

# Neighborhood Stabilization Program 1

Production Reports Through Third Quarter 2011






U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

### Contents

NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS
Alabama3
Alaska5
American Samoa7
Arizona9
Arkansas
California
Colorado 20
Connecticut22
Delaware
District of Columbia
Florida28
Georgia34
Guam37
Hawaii39
Idaho41
Illinois
Indiana
lowa
Kansas 51
Kentucky
Louisiana
Maine57
Maryland
Massachusetts 61
Michigan63
Minnesota67
Mississippi
Missouri
Montana73
Nebraska

Nevada77
New Hampshire
New Jersey 81
New Mexico83
New York
North Carolina
North Dakota 90
Northern Mariana Islands 92
Ohio
Oklahoma
Oregon
Pennsylvania102
Puerto Rico
Rhode Island
South Carolina
South Dakota
Tennessee
Texas
Utah
Vermont
Virgin Islands
Virginia
Washington
West Virginia
Wisconsin
Wyoming

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alabama, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Alabama completed 157 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 61% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Alabama Total	0	0		157	157
LMMI		0		48	48
LH-25				86	86
State of Alabama		0		134	134
LITTI	O			/	/
LMMI	0			7	7
LH-25	0			3	3
Jefferson County, AL	0			10	10
LMMI				6	6
LH-25				7	7
Birmingham, AL				13	13
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Alaska, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 93 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 82%, followed by Acquisition at 16%. Of the units completed, 15% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Alaska completed 76 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~


#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Alaska State Program	15	2	0	76	93
LH-25	5		0	9	14
LMMI	10	2	0	67	79
Alaska Total	15	2	0	76	93

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in American Samoa, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in American Samoa completed 2 units across all NSP Activity Types, including 1 Rehab/New Construction unit and 1 Clearance/Demo unit. Of the units completed, 50% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee


### American Samoa

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL


PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



Multifamily

0

Single Family


COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

American Samoa Total	1		1	2
LMMI	1			1
LH-25			1	1
American Somoa	1		1	2
GRANTEE ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

8

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arizona, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 1,356 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 88%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 6%. Of the units completed, 8% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Arizona completed 81 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Arizona State Program	n 0		901	0	901
LH-25 LMMI	0		46 855	0	46 855
Avondale City, AZ		4	46	0	50
LH-25 LMMI		4	8 38	0	8 42
Chandler, AZ	17		6		23
LH-25 LMMI	6 11		6		6 17
Glendale, AZ	25				25
LH-25 LMMI	0 25				0 25
Maricopa County, AZ	37		 	0	38
LH-25 LMMI	12 25		1	0	12 26

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Arizona Total	79	8	1,188	81	1,356
LMMI				0	0
LH-25				0	0
Tucson, AZ					
LH-25 LMMI			4 8	2	5 10
Surprise Town, AZ			12	3	15
LMMI		3		3	6
LH-25				2	2
Pima County, AZ				5	
LMMI	0	0	179	27	206
LH-25	0		5	0	5
Phoenix, AZ	0	0	184	27	211
LITITI		'	36	19	36
LH-25 LMMI		1	38	27 19	27 58
Mesa, AZ		1	38	46	85
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Arkansas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Arkansas completed 0 units across all NSP Activity Types.




#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER OWNER



Single Family

Multifamily

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Arkansas				0	0
LH-25				0	0
LMMI				0	0
Arkansas Total				0	0

.....

13

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of California, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in California completed 2,545 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 38%, followed by the Homeownership Assistance and Rehab/New Construction activity types at 29%. Of the units completed, 28% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in California completed 740 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

100



0 Multifamily Single Family

#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Alameda County, CA	7				7
LH-25 LMMI	3 4				3 4
Anaheim, CA	0		34	0	34
LH-25 LMMI	0		34	0	<mark>0</mark> 34
Antioch, CA				8	8
LH-25 LMMI				2 6	2 6
Apple Valley, CA	0		29		29
LH-25 LMMI	0		0 29		<mark>0</mark> 29
Bakersfield, CA	19	0		0	 89
LH-25 LMMI	19	0	70	0	19 70

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

15

		I	HOME-	REHAB/	l
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Chula Vista, CA	10				10
LH-25	6				6
LMMI	4				4
Compton, CA LH-25	0				0
LMMI	0				0
Contra Costa Count			11		30
LH-25 LMMI			11	6	6
			11	13	24
Corona, CA LH-25	12 12				12 12
LMMI	0				0
Elk Grove, CA			15		20
LH-25 LMMI			15	2 3	2 18
Fontana, CA LH-25				13	13
LMMI				9	9
Fresno County. CA	57		57		114
LH-25 LMMI	21 36		21 36		42 72
Fresno, CA LH-25	260 236		34	6	300 236
LMMI	24		34	6	64
Hemet, CA	27				27
LH-25 LMMI	<mark>0</mark> 27				0 27
Hesperia, CA			0		
LH-25 LMMI	0		0	0	0
Kern County, CA	26			0	26
LH-25 LMMI	26 0			0	26 0
Lancaster, CA				6	13
LH-25 LMMI	3 4			3 3	6 7
El-II-II	4			3	/

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

	CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE ACQUISITIO		ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Los Angeles County, CA 1	6	100		116
LH-25 1	6	100		16 100
Los Angeles, CA		20	13	33
LH-25 LMMI		3 17	0 13	3 30
Modesto, CA 50	)   	2		52
LH-25 1 LMMI 3		2		13 39
Moreno Valley, CA		0	20	20
LH-25 LMMI		0	0 20	0 20
Oakland, CA	2		0	2
LH-25 LMMI	2		0	0 2
Ontario, CA	)	0	0	0
	0	0	0 0	0
Orange County, CA				3
	3			0 3
Palmdale, CA	3 0	1		4
	3 0	1		3 1
	6 0	0		6
	4 2 <b>0</b>	0		4 2
	2			2
LH-25 LMMI	2			2
Rialto, CA	3	43		46
	3	43		0 46
Richmond, CA		0	6	6
LH-25 LMMI	0	0	0 6	0 6

......

