

Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1

Production Reports Through Third Quarter 2012



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Contents

NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Alabama 3
Alaska5
American Samoa7
Arizona
Arkansas 12
California14
Colorado
Connecticut 22
Delaware 24
District of Columbia 26
Florida
Georgia 34
Guam 37
Hawaii 39
Idaho
Illinois 43
Indiana
lowa 49
Kansas 51
Kentucky 53
Louisiana 55
Maine 57
Maryland 59
Massachusetts
Michigan 63
Minnesota 67
Mississippi 69
Missouri
Montana
Nebraska75

Nevada 77
New Hampshire
New Jersey
New Mexico 83
New York 85
North Carolina
North Dakota90
Northern Mariana Islands
Ohio94
Oklahoma
Oregon 100
Pennsylvania 102
Puerto Rico 104
Rhode Island 106
South Carolina 108
South Dakota 110
Tennessee 112
Texas
Utah 117
Vermont
Virgin Islands 121
Virginia
Washington 125
West Virginia 127
Wisconsin 129
Wyoming131

COVER PHOTO: Historic renovation of affordable rental housing. © City of Cincinnati, OH

Alabama


to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Alabama completed 192 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 46% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP1 UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE COMPLETED UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY TYPE REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION ACTUAL PROJECTED 0 ACQUISITION 14 CLEARANCE/ 0 192 DEMO 5 (100%) HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 192 REHAB/NEW 443 500 400 450 COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY AND RENTER AND OWNER UNITS NATIONAL OBJECTIVE ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS LH25 ACTUAL O LMMI PROJECTED ACQUISITION 10 255 205 CLEARANCE/ 0 DEMO 157 150 HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 53 88 104 REHAB/NEW Owner Renter LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alabama, up

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Alabama







MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Alabama Total	0	0		192	192
				01	0.
LMMI		0		81	81
LH25				75	75
State of Alabama		0			
LMMI	0			9	9
LH25	0			6	6
Jefferson County, AL	0			15	15
LMMI	0			14	14
LH25	0			7	7
Birmingham, AL	0			21	21
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

4

Alaska


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alaska, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 104 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 84%, followed by Acquisition at 14%. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 87 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Alaska





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Alaska State Program	15	2	0	87	104
LH25	5		0	20	25
LMMI	10	2	0	67	79
		-			
Alaska Total	15	2	0	87	104

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

American Samoa


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in American Samoa, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in American Samoa completed 2 units across all NSP Activity Types, including 1 Rehab/New Construction unit and 1 Clearance/Demo unit. Of the units completed, 50% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





Arizona


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arizona, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 1,691 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 70%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 20%. Of the units completed, 39% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 333 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Arizona

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Arizona State Progran	n <mark>0</mark>		905	24	929
LH25 LMMI	0		46 859	24	70 859
Avondale City, AZ		4	46		50
LH25 LMMI		4	8 38	0	8 42
Chandler, AZ			7		29
LH25 LMMI	6 16		7		6 23
Glendale, AZ					
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 74				0 74
Maricopa County, AZ	 57		10	0	
LH25 LMMI	21 36		10	0	21 46

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

10


COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Mesa, AZ	0	1	0	62	63
LH25 LMMI	0 0	1	0	33 29	34 29
Phoenix, AZ					425
LH25 LMMI	0	16	5 180	169 55	174 251
Pima County, AZ		5			20
LH25 LMMI	0	5		11 4	11 9
Surprise Town, AZ				 0	26
LH25 LMMI			10 16	0 0	10 16
Tucson, AZ					
LMMI				8	8
Arizona Total	153	26	1,179	333	1,691

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Arkansas

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arkansas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Arkansas completed 178 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 99% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Through Third Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS COMPLETIONS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER ACTUAL RENTER PROJECTED OWNER 200 200 186 176 150 150 100 42 50 0 0 0 2 Multifamily Single Family Multifamily Single Family

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	τοται
State of Arkansas				178	178
LH25				176	176
LMMI				2	2
Arkansas Total				178	178

.....


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Arkansas

13

California


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of California, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in California completed 3,798 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type, at 30%, and in Rehab/New Construction with 45%. Of the units completed, 34% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in California completed 1,704 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

California





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Alameda County, CA	12				12
LH25 LMMI	6 6				6 6
Anaheim, CA			17		
LH25 LMMI	0		17	0	0 17
Antioch, CA					12
LH25 LMMI				5 7	5 7
Apple Valley, CA			29		29
LH25 LMMI	0		0 29		0 29
Bakersfield, CA			77		167
LH25 LMMI	19		77	71	19 148

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

15

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

CE				HOME-	REHAB/	
Gr	ANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Cł	nula Vista, CA			2		21
	H25	8		2		8
	MMI	11 		2		13
	ompton, CA H25	5				5
	mzs MMI	<mark>0</mark> 5				5
 Co	ontra Costa County,	 СА		17	23	40
	H25 MMI			17	9 14	9 31
	orona, CA					
	H25 MMI	<mark>0</mark> 9				<mark>0</mark> 9
	k Grove, CA					
L	H25				4	4
L	MMI			15	9	24
	ontana, CA				25	25
	H25 MMI				7 18	7 18
 Fr	esno County. CA					136
L	H25	26		26		52
L	MMI	42		42		84
	esno, CA	260		77	0	337
	H25 MMI	236 24		77	0	236 101
	emet, CA					
L	H25	5				5
L	MMI	39	0			39
	esperia, CA	0				0
	H25 MMI	0 0				0 0
 Ke	ern County, CA					
	H25	26			0	26
	MMI	2			0	2
	ancaster, CA	19			0	19 9
	H25 MMI	9 10			0	9 10

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

CO A١

. .

