2,500,000.00	125,000.00
,	• Dover		1,500,000.00	75,000.00
,	• Sussex		2,000,000.00	100,000.00
,				
SL	JBTOTAL		18,600,000.00	930,000.00
DS	SHA, Admin.		1,000,000.00	
TC	DTAL		19,600,000.00	1,930,000.00
MA	AX 10% Admin		1,960,000.00	

The allowable administration for Delawares program would be \$1,960,000; DSHA intends to use \$1,930,000 for administration as indicated in the chart above. This would be approximately 9.8% of the NSP allocation.

Appendix 1 is the zip code information that was provided to all applicants at the public forum held on 10/14/08 and provided on the DSHA web-site as a data source for applicants to use. This is the first data that DSHA had, but is not the only data used in making funding recommendations. On 10/23/08, we were notified that the HUD data, along with other data, was available and mapped on ww.Policymaps.com. We reviewed this data as well as data on ww.housingpolicy.organd found the data fairly consistent.

Comments from Mr. Ralph W. Peters Jr., President of Sussex County Habitat for Humanity Board of Directors.

### Response

Mr. Peters comments center around the great affordable housing needs in Sussex County including foreclosures, cost burdened homeowners and substandard housing and how those needs appear to be a greater issue in Sussex than in Kent County, but Kent County received moreNSPfundingthanSussexCounty. While DSHAwould agree that Sussex Countyhasaffordablehousingneeds,theNSPisdirectedtowardsmakingan impact inneighborhoods by moving foreclosed properties back into the occupied inventory in a way that stabilizes neighborhoods. SussexCounty,eitherby thefactsof foreclosurepatter

Overall	This Report Period	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$29,343,626.14
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$29,343,626.14
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$29,343,626.14
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$29,078,500.26
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$19,587,515.27
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$9,490,984.99
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$9,721,678.41



Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$29,078,500.26
<b>HUD Identified Most Impacted and Distressed</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Match Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Non-Match Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Funds Expended		

Overall	This Period	To Date
City of Dover	\$ 0.00	\$ 2,337,368.63
City of Wilmington1	\$ 0.00	\$ 7,431,078.72
City of Wilmington2	\$ 0.00	\$ 600,015.51
Delaware State Housing Authority1	\$ 0.00	\$ 970,000.00
Kent County Levy Court1	\$ 0.00	\$ 3,884,076.36
New Castle County1	\$ 0.00	\$ 9,657,401.23
New Castle County2	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,671,721.91

\$ 0.00

\$ 2,526,837.90

# **Progress Toward Required Numeric Targets**

Requirement	Target	<b>Projected</b>	Actual
Overall Benefit Percentage	99.99%	.00%	.00%
Minimum Non Federal Match	\$.00	\$.00	\$.00
Overall Benefit Amount	\$26,632,402.57	\$.00	\$.00
Limit on Public Services	\$2,940,000.00	\$.00	\$.00
Limit on Admin/Planning	\$1,960,000.00	\$2,709,260.16	\$2,655,035.76
Limit on Admin	\$.00	\$2,709,260.16	\$2,655,035.76
Most Impacted and Distressed	\$.00	\$.00	\$.00
Progress towards LH25 Requirement	\$7,336,081.56		\$6,598,049.95

# **Overall Progress Narrative:**

Sussex County1

During this guarter, the State of Delaware drew no funds in Program funds and no funds drawn in Program Income from NSP 1. To date, \$29,078,500.26 has been expended in NSP 1 funds.

NOTE: DRGR Report 5A is incorrect. The amounts noted in the report for Program Income Received and Disbursed are both incorrect. The amounts showing on the most recent DRGR QPR reconcile with DSHA amounts. Obviously cannot reconcile to incorrect DRGR Reports.
- Kent County - To date, sold 23 of their 23 homes. 18 of which were re-sale, 4 were permanent rental and 1 was

- downpayment assistance.
- City of Dover To date, sold 28 of their 28 homes, 16 of which were settlement assistance, 11 were re-sale and 1 permanent rental.
- Sussex County To date, sold 33 of their 33 homes. 24 were downpayment and 9 homes were re-sale.
- City of Wilmington To date, sold 94 of their 95 homes and demolished 5 additional homes. 33 were downpayment assistance and 54 re-sale.
- New Castle County To date, sold 185 of their 185 targeted homes, 108 of which were settlement assistance and 6 were permanent rental housing units and 71 resale.

