

Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1

Production Reports Through Second Quarter 2012



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Contents

NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS


Alabama	. 3
Alaska	. 5
American Samoa	. 7
Arizona	9
Arkansas	. 12
California	14
Colorado	20
Connecticut	22
Delaware	24
District of Columbia	26
Florida	28
Georgia	34
Guam	37
Hawaii	39
Idaho	41
Illinois	43
Indiana	46
lowa	49
Kansas	51
Kentucky	53
Louisiana	55
Maine	57
Maryland	59
Massachusetts	61
Michigan	63
Minnesota	67
Mississippi	69
Missouri	. 71
Montana	73
Nebraska	.75

	Nevada 77
3	New Hampshire 79
5	New Jersey 81
7	New Mexico 83
9	New York 85
12	North Carolina 88
14	North Dakota 90
. 20	Northern Mariana Islands 92
. 22	Ohio
. 24	Oklahoma 98
. 26	Oregon 100
. 28	Pennsylvania 102
. 34	Puerto Rico 104
. 37	Rhode Island 106
. 39	South Carolina 108
41	South Dakota 110
. 43	Tennessee 112
. 46	Texas 114
. 49	Utah 117
51	Vermont 119
. 53	Virgin Islands 121
. 55	Virginia
. 57	Washington
. 59	West Virginia127
61	Wisconsin129
. 63	Wyoming 131

COVER PHOTO: NSP new construction in Lake Worth, Florida. Credit: Lake Worth CRA


Alabama

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alabama, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Alabama completed 216 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 53% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




Alabama





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Birmingham, AL	0			21	21
LH25 LMMI	0 0			9 12	9 12
Jefferson County, AL	 0				
LH25 LMMI	0 0			6 9	6 9
State of Alabama		0			
LH25 LMMI		0		99 81	99 81
Alabama Total	0	0		216	216

.....


.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%–120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

4

Alaska

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alaska, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 96 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 82%, followed by Acquisition at 16%. Of the units completed, 18% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 79 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Alaska


6

.





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
Alaska State Program	15	2	0	79	96	
LH25 LMMI	5 10	2	0	12 67	17 79	
Alaska Total	15	2	0	79	96	

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%–120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

American Samoa

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in American Samoa, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in American Samoa completed 2 units across all NSP Activity Types, including 1 Rehab/New Construction unit and 1 Clearance/Demo unit. Of the units completed, 50% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.






8

Arizona


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arizona, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 1,644 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 73%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 19%. Of the units completed, 20% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 319 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Arizona

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Arizona State Progran	n 0		905	24	929
LH25 LMMI	0		46 859	24	70 859
Avondale City, AZ		4	44		
LH25 LMMI		4	9	0	9 39
Chandler, AZ					
LH25 LMMI	6 16		7		6 23
Glendale, AZ					
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 16				0 16
Maricopa County, AZ	 57		10		
LH25 LMMI	21 36		10	0	21 46

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

10

Arizona Total	95	27	1,203	319	1,644
LMMI			16	0	16
LH25			10	0	10
Surprise Town, AZ			26	0	
LMMI	0	6		3	9
LH25				11	11
Pima County, AZ		6		14	20
LMMI	0	16	180	51	247
LH25			5	169	174
Phoenix, AZ				220	421
LMMI	0	1	26	29	56
LH25	0			32	32
Mesa, AZ	0		26	61	88
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
			HOME-	REHAB/	1

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Arizona

11

Arkansas


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arkansas, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Arkansas completed 126 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 98% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP1 UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE COMPLETED UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY TYPE REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION ACTUAL PROJECTED ACQUISITION CLEARANCE/ DEMO 126 (100%) HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 126 REHAB/NEW 237 00 COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY AND RENTER AND OWNER UNITS NATIONAL OBJECTIVE ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS LH25 ACTUAL O LMMI PROJECTED 216 ACQUISITION CLEARANCE/ DEMO 124 HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 124 2 REHAB/NEW 21 30 60 90 150 Owner Renter LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Arkansas



California


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of California, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in California completed 3,617 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 39%, followed by Acquisition at 34%. Of the units completed, 33% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in California completed 1,408 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

California

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Alameda County, CA	9				9
LH25 LMMI	3 6				3 6
Anaheim, CA					
LH25 LMMI	0		34	0	0 34
Antioch, CA				11	
LH25 LMMI				4 7	4 7
Apple Valley, CA	 0		29		
LH25 LMMI	0		0 29		<mark>0</mark> 29
Bakersfield, CA	 19		70		
LH25 LMMI	19		70		19 70

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

15

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED) HOME-REHAB/ CLEARANCE/ OWNERSHIP NEW ACQUISITION ASSISTANCE GRANTEE DEMO CONSTRUCTION τοται Chula Vista, CA LH25 LMMI Compton, CA LH25 LMMI Contra Costa County, CA LH25 LMMI Corona, CA LH25 LMMI Elk Grove, CA LH25 LMMI Fontana, CA LH25 LMMI Fresno County, CA LH25 LMMI Fresno, CA LH25 LMMI Hemet, CA LH25 LMMI Hesperia, CA LH25 LMMI Kern County, CA LH25