S BY ACTIVITY TYPE BJECTIVES (CONTINUED))				
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Long Beach, CA	10				10
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 10				0 10
Los Angeles County, C	CA 21		100		
LH25 LMMI	21		100		21 100
Los Angeles, CA			20	40	60
LH25 LMMI			3 17	8 32	11 49
Modesto, CA			2		
LH25 LMMI	13 36		2		13 38
Moreno Valley, CA					
LH25 LMMI				0 37	0 37
Oakland, CA	2			0	2
LH25 LMMI	2			0	0 2
Ontario, CA					
LH25 LMMI	0 0			4 10	4 10
Orange County, CA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LH25 LMMI	1 6				1 6
Palmdale, CA			0		
LH25 LMMI	10 6		0		10 6
Pomona, CA		0	0		
LH25 LMMI	6 7	0	0		6 7
Rancho Cucamonga, C	CA 9				9
LH25 LMMI	3 6				3 6
Rialto, CA			58		61

.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

LH25

LMMI

.....

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

				HOME-	REHAB/	
GRAN	TEE AC	QUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Richr	nond, CA	0			14	14
LH2! LMM		0			6 8	6 8
LH2	side County, CA	65 65		63 2	204 58	332 125
LMM		0		61	146	207
River	side, CA	62	9			
LH25 LMM		35 27	9			35 36
Sacra LH2	amento County, CA	0	1		166 55	167 55
LMM		0	1		111	112
Sacra	amento, CA	0	0			110
LH25 LMM		0	0		37 73	37 73
San E	Bernardino County, (CA		18 7	278 160	296 167
LMM				11	118	129
San E	 Bernardino, CA					
LH2	5	9				9
LMM		45	11			56
	Diego County, CA	13		3	1	17
LH25 LMM		13		3	1	14 3
San I	Diego, CA			51	4	
LH2	5	30			4	34
LMM		8		51		59
	loaquin County, CA	79	0		42	121
LH25 LMM		79	0		42	42 79
	lose, CA					
LH2					5	5
Santa	 a Ana, CA					
LH2	5	14				14
LMM	I	36		4		40

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

.

.

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

.


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Stanislaus County, C	CA 68		3	68	139
LH25 LMMI	24 44		3	24 44	48 91
State of California				510	
LH25 LMMI	0	90	194	174 336	174 620
Stockton, CA	2		7	61	
LH25 LMMI	2		7	<mark>0</mark> 61	0 70
Vallejo, CA				6	9
LH25 LMMI			3	<mark>0</mark> 6	<mark>0</mark> 9
Victorville, CA					
LH25 LMMI	57 9	2	17	0	59 26
Visalia, CA					26
LH25 LMMI	4 22				4 22
California Total	1,136	113	845	1,704	3,798

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Colorado


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Colorado, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 159 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 41%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 27%. Of the units completed, 65% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 51 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Colorado

.

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Colorado Total	65		43	51	159
	0		U	U	U
LH25 LMMI	0		0	26 0	26 0
	-		U		
State of Colorado			0		
LH25 LMMI			30 10	13	30 23
Denver, CO			40	13	53
LMMI	22		0	0	22
LH25	30			12	42
Aurora, CO			0		64
LMMI	8		3	0	11
LH25	5				5
 Adams County, CO	13		3	0	16
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

21

Connecticut

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Connecticut, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 63 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 68%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 19%. Of the units completed, 49% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 7 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Delaware

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Delaware, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 81 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 62%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 35%. Of the units completed, 26% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 50 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



Through Third Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report District of Columbia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the District of Columbia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in the District of Columbia completed 23 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 35% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT



Florida

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Florida, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Florida completed 4,205 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 59%, followed by Acquisition at 20%. Of the units completed, 28% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Florida completed 2,464 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Florida

29

.







245

Single Family

500

0

427

0

Multifamily

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Boynton Beach, FL	12				12
LH25 LMMI	9 3				9 3
Brevard County, FL					
LH25 LMMI	4 5	3		9 30	13 38
Broward County, FL	0	5	22	109	136
LH25 LMMI	0 0	5	22	48 61	48 88
Cape Coral, FL	0		38		
LH25 LMMI	0		38	8 27	8 65
Collier County, FL					
LH25 LMMI	9	1		5 20	14 21