# **Project Summary**

Project #, Project Title	This Report	То	To Date		
	Program Funds Drawdown	Project Funds Budgeted			
9999, Restricted Balance	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
NSP #01-08-01, Kent Use A	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
NSP #01-08-02, Kent Use B	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18	\$1,530,525.95		
NSP #01-08-03, Kent Admin	\$0.00	\$255,258.11	\$125,000.00		
NSP #01-08-04, Kent Use B LH25	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07	\$844,474.05		
NSP #02-08-01, Dover Use A	\$0.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00		



NSP #02-08-02, Dover Use B	\$0.00	\$1,109,306.00	\$842,204.25
NSP #02-08-03, Dover Admin	\$0.00	\$127,150.58	\$16,682.43
NSP #02-08-04, Dover Use B LH25	\$0.00	\$887,432.31	\$588,613.32
NSP #02-08-05, Dover Use E	\$0.00	\$234,087.70	\$22,500.00
NSP #03-08-01, Sussex Use A	\$0.00	\$1,344,796.34	\$1,145,022.76
NSP #03-08-02, Sussex Admin	\$0.00	\$176,863.19	\$130,000.00
NSP #03-08-03, Sussex Use A LH25	\$0.00	\$1,031,985.86	\$742,492.51
NSP #04-08-01, Wilmington Use A	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
NSP #04-08-02, Wilmington Use B	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95	\$1,885,836.85
NSP #04-08-03, Wilmington Demolition	\$0.00	\$216,420.00	\$91,285.00
NSP #04-08-04, Wilmington Admin	\$0.00	\$471,117.25	\$207,404.12
NSP #04-08-05, Wilmington Use B LH25	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73	\$1,020,543.13
NSP #04-08-06, Wilmington Use E	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04	\$2,256,695.08
NSP #04-08-07, Wilmington Use E LH25	\$0.00	\$600,015.51	\$138,235.82
NSP #05-08-01, NCC Use B	\$0.00	\$7,644,480.06	\$5,037,377.55
NSP #05-08-02, NCC Admin	\$0.00	\$708,871.03	\$350,000.00
NSP #05-08-03, NCC Use B LH25	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82	\$944,889.77
NSP #05-08-04, NCC Use E	\$0.00	\$1,281,449.27	\$294,174.94
NSP #05-08-05, NCC Use E LH25	\$0.00	\$396,919.14	\$373,557.74
NSP #06-08-01, DSHA Admin	\$0.00	\$970,000.00	\$970,000.00

# **Activities**

Project # / NSP #01-08-02 / Kent Use B



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #01-08-02 Activity Title: Kent Use B** 

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #01-08-02

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 Kent

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Kent Use B

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

Kent County Levy Court2

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,530,525.95
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$974,493.23
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$952,789.35
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Kent County Levy Court1	\$0.00	\$2,505,019.18
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

This activity will purchase and rehabilitate foreclosed properties for resale, rent, or redevelopment.

### **Location Description:**

The Kent County NSP purchase, rehab and resale activity will focus on zip codes 19934, 19977, 19904 (part), 19938, 19952, 19962, and 19963 (part).

# **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#01-08-02 Use B: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total
# of Properties 0 13/10



This Report Period

### **Cumulative Actual Total / Expected**

	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	13/10
# of Singlefamily Units	0	13/10

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/2	8/8	13/10	61.54
# Owner	0	0	0	0/2	8/8	13/10	61.54

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #01-08-03 / Kent Admin



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #01-08-03 Activity Title: Kent Admin**

Activity Type: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title: NSP #01-08-03 Kent Admin

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

03/31/2009 12/31/2021

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

IN/A

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

NSP Only - LMMI Kent County Levy Court2

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 Kent

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$125,000.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$130,258.11
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$113,583.59
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Kent County Levy Court1	\$0.00	\$255,258.11
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

This activity will be to administer Kent County's NSP, which will provide finance mechanisms for the puchase of foreclosed homes, and will purchase and rehabilitate foreclosed homes for resale and rent by low-, moderate-, and middle-income households.

### **Location Description:**

The Kent County NSP Administration activity will focus on zip codes 19934, 19977, 19904 (part), 19938, 19952, 19962, and 19963 (part).

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#01-08-03 Admin: No activity to report.