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

LMMI

LMMI

Lancaster, CA LH25

. . . 0

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Long Beach, CA	9				9
LH25	0				0
LMMI	9				9
Los Angeles Count			100		121
LH25 LMMI	21		100		21 100
Los Angeles, CA			20		
LH25			3	4	7
LMMI			17	28	45
Modesto, CA	49		2		51
LH25 LMMI	13 36		2		13 38
Moreno Valley, CA					
LH25 LMMI				0	0 33
				33	
Oakland, CA LH25	2			0	2
LMMI	2			U	0 2
Ontario, CA					
LH25	4			4	8
LMMI	3			5	8
Orange County, CA					6
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 6				0 6
Palmdale, CA			4		
LH25 LMMI	7 6		4		7 10
Pomona, CA		0			
LH25 LMMI	6 5	0	0		6 5
Rancho Cucamong					
LH25	3				3
LMMI	6				6
Rialto, CA	3		56		59
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 3		56		0 59

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

17

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

		1	HOME-	REHAB/	I
GRANTEE ACQ	UISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Richmond, CA	0			13	13
LH25 LMMI	0			6 7	6 7
Riverside County, CA	255		62		
LH25	113		2	52	167
LMMI	142		60	142	344
Riverside, CA	71	9			80
LH25 LMMI	36 35	9			36 44
Sacramento County, CA	0	1		164	165
LH25 LMMI	0	1		55 109	55 110
Sacramento, CA	 0	<mark>0</mark>		105	105
LH25 LMMI	0	0		35 70	35 70
San Bernardino County, CA	 \		18		
LH25 LMMI			7 11	134 21	141 32
San Bernardino, CA	 18				
LH25 LMMI	9 9	40			9 49
San Diego County, CA	 13		3		
LH25 LMMI	13		3	1	14 3
San Diego, CA				4	
LH25	30		51	4	34
LMMI	8		51		59
San Joaquin County, CA	77	0		42	119
LH25 LMMI	77	0		42	42 77
San Jose, CA					
LH25				0	0
Santa Ana, CA	 48				
LH25	14				14
LMMI	34		4		38

18

.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Stanislaus Co	ounty, CA 63		3	63	129
LH25	22			22	44
LMMI	41		3	41	85
State of Calif	fornia 0		192	471	753
LH25	0			157	157
LMMI		90	192	314	596
Stockton, CA					61
LH25				0	0
LMMI	2		7	52	61
Vallejo, CA			3	6	9
LH25				0	0
LMMI			3	6	9
Victorville, C	A 11		17	0	30
LH25	3	2			5
LMMI	8		17	0	25
Visalia, CA					29
LH25	4				4
LMMI	25				25
California To	otal 1,221	142	846	1,408	3,617

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Colorado


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Colorado, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 126 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 52%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 31%. Of the units completed, 58% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 22 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Colorado





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Adams County, CO	13		3	0	16
LH25 LMMI	5		3	0	5
Aurora, CO			0		
LH25 LMMI	30 22		0	12	42
Denver, CO			36		
LH25 LMMI			26 10	10	26 20
State of Colorado	 0				0
LH25 LMMI	0		0	0	0
Colorado Total	65		39	22	126

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


21

Connecticut

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Connecticut, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 63 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 68%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 19%. Of the units completed, 49% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 7 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



Delaware

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Delaware, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 81 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 62%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 35%. Of the units completed, 26% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 50 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT



Through Second Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report District of Columbia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the District of Columbia, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in the District of Columbia completed 16 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 50% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS



Florida

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Florida, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Florida completed 3,762 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 58%, followed by Acquisition at 20%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Florida completed 2,193 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Florida


29

.





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Boynton Beach, FL	9				9
LH25 LMMI	8 1				8
Brevard County, FL					51
LH25 LMMI	4 5	3		9 30	13 38
Broward County, FL	 0	5	18		127
LH25 LMMI	0 0	5	18	46 58	46 81
Cape Coral, FL			38		
LH25 LMMI	0		38	5 21	5 59
Collier County, FL		1		20	21
LH25 LMMI	0	1		3 17	3 18

.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – I20% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activit with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Coral Springs, FL			38	38	
LH25 LMMI			10 28	10 28	20 56
Deerfield Beach, FL	 0				
LH25 LMMI	0 0		3 4	0	3 4
Deltona, FL					
LH25 LMMI				12 30	12 30
Escambia County, Fl	0				136
LH25 LMMI	0 0	48	8	46 34	46 90
Fort Lauderdale, FL	23				
LH25 LMMI	7 16				7 16
Ft. Myers, FL					
LH25 LMMI	14 1			4 15	18 16
Hialeah, FL				 0	
LH25 LMMI				0 0	0 0
Hillsborough County				 0	
LH25 LMMI	0 37			0	0 37
Hollywood, FL					
LH25 LMMI	21 0	11		0 13	21 24
Homestead City, FL	4	0	2	 0	6
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 4	0	2	0 0	<mark>0</mark> 6
Jacksonville-Duval, I	 =L	0	0		
LH25 LMMI		0	0	0 58	0 58
Kissimmee, FL				 0	10
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 10			0	0 10