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEEACQUISITIONDEMOASSISTANCECONSTRUCTIONTOTALCoral Springs, FL383876LHZ51010020LMMI282856Deerfield Beach, FL0707LHZ503344Deltona, FL				HOME-	REHAB/	
LH25 LMM 10 10 20 Deerfield Beach, FL 0 7 0 7 LH25 0 3 0 3 Detona, FL 1 46 46 LH25 0 4 32 32 Detona, FL 14 14 14 14 LMMI 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 1 1 1 18 18 18 Ft. Myers, FL 15 19 34 16 16 16 Haleh, FL 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
LMMI282856Deerfield Beach, FL0707LH250303LMMI0484646LH251488882138LH250488882138LH250488882138LH250488882138LH250488882138LH250488882138LH2518181818Ft. Hyers, FL151934LH2514151934LH2514151616Hileah, FL00043LH25011047LH25011147LH25011122Honwood, FL25111147LH25012122Homestead City, FL120212Jacksonville-Duval, FL0058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058LH2500058	 Coral Springs, FL			38	38	76
Deerfield Beach, FL 0 7 0 7 LH25 0 34 0 34 Deltona, FL 46 46 LH25 114 14 32 32 Escambia County, FL 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 1 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 18 18 11 18 18 18 Ft. Myers, FL 15 19 34 16 18 18 LH25 14 15 16 19 34 16 Hialeah, FL 15 11 11 47 16 16 HMM1						
LH25 0 3 0 3 Deltona, FL 46 46 LH25 14 14 LMMI 0 48 8 82 33 Escambia County, FL 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 0 48 8 66 46 LMMI 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 0 48 8 66 46 LMMI 0 48 8 92 8 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 11 18 18 18 Ft. Myers, FL 15 19 34 18 18 18 LH25 14 10 15 16 19 34 LH25 14 10 0 0 0 3 LH25 14 1 15 16 13						
Deitons, FL Image: Control of the second secon						
LH25 14 14 14 LMMI 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 0 48 8 36 92 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 26 28 38 LH25 8 36 92 34 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 26 28 LH25 8 36 92 Ft. Myers, FL 15 9 34 LH25 14 4 18 LH25 14 30 0 0 LH25 14 30 0 0 0 LH25 14 30 0 0 0 LMMI 1 1 15 16 10 0 0 LMMI 1 1 17 17 17 17 17 LH25 0 11 22 11 11 22 11 12 12 2		0				4
LMMI 32 32 Escambia County, FL 0 48 8 822 138 LH25 0 48 8 366 922 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 26 26 26 LH25 8 38 8 36 92 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 26 27 8 8 LH25 8 38 38 8 8 Ft. Myers, FL 15 9 34 8 LH25 14 1 15 16 18 Hilebah, FL 10 0 0 0 0 LH25 14 1 15 16 0 0 Hilsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 43 LH25 0 1 11 47 LH25 0 1 11 22 HM1 43 0 2 28 18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>46</td> <td>46</td>					46	46
Escambla County, FL 0 48 8 82 138 LH25 0 48 8 46 48 16 16						
LH25 0 48 8 46 46 92 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26						
LMMI 0 48 8 36 92 Fort Lauderdale, FL 26 26 26 LH25 8 LMMI 18 8 8 Ft. Myers, FL 15 19 34 LH25 14 4 18 LMMI 1 0 0 Hialeah, FL 0 0 0 LH25 14 0 0 0 LMMI 1 1 0 0 0 0 Hilsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 43 0 0 43 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 22 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 11 22 26 14 8 18 18 18			40	0		
LH25 8 8 8 8 8 LMMI 18 15 10 19 34 LH25 14 4 18 16 LH25 14 1 15 16 16 Hialeah, FL 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 14 1 15 16 0 0 LH25 14 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 1 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Hillsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 43 0 0 0 0 0 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 22 26 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 18 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL		0	48	8		
LMMI 18 18 Ft. Myers, FL 15 19 34 LH25 14 15 16 Hialeah, FL 0 00 0 LH25 14 15 16 Hialeah, FL 0 00 0 LMMI 1 10 00 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 43 0 0 0 Hillsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 HMI 43 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 43 0 0 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 22 25 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 14 8 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 10 0 0 58 58 58 58 58		26				26
Ft. Myers, FL 15 10 19 34 LH25 14 4 18 LMMI 1 1 4 16 Hialeah, FL 0 0 0 LH25 14 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 43 0 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 8 18 18 18 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 10 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
LH25 14 1 1 15 18 Hialeah, FL 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 1 0 0 0 0 Hilsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 0 Hilsborough County, FL 43 0 0 0 0 Hullywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 22 Honestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 12 0 0 58 58 LH25 4 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LMMI 0						
Hialeah, FL Image: Constraint of the second of the sec	LH25				4	18
LH25 LMMI MI 0 0 0 Hillsborough County, FL 43 0 0 43 LH25 0 LMMI 0 0 43 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 22 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 LMMI 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 LMMI 0 2 8 8 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 0 58 58	LMMI	1			15	16
LMMI 0 0 Hillsborough County, FL 43 0 0 LH25 0 0 43 LMMI 43 0 0 43 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 25 LMMI 0 11 11 25 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 10 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 58 Kissimmee, FL 10 0 0 10 10 LH25 0 0 0 10 10 10						
LH25 0 0 0 43 LMMI 43 0 0 43 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 0 25 LMMI 0 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 10						
LH25 0 0 0 43 LMMI 43 0 0 43 Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 0 25 LMMI 0 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 10	Hillsborough County,	FL 43				
Hollywood, FL 25 11 11 47 LH25 25 11 0 11 47 LH25 25 11 0 25 0 25 HMI 0 11 11 22 25 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 <td>LH25</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	LH25	0				
LH25 25 11 0 11 11 25 LMMI 0 11 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 10 10 LH25 0 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 0	LMMI	43	0		0	43
LMMI 0 11 11 22 Homestead City, FL 12 0 2 12 26 LH25 4 0 2 8 18 LMMI 8 0 2 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 0			11			
LH25 4 0 2 4 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 Kissimmee, FL 10 0 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 0			11			
LH25 4 0 2 4 8 18 Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 LH25 0 0 58 58 Kissimmee, FL 10 10 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 0	Homestead City, FL			2		26
Jacksonville-Duval, FL 0 0 58 58 LH25 LMMI 0 0 58 0 0 Kissimmee, FL 10 0 0 10 0 10 LH25 0			0	2		
LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 0 0 58 58 Kissimmee, FL 10 0 10 LH25 0 0 0						
Kissimmee, FL 10 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 0	LH25	-			0	0
Kissimmee, FL 10 0 10 LH25 0 0 0 0			0	0	58	58
	Kissimmee, FL				0	
	LH25 LMMI	0 10			0	0 10