NOTE: DRGR Report 7B shows Program Income disbursed as \$123,661.29 however the above amount of \$130,258.11 is correct and reconciles the DSHA figures.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

**No Accomplishments Performance Measures** 



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

**Activity Supporting Documents:** 

None

Project #/

NSP #01-08-04 / Kent Use B LH25



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #01-08-04 Activity Title: Kent Use B LH25**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #01-08-04

**Projected Start Date:** 

03/31/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 Kent

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:**Kent Use B LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/30/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

Kent County Levy Court2

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
<b>Total Projected Budget from All Sources</b>	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$844,474.05
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$279,325.02
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$384,289.42
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Kent County Levy Court1	\$0.00	\$1,123,799.07
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

This activity will purchase and rehabilitate foreclosed properties for resale, rent, or redevelopment.

### **Location Description:**

The Kent County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will focus on zip codes 19934, 19977, 19904 (part), 19938, 19952, 19962, and 19963 (part)

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#01-08-04 Use B LH25: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# ELI Households (0-30% AMI)	0	3/0
# of Properties	0	9/3



# This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected Total Total # of Housing Units 0 9/3 # of Singlefamily Units 0 9/3

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	10/3	0/0	10/3	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	10/3	0/0	10/3	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

**Project # /** NSP #02-08-04 / Dover Use B LH25



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #02-08-04 Activity Title: Dover Use B LH25** 

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #02-08-04

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct (HouseHold)

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 Dover

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Dover Use B LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Dover

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$588,613.32
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$298,818.99
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$298,818.99
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
City of Dover	\$0.00	\$887,432.31
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Dover Neighborhood Stabilization Program will purchase and rehabilitate foreclosed upon residential properties for resale and rent to low income households that at or below 50% Area Median Income

### **Location Description:**

The City of Dover Neighborhood Stabilization Program will focus on stabiliznig neighborhoods in zip codes 19901 and 19904 (part)

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#02-08-04 Use B LH25: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# ELI Households (0-30% AMI)	0	0/0
# of Properties	0	4/4



# This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected Total Total # of Housing Units 0 4/4 # of Singlefamily Units 0 4/4

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	4/4	0/0	4/4	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	3/4	0/0	3/4	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	1/0	0/0	1/0	100.00

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #02-08-05 / Dover Use E



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #02-08-05 Activity Title: Dover Use E** 

**Activity Type:** 

Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income

**Project Number:** 

NSP #02-08-05

**Projected Start Date:** 

10/01/2013

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 Dover

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Dover Use E

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Dover

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
<b>Total Projected Budget from All Sources</b>	\$0.00	\$234,087.70
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$234,087.70
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$234,087.70
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$231,837.89
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$22,500.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$209,337.89
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$111,008.70
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$231,837.89
City of Dover	\$0.00	\$231,837.89
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

Provide up to \$20,000 per household at or below 120% AMI toward downpayment and closing costs

### **Location Description:**

19904 and 19901

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#02-08-05 Use E: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total

# of Housing Units 0 13/6

# of Singlefamily Units 0 13/6



	Low	This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
		Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	9/6	13/6	69.23
# Owner	0	0	0	0/0	9/6	13/6	69.23

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #03-08-03 / Sussex Use A LH25



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #03-08-03 Activity Title: Sussex Use A LH25**

**Activity Type:** 

Homeownership Assistance to low- and moderate-income

**Project Number:** 

NSP #03-08-03

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

NOD 0 1 111 050/ 0 / A 11

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

**National Objective:** 

**NSP 1 Sussex County** 

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Sussex Use A LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

Sussex County1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
<b>Total Projected Budget from All Sources</b>	\$0.00	\$1,031,985.86
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,031,985.86
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,031,985.86
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,005,178.37
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$742,492.51
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$262,685.86
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$261,985.86
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,005,178.37
Sussex County1	\$0.00	\$1,005,178.37
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The Sussex County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will establish finance mechanims for low income households that are at or below 50% area media income to purchase foreclosed upon homes.