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Florida

30

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Lake County, FL	 0	0			27
LH25 LMMI	0 0	0		13 14	13 14
Lakeland, FL					14
LH25 LMMI	0 0	3 0		47	7
Lauderhill, FL					27
LH25 LMMI	1 2		4 20		5 22
Lee County, FL				125	194
LH25 LMMI	69			1 124	70 124
Manatee County, F	L 0			29	125
LH25 LMMI	0 0	95	1 0	22 7	23 102
Margate, FL					10
LH25 LMMI	4 6				4
Marion County, FL				0	39
LH25 LMMI	17 22			0	17 22
Miami Gardens City	/, FL 31	9	9	15	64
LH25 LMMI	31	9	9	10 5	10 54
Miami, FL	26			111	170
LH25 LMMI	25 1	33		111	25 145
Miami-Dade Count			5	0	17
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 12	0	5	0	0 17
Miramar, FL	23			47	117
LH25 LMMI	8 15		9 38	9 38	26 91
North Miami, FL	21				21
LH25 LMMI	11 10				11 10

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Florida

.

31

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

GRANTEE ACQUISITION CLEARANCE/ DEMO OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE NEW CONSTRUCTION TOTAL Orange County, FL 12 25 148 57 242 LH25 10 25 134 57 216 Orlando, FL 2 0 337 39 LH25 0 337 39 LH25 0 337 242 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 34 LMMI 28 4 16 34 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 34 LMMI 28 4 16 34 LM12 89 17 89 189 191 LH25 89 18 36 356 356 LM1 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL
LH25 12 25 14 0 26 Driando, FL 2 0 37 39 LH25 0 37 24 26 Paim Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LH25 14 4 0 28 Paim Bay, FL 42 4 16 34 LH25 14 4 0 28 Paim Beach County, FL 206 206 206 LH25 89 28 30 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 36 36 36 36 LH25 0 0 0 70
LH25 12 25 14 0 26 Driando, FL 2 0 37 39 LH25 0 37 32 LH25 0 20 13 13 LMMI 2 0 24 26 Paim Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LH25 14 4 0 28 Paim Bay, FL 206 206 206 LH25 89 28 20 16 34 Paim Beach County, FL 206 206 28 29 LH25 89 20 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 224 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 70
LMMI 0 25 134 57 216 Orlando, FL 2 0 37 39 LH25 0 2 0 24 32 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LMMI 28 4 16 34 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 34 LM1 28 4 16 34 Palm Beach County, FL 206 28 28 206 LH25 89 37 30 91 284 Palm Deach County, FL 0 93 0 91 284 LH25 0 37 30 11 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 35 LH25 2 1 2 5 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 35 355
Orlando, FL 2 0 37 39 LH25 0 13 13 13 26 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LH25 14 4 16 34 LMMI 28 28 28 28 Palm Beach County, FL 206 206 206 206 LH25 89 28 30 191 284 Palm Beach County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 234 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 35 35 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 36
LMMI 2 0 24 26 Palm Bay, FL 42 4 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LMMI 28
Palm Bay, FL 42 44 16 62 LH25 14 4 16 34 LMMI 28 206 206 206 LH25 89 206 206 206 LH25 89 206 206 206 LH25 89 206 206 206 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 5 LM1 1 1 35 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 36 36 LM1 0 0 0 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 1 4 10 LH25
LH25 14 4 6 34 Paim Beach County, FL 206 206 206 LH25 89 1 206 89 LMMI 117 206 89 89 LMMI 117 206 89 89 LMMI 117 20 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 35 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 36 36 36 LH25 0 0 0 4 10 LH25 0 13 0
LMMI 28 0 28 Palm Beach County, FL 206 206 206 LH25 89 1 89 89 LMMI 117 93 0 191 284 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 3 36 36 75 LH25 0 0 0 71 71 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 35 36 IMMI 0 0 0 35 36 36 IMMI 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 10 LH25 0 13 0 <
Palm Beach County, FL 206 206 206 LH25 89 117 89 117 89 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 0 0 70 71 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 35 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 4 10 LH25
LH25 89 117 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 50 50 LMMI 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 366 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 1 35 344 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 6 4 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td
LMMI 117 117 117 Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 50 50 LMMI 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 0 0 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 36 36 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 4 10 LH25 0 6 63 76 LMMI 13 0 63 76 46 46
Pasco County, FL 0 93 0 191 284 LH25 0 93 0 50 50 LMMI 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 0 0 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 35 366 36 IMMI 0 0 0 71 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 IMMI 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 4 10 LH25 0 63 76 LMMI 13 0 63 76 LM25 13 0 46 46
LH25 0 93 0 50 50 LMMI 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 35 364 75 LH25 1 1 2 5 5 LMMI 1 1 2 5 5 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 366 366 LMMI 0 0 0 31 31 36 355 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 10 LH25 0 6 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
LMMI 0 93 0 141 234 Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 35 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 71 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46 46
Pembroke Pines, FL 3 36 36 36 75 LH25 2 1 2 5 LMMI 1 0 0 0 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
LH25 2 1 1 2 5 LMMI 1 35 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
LMMI 1 35 34 70 Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 LMMI 0 0 0 35 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 4 10 10 10 Plantation, FL 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Pinellas County, FL 0 0 0 71 71 LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 35 Plantation, FL 6 0 0 0 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 0 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 0 0 63 76 10 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 146 46
LH25 0 0 0 36 36 36 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
LMMI 0 0 0 35 35 Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 6 0 0 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
Plantation, FL 6 4 10 LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 0 63 76 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 46 46
LH25 0 0 0 0 LMMI 6 0 4 10 Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 0 17 30 LMMI 0 0 46 46
Polk County, FI 13 0 63 76 LH25 13 17 30 LMMI 0 0 46 46
LH25 13 LMMI 0 0 0 17 30 46 46
LMMI 0 0 46 46
LH25 10 10
LMMI 10 3 1 14
Port St. Lucie, FL 0 30 0 89 119
LH25 0 13 0 38 51 LMMI 0 17 0 51 68
Sarasota County, FL 57 57 57
LH25 26 26 26
LMMI 31 31

