(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
Abilene	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$12,294,256	\$165,576	\$1,678,562	\$1,920,587.31	15.62	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,162,437	\$165,576	\$1,508,790	\$1,908,678.56	17.10	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$11,162,437	\$273,750	\$10,888,687	\$11,814,300.06	100.00	\$0	
Adams County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$18,842,423	\$0	\$2,826,363	\$3,123,209.00	16.58	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,421,122	\$0	\$2,463,168	\$2,723,209.00	16.58	\$0	
CO	D	6/30/2016	\$16,672,418	\$79,191	\$16,593,227	\$17,475,470.00	100.00	\$0	
Aguadilla	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,839,231	\$67,835	\$1,708,050	\$2,405,847.00	20.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,968,096	\$67,835	\$1,577,379	\$2,258,995.00	20.60	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$10,968,096	\$67,835	\$10,900,261	\$11,494,947.00	100.00	\$0	
Akron	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$43,292,481	\$0	\$6,493,872	\$7,392,102.00	17.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$39,676,986	\$0	\$5,951,548	\$7,332,102.00	18.48	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$40,015,178	\$13,220	\$40,001,958	\$41,893,472.00	100.00	\$0	
Alabama	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$326,334,442	\$5,106,790	\$43,843,376	\$61,837,750.00	18.95	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$300,479,275	\$5,106,790	\$39,965,101	\$56,906,930.00	18.94	\$0	
AL	D	6/30/2016	\$302,939,119	\$199,023	\$302,740,096	\$314,176,661.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 1 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Alameda County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$78,765,181	\$1	\$11,814,776	\$15,826,884.00	20.09	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$72,603,341	\$1	\$10,890,500	\$15,633,837.00	21.53	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$73,303,593	\$1,996,749	\$71,306,844	\$75,705,977.00	100.00	\$0	
Alaska	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$69,048,661	\$0	\$10,357,299	\$17,089,407.00	24.75	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$60,030,774	\$0	\$9,004,616	\$16,573,657.00	27.61	\$0	
AK	D	7/31/2016	\$60,346,017	\$0	\$60,346,017	\$66,222,042.00	100.00	\$0	
Albany	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,587,294	\$126,566	\$2,061,528	\$2,095,692.00	14.37 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$13,409,329	\$126,566	\$1,884,833	\$2,009,592.00	14.99 #	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$13,409,329	\$126,566	\$13,282,763	\$14,082,011.00	100.00	\$0	
Albany	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$24,571,225	\$51,119	\$3,634,565	\$4,398,957.00	17.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$22,298,553	\$51,119	\$3,293,664	\$3,908,957.00	17.53	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$22,434,252	\$51,119	\$22,383,133	\$23,601,726.00	100.00	\$0	
Albuquerque	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$48,223,135	\$0	\$7,233,470	\$10,245,571.00	21.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$43,564,726	\$0	\$6,534,709	\$8,355,319.00	19.18	\$0	
NM	D	6/30/2016	\$44,112,251	\$0	\$44,112,251	\$44,320,042.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 2 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Alexandria	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,461,863	\$323,454	\$1,095,826	\$2,346,964.00	24.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,862,478	\$323,454	\$1,005,918	\$2,196,964.00	24.79	\$0	
LA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,862,478	\$270,950	\$8,591,528	\$8,926,104.00	100.00	\$0	
Alexandria	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,455,106	\$0	\$2,318,266	\$3,269,376.00	21.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$14,313,839	\$0	\$2,147,076	\$3,269,375.70	22.84	\$0	
VA	D	9/30/2016	\$14,434,107	\$0	\$14,434,107	\$14,435,527.30	100.00	\$0	
Alhambra	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,877,924	\$48,682	\$2,333,007	\$4,050,601.00	25.51	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,792,200	\$48,682	\$2,170,148	\$4,050,601.00	27.38	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$14,792,200	\$48,682	\$14,743,518	\$15,898,920.00	100.00	\$0	