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

	1	HOME- REHAB/					
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL		
Riverside County, CA	176		46	129	351		
LH-25 LMMI	83 93		2 44	36 93	121 230		
Riverside, CA	44	0	0		44		
LH-25 LMMI	15 29	0	0		15 29		
Sacramento County, 0		1		107	108		
LH-25 LMMI		1		33 74	33 75		
Sacramento, CA				84	84		
LH-25 LMMI				22 62	22 62		
San Bernardino Coun	ty, CA		18	2	20		
LH-25 LMMI			7 11	0 2	7 13		
San Bernardino, CA					28		
LH-25 LMMI	9 5	14			9 19		
San Diego County, CA			3	1	16		
LH-25 LMMI	12		3	1	13		
San Diego, CA	8		51	4	63		
LH-25 LMMI	0 8		51	4	0 63		
San Joaquin County, (				42	106		
LH-25 LMMI	64	0		42	42 64		
San Jose, CA				0	0		
LH-25 LMMI	0			0	0		
Santa Ana, CA	48		4		52		
LH-25 LMMI	14 34		4		14 38		
			3	51	105		
LH-25 LMMI	19 32		3	19 32	38 67		


LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

#### 19

### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of California	0	86	172	178	436
LH-25 LMMI	0	86	172	0 178	0 436
Stockton, CA	1		7		49
LH-25 LMMI	1		7	0 41	<b>0</b> 49
Vallejo, CA			3	5 5	8
LH-25 LMMI			3	0 5	0 8
Victorville, CA	11		17	0	30
LH-25 LMMI	3 8	2	17	0	5 25
Visalia, CA	23				23
LMMI	23				23
California Total	962	103	740	740	2,545

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Colorado, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 112 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 51%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 34%. Of the units completed, 61% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Colorado completed 17 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUAL PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



Multifamily

0

Single Family

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Adams County, CO	8		2	0	10
LMMI	8		2	0	10
Aurora, CO	49		0	12	61
LH-25 LMMI	30 19		0	12 0	42 19
Denver, CO			36	   5	41
LH-25 LMMI			26 10	5	26 15
State of Colorado	0		0	0	0
LH-25 LMMI	0		0	0	0
Colorado Total	57		38	17	112

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

21

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Connecticut, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 43 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 53%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 28%. Of the units completed, 42% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Connecticut completed 7 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




23

# REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Connecticut State Program	23	1	12	7	43
LH-25	13			5	18
LMMI	10	1	12	2	25
Connecticut Total	23	1	12	7	43

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Delaware, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 65 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 52%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 43%. Of the units completed, 32% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Delaware completed 34 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

# REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

State of Delaware LH-25 LMMI		3	28 7 21	34 14 20	65 21 44
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the District of Columbia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in the District of Columbia completed 0 units across all NSP Activity Types.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

# District of Columbia

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUALPROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Washington, DC				0	0
LH-25				0	0
LMMI				0	0
District of Columb	ia Total			0	0

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Florida, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Florida completed 2,275 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 46%, followed by Acquisition at 19%. Of the units completed, 27% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Florida completed 1,044 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Boynton Beach, FL	3			0	3
LH-25	2				2
LMMI	1			0	1
Brevard County, FL	9	3	0	23	35
LH-25	4	0	0	5	9
LMMI	5	3	0	18	26
Broward County, FL	0	6	18	61	85
LH-25	0		40	28	28
LMMI	0	6	18	33	57
Cape Coral, FL	0	0	38	10	48
LH-25				0	0
LMMI	0	0	38	10	48
Collier County, FL	15	1	0		16
LH-25	2		0		2
LMMI	13	1	0		14

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

29

	ı		HOME-	REHAB/	I
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Coral Springs, FL			38	35	73
LH-25 LMMI			10 28	9 26	19 54
Deerfield Beach, FL	0		4	0	4
LH-25 LMMI	0		2 2	0	2 2
Deltona, FL				30	30
LH-25 LMMI				5 25	5 25
Escambia County, FL	0	48	8	60	116
LH-25 LMMI	0	48	8	45 15	45 71
Fort Lauderdale, FL	13				13
LH-25 LMMI	5 8				5 8
Ft. Myers, FL	15			17	32
LH-25 LMMI	14 1			3 14	17 15
Hialeah, FL				0	0
LH-25 LMMI				0	0
Hillsborough County, F	 FL 18	1	4	0	23
LH-25 LMMI	0 18	0	0 4	0	0 23
Hollywood, FL	13	11		7	31
LH-25 LMMI	13 0	11		0 7	13 18
Homestead City, FL	1	0	2	0	3
LH-25 LMMI	0	0	2	0	0 3
Jacksonville-Duval, FL	0	0	0	51	51
LH-25 LMMI	0	0	0	<b>0</b> 51	0 51
Kissimmee, FL	0			0	0
LH-25 LMMI	0			0	0