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

32

ANDI	NATIONAL	ODJECTIVES	(CONTINUED)	


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Seminole County, FL	0			58	58
LH25	0			35	35
LMMI	0			23	23
St Petersburg, FL	0	0		20	20
LH25	0			20	20
LMMI	0	0		0	0
State of Florida	0		14	542	568
LH25	0	0		197	197
LMMI	0	12	14	345	371
Sunrise, FL	1	0		7	8
LH25	0			0	0
LMMI	1	0		7	8
Tamarac, FL			53	53	107
LH25			16	16	32
LMMI		1	37	37	75
Tampa, FL	0			107	107
LH25	0			81	81
LMMI	0			26	26
Volusia County, FL	11			33	44
LH25	11			15	26
LMMI				18	18
West Palm Beach, FL	6	4		4	14
LH25	1				1
LMMI	5	4		4	13
Florida Total	741	380	448	2,193	3,762

Florida

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


Georgia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Georgia, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 1,750 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 55%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 35%. Of the units completed, 33% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 971 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Georgia

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Atlanta, G	GA 3	16	2	101	122
LH25 LMMI	3 0	16	2	64 37	67 55
Augusta,	GA 1		0		13
LH25 LMMI	1		0	0 12	0 13
Clayton C	County, GA		9		138
LH25 LMMI			1 8	57 72	58 80
Cobb Cou	unty, GA				47
LH25 LMMI				3 44	3 44
Columbu	s-Muscogee, GA 0	1	1		
LH25 LMMI	0	1	1	3	4

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

35

.

.

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Dekalb County, GA		0	10	147	157
LH25 LMMI		0	<mark>0</mark> 10	86 61	86 71
Fulton County, GA					
LH25 LMMI	5 15			23 43	28 58
Gwinnett County, GA			34		289
LH25 LMMI	58 54		2 32	61 82	121 168
Savannah, GA	2			6	
LH25 LMMI	2 0			1 5	3 5
State of Georgia		0	554		
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 14	0 0	74 480	129 188	203 682
Georgia Total	152	17	610	971	1,750

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Georgia

36

Guam


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Guam, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Guam completed 3 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 100% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





Hawaii


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Hawaii, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 67 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 76%, followed by Acquisition at 24%. Of the units completed, 30% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 51 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Idaho


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Idaho, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Idaho completed 241 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 78%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 22%. Of the units completed, 23% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





Illinois


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Illinois, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 599 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 55%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 24%. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 328 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Illinois





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Aurora, IL	6		2		8
LH25 LMMI	2 4		2		26
Chicago, IL				51	127
LH25 LMMI		76		22 29	22 105
Cicero, IL	0		12		
LH25 LMMI	0		6 6	0 3	6 9
Cook County, IL					
LH25 LMMI		37		0 7	0 44
DuPage County , IL	13		0		20
LH25 LMMI	6 7		0	<mark>0</mark> 7	6 14

44

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Elgin, IL		0		4	4
LH25 LMMI	0	0		3 1	3 1
Joliet, IL					
LH25 LMMI		3	11	5 12	5 26
Kane County, IL					
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 1			3 4	3 5
Lake County, IL					
LH25 LMMI				12 24	12 24
McHenry County, IL					
LH25 LMMI				4	4 10
Rockford, IL		26	0	1	28
LH25 LMMI	1	26	0	1 0	1 27
St. Clair County, IL					23
LH25 LMMI	10 13				10 13
State of Illinois		0	1		
LH25 LMMI		0	1	46 27	46 28
Will County, IL		1	58		
LH25 LMMI		1	58	25 83	25 142
Illinois Total	44	143	84	328	599

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


.....

Illinois

45

Indiana

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Indiana, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 1,980 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 43%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 31%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 607 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Indiana

47

.

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

0

.