Allegheny County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$87,798,897	\$0	\$13,169,835	\$15,690,739.00	17.87	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$80,631,533	\$0	\$12,094,730	\$15,117,490.00	18.75	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$81,415,506	\$0	\$81,415,506	\$85,755,006.00	100.00	\$0	
Allentown	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$19,523,066	\$89,110	\$2,839,350	\$2,928,459.00	15.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$17,361,782	\$89,110	\$2,515,157	\$2,605,412.00	15.01	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$17,417,746	\$203,571	\$17,214,175	\$17,686,647.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 3 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Altoona	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,080,138	\$0	\$1,362,021	\$2,395,852.00	26.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,370,320	\$0	\$1,255,548	\$2,395,852.00	28.62	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,370,320	\$0	\$8,370,320	\$9,067,544.00	100.00	\$0	
Amarillo	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$19,690,758	\$37,475	\$2,916,139	\$3,295,983.12	16.74	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$18,024,411	\$37,475	\$2,666,187	\$3,293,360.11	18.27	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$18,215,076	\$282,791	\$17,932,285	\$19,226,452.22	100.00	\$0	
American Samoa	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,190,094	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$5,627,728	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
AS	D	7/31/2016	\$5,627,728	\$6,562	\$5,621,166	\$5,949,550.00	100.00	\$0	
Amherst Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,715,803	\$0	\$3,257,370	\$6,726,640.00	30.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$19,627,307	\$0	\$2,944,096	\$5,948,708.00	30.31	\$0	
NY	D	8/31/2016	\$19,855,466	\$0	\$19,855,466	\$20,790,683.00	100.00	\$0	
Anaheim	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$38,640,484	\$151,824	\$5,644,248	\$7,534,282.00	19.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$35,290,476	\$151,824	\$5,141,747	\$7,534,282.00	21.35	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$35,707,121	\$237,391	\$35,469,730	\$36,004,442.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 4 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
Anchorage	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,078,366	\$129,300	\$3,032,455	\$5,103,649.00	24.21	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$19,258,844	\$129,300	\$2,759,527	\$3,581,264.00	18.60	\$0	
AK	D	6/30/2016	\$19,536,685	\$180,912	\$19,355,773	\$19,608,703.00	100.00	\$0	
Anderson	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,967,784	(\$250,000)	\$1,595,168	\$4,130,971.24	46.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,154,957	(\$250,000)	\$1,473,244	\$3,890,333.00	47.71	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$8,154,957	(\$250,000)	\$8,404,957	\$8,864,061.00	100.00	\$0	
Anderson County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,367,840	\$123,684	\$531,492	\$590,042.00	13.51 #	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$3,096,628	\$123,684	\$340,810	\$590,041.00	19.05	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$3,111,008	\$138,064	\$2,972,944	\$4,151,381.00	100.00	\$0	
Ann Arbor	CHDO-C		\$13,270,988	\$0	\$1,990,648	\$9,453,747.33	71.24	\$0	
	CHDO-D		\$13,270,988	\$0	\$1,990,648	\$9,453,747.33	71.24	\$0	
MI	D		\$13,391,600	\$60	\$13,391,540	\$13,391,540.45	100.00	\$0	
Anne Arundel County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,594,388	\$0	\$2,789,158	\$3,501,148.00	18.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$16,873,474	\$0	\$2,531,021	\$3,389,148.00	20.09	\$0	
MD	D	7/31/2016	\$17,098,176	\$0	\$17,098,176	\$17,653,140.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 5 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli	<del></del>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Anniston Consortium	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$2,754,366	\$0	\$413,155	\$424,513.85	15.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$1,784,712	\$0	\$267,707	\$310,498.40	17.40	\$0	
AL	D	9/30/2016	\$1,784,712	\$0	\$1,784,712	\$1,985,257.86	100.00	\$0	