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Florida

1

30

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Lake County, I	=L O	0		28	28
LH25 LMMI	0 0	0		13 15	13 15
Lakeland, FL	0	5			
LH25 LMMI	0 0	3 2		5 7	8 9
Lauderhill, FL					27
LH25 LMMI	1 2		4 20		5 22
Lee County, F				131	200
LH25 LMMI	69 0			1 130	70 130
Manatee Cour				29	125
LH25 LMMI	0 0	95	1 0	22 7	23 102
Margate, FL	10				10
LH25 LMMI	4				4
Marion County		0		10	49
LH25 LMMI	17 22	0		0 10	17 32
Miami Garden	s City, FL 0	5	0	48	53
LH25 LMMI	0	5	0	7 41	7 46
Miami, FL	26			111	170
LH25 LMMI	25 1	33		111	25 145
Miami-Dade C	ounty, FL 12	0	5	0 0	
LMMI	12	0	5	0	17
Miramar, FL	23			47	
LH25 LMMI	8 15		9 38	9 38	26 91
North Miami, F					
LH25 LMMI	5 10				5 10

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

31

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Orange County, F	FL 12	25	148	62	247
LH25 LMMI	12	25	14	0	26
LMMI	0		134	62	221
Orlando, FL	2	0		40	42
LH25 LMMI	0 2	0		13 27	13 29
Palm Bay, FL LH25	42 14	4		16 16	62 34
LMMI	28			0	28
Palm Beach Cour	nty, FL 209				209
LH25	91				91
LMMI	118				118
Pasco County, FL		93	0	199	292
LH25 LMMI	0	93	0	51 148	51 241
Pembroke Pines, LH25	FL 3		36	36	75 5
LMMI	2		1 35	34	70
Pinellas County, I	 =L 0		0		
LH25	0		, in the second se	36	36
LMMI	0	0	0	35	35
Plantation, FL	8			6	14
LH25	0			0	0
LMMI	8			6	14
Polk County, Fl		0		163	163
LH25 LMMI		0		30 133	30 133
Pompano Beach,	FL 20				24
LH25 LMMI	10 10	3		1	10 14
Port St. Lucie, FL			0		127
LH25	0	13	0	42	55
LMMI	0	17	0	55	72
Sarasota County,	FL 58				58
LH25 LMMI	26 32				26 32
	52				52

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Florida

32

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Seminole County, FL	1			58	59
LH25	0			35	35
LMMI	1			23	24
St Petersburg, FL	0	0		20	20
LH25	0	0		20	20
LMMI	0	0		0	0
State of Florida	112	13	90	595	810
LH25	54	1 12	0.0	206	261
LMMI	58	IZ	90	389	549
Sunrise, FL	1	0		10	11
LH25	0	0		0 10	0
LMMI		0		10	
Tamarac, FL		1	53	53	107
LH25		1	16 37	16	32 75
LMMI		1	5/	37	/5
Tampa, FL	0			107	107
LH25	0			81	81
LMMI	0			26	26
Volusia County, FL	11			36	47
LH25	11			17	28
LMMI				19	19
West Palm Beach, FL	6	4		4	14
LH25	1	4		4	1
LMMI	5	4		4	13
Florida Total	843	379	519	2,464	4,205


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Florida

33

Georgia


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Georgia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 1,780 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 57%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 34%. Of the units completed, 33% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 1,020 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Georgia

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE ACQU	ISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Atlanta, GA	4	16	2	105	127
LH25	4			66	70
LMMI	0	16	2	39	57
Augusta, GA			0		13
LH25				0	0
LMMI	1		0	12	13
Clayton County, GA			9	137	146
LH25			1	59	60
LMMI			8	78	86
Cobb County, GA	0			48	48
LH25	0			3	3
LMMI				45	45
Columbus-Muscogee, GA	 0	1	1		
LH25	0		1	3	4
LMMI	0	1	0	0	1

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

35

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Dekalb County, GA		0	10	150	160
LH25 LMMI		0	0 10	94 56	94 66
Fulton County, GA					
LH25 LMMI	0 0			26 63	26 63
Gwinnett County, GA			34		289
LH25 LMMI	58 54		2 32	61 82	121 168
Savannah, GA	2			6	
LH25 LMMI	2 0			1 5	3 5
State of Georgia		0	554		895
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 14	0 0	74 480	131 196	205 690
Georgia Total	133	17	610	1,020	1,780

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Georgia

36

Guam


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Guam, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Guam completed 3 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 100% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





Hawaii


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Hawaii, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 67 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 76%, followed by Acquisition at 24%. Of the units completed, 30% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 51 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Idaho

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Idaho, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Idaho completed 254 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 79%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 21%. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



Illinois


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Illinois, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 633 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 54%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 23%. Of the units completed, 25% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 342 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Illinois







42

Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

48

3

Multifamily

50

0

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Aurora, IL	7		2		9
LH25 LMMI	3 4		2		3 6
Chicago, IL					131
LH25 LMMI		76		22 33	22 109
Cicero, IL	0		12		
LH25 LMMI	0		6 6	0 3	6 9
Cook County, IL					46
LH25 LMMI		38		<mark>0</mark> 8	<mark>0</mark> 46
DuPage County , IL			0		21
LH25 LMMI	6 7		0	0 8	6 15