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

			015454105/	HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTE	≣ /	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Lake Co	ounty, FL	1			26	27
LH-25	ouncy, i L	0			16	16
LMMI		1			10	11
Lakelan	d, FL	0	3		6	9
LH-25 LMMI		0	3		3	6 3
Lauderh	nill, FL	3	0	23	0	26
LH-25 LMMI		1 2	0	4 19	0	5 21
Lee Cou	unty, FL	16	0		91	107
LH-25 LMMI		16	0		1 90	17 90
	e County, FL					105
LH-25		0	0	1	22	23
LMMI			75	0	7	82
Margate	e, FL	2				2
LH-25 LMMI		<b>0</b> 2				0 2
 Marion	County, FL	31			0	31
LH-25		14			0	14
LMMI		17			0	17
Miami G	Gardens City, FL	24	9	9	4	46
LH-25		24	9	9	4	4 42
LMMI		24		9		42
Miami, I	FL	1	3		0	4
LH-25 LMMI		1 0	3		0	1 3
	Dade County, FL	12	0		0	
LH-25 LMMI		0 12	0	5	0	0 17
Mirama	r, FL	14		47	36	97
LH-25 LMMI		6 8		9 38	6 30	21 76
	1iami, FL					15
LH-25 LMMI		6				6 9
		9				9

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Orange County, FL	0	7	151	8	166
LH-25 LMMI	0	7	4 147	0 8	4 162
Orlando, FL LH-25	2 0			23 13	25 13
LMMI	2			10	12
Palm Bay, FL	42	0		16	58
LH-25 LMMI	14 28	0		16 0	30 28
Palm Beach County, I LH-25	FL 109 52				109 52
LMMI	57				57
Pasco County, FL	1	136	4	149	290
LH-25	0	17.0	0	43	43
LMMI	1	136	4	106	247
Pembroke Pines, FL LH-25	0		36	31	67
LMMI	0		1 35	29	64
Pinellas County, FL	0	0	0	35	35
LH-25 LMMI	0	0	0	18 17	18 17
Plantation, FL LH-25	4 0			2	6
LMMI	4			2	6
Polk County, FL	13	0		0	13
LH-25 LMMI	13	0		0	13 0
Pompano Beach, FL	9	3			
LH-25	6				6
LMMI	3	3		1	7
Port St. Lucie, FL	0	25	0	62	87
LH-25 LMMI	0	13 12	0	26 36	39 48
Sarasota County, FL	51				51
LH-25 LMMI	23 28				23 28
	20				25

......

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .


#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

		I	HOME-	REHAB/	I
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Seminole County, FL	0			55	55
LH-25	0			32	32
LMMI	0			23	23
St Petersburg, FL				20	20
LH-25	0			20	20
LMMI	0	0		0	0
State of Florida			0	50	61
LH-25	0			17	17
LMMI	0	11	0	33	44
Sunrise, FL	 1	0	0	6	7
LH-25	0			0	0
LMMI	1	0	0	6	7
Tamarac, FL		1	53	53	107
LH-25			16	16	32
LMMI		1	37	37	75
Tampa, FL	0			22	22
LH-25	0			4	4
LMMI	0			18	18
Volusia County, FL	3	0	0	24	27
LH-25	3			10	13
LMMI	0	0	0	14	14
West Palm Beach, FL	2	4	0	1	7
LH-25	0				0
LMMI	2	4	0	1	7
Florida Total	443	347	441	1,044	2,275

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

......

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Georgia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 2,049 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 59%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 28%. Of the units completed, 22% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Georgia completed 570 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 Atlanta, GA	2	16	2	41	61
LH-25	2			14	16
LMMI	0	16	2	27	45
Augusta, GA	1		0	12	13
LH-25				0	0
LMMI	1		0	12	13
Clayton County, GA	0		9	92	101
LH-25	0		1	40	41
LMMI	0		8	52	60
Cobb County, GA	0		45	0	45
LH-25	0		4	0	4
LMMI	0		41	0	41
Columbus-Muscogee, (	GA 0	1	1	3	5
LH-25	0		1	3	4
LMMI	0	1	0	0	1

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~


U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Dekalb County, GA			9	105	114
LH-25 LMMI			<b>0</b> 9	57 48	57 57
Fulton County, GA	27			33	60
LH-25 LMMI	7 20			9 24	16 44
Gwinnett County, GA	112			125	271
LH-25 LMMI	58 54		2 32	59 66	119 152
Savannah, GA	1			2	3
LH-25 LMMI	1 0			1 1	2
State of Georgia	33		1109	 	1376
LH-25 LMMI	16 17	18 59	110 999	46 111	190 1186
Georgia Total	176	94	1,209	570	2,049

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~the~grantee~activity~type~the~g

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Guam, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Guam completed 3 units across all NSP Activity Types, all of which were the Rehab/New Construction activities. Of the units completed, 100% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Guam Total			3	3
2.1.20				
LH-25			.3	3
Territory of Guam			3	3
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

38

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Hawaii, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 28 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 64%, followed by Acquisition at 36%. Of the units completed, 64% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Hawaii completed 18 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee


## Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Hawaii Total	10			18	28
LMMI	10			0	10
LH-25				18	18
Hawaii State Program	10			18	28
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Idaho, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Idaho completed 204 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 74%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 26%. Of the units completed, 24% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




## Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER OWNER




COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Idaho	151		53		204
LH-25	43		5		48 156
LMMI	108		48		156
Idaho Total	151		53		204

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Illinois, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 410 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 48%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 26%. Of the units completed, 19% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Illinois completed 195 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

	GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	Aurora, IL	3	0	2		5
	LH-25	1				1
	LMMI	2	0	2		4
	Chicago, IL		76		6	82
	LH-25 LMMI		76		0 6	0 82
	Cicero, IL			12		13
	LH-25 LMMI	0		6 6	0 1	6 7
	Cook County, IL					
	LH-25 LMMI		0		0	0
	DuPage County , IL			0	4	17
	LH-25 LMMI	6 7		0	0 4	6 11

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

44


### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

Elgin, IL 0 0	JATC
	0
	0
	 29
	5 24
	 5
	2
Lake County, IL 19 1	19
	6 13
McHenry County, IL 9	9
	3 6
Rockford, IL 1 26 0 1 2	28
	1 27
	21
	8 13
State of Illinois 0 1 45 4	46
	24 22
LMMI 0 1 21 2	
	136
Will County, IL 0 45 91 13 LH-25 14 1	136 14 122

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

.......

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Indiana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 1514 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 46%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 32%. Of the units completed, 11% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Indiana completed 298 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Anderson, IN	0	54	0	17	71
LH-25	0			12	12
LMMI	0	54	0	5	59
Elkhart, IN	0	55		0	
LH-25	0			0	0
LMMI	0	55		0	55
Evansville, IN	0	80		3	83
LH-25	0			1	1
LMMI	0	80		2	82
Fort Wayne, IN	0		1	25	26
LH-25				3	3
LMMI	0		1	22	23
Gary, IN	0	111			111
LH-25	0				0
LMMI	0	111			111

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

47


### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Hamilton County, IN	23				23
LH-25 LMMI	5 18				5 18
Hammond, IN		0	0	12	12
LH-25 LMMI		0	0	5 7	5 7
Indianapolis, IN		239		53	292
LH-25 LMMI		239		46 7	46 246
Kokomo, IN			0	   1	1
LH-25 LMMI			0	<b>0</b> 1	0
Lake County, IN		22		0	38
LH-25 LMMI	16	22		0	0 38
Muncie, IN				3	3
LH-25				3	3
South Bend, IN		69		   4	73
LH-25 LMMI		69		<b>0</b> 4	0 73
State of Indiana – IHC	DA	68	478	180	726
LH-25 LMMI		0 68	478	87 93	87 639
Indiana Total	39	698	479	298	1,514

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Iowa, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Iowa completed 38 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing. Of the units completed, 26% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

50

# REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Iowa Total				38	38
LMMI				28	28
LH-25				10	10
State of Iowa				38	38
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH~25 = Low Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions; blank columns indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kansas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 123 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 79%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 25%. Of the units completed, 29% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kansas completed 97 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER OWNER



#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Kansas	0	2	24	97	123
LH-25	0		4	32	36
LMMI	0	2	20	65	87
Kansas Total	0	2	24	97	123

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

52

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Kentucky, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 150 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 71%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 25%. Of the units completed, 35% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Kentucky completed 106 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Kentucky Total		38	6	106	150
LMMI		31	0	60	91
LH-25		7	6	40	53
State of Kentucky		38	6	100	144
LH-25 LMMI				<b>0</b> 6	<b>0</b> 6
Louisville Jefferson County Metro Government, KY				6	6
GRANTEE A	CQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

54

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Louisiana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 196 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 56%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 44%. Of the units completed, 15% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Louisiana completed 86 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

		CLEARANCE/	HOME- OWNERSHIP	REHAB/ NEW	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	DEMO	ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Baton Rouge, LA				4	4
LH-25				4	4
New Orleans, LA	0			0	0
LH-25				0	0
LMMI	0			0	0
State of Louisiana	0	0	110	82	192
LH-25	0	0		25	25
LMMI	0	0	110	57	167
Louisiana Total	0	0	110	86	196

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maine, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Maine completed 19 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 68%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 32%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maine completed 13 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

58


## Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

# REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



Multifamily Single Family


## COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Maine Total	0	6		13	19
LMMI	0	6		10	16
LH-25				3	3
State of Maine	0	6		13	19
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....


LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Maryland, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 1,573 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 88%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 10%. Of the units completed, 16% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Maryland completed 153 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUAL PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



0

Single Family

Multifamily


### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Baltimore County, MD	27		34	33	94
LH-25 LMMI	27		34	4 29	4 90
Baltimore, MD					
LH-25 LMMI				38 17	38 17
	 D 1				
LH-25 LMMI	1 0				1
Prince Georges County,	 MD		1,116	26	1,142
LH-25 LMMI			142 974	4 22	146 996
State of Maryland	5	1	236	39	281
LH-25 LMMI	2 3	1	30 206	26 13	58 223
Maryland Total	33	1	1,386	153	1,573

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Massachusetts, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 283 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 74%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 15%. Of the units completed, 42% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Massachusetts completed 210 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUAL PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER




#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Boston, MA	0	0	6	4	10
LH-25	0			2	2
LMMI	0	0	6	2	8
Brockton, MA	8		0	38	46
LH-25	3			38	41
LMMI	5		0	0	5
Springfield, MA		4		28	32
LH-25 LMMI		4		8 20	8 24
State of Massachusett	s 16	23		89	128
LH-25	1			39	40
LMMI	15	23		50	88
Worcester, MA		16		51	67
LH-25				28	28
LMMI		16		23	39
Massachusetts Total	24	43	6	210	283

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

62

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Michigan, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 3,596 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 67%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 19%. Of the units completed, 11% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Michigan completed 442 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


## Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Canton Tow	nship, MI 0				0
LH-25 LMMI	0				0
Clinton Town	nship, MI 11			12	23
LH-25 LMMI	11	0		12	11 12
Dearborn, M		45		3	48
LH-25 LMMI		45		2 1	2 46
Detroit, MI	0	841		0	841
LH-25 LMMI	0	841		0	<mark>0</mark> 841
Flint, MI	0	125	0		125
LH-25 LMMI	0	125	0		0 125

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Genesee County, MI	17	44	3	0	64
LH-25 LMMI	5 12	44	3	0	5 59
Grand Rapids, MI			10	24	34
LH-25 LMMI			10	10 14	10 24
Kent County, MI	19		0	31	50
LH-25 LMMI	7 12		0	9 22	16 34
Lansing, MI		84	0	9	93
LH-25 LMMI		84	0	8 1	8 85
Lincoln Park, MI		20		7	27
LH-25 LMMI		20		6 1	6 21
Macomb County, MI	0	17	49		66
LH-25 LMMI	0	1 16	22 27		23 43
Oakland County, MI		81	180	158	419
LH-25 LMMI		81	92 88	71 87	163 256
Pontiac, MI		66	1	6	74
LH-25 LMMI	0	66	1	6	6 68
Redford, MI	3		8	24	50
LH-25 LMMI	3	15	8	6 18	17 33
Southfield, MI		6	43	51	100
LH-25 LMMI		6	43	22 29	22 78
State of Michigan	0	548	18	33	599
LH-25 LMMI	0	548	0 18	4 29	4 595
Sterling Heights, MI		0	12	12	24
LH-25 LMMI		0	12	12	12 12

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)


. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Michigan Total	60	2,412	682	442	3,596
LH-25 LMMI		3	21	4	4 24
Westland, MI		3	21	4	28
LMMI		475			475
LH-25		.,,	0	2	2
		475		2	
LMMI	1		101		102
LH-25			23		23
Waterford Township, MI	1		124		125
LMMI		5	212	4	221
LH-25				56	56
			212	60	277
LMMI		37	1	4	42
LH-25			0	2	2
Warren, MI		37	1	6	44
LMMI	6				6
LH-25	2				2
Taylor, MI	8				8
GRANTEE ACQ	UISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
		l	HOME-	REHAB/	

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

......


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Minnesota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 528 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 38%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 38%. Of the units completed, 20% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Minnesota completed 110 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

G	SRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
A	Anoka County, MN	3	5	2	10	20
	LH-25 LMMI	<b>0</b> 3	5	2	4 6	4 16
D	Dakota County, MN		14	41		60
	LH-25 LMMI		14	4 37	5	9 51
H	Hennepin County, MN		0	33	7	40
	LH-25 LMMI		0	9 24	2 5	11 29
N	Minneapolis, MN	0		5	4	
	LH-25 LMMI	0	79	5	0 4	<mark>0</mark> 88
S	St Paul, MN	9				9
	LH-25 LMMI	8				8 1
S	State of Minnesota		105	122	84	311
	LH-25 LMMI		0 105	32 90	43 41	75 236
	Minnesota Total	12	203	203	110	528

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Mississippi, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 628 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 87%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 13%. Of the units completed, 27% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Mississippi completed 82 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



 $LH\ 25 = Low\ Income\ Set\ Aside\ (< 50\%\ AMI);\ LMMI = Low,\ Moderate,\ Middle\ Income\ (51\%-120\%\ AMI);\ "0"\ indicates\ a\ projected\ activity\ with\ no\ reported\ completions;\ blank\ columns\ indicate\ an\ activity\ type\ which\ was\ not\ selected\ by\ the\ grantee$ 

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Jackson, MS	0			7	7
LH-25	0			5	5
LMMI	0			2	2
State of Mississippi	546			   75	621
LH-25	92			75	167
LMMI	454			0	454
Mississippi Total	546			82	628

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Missouri, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 337 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 87%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 10%. Of the units completed, 6% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Missouri completed 35 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


Missouri Total	4	6	292	35	337
LMMI	4	4	292	0	300
LH-25	0	2	0	0	2
State of Missouri	4	6	292	0	302
LMMI				3	3
LH-25				0	0
St. Louis, MO				3	3
LMMI	0			15	15
LH-25	0			17	17
St. Louis County, MO	0			32	32
LMMI				0	0
LH-25				0	0
Kansas City, MO				0	0
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTA
			HOME-	REHAB/	

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

72

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Montana, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Montana completed 36 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 92%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 8%. Of the units completed, 50% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Montana completed 33 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Montana	0	0	3	33	36
LH-25	0	0	3	15	18
LMMI	0	0	0	18	18
Montana Total	0	0	3	33	36

.....

LH~25 = Low Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions; blank columns indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nebraska, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 19 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 53%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 47%. Of the units completed, 0% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nebraska completed 9 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUALPROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Nebraska		10		9	19
LH-25				0	0
LMMI		10		9	19
Nebraska Total		10		9	19

.....

76

LH~25 = Low Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions; blank columns indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Nevada, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 382 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 50%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 43%. Of the units completed, 25% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Nevada completed 166 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Clark County, NV		0	13	93	106
LH-25 LMMI		0	13	30 63	30 76
Henderson, NV	10		11		21
LH-25 LMMI	6 4		11		6 15
Las Vegas, NV	16		55		
LH-25 LMMI	16 0		55		16 55
State of Nevada			111		184
LH-25 LMMI			111	45 28	45 139
Nevada Total	26	0	190	166	382

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

78

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Hampshire, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in New Hampshire completed 19 units, all in the Clearance/Demo activity type.



