		OME Program Perfor risdictions with Ren					
Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Fullerton		Sta	te: CA	(7-15)			
PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$14,653,014		PJ's Size Groupin	ng*: C	PJ Since (FY): 1992			
			Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*				
Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Group C	Overall	
Program Progress:			PJs in State: 94				
% of Funds Committed	82.95 %	94.07 %	82	94.31 %	9	6	
% of Funds Disbursed	82.93 %	92.86 %	77	92.60 %	11	9	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	0.72	6.4	0	5.93	0	0	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	100.00 %	96.28 %	1	97.51 %	100	100	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	100.00 %	92.46 %	1	92.69 %	99	100	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	82.72 %	83.90 %	53	82.65 %	43	43	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	27.16 %	42.17 %	71	46.79 %	24	18	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	96.30 %	98.32 %	74	98.07 %	17	18	
Overall Ranking:		In St	ate: 60 / 94	Nation	ally: 31	28	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed	<u>Units:</u>						
Rental Unit	\$105,036	\$50,052		\$36,425	81 Units	20.90 %	
Homebuyer Unit	\$40,216	\$25,713		\$17,930	40 Units	10.30 %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$26,471	\$27,952		\$21,966	67 Units	17.30 %	
TBRA Unit	\$8,938	\$3,289		\$3,676	199 Units	51.40 %	
* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (32 PJs)	1						
B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (375 PJs)	to \$1 million (173 PJs)						
** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of ***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ran		Ranking of each PJ.					
Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)						Page 1	

		P	rogram ai	nd Beneficia	ry Chai	racterist	ics for Complete	ed Units			
Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Ful	lerton				CA						
Total Development Costs:		R	ental	Homebuyer	Hom	eowner	CHDO Opera	ating Expenses:	PJ:		0.1 %
(average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) PJ:			\$127,877	\$169,263		\$26,572		of allocation)	National	l Avg:	1.1 %
State	:*		\$190,858	\$131,515		\$30,342					
Natic	onal:**		\$135,225	\$88,236		\$26,222					
	Re	ental	Homebuyer	Homeowner	TBRA			Rental Hon	nebuver	Homeowner	TBRA
RACE:		%	%	%	%	HOUSE	EHOLD TYPE:	%	%	%	%
White:		10.3	45.0	73.1	64.4	Sing	le/Non-Elderly:	11.5	22.5	6.0	2.2
Black/African American:		3.8	0.0	3.0	2.2	Elde	rly:	1.3	0.0	86.6	66.7
Asian:		1.3	0.0	1.5	6.7	Rela	ted/Single Parent:	28.2	15.0	0.0	31.1
American Indian/Alaska Native:		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Rela	ted/Two Parent:	52.6	57.5	4.5	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:		0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	Othe	r:	6.4	2.5	3.0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and WI	nite:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Asian and White:		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Black/African American and White:		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
American Indian/Alaska Native and Bla	ack:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
Other Multi Racial:		0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0						
Asian/Pacific Islander:		2.6	15.0	0.0	0.0						
ETHNICITY:											
Hispanic		82.1	32.5	22.4	26.7						
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:						SUPPL	EMENTAL RENTA	L ASSISTANCE:			
1 Person:		12.8	22.5	56.7	51.1	Section	on 8:	0.0	0.0		
2 Persons:		14.1	12.5	37.3	22.2	НОМ	E TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:		24.4	17.5	3.0	6.7	Other		0.0			
4 Persons:		20.5	15.0	1.5	17.8	No As	ssistance:	100.0			
5 Persons:		15.4	20.0	1.5	2.2						
6 Persons:		10.3	5.0	0.0	0.0						
7 Persons:		2.6	2.5	0.0	0.0						
8 or more Persons:		0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	# of Se	ction 504 Complia	nt Units:	3		
* The State average includes all local and	d the State	PJs wit	hin that state								
** The National average includes all local				S							
# Section 8 vouchers can be used for First	t-Time Hon	nebuye	r Downpaymer	nt Assistance.							
								HOME Pro	gram Perfor	mance SNAPSH	ют / 🚝 🕻

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT



HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities								
Participating Juris State Rank: 60 Summary: 2			state: <u>CA</u>	Group Rank: 31 (Percentile) Overall Rank: 28 (Percentile)				
FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG				
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 96.71%	100					
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 86.60%	100					
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF	< 70%**	82.72					
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 96.96%	96.3	ļ u				
"ALLOCATION	-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 2.730	4.44	Å				

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2013 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT Page 3



Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)