




## Grantee Report: State of California Cumulative As Of December 30th, 2013


### Summary of NSP Activity: Number of Properties Treated

Activity Type	Clustered	Scattered	Total
Construction	234	18	252
Rehab	95	280	375
Rehab and Construction	0	0	0
Acquisition	0	0	0
Demolition	18	33	51
Land Banking	0	0	0
Disposition	0	0	0
Public Facilities	2	0	2
Homeowner Assistance	4	193	197
<b>Total:</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>877</b>




### Performance of NSP Investment Clusters (NICs):

**Distribution of NICs  
Sale Price Grade**



**Distribution of NICs  
Vacancy Grade**



## Individual NSP Investment Cluster Performance:

	Performance Score		Number of Properties Treated					
	Vacancy	Home Sales	Construction	Rehab	Demo- lition	Other	Total By Grantee	By Other Grantees
Contra Costa County CA NIC 1	A	A	0	6	0	0	2	4
Contra Costa County CA NIC 3	C	D	19	0	0	0	19	-
Contra Costa County CA NIC 4	D	D	9	0	0	0	9	-
Los Angeles County CA NIC 11	D	N/A	68	0	0	0	68	-
Los Angeles County CA NIC 13	D	A	68	0	0	0	68	-
Madera County CA NIC 1	C	C	0	0	0	7	2	5
Merced County CA NIC 1	A	A	0	5	0	0	5	-
Merced County CA NIC 2	A	B	0	8	0	0	8	-
Merced County CA NIC 3	D	A	0	34	0	2	36	-
Monterey County CA NIC 1	C	D	0	18	0	0	18	-
Monterey County CA NIC 2	D	D	0	8	0	0	5	3
Riverside County CA NIC 1	C	C	0	13	0	1	3	11
Riverside County CA NIC 11	A	C	0	4	0	3	1	6
Riverside County CA NIC 17	D	D	3	2	0	0	1	4
Riverside County CA NIC 2	B	B	0	20	0	32	2	50
Riverside County CA NIC 3	D	A	0	6	0	1	1	6
Sacramento County CA NIC 13	C	A	17	0	0	0	17	-
Sacramento County CA NIC 3	D	C	0	0	13	2	15	-
Shasta County CA NIC 1	C	D	0	0	5	0	5	-
Ventura County CA NIC 1	D	N/A	16	0	0	0	16	-
Ventura County CA NIC 2	D	N/A	37	0	0	0	37	-
Yuba County CA NIC 1	A	B	0	8	0	0	8	-
Yuba County CA NIC 2	A	C	0	7	0	0	7	-

### Methodology

**NSP Investment Cluster (NIC):** A NIC is a geographic area with a density of properties treated by NSP. Each NIC must contain at least two treated properties with at least 6 properties located within 1/4 mile. Each NIC is made up of between 1 to 4 block groups.

**Comparable Markets:** The comparable markets used in this analysis are block groups with similar characteristics as the NIC. The following criteria were used to identify comparable markets: proximity to the NIC, NSP 1 score, 2010 owner occupancy rate, 2008 average home sale price, and home appreciation between 2006 and 2008.

**Performance Scores:** Scores of "A", "B", "C", "D" or "N/A" were assigned to each NIC to reflect home sale and vacancy trends within the NIC as compared to similar markets untouched by NSP investment. In order to "beat" a comparable, a NIC had to perform better than that comparable market. Home sale trends include sales price changes from 2008 to 2012 and vacancy trends include vacancy rate changes from 2008 to 2012. These grades are defined as follows:

Performance Scoring Definitions
"A" = a NIC beat <i>all</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.
"B" = a NIC beat <i>some</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.
"C" = a NIC beat <i>one</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.
"D" = a NIC beat <i>none</i> of its comparable markets for which there was home sale or vacancy data.
"N/A" = there was not sufficient data for any comparable in order to calculate a Performance Score.

Other Grantees working in State of California NICs: Riverside County, CA , Perris, CA , Madera County, CA , Contra Costa County, CA , Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.