Multifamily

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
Anderson, IN	0	84		17	101	
LH25	0			12	12	
LMMI	0	84		5	89	
Elkhart, IN	0			1	56	
LH25	0			1	1	
LMMI	0	55		0	55	
Evansville, IN	0			6	92	
LH25	0			2	2	
LMMI	0	86		4	90	
Fort Wayne, IN	<mark>0</mark>		1		28	
LH25				3	3	
LMMI	0		1	24	25	
Gary, IN					179	
LH25	1				1	
LMMI	0	178			178	
LH25 LMMI	1 0	178			1 178	

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activ with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Hamilton County, IN	23				23
LH25	5				5
LMMI	18				18
Hammond, IN				23	23
LH25				12	12
LMMI				11	11
Indianapolis, IN		239		123	362
LH25				71	71
LMMI		239		52	291
Kokomo, IN	0	0	0	13	13
LH25	0			9	9
LMMI	0	0	0	4	4
Lake County, IN	20	22		14	56
LH25	20	22		14	14
LMMI	20	22			42
Muncie, IN		0		4	4
LH25		0		4	4
LMMI		0			0
South Bend, IN		69		16	85
LH25		69		10	10 75
LMMI		69		6	/5
State of Indiana – IHC	DA	117	478	363	958
LH25 LMMI		117	478	173 190	173 785
		11/	4/8	190	/85
Indiana Total	44	850	479	607	1,980

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Indiana


48

.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT


lowa

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Iowa, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Iowa completed 40 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 28% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




49

.



Kansas

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kansas, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 151 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 81%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 16%. Of the units completed, 37% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 122 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT


Kansas



52

Kentucky


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kentucky, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 178 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 77%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 16%. Of the units completed, 38% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 137 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Kentucky

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

ŀ	Kentucky Total	0	28	13	137	178
	Linin	V	21		11	50
	LMMI	0	21	10	77	98
	LH25		7	13	44	64
	State of Kentucky			13		162
	LMMI				12	12
	LH25				4	4
(Louisville Jefferson County Metro Government, KY				16	16
6	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

54

Louisiana


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Louisiana, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 359 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 53%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 47%. Of the units completed, 35% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 192 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Louisiana

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Louisiana Total	0	0	167	192	359
	-	-			
LMMI	0	0	167	68	235
LH25	0	0		115	115
State of Louisiana			167		
LMMI		0		0	0
LH25	0				0
New Orleans, LA	0	0		0	0
21123				5	5
LH25				9	9
Baton Rouge, LA				9	9
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

.

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

56

Maine


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maine, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Maine completed 32 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 81%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 19%. Of the units completed, 34% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maine completed 26 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Maryland


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maryland, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 1,582 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 88%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 10%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 161 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Maryland

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

grantee a	CQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Baltimore County, MD	27		34	33	94
LH25 LMMI	27		34	4 29	4 90
Baltimore, MD					
LH25 LMMI				38 17	38 17
Montgomery County, MI	 D 1				
LH25	1				1
Prince Georges County, MD			1,117	26	
LH25 LMMI			142 975	4 22	146 997
State of Maryland	5	1	236	47	
LH25 LMMI	2 3	1	30 206	31 16	63 226
Maryland Total	33	1	1,387	161	1,582


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

60

Massachusetts


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Massachusetts, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 354 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 75%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 14%. Of the units completed, 42% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 265 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Massachusetts

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



.

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units


COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUI	SHION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Boston, M.	A	1		7	9	17
LH25		0		_	4	4
LMMI		I		7	5	13
Brockton,	МА			8	6	15
LH25		0			4	4
LMMI		1		8	2	11
Springfield	d, MA		0			16
LH25					10	10
LMMI			0		6	6
State of M	assachusetts					239
LH25		7			95	102
LMMI		17	32		88	137
Worcester	 ; МА				51	
LH25					28	28
LMMI			16		23	39
Massachus	setts Total	26	48	15	265	354

62


Michigan

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Michigan, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 6,794 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 79%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 11%. Of the units completed, 7% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 606 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Michigan

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE A	CQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	• • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••
Canton Township, MI	7				7
LH25	1				1
LMMI	6				6
Clinton Township, MI					23
LH25	11				11
LMMI		0		12	12
Dearborn, MI					52
LH25				2	2
LMMI		49		1	50
Detroit, MI	0	3,046		0	3,046
LH25				0	0
LMMI	0	3,046			3,046
Flint, MI	0		0		125
LH25	0				0
LMMI	0	125	0		125

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

64

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Genesee County, M	11 34		8	0	192
LH25 LMMI	17 17	150	8	0	17 175
Grand Rapids, MI			10		
LH25 LMMI		0	10	11 24	11 34
Kent County, MI					
LH25 LMMI	7 12			9 23	16 35
Lansing, MI					102
LH25 LMMI		84		8 10	8 94
Lincoln Park, MI					
LH25 LMMI		20		13 3	13 23
Macomb County, M	 1I <mark>0</mark>				
LH25 LMMI	0	1 20	25 27	0	26 47
Oakland County, M			180		419
LH25 LMMI		81	92 88	71 87	163 256
Pontiac, MI			1		111
LH25 LMMI	3 6	89	1	12	15 96
Redford, MI			0		
LH25 LMMI		21	0 0	10 23	10 44
Southfield, MI		6	65		122
LH25 LMMI		6	65	22 29	22 100
State of Michigan	0		21	108	780
LH25 LMMI	0	651	3 18	6 102	9 771
Sterling Heights, M	 I	0	25		
LH25 LMMI		0	25	12	12 25

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Michigan

65

.

.

Michigan

.