### **Location Description:**

The Sussex County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will focus on zip codes 19973, 19956, 19963 (part), 19947, and 19950

# **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#03-08-03 Use A LH25: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	11/7
# of Singlefamily Units	0	11/7



		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	11/7	0/0	11/7	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	11/7	0/0	11/7	100.00

### **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #04-08-02 / Wilmington Use B



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-02 Activity Title: Wilmington Use B**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #04-08-02

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:**Wilmington Use B

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Wilmington1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,885,836.85
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$802,684.10
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$1,192,836.86
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
City of Wilmington1	\$0.00	\$2,688,520.95
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Wilmington will use NSP funds to purchase and rehabilitate residential properties for resale, rent, and redevelopment to low-, moderate-, and middle-income households. The acquisitions and rehab of these properties fit under eligible Use B under the NSP eligible use by property type. The City of Wilmington will also provide closing cost assistance to elgible NSP homebuyers.

### **Location Description:**

The City of Wilmington Neighborhood Stabilization Program will located in zip codes 19801, 19802 (Part), and 19805 (Part).

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-02 Use B: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total

# of Properties

Total

8/26



This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total Total

# of Housing Units	0	8/26
# of Singlefamily Units	0	8/26

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/0	4/26	10/26	40.00
# Owner	0	0	0	0/0	4/26	10/26	40.00

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

**Project # /** NSP #04-08-03 / Wilmington Demolition



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-03 Activity Title: Wilmington Demolition**

Activity Type: Activity Status:

Clearance and Demolition Under Way

Project Number: Project Title:

NSP #04-08-03 Wilmington Demolition

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

04/01/2009 12/31/2021

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

IN/A

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

NSP Only - LMMI City of Wilmington1

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$216,420.00
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$216,420.00
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$216,420.00
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$108,955.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$91,285.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$17,670.00
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$17,670.00
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$108,955.00
City of Wilmington1	\$0.00	\$108,955.00
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Wilmington will demolish five dilapidated residential dwelling units.

### **Location Description:**

The City of Wilmington Neighborhood Stabilization Program focuses on zip codes 19801, 19802 (Part), and 19805 (Part).

# **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-03 Use D: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total
# of Properties 0 5/5



This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	5/5
# of Singlefamily Units	0	5/5

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #04-08-04 / Wilmington Admin



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-04 Activity Title: Wilmington Admin**

Activity Type: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title: NSP #04-08-04 Wilmington Admin

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

04/01/2009 12/31/2021

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

N/A

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A City of Wilmington1

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$471,117.25
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$471,117.25
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$471,117.25
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$465,251.00
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$207,404.12
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$257,846.88
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$220,486.76
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$465,251.00
City of Wilmington1	\$0.00	\$465,251.00
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

General Administration of the City of Wilmington's Neighborhood Stabilization Program.

### **Location Description:**

The City of Wilmington Neighborhood Stabilization Program is focusing on zip codes 19801, 19802 (Part), and 19805 (Part).

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-04 Admin: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

**No Accomplishments Performance Measures** 



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

**Activity Supporting Documents:** 

None

Project #/

NSP #04-08-05 / Wilmington Use B LH25



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-05 Activity Title: Wilmington Use B LH25**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #04-08-05

**Projected Start Date:** 

03/31/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct (HouseHold)

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Wilmington Use B LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/30/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Wilmington1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,020,543.13
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$192,379.60
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$176,432.31
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
City of Wilmington1	\$0.00	\$1,212,922.73
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Wilmington Neighborhood Stabilization Program use funds to purchase and rehabilitate residential properties for resale, rent, and redevelopment to low income households that are at or below 50% area median income. The activities fall under eligible use B under the NSP eligibly uses of property types to serve the low income households. The City of Wilmington will also provide closing cost assistance for eligible NSP homebuyers under Use B.

### **Location Description:**

The City of Wilmington Neighborhood Stabilization Program will be located in zip codes 19801, 19802 (Part), and 19805 (Part).

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-05 Use B LH25: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total
# ELI Households (0-30% AMI) 0 3/0



	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	7/15
# of Singlefamily Units	0	7/15

		This Report Period			Cumulative Actual Total / Expected		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	7/15	0/0	7/15	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	7/15	0/0	7/15	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #04-08-06 / Wilmington Use E



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-06 Activity Title: Wilmington Use E**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #04-08-06

**Projected Start Date:** 

10/14/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Wilmington Use E

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Wilmington1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,256,695.08
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$698,733.96
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$594,547.62
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
City of Wilmington1	\$0.00	\$2,955,429.04
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The City of Wilmington, under Eligible Use E, will be redeveloping vacant and abandoned lots to provide housing to 51%-120% AMI households. The redevelopment of the lots will be a mixture of new construction, rehabilitation, and demolish of existing abandon structures. Primary focus for use E is to demolish the abandoned VFW site and re-zone to residential, construct new housing units that will be for homeownership. This activity will stabilize the neighborhood surrounding the abandon property. The City's original plan did not include funds for this use, a substantial amendment was conducted, no public comments received, approved on October 14 2009.