Apple Valley	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,842,086	\$0	\$1,026,313	\$1,854,828.00	27.11	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$5,326,033	\$0	\$798,905	\$855,182.00	16.06	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$5,339,937	\$14,909	\$5,325,028	\$6,058,513.00	100.00	\$0	
Arapahoe County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$12,544,906	\$0	\$1,881,736	\$3,780,624.00	30.14	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,928,003	\$0	\$1,639,200	\$3,780,623.00	34.60	\$0	
CO	D	7/31/2016	\$11,074,243	(\$35,513)	\$11,109,756	\$11,983,363.00	100.00	\$0	
Arecibo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,887,491	\$1,308,674	\$774,450	\$911,750.00	6.57 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,000,624	\$1,308,674	\$641,420	\$911,750.00	7.01 #	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$13,000,624	\$1,598,660	\$11,401,964	\$12,261,833.00	100.00	\$0	
Arizona	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$148,681,982	\$0	\$22,302,297	\$23,088,557.00	15.53	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$134,674,108	\$0	\$20,201,116	\$22,344,594.37	16.59	\$0	
AZ	D	9/30/2016	\$135,577,354	\$0	\$135,577,354	\$144,314,650.48	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 6 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Arkansas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$256,941,298	\$1,585,762	\$36,955,433	\$36,983,260.00	14.39 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 10/26/2016
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$234,335,979	\$1,585,762	\$33,564,635	\$35,789,238.00	15.27	\$0	10/20/2010
AR	D	8/31/2016	\$236,555,590	\$1,603,966	\$234,951,624	\$242,673,178.00	100.00	\$0	
Arlington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,463,110	\$0	\$3,819,467	\$4,646,556.00	18.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$22,717,103	\$0	\$3,407,565	\$4,416,663.00	19.44	\$0	
TX	D	7/31/2016	\$23,114,062	\$0	\$23,114,062	\$25,729,830.00	100.00	\$0	
Arlington County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$22,612,059	\$0	\$3,391,809	\$7,751,653.00	34.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,014,549	\$0	\$3,152,182	\$7,751,653.00	36.89	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,259,274	\$1,000	\$21,258,274	\$22,407,853.00	100.00	\$0	
Asheville Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$26,507,374	\$0	\$3,976,106	\$10,571,458.00	39.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$23,615,534	\$0	\$3,542,330	\$10,073,328.00	42.66	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$23,887,254	\$0	\$23,887,254	\$25,905,282.00	100.00	\$0	
Athens-Clarke	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,563,084	\$0	\$2,484,463	\$5,076,194.00	30.65	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,414,898	\$0	\$2,312,235	\$4,609,543.00	29.90	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$15,534,242	\$31,866	\$15,502,376	\$15,685,115.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 7 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Atlanta	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$81,029,583	\$502,307	\$11,652,130	\$13,707,601.00	16.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$76,020,525	\$502,307	\$10,900,772	\$11,758,961.00	15.47	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$76,841,553	\$7,884,389	\$68,957,164	\$69,656,985.00	100.00	\$0	
Atlantic City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,979,011	\$0	\$1,646,852	\$2,917,078.00	26.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,112,340	\$0	\$1,516,851	\$2,876,078.00	28.44	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$10,112,340	\$307,674	\$9,804,666	\$10,429,971.00	100.00	\$0	
Atlantic County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$11,381,598	\$189,030	\$1,518,210	\$1,588,523.77	13.96 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 9/11/2017
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,070,632	\$189,030	\$1,321,565	\$1,436,296.95	14.26 #	\$0	9/11/2017
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$10,212,004	\$189,030	\$10,022,974	\$11,552,936.41	100.00	\$0	
Auburn Consortium	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$7,063,160	\$0	\$1,059,474	\$1,506,007.00	21.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$5,952,007	\$0	\$892,801	\$1,506,006.62	25.30	\$0	
