| | | OME Program Perfor risdictions with Ren | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Garden Grove | | Sta | te: CA | (A-12) | | |
| PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$20,342 | ,575 | PJ's Size Groupin | g*: C | PJ Since (F | FY): 1992 | |
| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (P | ercentile):* |
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 94 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 86.68 % | 94.07 % | 73 | 94.31 % | 14 | 11 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 86.68 % | 92.86 % | 72 | 92.60 % | 17 | 14 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 4.77 | 6.4 | 0 | 5.93 | 0 | 0 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 96.28 % | 1 | 97.51 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 98.19 % | 92.46 % | 30 | 92.69 % | 77 | 80 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 78.33 % | 83.90 % | 68 | 82.65 % | 30 | 29 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 30.56 % | 42.17 % | 66 | 46.79 % | 28 | 22 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 96.11 % | 98.32 % | 76 | 98.07 % | 16 | 16 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | ate: 58 / 94 | Nationa | ally: 34 | 30 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | <u>Units:</u> | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$96,583 | \$50,052 | | \$36,425 | 180 Units | 62.50 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$19,841 | \$25,713 | | \$17,930 | 22 Units | 7.60 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$4,815 | \$27,952 | | \$21,966 | 20 Units | 6.90 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$10,759 | \$3,289 | | \$3,676 | 66 Units | 22.90 % |
| * - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (32 PJs) | | | | | | |
| B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (375 PJs) | to \$1 million (173 PJs) | | | | | |
| ** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 7 | | | | | | |
| ***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ran Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into | - | - | em (IDIS) | | | Page 1 |

| | I | Program a | nd Beneficia | ry Chai | racteristic | s for Complete | d Units | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|-------|
| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Garden | Grove | | | CA | | | | | | |
| Total Development Costs: | F | Rental | Homebuyer | Hom | eowner | CHDO Opera | ting Expenses: | PJ: | | 0.2 % |
| (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) PJ: | | \$265,311 | \$179,148 | | \$4,815 | | f allocation) | National | | 1.1 % |
| State:* | | \$190,858 | \$131,515 | | \$30,342 | | | | | |
| National:* | * | \$135,225 | \$88,236 | | \$26,222 | | | | | |
| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA | | | Rental Ho | mebuver | Homeowner | TBRA |
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSE | HOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 10.4 | 18.2 | 85.0 | 0.0 | Single/ | Non-Elderly: | 13.3 | 9.1 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 1.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly | : | 6.4 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 12.7 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Relate | d/Single Parent: | 9.2 | 22.7 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Relate | d/Two Parent: | 50.9 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | | 19.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 74.0 | 40.9 | 10.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLE | MENTAL RENTAL | L ASSISTANCE | : | | |
| 1 Person: | 10.4 | 9.1 | 65.0 | 0.0 | Section | 8: | 5.2 | 4.5 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 13.9 | 4.5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | HOME | TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 25.4 | 63.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 | Other: | | 5.2 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 22.5 | 9.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | No Ass | istance: | 89.6 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 16.2 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 2.3 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Sec | tion 504 Compliar | nt Units: | 0 | | |
| * The State average includes all local and the | State PJs w | thin that state | | | | | | | | |
| ** The National average includes all local and S | | | s | | | | | | | _ |
| # Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Tim | e Homebuy | er Downpayme | nt Assistance. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | HOME Pr | ogram Perfor | mance SNAPSHO | TA |

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

| HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Participating JuriseState Rank:58Summary:2 | | | ate: <u>CA</u> | Group Rank: 34 (Percentile) Overall Rank: 30 (Percentile) | | | |
| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG | | | |
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 96.71% | 100 | | | | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 86.60% | 98.19 | | | | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 78.33 | | | | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 96.96% | 96.11 | ļ n | | | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.730 | 3.37 | ļ u | | | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2013 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3



Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)