### **Location Description:**

The City of Wilmington will be working in zip codes 19801, 1902 (part), and 19805 (part) when using NSP funds.

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-06 Use E: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period

Total

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total



This Report Period	<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>
Total	Total
0	49/21
0	49/21

	This Report Period				Cumulative Actual Total / Expected		
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	2/0	31/21	49/21	67.35
# Owner	0	0	0	2/0	31/21	49/21	67.35

### **Activity Locations**

# of Housing Units
# of Singlefamily Units

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #04-08-07 / Wilmington Use E LH25



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #04-08-07 Activity Title: Wilmington Use E LH25**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #04-08-07

**Projected Start Date:** 

03/19/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

NSP 1 COW

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

Wilmington Use E LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

City of Wilmington2

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
<b>Total Projected Budget from All Sources</b>	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$138,235.82
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$461,779.69
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$388,495.20
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
City of Wilmington2	\$0.00	\$600,015.51
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

City of Wilmington will redevelop one home targeting low income populations

### **Location Description:**

19801, 19803, and parts of 19805

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#04-08-07 Use E LH25: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	25/1
# of Singlefamily Units	0	25/1



		This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	24/1	0/0	25/1	96.00
# Owner	0	0	0	24/1	0/0	25/1	96.00

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #05-08-01 / NCC Use B



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #05-08-01 Activity Title: NCC Use B** 

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #05-08-01

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct ( HouseHold )

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 NCC

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

NCC Use B

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

New Castle County1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$7,644,480.06
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$7,644,480.06
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$7,644,480.06
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$7,576,747.38
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$5,037,377.55
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$2,539,369.83
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$2,539,369.83
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$7,576,747.38
New Castle County1	\$0.00	\$7,576,747.38
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will purchase and rehabilitate residential properties for resale, rent or redevelopment and will focus on foreclosed, vacant or blighted properties in the primary zip code of 19720 but may also include the zip codes of 19709, 19802, 19805 and 19701.

### **Location Description:**

New Castle County neighborhoods with foreclosed upon residential properties in zip codes 19720, 19709, 19802, 19805, and 19701.

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#05-08-01 Use B: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total

# of Properties

Total

44/23



This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

	Total	Total
# of Housing Units	0	44/23
# of Singlefamily Units	0	44/23

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ıal Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	2/11	10/12	13/23	92.31
# Owner	0	0	0	2/11	10/12	13/23	92.31

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #05-08-02 / NCC Admin



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #05-08-02 Activity Title: NCC Admin**

Activity Type: Activity Status:

Administration Under Way

Project Number: Project Title: NSP #05-08-02 NCC Admin

Projected Start Date: Projected End Date:

03/23/2009 12/31/2021

Benefit Type: Completed Activity Actual End Date:

N/A

National Objective: Responsible Organization:

N/A New Castle County1

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 NCC

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$350,000.00
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$358,871.03
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$358,871.03
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
New Castle County1	\$0.00	\$708,871.03
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

This activity is for the general administration of New Castle County's Neighborhood Stabilization Program.

### **Location Description:**

New Castle County's Neighborhood Stabilization Program will focus on the primary zip code of 19720 but may also include the zip codes of 19709, 19802, 19805, and 19701.

# **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#05-08-02 Admin: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

**No Accomplishments Performance Measures** 



No Beneficiaries Performance Measures found.

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

### **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

**Activity Supporting Documents:** 

None

Project #/

NSP #05-08-03 / NCC Use B LH25



# **Grantee Activity Number: NSP #05-08-03 Activity Title: NCC Use B LH25**

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #05-08-03

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct (HouseHold)

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 NCC

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

NCC Use B LH25

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

New Castle County1

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	<b>To Date</b>
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$944,889.77
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$426,893.05
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$426,893.05
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
New Castle County1	\$0.00	\$1,371,782.82
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will purchase and rehabilitate residential properties for resale, rent or redevelopment and will focus on foreclosed, vacant or blighted propertis for low-income households at or below 50% area median income.