ME	D	9/30/2016	\$5,952,007	\$39,947	\$5,912,060	\$6,501,084.89	100.00	\$0	
Augusta	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$25,099,477	\$10,751	\$3,754,171	\$5,589,290.00	22.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$22,881,102	\$10,751	\$3,421,414	\$5,313,132.00	23.22	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$23,133,497	\$186,402	\$22,947,095	\$24,124,575.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 8 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Aurora	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$22,558,823	\$0	\$3,383,823	\$4,497,202.00	19.94	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,861,318	\$0	\$2,979,198	\$3,769,609.00	18.98	\$0	
CO	D	7/31/2016	\$20,167,319	\$0	\$20,167,319	\$21,866,845.00	100.00	\$0	
Aurora	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$2,590,153	\$74,237	\$314,286	\$1,403,158.00	54.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$1,103,510	\$74,237	\$91,289	\$1,403,158.00	100.00	\$0	
IL	D	6/30/2016	\$1,103,510	\$74,237	\$1,029,273	\$2,554,685.00	100.00	\$0	
Austin	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$78,678,647	\$0	\$11,801,797	\$16,805,287.55	21.36	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$71,035,586	\$0	\$10,655,338	\$14,463,192.55	20.36	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$72,145,459	\$0	\$72,145,459	\$76,717,440.43	100.00	\$0	
Babylon	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,012,634	\$0	\$2,101,895	\$3,267,567.00	23.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,031,577	\$0	\$1,954,737	\$2,824,299.00	21.67	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$13,148,187	\$0	\$13,148,187	\$13,176,840.00	100.00	\$0	
Bakersfield	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,569,782	\$150,726	\$4,284,741	\$4,960,406.00	16.78	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$26,453,321	\$150,726	\$3,817,272	\$4,960,406.00	18.75	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$26,698,991	\$151,368	\$26,547,623	\$27,371,853.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 9 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Baldwin Park	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,947,532	\$407,392	\$934,738	\$1,163,166.00	13.00 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,173,794	\$407,392	\$818,677	\$910,504.00	11.14 #	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,173,794	\$1,344,035	\$6,829,759	\$7,007,346.00	100.00	\$0	
Baltimore	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$160,094,940	\$1	\$24,014,240	\$29,361,726.00	18.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$150,388,238	\$1	\$22,558,235	\$29,361,725.96	19.52	\$0	
MD	D	9/30/2016	\$151,625,197	\$1,937,607	\$149,687,590	\$154,643,926.49	100.00	\$0	
Baltimore County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$50,486,999	\$304,080	\$7,268,970	\$7,322,081.00	14.50 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$45,596,747	\$304,080	\$6,535,432	\$6,910,682.31	15.16	\$0	
MD	D	9/30/2016	\$46,307,146	\$356,491	\$45,950,655	\$49,994,614.62	100.00	\$0	
Barnstable County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,882,493	\$53,899	\$2,028,475	\$3,535,909.00	25.47	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,709,258	\$53,899	\$1,852,490	\$3,535,909.47	27.82	\$0	
MA	D	9/30/2016	\$12,869,618	\$53,899	\$12,815,719	\$13,306,376.77	100.00	\$0	
Baton Rouge	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$46,508,612	\$1,316,300	\$5,659,992	\$6,500,354.25	13.98 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$42,735,971	\$1,316,300	\$5,094,096	\$5,263,050.81	12.32 #	\$0	
LA	D	10/31/2016	\$43,214,024	\$3,153,375	\$40,060,649	\$39,054,932.89	97.49	\$1,005,716	Requirement waived -

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 10 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Battle Creek	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,905,378	\$0	\$1,185,807	\$3,219,671.00	40.73	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,138,231	\$0	\$1,070,735	\$3,219,671.00	45.10	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$7,138,231	\$0	\$7,138,231	\$7,914,557.00	100.00	\$0	