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


			723		6,794
LH25 LMMI	0	3	24	4 0	2
Westland, MI	0	3	24	4	3
LMMI		952			95
LH25			0	28	28
Wayne County, MI					
LMMI	1		101		102
Waterford Township, MI LH25	1		124 23		125
Materia Terratic M			10.4		
LH25 LMMI		28	212	63 4	63 244
Washtenaw County, MI		28	212	67	30
LH25 LMMI		37	1	7 10	48
Warren, MI			1	17	55
LMMI	16				16
LH25	5				Į.
Taylor, MI	21				2
GRANTEE ACQ	UISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOT

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Minnesota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Minnesota, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 528 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 40%, followed by the Clearance/Demo activity type at 38%. Of the units completed, 27% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 211 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Minnesota

68

.







COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

Minnesota Total	18	98	201	211	528
LH25 LMMI			31 89	69 100	100 189
State of Minnesota			120	169	289
LMMI	6				6
LH25	9				9
St Paul, MN					
LMMI	0	79	5	4	88
Minneapolis, MN LH25	0	79	5	4	92
Minnopholic MN			5		92
LH25 LMMI			9 24	6 13	15 37
Hennepin County, MN			33	19	52
LMMI	0	14	37	5	51
LH25	0	14	4	5	9
 Dakota County, MN			41		60
LMMI	3	5	2	6	16
LH25	0	5	L	4	4
Anoka County, MN			2	10	20
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
			HOME	REHAB/	


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

RENTER VS. OWNER

.


Mississippi

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Mississippi, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 670 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 81%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 19%. Of the units completed, 32% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 124 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Mississippi





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Missi	ssippi Total 546			124	670
	454				454
LMM				113	454
LH25	5 92			115	207
State	of Mississippi 546			115	661
LITT	U U			2	2
LMM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2	2
LH25	5 0			7	7
	son, MS 0			9	9
GRAN ⁻	TEE ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		1	HOME-	REHAB/	


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

70

Missouri

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Missouri, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 360 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 82%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 15%. Of the units completed, 7% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 55 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT


Missouri

72

.







MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Missouri Total	4	6	295	55	360
	4	4	295	U	303
LH25 LMMI	0	2	295	0	2 303
		-	295		
State of Missouri		6	295		
LMMI	0			3	3
LH25	0			0	0
St. Louis, MO	0			3	3
LMMI	0			15	15
LH25	0			18	18
St. Louis County, MO	0			33	33
Linn				10	10
LMMI				15	15
LH25				4	4
 Kansas City, MO				19	19
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		CLEARANCE/	OWNERSHIP	NEW	
			HOME-	REHAB/	

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% –120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Montana


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Montana, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Montana completed 62 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 95%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 5%. Of the units completed, 47% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Montana completed 59 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





Nebraska


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nebraska, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 73 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 86%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 14%. Of the units completed, 0% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 63 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Nebraska

.



RENTER VS. OWNER RENTER OWNER 39 40 35 30 25 21 20 15 5 3 0 Multifamily Single Family

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Nebraska Total		10		63	73	
LH25 LMMI		10		63	73	
State of Nebraska		10		63	73	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

76


Nevada


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nevada, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 577 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 49%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 43%. Of the units completed, 29% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 283 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



Nevada

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





Single Family

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

0

Multifamily

78

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Nevada Total	46		248	283	577
LMMI			122	33	155
LH25				66	66
State of Nevada			122		221
LMMI	28 0		99		28 99
LH25	28				28
Las Vegas, NV	28		99		127
LMMI	9		14	0	23
LH25	9		14	3	12
Henderson, NV			14		35
Handarson NV			14		
LMMI			13	117	130
LH25				64	64
Clark County, NV			13	181	194
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		CLEARANCE/	OWNERSHIP	NEW	
			HOME-	REHAB/	

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

New Hampshire


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Hampshire, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Hampshire completed 19 units, all in the Clearance/Demo activity type.






New Jersey

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Jersey, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 226 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 86%, followed by Acquisition at 8%. Of the units completed, 46% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 194 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




New Jersey

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Bergen County, NJ	8		3	4	15
LH25 LMMI	8		3	4	12 3
Jersey City, NJ	6				
LH25 LMMI	6	8		21 13	21 27
Newark, NJ	2			 77	
LH25 LMMI	0 2			19 58	19 60
Paterson, NJ		2			
LH25 LMMI		2		3 2	3 4
State of New Jersey	2	1			
LH25 LMMI	2 0	1 0		45 29	48 29
Union County, NJ	 0				
LH25 LMMI	0 0			0	0 0
New Jersey Total	18	11	3	194	226

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%–120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

82

New Mexico


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Mexico, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 161 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 92%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 8%. Of the units completed, 47% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 148 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



New Mexico

.





COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

0

Multifamily

0

Single Family

40

30

20

0

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
New Mexico State Program			13	148	161
LH25 LMMI			5 8	70 78	75 86
New Mexico Total			13	148	161


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

84


New York

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New York, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in New York completed 506 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 66%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 33%. Of the units completed, 43% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New York completed 335 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




New York

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
 Babylon Township, N	Y			4	4	
LH25				3	3	
LMMI				1	1	
Islip Town, NY				7	7	
LH25				3	3	
LMMI				4	4	
Nassau County, NY	0			0	0	
LH25	0			0	0	
LMMI	0			0	0	
New York City, NY	6			0	6	
LH25	6			0	6	
LMMI				0	0	
Orange County, NY	0			5	5	
LH25				1	1	
LMMI	0			4	4	

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

86

New York

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of New York		165		301	466
LH25 LMMI		165		202 99	202 264
Suffolk County, NY					
LH25 LMMI				5 13	5 13
New York Total	6	165		335	506

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

North Carolina


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Carolina, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 763 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeowner Assistance activity type at 56%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 39%. Of the units completed, 63% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 138 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

North Carolina

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





Single Family

Multifamily

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

North Carolina Total	30	6	428	299	763
LMMI	11	6	202	43	262
LH25	19	0	206	241	466
State of North Carolina	a 30	6	408	284	728
LMMI	0		20		20
LH25	0			15	15
Charlotte, NC	0		20	15	35
 GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


89

North Dakota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Dakota, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in North Dakota completed 178 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in Rehab/New Construction activity type at 99%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 1%. Of the units completed, 35% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in North Dakota completed 177 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




North Dakota



91

Through Second Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report Northern Mariana Islands


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Northern Mariana Islands, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Northern Mariana Islands completed 9 units, all in the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 67% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





Ohio

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Ohio, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 13,995 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 93%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 6%. Of the units completed, 4% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 829 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

94

Ohio





RENTER VS. OWNER

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

9

Single Family

Multifamily

COMPLETIONS

50

0

95

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

			CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
e	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	Akron, OH	0	218		16	234
	LH25 LMMI	0	22 196		6 10	28 206
	Butler County, OH		29		6	35
	LH25 LMMI		29		3	3 32
	Canton, OH					
			1			
	LH25 LMMI		1		0 7	0 8
(Cincinnati, OH					232
	LH25				25	25
	LMMI		187		20	207
(Cleveland, OH					785
	LH25				82	82
	LMMI		687		16	703

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

	CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Columbus, OH	99		104	203
LH25 LMMI	99		88 16	88 115
Cuyahoga County, OH	53	7		257
LH25 LMMI	53	7	180 17	180 77
Dayton, OH			4	528
LH25 LMMI	524		4 0	4 524
Elyria, OH				43
LH25 LMMI	38		4 1	4 39
Euclid, OH 0				
LH25 O LMMI O	96		1 2	1 98
Franklin County, OH 6	0			
LH25 O LMMI 6	0		8 8	8 14
Hamilton City, OH 0		0	9	
LH25 LMMI 0	8	0	5 4	5 12
Hamilton County, OH 2				129
LH25 1 LMMI 1	95		16 16	17 112
Lake County, OH 0				
LH25 O LMMI			15	0 15
Lorain, OH 6	124			130
LH25 4 LMMI 2	124			4 126
Middletown, OH				
LH25 LMMI	37		6 9	6 46
Montgomery County, OH		1	0	
LH25 LMMI	78	1	0 0	0 79

.....

Ohio

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL

. . . .

D NATIONAL OI	BJECTIVES (CONTINUE))				
	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	Springfield, OH	0	30		21	51
	LH25 LMMI	0 0	0 30		11 10	11 40
	Stark County, OH			39	2	
	LH25 LMMI	12 17	15	39	2	12 73
	State of Ohio	0			135	10,514
	LH25 LMMI	0	10,379		18 117	18 10,496
	Summit County, OH					
	LH25 LMMI		0 0		40 9	40 9
	Toledo, OH					
	LH25 LMMI		112		17 33	17 145
	Youngstown, OH	10	256			266
	LH25 LMMI	6 4	256			6 260
	Ohio Total	53	13,066	47	829	13,995

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


.....

.

97

Oklahoma

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oklahoma, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 55 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 73%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 27%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 15 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



Oklahoma

NEW

TOTAL

Single Family




LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

Oregon

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oregon, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 216 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 78%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 22%. Of the units completed, 21% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 45 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.






Oregon


Pennsylvania

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Pennsylvania, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 869 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 61%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 43%. Of the units completed, 39% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 533 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Pennsylvania

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Allegheny County, PA		171		27	198
LH25 LMMI		171		10 17	10 188
Allentown, PA	 0	4			5
LH25 LMMI	0 0	4		1	1
Philadelphia, PA					
LH25 LMMI				0 47	0 47
Pittsburgh, PA					
LH25 LMMI		154		23 4	23 158
State of Pennsylvania				431	438
LH25 LMMI		7		302 129	302 136
York County, PA	 0			 0	
LH25 LMMI	0			0	0 0
Pennsylvania Total	0	336		533	869

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

103

Puerto Rico

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Puerto Rico, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Puerto Rico completed 11 units, all in the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 45% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




104



Rhode Island


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Rhode Island, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 365 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 62%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 37%. Of the units completed, 27% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 225 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Rhode Island

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY

COMPLETIONS

Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
 Rhode Island State Program	2	2	136	225	365	
LH25 LMMI	2	2	136	100 125	100 265	
Rhode Island Total	2	2	136	225	365	

.....


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

107

.


South Carolina

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Carolina, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 674 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 55%, followed by Acquisition at 30%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 98 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




South Carolina

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Greenville County, SC	4	45		21	70
LH25 LMMI	3 1	45		21	24 46
Richland County, SC					
LH25 LMMI		37		5	5 40
South Carolina State					
Program LH25	201	289		69 62	559 181
LMMI	119 82	289		7	378
South Carolina Total	205	371		98	674

.....