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

44

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Elgin, IL	0	0		5	5
LH25 LMMI	0	0		3 2	3 2
Joliet, IL			11		
LH25 LMMI		3	11	5 12	5 26
Kane County, IL					
LH25 LMMI	0 1			3 4	3 5
Lake County, IL					
LH25 LMMI				13 26	13 26
McHenry County, IL				14	
LH25 LMMI				4 10	4 10
Rockford, IL	1		0	1	
LH25 LMMI	1	26	0 0	1 0	1 27
St. Clair County, IL					41
LH25 LMMI	17 24				17 24
State of Illinois		 0			
LH25 LMMI		0	1	47 27	47 28
Will County, IL			58	111	
LH25 LMMI		1	58	27 84	27 143
Illinois Total	63	144	84	342	633

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


.....

Illinois

45

Indiana

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Indiana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 2,152 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 39%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 36%. Of the units completed, 18% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 770 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Indiana


.

.





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Anderson, IN	0			22	106
LH25 LMMI	0 0	84		17 5	17 89
Elkhart, IN					
LH25 LMMI	0 0	55		1 0	1 55
Evansville, IN	0			6	
LH25 LMMI	0 0	86		2 4	2 90
Fort Wayne, IN				27	
LH25 LMMI	0		1	3 24	3 25
Gary, IN					186
LH25 LMMI	6 2	178			6 180
		178			

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

47

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Hamilton County, IN	23				23
LH25 LMMI	5 18				5 18
Hammond, IN					
LH25 LMMI				12 13	12 13
Indianapolis, IN		239		134	373
LH25 LMMI		239		73 61	73 300
Kokomo, IN	0	0	0	13	13
LH25 LMMI	0 0	0	0	9 4	9 4
Lake County, IN					67
LH25 LMMI	21	22		24	24 43
Muncie, IN		0		4	4
LH25 LMMI		0		4	4 0
South Bend, IN					
LH25 LMMI		69		17 8	17 77
State of Indiana–IHC	DA		478	489	1,085
LH25 LMMI		118	478	219 270	219 866
Indiana Total	52	851	479	770	2,152

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....


48

.

Indiana

lowa

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Iowa, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Iowa completed 345 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 41%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 38%. Of the units completed, 37% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


Kansas

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kansas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 158 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 82%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 15%. Of the units completed, 38% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 129 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT


Kansas



52


Kentucky

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kentucky, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 248 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 73%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 11%. Of the units completed, 37% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 181 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Kentucky





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
 	Acquisition		ASSISTANCE			
Louisville Jefferson County Metro Government, KY				16	16	
LH25 LMMI				3 13	3 13	
State of Kentucky			13	165	232	
LH25 LMMI	26	7 21	13	69 96	89 143	
Kentucky Total	26	28	13	181	248	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

54


Louisiana

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Louisiana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 404 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 50%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 41%. Of the units completed, 32% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 201 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Louisiana

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Louisiana Total	0	36	167	201	404
				-	_
LMMI	0	0	167	70	237
LH25	0	0		122	122
State of Louisiar		0	167		
LMMI		36		0	36
LH25	0				0
New Orleans, LA	0	36		0	36
LNZJ				9	9
LH25				9	9
Baton Rouge, LA	Α			9	9
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....


.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

56

Maine


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maine, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Maine completed 32 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 81%, followed by Clearance/ Demo at 19%. Of the units completed, 34% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maine completed 26 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Maryland


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maryland, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 1,592 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 87%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 11%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 171 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Maryland

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

Multifamily

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units


COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE AC	QUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Baltimore County, MD	27		34	33	94
LH25 LMMI	27		34	4 29	4 90
Baltimore, MD					
LH25 LMMI				42 23	42 23
Montgomery County, MD	1				
LH25	1				1
Prince Georges County, MD			1,117		1,143
LH25 LMMI			142 975	4 22	146 997
State of Maryland		1	236	47	289
LH25 LMMI	2 3	1	30 206	31 16	63 226
Maryland Total	33	1	1,387	171	1,592

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Massachusetts


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Massachusetts, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 360 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 74%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 13%. Of the units completed, 43% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 267 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS


Massachusetts





COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY



.

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units


COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Boston, MA	4		8	9	21
LH25	1			4	5
LMMI	3		8	5	16
Brockton, MA				6	
LH25	0			4	4
LMMI	1		8	2	11
Springfield, MA		0		16	16
LH25 LMMI		0		10 6	10 6
State of Massachuset	ts 24				244
LH25	7			100	107
LMMI	17	32		88	137
Worcester, MA		16		48	64
LH25				28	28
LMMI		16		20	36
Massachusetts Total	29	48	16	267	360

62

Michigan


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Michigan, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 6,994 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 79%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 11%. Of the units completed, 7% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 639 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Michigan

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Canton Township, MI	7				7
LH25 LMMI	1				1
Clinton Township, MI	 11	0			
LH25 LMMI	11	0		12	11 12
Dearborn, MI					56
LH25 LMMI		53		2 1	2 54
Detroit, MI	 0		0	0	3,080
LH25 LMMI	0	3,080	0	0	<mark>0</mark> 3,080
Flint, MI	 0		0		125
LH25 LMMI	0	125	0		0 125
	0	125	0		125

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

64

.