### **Location Description:**

New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will focus on zip codes 19702, 19709, 19802, 19085, and 19701

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#05-08-03 Use B LH25: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

	This Report Period	Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
	Total	Total
# ELI Households (0-30% AMI)	0	9/0
# of Properties	0	24/8



This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected

Total Total

# of Housing Units	0	24/8
# of Singlefamily Units	0	24/8

### **Beneficiaries Performance Measures**

	This Report Period		Cumulative Actual Total / Expected				
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	24/8	0/0	24/8	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	24/8	0/0	24/8	100.00
# Renter	0	0	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

Project # / NSP #05-08-04 / NCC Use E



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #05-08-04 Activity Title: NCC Use E** 

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #05-08-04

**Projected Start Date:** 

04/01/2009

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct (HouseHold)

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LMMI

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 NCC

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

NCC Use E

**Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

New Castle County2

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$1,281,449.27
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$1,281,449.27
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$1,281,449.27
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$1,274,802.77
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$294,174.94
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$980,627.83
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$980,627.83
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$1,274,802.77
New Castle County2	\$0.00	\$1,274,802.77
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will provide assitance to buyer purchasing vacant residential properties and will focus on vacant or blighted/demolished properties in the primary zip code of 19720 but may also include the zip codes of 19709, 19802, 19805 and 19701.

### **Location Description:**

New Castle County neighborhoods with vacant/demolished residential properties in zip codes 19720, 19709, 19802, 19805, and 19701.

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#05-08-04 Use E: No activity to report.

### **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total

# of Properties 0 99/5



		This Rep	ort Period	Cu	mulative Act	ual Total / Ex	pected
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	0/2	59/3	99/5	59.60
# Owner	0	0	0	0/2	59/3	99/5	59.60

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

Activity Supporting Documents: None

**Project # /** NSP #05-08-05 / NCC Use E LH25



**Grantee Activity Number: NSP #05-08-05 Activity Title: NCC Use E LH25** 

**Activity Type:** 

Rehabilitation/reconstruction of residential structures

**Project Number:** 

NSP #05-08-05

**Projected Start Date:** 

03/14/2013

**Benefit Type:** 

Direct (HouseHold)

**National Objective:** 

NSP Only - LH - 25% Set-Aside

**Program Income Account:** 

PI NSP 1 NCC

**Activity Status:** 

**Under Way** 

**Project Title:** 

NCC Use E LH25 **Projected End Date:** 

12/31/2021

**Completed Activity Actual End Date:** 

**Responsible Organization:** 

New Castle County2

Overall	Jul 1 thru Sep 30, 2021	To Date
Total Projected Budget from All Sources	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
Total Budget	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
Total Obligated	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
Total Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
Program Funds Drawdown	\$0.00	\$373,557.74
Program Income Drawdown	\$0.00	\$23,361.40
Program Income Received	\$0.00	\$23,361.40
Total Funds Expended	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
New Castle County2	\$0.00	\$396,919.14
Most Impacted and Distressed Expended	\$0.00	\$0.00

### **Activity Description:**

The New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will provide assitance to buyer purchasing vacant residential properties through direct homebuyer subsidy through their NSP funded VHAP program and/or through acquistion-rehabiliation-resale.

### **Location Description:**

The New Castle County Neighborhood Stabilization Program will provide assitance to buyer purchasing vacant residential properties and will focus on vacant or blighted/demolished properties in the primary zip code of 19720 but may also include the zip codes of 19709, 19802, 19805 and 19701.

### **Activity Progress Narrative:**

#05-08-05 Use E LH25: No activity to report.

# **Accomplishments Performance Measures**

This Report Period Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total Total

# of Housing Units

Cumulative Actual Total / Expected
Total

18/6



		<b>This Report Period</b>		<b>Cumulative Actual Total / Expected</b>			
	Low	Mod	Total	Low	Mod	Total	Low/Mod%
# of Households	0	0	0	18/6	0/0	18/6	100.00
# Owner	0	0	0	18/6	0/0	18/6	100.00

# **Activity Locations**

No Activity Locations found.

**Other Funding Sources** 

No Other Funding Sources Found

# **Other Funding Sources Budgeted - Detail**

**No Other Match Funding Sources Found** 