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

109

.

South Dakota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Dakota, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 115 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 86%, followed by Acquisition at 10%. Of the units completed, 40% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 99 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

South Dakota




LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

111


Tennessee

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Tennessee, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Tennessee completed 619 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 38%, followed by the Clearance/Demo activity at 33%. Of the units completed, 19% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Tennessee completed 143 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Tennessee





MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Chattanooga, TN	10	52	4	9	75
LH25	10				10
LMMI		52	4	9	65
Knoxville, TN		6			
LH25	2			18	20
LMMI	5	6		57	68
Memphis, TN			26		
LH25	0			0	0
LMMI	21		26	22	69
Nashville-Davidson, 1	N 48				48
LH25	41				41
LMMI	7				7
Shelby County, TN					
LH25	6			11	17
LMMI	19			23	42
State of Tennessee			4		280
LH25	27			3	30
LMMI	100	146	4	0	250
Tennessee Total	238	204	34	143	619

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% -120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee. 113

Texas

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Texas, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Texas completed 1,255 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 37%, followed by Acquisition at 25%. Of the units completed, 29% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Texas completed 467 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Texas


.

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER RENTER OWNER

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY



Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

G	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Α	Arlington, TX	7	0	25		32
	LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 7	0 0	7 18		7 25
D	Dallas County, TX			12		
	LH25 LMMI			12	30	12 30
D	Dallas, TX					
	LH25 LMMI	15 25			37	15 62
E	El Paso, TX				2	2
	LH25 LMMI	0			0 2	0 2
F	Fort Bend County, TX	 0		4		
	LH25 LMMI	0		2	13 3	15 5

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

115

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Fort Worth, TX	 0		166		166
LH25 LMMI	0		13 153		13 153
Garland, TX	8		3		
LH25 LMMI	4 4	10	3		4 17
Grand Prairie, TX	 17		61		
LH25 LMMI	4 13		10 51		14 64
Harris County, TX					207
LH25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 76			131	131 76
Hidalgo County, TX	5				5
LH25 LMMI	1 4				1 4
Houston, TX					
LH25 LMMI	10 3			0	10 3
Mesquite, TX				6	6
LH25 LMMI				4	4 2
San Antonio, TX	105		17		336
LH25 LMMI	86 19		17	0 214	86 250
State of Texas – TDH0	CA 34		12		
LH25 LMMI	24 10	159	5 7	7 14	36 190
Tarrant County, TX					24
LH25 LMMI	14			10	10 14
Texas Total	319	169	300	467	1,255


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

Texas

Utah


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Utah, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Utah completed 163 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 63% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.






Vermont

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Vermont, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 197 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 89%, followed by Acquisition at 11%. Of the units completed, 52% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 175 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Vermont









Single Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE		TOTAL
 State of Vermont	22	0		175	197
LH25	15			87	102
LMMI	7	0		88	95
Vermont Total	22	0		175	197


LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.....

120

Virgin Islands

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Virgin Islands, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in the Virgin Islands completed 0 units across all NSP Activity Types.





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT



Virginia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Virginia, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Virginia completed 63 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 51%, followed by Acquisition at 49%. Of the units completed, 51% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.







LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Washington


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Washington, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Washington completed 133 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 65%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 32%. Of the units completed, 37% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





West Virginia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of West Virginia, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in West Virginia completed 123 units, all within the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 53% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

West Virginia Through Second Quarter 2012 State NSP1 Production Report REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER ACTUAL RENTER PROJECTED OWNER 128 250 150 214 123 200 90 134 100 60 67 30 38 0 0 0 Multifamily Multifamily Single Family Single Family Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES HOME-REHAB/ CLEARANCE/ OWNERSHIP NEW TOTAL GRANTEE ACQUISITION DEMO ASSISTANCE CONSTRUCTION State of West Virginia 123 123 LH25 65 65 LMMI 58 58 West Virginia Total 123 123 LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


Wisconsin

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wisconsin, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 526 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 48%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 31%. Of the units completed, 37% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 254 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




Wisconsin

REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS



MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER



.

Single Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Wisconsin Total	2	108	162	254	526
LMMI	2	56	41	96	195
LH25	0		18	118	136
State of Wisconsin	2		59	214	331
LMMI		52	67	15	134
LH25			36	25	61
 Milwaukee, WI		52	103	40	195
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% – 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

130

Wyoming

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wyoming, up to the end of the second quarter of calendar year 2012. NSP grantees in Wyoming completed 40 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 23% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP1 UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE COMPLETED UNITS ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY TYPE ACQUISITION ACTUAL PROJECTED 40 ACQUISITION 85 CLEARANCE/ DEMO 40 (100%) HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 0 REHAB/NEW 17 40 100 80 COMPLETIONS BY ACTIVITY AND RENTER AND OWNER UNITS NATIONAL OBJECTIVE ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS LH25 ACTUAL O LMMI PROJECTED 9 31 ACQUISITION 17 CLEARANCE/ DEMO 10 HOME-OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 5 REHAB/NEW 0 0 10 35 40 Renter Owner LH25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51% - 120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

