



# **Grantee Report: Pima County, AZ Cumulative As Of December 30th, 2013**

## **Summary of NSP Activity: Number of Properties Treated**

Activity Type	Clustered	Scattered	Total		
Construction	60	0	60		
Rehab	91	21	112		
Rehab and Construction	0	0	0		
Acquisition	3	3	6		
Demolition	7	16	23		
Land Banking	44	4	48		
Disposition	0	0	0		
Public Facilities	0	0	0		
Homeowner Assistance	127	13	140		
Total:	332	57	389		



## **Performance of NSP Investment Clusters (NICs):**



#### **Individual NSP Investment Cluster Performance:**

	Performance Score		Number of Properties Treated					
	Vacancy	Home Sales	Construction	Rehab	Demo- lition	Other	Total By Grantee	By Other Grantees
Pima County AZ NIC 1	Α	Α	39	21	0	103	138	25
Pima County AZ NIC 11	С	В	0	0	1	1	1	1
Pima County AZ NIC 12	D	D	0	8	0	1	9	-
Pima County AZ NIC 13	С	С	0	5	0	0	5	-
Pima County AZ NIC 14	Α	С	0	0	0	6	4	2
Pima County AZ NIC 15	В	В	0	1	0	6	4	3
Pima County AZ NIC 16	В	D	0	4	0	0	4	-
Pima County AZ NIC 17	Α	В	0	0	2	5	5	2
Pima County AZ NIC 18	Α	D	6	0	0	0	6	-
Pima County AZ NIC 19	Α	С	0	4	2	4	9	1
Pima County AZ NIC 2	В	С	0	18	1	58	49	28
Pima County AZ NIC 23	С	N/A	0	2	0	6	5	3
Pima County AZ NIC 24	В	С	4	0	1	0	5	-
Pima County AZ NIC 3	С	С	11	10	0	35	37	19
Pima County AZ NIC 5	С	Α	0	4	0	19	16	7
Pima County AZ NIC 6	D	D	0	1	0	15	5	11
Pima County AZ NIC 7	В	В	0	13	0	24	24	13
Pima County AZ NIC 8	D	В	0	1	0	7	6	2

### Methodology

**NSP Investment Cluster (NIC):** A NIC is a geographic area with a density of properties treated by NSP. Each NIC must contain at least two treated properties with at least 6 properties located within 1/4 mile. Each NIC is made up of between 1 to 4 block groups.

**Comparable Markets:** The comparable markets used in this analysis are block groups with similar characteristics as the NIC. The following criteria were used to identify comparable markets: proximity to the NIC, NSP 1 score, 2010 owner occupancy rate, 2008 average home sale price, and home appreciation between 2006 and 2008.

**Performance Scores:** Scores of "A", "B", "C", "D" or "N/A" were assigned to each NIC to reflect home sale and vacancy trends within the NIC as compared to similar markets untouched by NSP investment. In order to "beat" a comparable, a NIC had to perform better than that comparable market. Home sale trends include sales price changes from 2008 to 2012 and vacancy trends include vacancy rate changes from 2008 to 2012. These grades are defined as follows:

Performance Scoring Definitions		
"A" = a NIC beat $all$ of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.		
"B" = a NIC beat some of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.		
"C" = a NIC beat <i>one</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.		
"D" = a NIC beat <i>none</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.		
"N/A" = there was not sufficient data for any comparable in order to calculate a Performance Score.		

Other Grantees working in Pima County, AZ NICs: Tucson, AZ, Arizona State Program