

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/2019
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
<u>Program Progress:</u>				PJs in State: <div>12</div>			
% of Funds Committed	<div>92.87</div> %	<div>94.10</div> %	<div>9</div>	<div>93.76</div> %	<div>43</div>	<div>42</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>92.75</div> %	<div>92.60</div> %	<div>6</div>	<div>91.96</div> %	<div>59</div>	<div>59</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>1.73</div>	<div>5.85</div>	<div>9</div>	<div>5.92</div>	<div>24</div>	<div>18</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>96.48</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>97.48</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>90.31</div> %	<div>95.55</div> %	<div>10</div>	<div>92.73</div> %	<div>31</div>	<div>31</div>	
<u>Low-Income Benefit:</u>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>82.88</div> %	<div>84.83</div> %	<div>9</div>	<div>82.66</div> %	<div>44</div>	<div>44</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>47.86</div> %	<div>48.18</div> %	<div>8</div>	<div>46.75</div> %	<div>55</div>	<div>55</div>	
<u>Lease-Up:</u>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>99.88</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>98.06</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
<u>Overall Ranking:</u>			In State:	<div>9</div> / <div>12</div>	Nationally:	<div>43</div> <div>39</div>	
<u>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</u>							
Rental Unit	<div>\$37,212</div>	<div>\$21,513</div>		<div>\$36,351</div>	<div>257</div> Units	<div>18.50</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$6,698</div>	<div>\$17,511</div>		<div>\$17,857</div>	<div>1,019</div> Units	<div>73.40</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$27,661</div>	<div>\$15,138</div>		<div>\$21,935</div>	<div>33</div> Units	<div>2.40</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$4,135</div>	<div>\$2,895</div>		<div>\$3,647</div>	<div>79</div> Units	<div>5.70</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (32 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (173 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (375 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Evansville IN

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$96,176	\$73,634	\$34,687
State:*	\$106,306	\$81,209	\$20,489
National:**	\$134,750	\$87,964	\$26,180

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ:	4.1 %
National Avg:	1.1 %

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	24.9	81.7	45.5	58.3	Single/Non-Elderly:	28.4	38.4	24.2	58.3
Black/African American:	73.9	13.8	48.5	41.7	Elderly:	19.1	2.6	18.2	0.0
Asian:	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	38.9	31.4	24.2	41.7
American Indian/Alaska Native:	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	Related/Two Parent:	8.9	18.3	15.2	0.0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	Other:	4.7	9.3	18.2	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.4	0.5	3.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	0.0	1.7	3.0	0.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	42.4	37.2	36.4	58.3	Section 8:	30.0	0.8 [#]		
2 Persons:	16.7	26.1	24.2	25.0	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	17.1	21.4	6.1	8.3	Other:	12.5			
4 Persons:	12.8	10.0	15.2	8.3	No Assistance:	57.6			
5 Persons:	6.6	3.8	15.2	0.0					
6 Persons:	3.1	0.9	0.0	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.8	0.3	3.0	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	# of Section 504 Compliant Units:	100			

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Evansville State: IN Group Rank: 43
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 9 / 12 PJs Overall Rank: 39
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 96.70%	100	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 86.48%	90.31	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	82.88	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 96.82%	100	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 2.990	2.84	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2013 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