.

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Genesee County, MI	37	150	8	0	195
LH25 LMMI	19 18	150	8	0	19 176
Grand Rapids, MI		 0	10		
LH25 LMMI		0	10	11 25	11 35
Kent County, MI					
LH25 LMMI	7 12			9 23	16 35
Lansing, MI					102
LH25 LMMI		84		8 10	8 94
Lincoln Park, MI					
LH25 LMMI		22		13 4	13 26
Macomb County, MI	0		77		
LH25 LMMI	0	21	50 27	0	50 48
Oakland County, MI			180		419
LH25 LMMI		81	92 88	71 87	163 256
Pontiac, MI	9		1		
LH25 LMMI	3 6	89	1	12	15 96
Redford, MI			0		
LH25 LMMI		25	0	13 24	13 49
Southfield, MI		6	65		122
LH25 LMMI		6	65	22 29	22 100
State of Michigan	0		21		
LH25 LMMI	0	746	3 18	6 115	9 879
Sterling Heights, MI		0	25		
LH25 LMMI		0	25	12	12 25

Michigan

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Michigan

.

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Taylor, MI	21				21
LH25 LMMI	5 16				5 16
Warren, MI			1	21	
LH25 LMMI		37	1	9 12	9 50
Washtenaw County			212		307
LH25 LMMI		28	212	63 4	63 244
Waterford Township	o, MI 1		124		125
LH25 LMMI	1		23 101		23 102
Wayne County, MI			0		990
LH25 LMMI		952	0	38	38 952
Westland, MI	0		24	4	31
LH25 LMMI	0	3	24	4 0	4 27
Michigan Total	105	5,502	748	639	6,994

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Minnesota


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Minnesota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 581 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in Rehab/New Construction activity type at 39% and Homeownership Assistance at 35%. Of the units completed, 28% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 229 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Minnesota







MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


		CLEARANCE	HOME	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Anoka Cou	nty, MN 3	5	2	18	28
LH25	0			8	8
LMMI	3	5	2	10	20
Dakota Cou		14	41		60
LH25	0		4	5	9
LMMI	0	14	37		51
Hennepin C	County, MN		32		
LH25			9	6	15
LMMI			23	13	36
Minneapolis	s, MN <mark>0</mark>		6		
LH25				4	4
LMMI	0	99	6	4	109
St Paul, MN	29				
LH25	22				22
LMMI	7				7
State of Mir	nesota		121	179	300
LH25			31	71	102
LMMI			90	108	198
Minnesota	Total 32	118	202	229	581

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

68


Mississippi

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Mississippi, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 675 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 81%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 19%. Of the units completed, 32% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 129 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Mississippi





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

	Mississippi Total	546			129	675
	LH25 LMMI	92 454			119	211 454
	State of Mississippi	546			119	665
	LH25 LMMI	0 0			7	7
	Jackson, MS	0			10	10
	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

70


Missouri

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Missouri, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 364 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 81%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 16%. Of the units completed, 7% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 59 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



Missouri







10

Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

15

10 5

2

Multifamily

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

	Missouri Total	4	6	295	59	364
	LMMI	4	3	295	0	302
	LH25	0	3		0	3
	State of Missouri	4	6	295	0	305
	LMMI	0			3	3
	LH25	0			0	0
	St. Louis, MO	0				
	LMMI	0			15	15
	LH25	0			18	18
	St. Louis County, MO	0				
	LMMI				19	19
	LH25				4	4
	Kansas City, MO				23	23
	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
			CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

72

Montana


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Montana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Montana completed 123 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 98%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 2%. Of the units completed, 59% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Montana completed 120 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Montana







Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

Multifamily

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

 GRANTEE State of Montana	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE 3	CONSTRUCTION	123	
LH25 LMMI	0 0		3	69 51	72 51	


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

74

Nebraska


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nebraska, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 99 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 90%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 10%. Of the units completed, 9% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 89 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



Nebraska

76

.



HOME-REHAB/ CLEARANCE/ OWNERSHIP NEW DEMO CONSTRUCTION TOTAL GRANTEE ACQUISITION ASSISTANCE 10 89 99 State of Nebraska LH25 9 9 LMMI 10 80 90 Nebraska Total 10 89 99

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

47

3

Single Family

COMPLETIONS

RENTER

OWNER

40

30

0

RENTER VS. OWNER


39

Multifamily

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Nevada

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nevada, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 616 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 51%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 46%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 314 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Nevada

.







MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Nevada Total	47	0	255	314	616
LMMI			126	35	161
LH25				78	78
State of Nevada			126		239
LH25 LMMI	29 0	0	102		29 102
Las Vegas, NV	29	0	102		131
LMMI	9		14	1	24
LH25	9			3	12
Henderson, NV			14	4	
LMMI			13	125	138
LH25				72	72
Clark County, NV			13	197	210
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		CLEARANCE/	OWNERSHIP	NEW	
			HOME-	REHAB/	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

78

New Hampshire

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Hampshire, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Hampshire completed 47 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 60%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 40%.





