| Loca | | OME Program Performs with Ren | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Greenville | | Sta | te: NC | (1)-45 | | |
| PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$12,892 | 2,805 | PJ's Size Groupin | ıg*: C | PJ Since (| (FY): 1998 | |
| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (P | ercentile):* |
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 19 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.69 % | 90.59 % | 5 | 93.33 % | 56 | 57 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 92.21 % | 88.04 % | 7 | 91.21 % | 61 | 63 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 5.07 | 6.64 | 1 | 5.83 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 98.55 % | 1 | 97.18 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 92.79 % | 91.61 % | 11 | 92.23 % | 43 | 44 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 58.82 % | 81.13 % | 18 | 82.65 % | 7 | 5 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 23.53 % | 41.75 % | 17 | 46.76 % | 18 | 14 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 99.70 % | 1 | 98.01 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | ate: 16 / 19 | Nation | ally: 38 | 33 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | <u>Units:</u> | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$133,058 | \$13,529 | | \$36,077 | 17 Units | 5.10 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$24,040 | \$11,944 | | \$17,772 | 125 Units | 37.30 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$29,844 | \$27,098 | | \$21,883 | 193 Units | 57.60 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$1,667 | | \$3,586 | 0 Units | 0.00 % |
| * - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to 3.5 million (27 PJs |) | | | | | |
| B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equa C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (384 PJs) | l to \$1 million (169 PJs) | | | | | |
| ** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of | 70% of PJs. | | | | | |
| ***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ran | nking and the National Overall F | | em (IDIS) | | | Page 1 |
| Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into | non s megrated Disbur | sement and information Syst | | | | i aye i |

| | | F | Program a | nd Beneficia | ry Char | acteristic | s for Completed U | Jnits | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|---------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Greenville | | | | NC | | | | | | |
| Total Development Costs: | | R | lental | Homebuyer | Hom | eowner | CHDO Operatin | g Expenses: | PJ: | | 0.0 % |
| (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) PJ: | : | | \$807,436 | \$80,769 | | \$30,284 | (% of all | | National | Avg: | 1.1 % |
| Sta | ate:* | | \$85,082 | \$96,771 | | \$31,428 | | | | | |
| Na | itional:** | | \$132,994 | \$87,513 | | \$26,112 | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | R | | - | Homeowner | TBRA | | | Rental Hor | nebuyer I | Homeowner | TBRA |
| RACE: | | % | % | % | % | HOUSE | HOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | | 0.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | Single | Non-Elderly: | 17.6 | 26.4 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | | 100.0 | 82.4 | 94.8 | 0.0 | Elderly | : | 11.8 | 13.6 | 75.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Relate | d/Single Parent: | 52.9 | 34.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Relate | d/Two Parent: | 11.8 | 8.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | | 5.9 | 7.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and | White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and | Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | | SUPPLE | MENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTANCE: | | | |
| 1 Person: | | 17.6 | 13.6 | 35.8 | 0.0 | Section | 8: | 11.8 | 0.8 | | |
| 2 Persons: | | 35.3 | 38.4 | 44.6 | 0.0 | HOME | TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | | 35.3 | 17.6 | 10.9 | 0.0 | Other: | | 23.5 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | | 11.8 | 12.8 | 5.7 | 0.0 | No Ass | istance: | 64.7 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | # of Sec | tion 504 Compliant | Units: | 58 | | |
| * The State average includes all local a | and the State | e PJs wi' | thin that state | | | | | | | | |
| ** The National average includes all loc | cal and State | PJs, an | id Insular Area | S | | | | | | | |
| # Section 8 vouchers can be used for F | First-Time Ho | omebuye | r Downpaymer | nt Assistance. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | HOME Pro | ogram Perforr | mance SNAPSH | от 🔏 |

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

| HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--|------------|--|--|--|
| Participating Jurisd State Rank: 16 Summary: 1 | liction (PJ): Greenville 19_PJs Of the 5 Indicators are Re | tate: <u>NC</u> | Group Rank: 38 (Percentile) Overall Rank: 33 (Percentile) | | | | |
| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG | | | |
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 96.55% | 100 | | | | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 86.05% | 92.79 | | | | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 58.82 | ľ n | | | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 96.82% | 100 | | | | |
| "ALLOCATION-1 | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.200 | 2.81 | | | | |

 * This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2013 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Page 3