#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report


## New Hampshire

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of New Hampshire		19	0	0	19
LH-25	0			0	0
LMMI	0	19	0	0	19
New Hampshire Total	0	19	0	0	19

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity

with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Jersey, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 128 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 77%, followed by Acquisition at 13%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Jersey completed 98 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1–4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Bergen County, NJ	8		3	4	15
LH-25 LMMI	8		3	4	12 3
Jersey City, NJ	6	8		32	46
LH-25 LMMI	6	8		21 11	21 25
Newark, NJ	0			58	58
LH-25 LMMI	0			0 58	0 58
Paterson, NJ	0	2		0	2
LH-25 LMMI	0	1		0	1 1
State of New Jersey		1		4	7
LH-25 LMMI	2	1 0		3 1	6
Union County, NJ	0	0		0	0
LH-25 LMMI	0	0		0	0
New Jersey Total	16	11	3	98	128

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

82

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New Mexico, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 121 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 91%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 9%. Of the units completed, 43% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New Mexico completed 110 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ


#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

New Mexico State Pr	ACQUISITION ogram	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE 11	CONSTRUCTION  110 48	121 52
New Mexico Total			7	62 110	69 121

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of New York, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in New York completed 258 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 60%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 38%. Of the units completed, 14% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in New York completed 98 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Babylon Township, N\	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3	3
LH-25 LMMI				2	2
Islip Town, NY				1	
LH-25 LMMI				1 0	1 0
Nassau County, NY				0	0
LH-25 LMMI	0			0	0
New York City, NY	6			0	6
LH-25 LMMI	6			0	6 0
Orange County, NY				2	
LH-25 LMMI	0			0 2	0 2


.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

## COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

New York Total	6	154		98	258
LMMI				11	11
LH-25				4	4
Suffolk County, NY				15	15
LH-25 LMMI		154		23 54	23 208
State of New York		154		77	231
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Carolina, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 413 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeowner Assistance activity type at 59%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 33%. Of the units completed, 35% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in North Carolina completed 135 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUAL PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Charlotte, NC	0		20	15	35
LH-25				15	15
LMMI	0		20		20
State of North Carolin	na 30	6	222	120	378
LH-25	19	0	28	83	130
LMMI	11	6	194	37	248
North Carolina Total	30	6	242	135	413


.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# North Dakota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of North Dakota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in North Dakota completed 114 units, all in the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 48% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


91

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of North Dakota - DOC	0	0		114	114
LH-25 LMMI	0	0		55 59	55 59
North Dakota Total	0	0		114	114

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Northern Mariana Islands, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Northern Mariana Islands completed 8 units, all in the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 75% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




## Northern Mariana Islands

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITIO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	
Northern Mariana				8	
LH-25	6			6	
LMMI	2			2	
Northern Mariana	1				
Islands Total	8			8	

93

LH~25 = Low Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions; blank columns indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Ohio, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 13,233 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 97%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 2%. Of the units completed, 1% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Ohio completed 307 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Akron, OH	0	218		6	224
LH-25 LMMI	0	22 196		3	25 199
Butler County, OH		29	0	2	31
LH-25 LMMI		29	0	0 2	<b>0</b> 31
Canton, OH		0		1	1
LH-25 LMMI		0		<b>0</b> 1	<b>0</b> 1
Cincinnati, OH		260		11	271
LH-25 LMMI		260		1 10	1 270
Cleveland, OH		687		0	687
LH-25 LMMI		687		0	<mark>0</mark> 687

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

95

#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

	1	HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Columbus, OH	0		20	20
LH-25 LMMI	0		4 16	4 16
Cuyahoga County, OH	46	7	11	64
LH-25 LMMI	46	7	O 11	0 64
Dayton, OH	515		4	519
LH-25 LMMI	515		4 0	4 515
Elyria, OH	55	0	2	57
LH-25 LMMI	55	0	1 1	1 56
Euclid, OH 0	67		0	67
LH-25 0 LMMI 0	67		0	0 67
Franklin County, OH 8		0	10	18
LH-25 2 LMMI 6		0	8 2	10 8
Hamilton City, OH	8	0	1	9
LH-25 LMMI	8	0	1 0	1 8
Hamilton County, OH 2	90	0		117
LH-25 1 LMMI 1	90	0	14 11	15 102
Lake County, OH 0			5	5
LH-25 O			5	0 5
Lorain, OH 0	6			6
LH-25 0 LMMI 0	6			0 6
Middletown, OH	37		14	51
LH-25 LMMI	37		6 8	6 45
Montgomery County, OH 0	78	1	0	79
LH-25 0 LMMI 0	78	1	0	0 79

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
 ONAMEL	Acadisinion		ASSISTANCE	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Springfield, OH	0	0		12	12
LH-25	0	0		8	8
LMMI	0	0		4	4
Stark County, OH	24	12	28	1	65
LH-25	11				11
LMMI	13	12	28	1	54
		<u></u>			
State of Ohio		10,379		135	10,514
LH-25				18	18
LMMI		10,379		117	10,496
Summit County, OH		0		10	10
LH-25		0		7	7
LMMI		0		3	3
Toledo, OH		112			151
		112	2		
LH-25		110		4	4
LMMI		112	2	33	147
Youngstown, OH	6	249			255
LH-25	4				4
LMMI	2	249			251
L		2-13			231
Ohio Total	40	12,848	38	307	13,233
		, , , , ,			1


LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

......