New Jersey


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Jersey, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 245 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 86%, followed by Acquisition at 8%. Of the units completed, 48% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 211 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

New Jersey

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


		CLEARANCE	HOME OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Bergen County, NJ	8		3	4	15
LH25 LMMI	8		3	4	12 3
Jersey City, NJ	6	8		47	61
LH25 LMMI	6	8		28 19	28 33
Newark, NJ	4				
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 4			21 58	21 62
Paterson, NJ		2			
LH25 LMMI		2		3 2	3 4
State of New Jersey	2	1			
LH25 LMMI	2 0	1 0		51 25	54 25
Union County, NJ	<mark>0</mark>				
LH25 LMMI	0 0			0	0 0
New Jersey Total	20	11	3	211	245

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

82


New Mexico

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Mexico, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 142 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 91%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 9%. Of the units completed, 38% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 129 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



New Mexico





0

Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

0

Multifamily

0

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

New Mexico Total			13	129	142
LH25 LMMI			5 8	49 80	54 88
New Mexico State Program			13	129	142
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

84


New York


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New York, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New York completed 684 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 74%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 24%. Of the units completed, 54% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New York completed 508 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



New York







Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

Multifamily

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Babylon Township, N	١Y			5	5
LH25 LMMI				4	4
Islip Town, NY					
LH25 LMMI				3	3 6
Nassau County, NY	 0			144	144
LH25 LMMI	0			126 18	126 18
New York City, NY					
LH25 LMMI	11			0 0	11 0
Orange County, NY				5	
LH25 LMMI	0			1	1

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

86

New York

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


New York Total	11	165		508	684
LMMI				14	14
LH25				6	6
Suffolk County, NY				20	20
LMMI		165		105	270
LH25				220	220
State of New York		165		325	490
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....


North Carolina

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Carolina, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 764 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeowner Assistance activity type at 56%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 39%. Of the units completed, 63% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 300 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




North Carolina





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

North Carolina Total	30	6	428	300	764
		0	202	+5	202
LMMI	11	6	202	43	262
LH25	19	0	206	242	467
State of North Carolina	30	6	408	285	729
LIMM	0		20		
LMMI	0		20	15	20
LH25	0			15	15
Charlotte, NC	0		20	15	35
GRANTEE A	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


89

North Dakota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Dakota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in North Dakota completed 201 units, all but one in the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in North Dakota completed 199 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



North Dakota



91

Through Third Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report Northern Mariana Islands

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Northern Mariana Islands, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Northern Mariana Islands completed 9 units, all in the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 67% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.






U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Ohio


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Ohio, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 14,083 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 93%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 6%. Of the units completed, 4% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 872 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Ohio

.





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Akron, OH	0	218		17	235
LH25 LMMI	0 0	22 196		6 11	28 207
Butler County, OH				6	
LH25 LMMI		29		3	3 32
Canton, OH		2		14	
LH25 LMMI		2		4 10	4 12
Cincinnati, OH					237
LH25 LMMI		187		26 24	26 211
Cleveland, OH				105	
LH25 LMMI		687		82 23	82 710

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

95

COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Columbus, OH				107	206
LH25 LMMI		99		89 18	89 117
Cuyahoga County, OH				203	263
LH25 LMMI		53	7	180 23	180 83
Dayton, OH				4	
LH25 LMMI		524		4 0	4 524
Elyria, OH					45
LH25 LMMI		38		6 1	6 39
Euclid, OH	 0				132
LH25 LMMI	0 0	125		2 5	2 130
Franklin County, OH		0		16	
LH25 LMMI	2 6	0		8	10 14
Hamilton City, OH	1		0		
LH25 LMMI	1 0	8	0	5 4	6 12
Hamilton County, OH	2				133
LH25 LMMI	1 1	98		16 17	17 116
Lake County, OH					
LH25 LMMI	0			15	<mark>0</mark> 15
Lorain, OH	6				130
LH25 LMMI	4 2	124			4 126
Middletown, OH				15	
LH25 LMMI		37		6 9	6 46
Montgomery County, (н он о		1	-11	
LH25 LMMI	0	78	1	0 -11	0 68

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Ohio

96

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GR	ANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Sp	ringfield, OH	0	30		22	52
	125 MMI	0 0	<mark>0</mark> 30		12 10	12 40
Sta	ark County, OH			42	2	
	125 MMI	13 19	17	42	2	13 80
Sta	ate of Ohio	 0	10,379		135	10,514
	H25 MMI	0	10,379		18 117	18 10,496
Su	mmit County, OH					
	H25 MMI		0 0		48 17	48 17
To	ledo, OH					
	125 MMI		112		17 34	17 146
Yo	ungstown, OH		256			
	125 MMI	6 5	256			6 261
Oł	nio Total	60	13,101	50	872	14,083

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


.....

.

97

Oklahoma

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oklahoma, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 55 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 73%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 27%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 15 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Oklahoma



LMMI

Oklahoma Total



HOME-

0

0

0

1

39

40

OWNERSHIP

ASSISTANCE

REHAB/

CONSTRUCTION

NEW

13

6

7

2

2

15

TOTAL

13

6

7

42

3

39

55

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


0

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS


99

Oregon

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oregon, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 224 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 75%, followed by Rehab/ New Construction at 25%. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 55 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Oregon



101


Pennsylvania

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Pennsylvania, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 929 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 64%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 36%. Of the units completed, 39% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 593 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Pennsylvania

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE A	CQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Allegheny County, PA		171		30	201
LH25 LMMI		171		10 20	10 191
Allentown, PA		4		 1	5
LH25 LMMI	0 0	4		1 0	1 4
Philadelphia, PA					
LH25 LMMI				<mark>0</mark> 47	0 47
Pittsburgh, PA					227
LH25 LMMI		154		46 27	46 181
State of Pennsylvania		7			
LH25 LMMI		7		305 137	305 144
York County, PA	 0			 0	0
LH25 LMMI	0			0	0 0
Pennsylvania Total	0	336		593	929

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

103

Puerto Rico

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Puerto Rico, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Puerto Rico completed 13 units, all in the Rehab/ New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 38% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




104




Rhode Island

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Rhode Island, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 365 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 62%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 37%. Of the units completed, 27% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 225 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



Rhode Island







MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Rhode Island Total	2	2	136	225	365	
LMMI	2	2	136	125	265	
LH25				100	100	
Rhode Island State Program	2	2	136	225	365	
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	

.....


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

107

.


South Carolina

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Carolina, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 692 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 54%, followed by Acquisition at 30%. Of the units completed, 32% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 110 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




South Carolina

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

South Carolina Total	205	377		110	692
	02	200		,	
LMMI	82	295		7	384
LH25	119			70	189
South Carolina State Program	201	295		77	573
LMMI		37		3	40
LH25				9	9
Richland County, SC	• • • • • • • • • • • •				
LMMI	1	45			46
LH25	3			21	24
Greenville County, SC	4	45		21	70
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
			HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


109

South Dakota


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Dakota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 115 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 86%, followed by Acquisition at 10%. Of the units completed, 40% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 99 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



South Dakota



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

South Dakota Total	12	3	1	99	115	
			-			
LMMI	9	3	1	56	69	
LH25	3	0	0	43	46	
State of South Dakota	12	3	1	99	115	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

111

Tennessee


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Tennessee, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Tennessee completed 650 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 38%, followed by the Clearance/ Demo activity at 31%. Of the units completed, 22% benefited 167 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Tennessee





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Chattanooga, TN	10	52	4	9	75
LH25	10				10
LMMI		52	4	9	65
Knoxville, TN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6			
LH25	2			42	44
LMMI	5	6		57	68
Memphis, TN	21		26	22	69
LH25	0			0	0
LMMI	21		26	22	69
Nashville-Davidson, TN	I 52				
LH25	44				44
LMMI	8				8
Shelby County, TN					
LH25	6			11	17
LMMI	19			23	42
State of Tennessee	130		4		283
LH25	27			3	30
LMMI	103	146	4	0	253
Tennessee Total	245	204	34	167	650

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee. 113

Texas


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Texas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Texas completed 1,304 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 37%, followed by Acquisition at 26%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Texas completed 483 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Texas

.

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
 Arlington, TX	7	0	25		32	
LH25 LMMI	0 7	0 0	7 18		7 25	
Dallas County, TX			12		42	
LH25 LMMI			12	30	12 30	
Dallas, TX				42		
LH25 LMMI	17 25			42	17 67	
El Paso, TX	 0			2	2	
LH25 LMMI	0			02	0 2	
Fort Bend County, T			4			
LH25 LMMI	0		2	14	16 6	

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

115

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Fort Worth, TX	0		166		166
LH25 LMMI	0		13 153		13 153
Garland, TX			4		23
LH25 LMMI	4 5	10	4		4 19
Grand Prairie, TX			61		
LH25 LMMI	4 14		10 51		14 65
Harris County, TX				131	211
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 80			131	131 80
Hidalgo County, TX	5				5
LH25 LMMI	1				1 4
Houston, TX	13	<mark>0</mark>		O	13
LH25 LMMI	10 3	0		0	10 3
Mesquite, TX					7
LH25 LMMI				4	4
San Antonio, TX	105		18	214	
LH25 LMMI	86 19		18	0 214	86 251
State of Texas – TDHC			20		257
LH25 LMMI	33 12	163	7 13	14 15	54 203
Tarrant County, TX					
LH25 LMMI	14			10	10 14
Texas Total	338	173	310	483	1,304

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Texas


116

.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Utah


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Utah, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Utah completed 163 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 63% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.






Vermont

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Vermont, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 197 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 89%, followed by Acquisition at 11%. Of the units completed, 52% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 175 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Vermont





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRAN	ITEE ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	e of Vermont 22	0		175	197
LH2 LMN		0		87 88	102 95
Vern	nont Total 22	0		175	197


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

120

Virgin Islands

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Virgin Islands, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in the Virgin Islands completed 0 units across all NSP Activity Types.






Virginia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Virginia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Virginia completed 63 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 51%, followed by Acquisition at 49%. Of the units completed, 51% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.






LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Washington

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Washington, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Washington completed 139 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 67%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 31%. Of the units completed, 40% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



West Virginia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of West Virginia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in West Virginia completed 123 units, all within the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 53% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

West Virginia Through Third Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER ACTUAL RENTER PROJECTED OWNER 128 250 150 214 123 200 90 134 100 60 67 30 38 0 0 0 Multifamily Multifamily Single Family Single Family Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES HOME-REHAB/ CLEARANCE/ OWNERSHIP NEW GRANTEE TOTAL ACQUISITION DEMO ASSISTANCE CONSTRUCTION State of West Virginia 123 123 LH25 65 65 LMMI 58 58 West Virginia Total 123 123

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


Wisconsin

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wisconsin, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 497 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 53%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 28%. Of the units completed, 40% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 324 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Wisconsin









.

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Wisconsin Total	2	115	168	324	609
LMMI	Z	57	41	115	215
LH25	0	F7	18	159	177
State of Wisconsin	2	57	59	274	392
LMMI		58	73	21	152
Milwaukee, WI LH25		58	109 36	50 29	217 65
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION				

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

130

Wyoming

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wyoming, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Wyoming completed 42 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.