# Oklahoma

98

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oklahoma, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 42 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 95%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 5%. Of the units completed, 7% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oklahoma completed 2 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


### Oklahoma

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE ACQ	UISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Oklahoma City, OK			0	0	0
LH-25			0	0	0
LMMI			0	0	0
Oklahoma State Program	0	40	0	2	42
LH-25	0	1	0	2	3
LMMI	0	39	0	0	39
Oklahoma Total	0	40	0	2	42


.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

99

100

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Oregon, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 202 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 83%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 17%. Of the units completed, 19% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Oregon completed 34 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

### Oregon

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUALPROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTEROWNER




COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Oregon			168	34	202
LH-25			18	20	38
LMMI			150	14	164
Oregon Total			168	34	202
Oregon Iotal			108	54	202

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Pennsylvania, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 729 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 52%, followed by Clearance/Demo at 48%. Of the units completed, 34% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Pennsylvania completed 376 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ


## Pennsylvania

#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUALPROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTEROWNER



### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Allegheny County, PA		169		1	170
LH-25 LMMI		169		0 1	0 170
Allentown, PA	0	0		0	0
LH-25 LMMI	0	0		0	0
Philadelphia, PA					47
LH-25 LMMI				0 47	0 47
Pittsburgh, PA		154		27	181
LH-25 LMMI		154		23 4	23 158
State of Pennsylvania		30		301	331
LH-25 LMMI		30		223 78	223 108
York County, PA			0	0	0
LH-25 LMMI			0	0	0
Pennsylvania Total	0	353	0	376	729

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

# Puerto Rico

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in Puerto Rico, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Puerto Rico completed 5 units, all in the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 80% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.

104



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report


### Puerto Rico

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL


PROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



Single Family

Multifamily

COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTE		CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
	onwealth of Puerto Rico			5	5
LH-25 LMMI				4	4
Puerto	Rico Total			5	5


.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

# Rhode Island

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Rhode Island, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 361 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 61%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 38%. Of the units completed, 28% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Rhode Island completed 221 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.





LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

Owner

Renter


#### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

## Rhode Island

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS


ACTUALPROJECTED



Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

## MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Rhode Island State Program	2	2	136	221	361
LH-25 LMMI	2	2	136	100 121	100 261
Rhode Island Total	2	2	136	221	361


LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~


# South Carolina


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Carolina, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 534 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Clearance/Demo activity type at 62%, followed by Acquisition at 28%. Of the units completed, 26% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Carolina completed 52 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.

108









LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ


### South Carolina

109

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUALPROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Greenville County, SC	4	45		21	70
LH-25	3			21	24
LMMI	1	45			46
Richland County, SC		36		8	44
LH-25				5	5
LMMI		36		3	39
South Carolina					
State Program	146	251	0	23	420
LH-25	93			18	111
LMMI	53	251	0	5	309
-					
South Carolina Total	150	332	0	52	534


LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | NSP1 PRODUCTION REPORTS

# South Dakota

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of South Dakota, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 91 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 89%, followed by Acquisition at 9%. Of the units completed, 34% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in South Dakota completed 81 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.

110



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

60

52

80

29

40

REHAB/NEW

56

20


Owner

### South Dakota

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of South Dakota	8	1	1	81	91
LH-25	2	0	0	29	31
LMMI	6	1	1	52	60
South Dakota Total	8	1	1	81	91


LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 


# Tennessee


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Tennessee, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Tennessee completed 315 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 44%, followed by Rehab/New Construction at 29%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Tennessee completed 91 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.

112










LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

# REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Tennessee Total	140	71	13	91	315
LMMI	29	14	4	0	47
LH-25	27			3	30
State of Tennessee	56	14	4	3	77
LMMI	19			23	42
LH-25	6			11	17
Shelby County, TN	25			34	59
LMMI	5				5
LH-25	38				38
Nashville-Davidson, 1	N 43				43
LH-25 LMMI	0 4		5	0 5	14
Memphis, TN	4		5	5	14
LMMI	0	6		43	49
LH-25	2			0	2
Knoxville, TN	2	6		43	51
LH-25 LMMI	10	51	4	6	10 61
Chattanooga, TN	10	51	4	6	71
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE DEMO	HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

 $LH-25 = Low \ Income \ Set \ Aside \ (<50\% \ AMI); \ LMMI = Low, \ Moderate, \ Middle \ Income \ (51\%-120\% \ AMI); \ "0" \ indicates a projected \ activity \ with no \ reported \ completions; \ blank \ columns \ indicate \ an \ activity \ type \ which \ was \ not \ selected \ by \ the \ grantee.$ 

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Texas, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Texas completed 969 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 43%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 29%. Of the units completed, 31% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Texas completed 413 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.




LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL


PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Arlington,	TX 6	0	25		31
LH-25 LMMI	0	0	7 18		7 24
Dallas Cou			12	30	42
LH-25 LMMI			12	30	12 30
Dallas, TX	32			24	
LH-25 LMMI	8 24			24	8 48
El Paso, TX		0	0	1	1
LH-25 LMMI		0	0	0 1	<b>0</b> 1
Fort Bend	County, TX		3	3	6
LH-25 LMMI			2	3 0	5 1

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

115

#### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES (CONTINUED)

			HOME-	REHAB/	
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Fort Worth, TX	0		166		166
LH-25	0		13		13
LMMI			153		153
Garland, TX	8	10	3		21
LH-25	4	10	_		4
LMMI	4	10	3		17
Grand Prairie, TX	14		61		75
LH-25	4		10		14
LMMI	10		51		61
Harris County, TX	Κ 68		0	131	199
LH-25	0		0	131	131
LMMI	68		0		68
Hidalgo County,	TX 4			2	6
LH-25	0			2	2
LMMI	4				4
Houston, TX	0			0	0
LH-25	0			0	0
LMMI	0				0
Mesquite, TX				5	5
LH-25				4	4
LMMI				1	1
San Antonio, TX	99		3	207	309
LH-25	86			0	86
LMMI	13		3	207	223
State of Texas –	TDHCA 0	20	9	0	29
LH-25	0		3	0	3
LMMI	0	20	6	0	26
Tarrant County, T	X 13		0	10	23
LH-25				10	10
LMMI	13		0		13
Texas Total	244	30	282	413	969


LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  ${\sf v}$ 

......

116

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Utah, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Utah completed 163 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 63% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.




LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Utah	163			0	163
LH-25	102				102
LMMI	61			0	61
Utah Total	163			0	163

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

118


# Vermont


119


The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Vermont, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 152 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 75%, followed by Acquisition at 25%. Of the units completed, 36% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Vermont completed 114 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.


Construction activity type at 75%, followed by ncomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. tial housing.

COMPLETED UNITS
BY ACTIVITY TYPE









LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~


### Vermont

### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Vermont Total	38	0		114	152
LMMI	25	0		72	97
LH-25	13			42	55
State of Vermont	38	0		114	152
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
			HOME-	REHAB/	


.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

# Virgin Islands

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the Virgin Islands, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in the Virgin Islands completed 0 units across all NSP Activity Types.

121



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ

0.006

0.004

REHAB/NEW

Owner

Renter

### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

# Virgin Islands


122

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER



OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Virgin Islands	0			0	0
LH-25				0	0
LMMI	0			0	0
Virgin Islands Total	0			0	0

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Virginia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Virginia completed 63 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Homeownership Assistance activity type at 51%, followed by Acquisition at 49%. Of the units completed, 51% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.



LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "O" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee


### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES


LH-25 LMMI	0		0	0	0
State of Virginia	0		0	0	0
LH-25 LMMI	3		1 21		4 21
Prince William County, V	 ⁄A 3		22		25
LH-25 LMMI	28		10		28 10
Fairfax County, VA	28		10		38
GRANTEE A	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

124

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Washington, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Washington completed 117 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Acquisition activity type at 61%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 37%. Of the units completed, 40% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.



### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report


# Washington

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER

OWNER



COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
State of Washington	71	3	43		117
LH-25	47		0		47
LMMI	24	3	43		70
Washington Total	71	3	43		117

.....


126

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

# West Virginia

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of West Virginia, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in West Virginia completed 110 units, all within the Rehab/New Construction activity type. Of the units completed, 47% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.





LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~the~grantee~activ


128

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER






COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

West Virginia Total				110	110
LH-25 LMMI				52 58	52 58
State of West Virginia				110	110
GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wisconsin, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 338 units across all NSP Activity Types. Of the units completed, the largest percentage was in the Rehab/New Construction activity type at 44%, followed by Homeownership Assistance at 43%. Of the units completed, 39% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income. NSP grantees in Wisconsin completed 148 units of new or rehabilitated residential housing.



LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI); "O"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~


### Wisconsin

## REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

# MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER

RENTER

OWNER




### COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Milwaukee, WI		8	87	33	128
LH-25			33	20	53
LMMI		8	54	13	75
State of Wisconsin	2	34	59	115	210
LH-25	0		18	61	79
LMMI	2	34	41	54	131
Wisconsin Total	2	42	146	148	338

.....

LH~25 = Low~Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI); LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~activity~type~

The tables and charts below reflect the cumulative data for NSP1 activity for all grantees located in the state of Wyoming, up to the end of the third quarter of calendar year 2011. NSP grantees in Wyoming completed 18 units, all within the Acquisition activity type. Of the units completed, 33% benefited households with incomes of 50% or less of Area Median Income.



LH~25 = Low Income~Set~Aside~(<50%~AMI);~LMMI = Low,~Moderate,~Middle~Income~(51%-120%~AMI);~"0"~indicates~a~projected~activity~with~no~reported~completions;~blank~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~and~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~and~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~and~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~and~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~and~columns~indicate~an~activity~type~which~was~not~selected~by~the~grantee~an~activity~type~which~the~g

### Through Third Quarter 2011 State NSP1 Production Report


### Wyoming

#### REHAB/NEW CONSTRUCTION SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY UNITS

ACTUAL VS. PROJECTED COMPLETIONS

ACTUAL

PROJECTED




Single-Family = 1-4 units; Multifamily = 4+ units

#### MULTIFAMILY VS. SINGLE-FAMILY COMPLETIONS

RENTER VS. OWNER





COMPLETED UNITS BY ACTIVITY TYPE AND NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

GRANTEE	ACQUISITION	CLEARANCE/ DEMO	HOME- OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE	REHAB/ NEW CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL
Wyoming State Prog				0	18
LH-25	6			0	6
LMMI	12				12
Wyoming Total	18			0	18

.....

LH 25 = Low Income Set Aside (< 50% AMI); LMMI = Low, Moderate, Middle Income (51%-120% AMI); "0" indicates a projected activity with no reported completions; blank columns indicate an activity type which was not selected by the grantee  $\frac{1}{2}$