Bay City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,455,357	\$0	\$818,304	\$1,971,229.00	36.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$4,950,433	\$0	\$742,565	\$1,841,510.00	37.20	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$4,950,433	\$1	\$4,950,432	\$5,366,671.00	100.00	\$0	
Bayamon	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,022,047	\$901,162	\$3,902,145	\$4,390,444.00	13.71 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$29,433,828	\$901,162	\$3,513,913	\$4,086,593.00	13.88 #	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$29,480,108	\$761,306	\$28,718,802	\$31,204,297.00	100.00	\$0	
Beaufort County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,722,675	\$0	\$858,401	\$858,401.00	15.00	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$4,313,384	\$0	\$647,008	\$803,905.00	18.64	\$0	
SC	D	8/31/2016	\$4,342,608	\$0	\$4,342,608	\$5,391,742.00	100.00	\$0	
Beaumont	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,935,410	\$235,677	\$2,304,635	\$8,644,134.00	51.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$15,696,761	\$235,677	\$2,118,837	\$8,644,134.00	55.07	\$0	
TX	D	8/31/2016	\$15,696,761	\$0	\$15,696,761	\$16,854,869.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 11 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
Beaver County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$20,447,063	\$0	\$3,067,059	\$3,650,612.85	17.85	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$19,060,400	\$0	\$2,859,060	\$3,645,649.29	19.13	\$0	
PA	D	9/30/2016	\$19,208,050	\$135,078	\$19,072,972	\$20,502,107.18	100.00	\$0	
Bellflower	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,003,339	\$0	\$1,500,501	\$1,915,325.00	19.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,138,063	\$0	\$1,370,709	\$1,459,688.00	15.97	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$9,138,063	\$0	\$9,138,063	\$9,229,945.00	100.00	\$0	
Bellingham	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,821,384	\$0	\$1,623,208	\$2,407,696.00	22.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,367,156	\$0	\$1,405,073	\$2,127,682.00	22.71	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$9,367,156	\$2	\$9,367,154	\$10,413,731.00	100.00	\$0	
Bergen County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$65,092,837	\$0	\$9,763,925	\$18,282,116.75	28.09	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$59,328,146	\$0	\$8,899,222	\$17,366,560.75	29.27	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$59,986,408	\$175	\$59,986,233	\$63,789,236.46	100.00	\$0	
Berkeley	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,058,677	\$69,496	\$3,689,306	\$11,446,590.00	45.68	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$23,190,887	\$69,496	\$3,409,137	\$9,680,127.00	41.74	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$23,314,672	\$201,142	\$23,113,530	\$23,311,109.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 12 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Berks County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$13,724,094	\$0	\$2,058,614	\$4,931,586.00	35.93	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,303,863	\$0	\$1,845,579	\$4,931,586.00	40.08	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$12,451,403	\$49,900	\$12,401,503	\$14,035,949.00	100.00	\$0	
Bethlehem	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$10,750,513	\$252,316	\$1,360,261	\$2,190,385.00	20.37	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,802,493	\$252,316	\$1,218,058	\$2,190,385.00	22.35	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$9,802,493	\$364,806	\$9,437,687	\$10,269,107.00	100.00	\$0	
Bexar County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$13,198,183	\$0	\$1,979,727	\$2,677,515.45	20.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,781,723	\$0	\$1,767,258	\$2,567,722.32	21.79	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$11,883,563	\$0	\$11,883,563	\$12,665,580.56	100.00	\$0	
Billings	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$9,673,605	\$149,130	\$1,301,911	\$1,669,451.00	17.26	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,747,120	\$149,130	\$1,162,938	\$1,584,641.00	18.12	\$0	
MT	D	7/31/2016	\$8,747,120	\$149,130	\$8,597,990	\$9,505,706.00	100.00	\$0	
Binghamton	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$14,053,711	\$0	\$2,108,057	\$2,268,004.43	16.14	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,938,818	\$0	\$1,940,823	\$2,116,162.43	16.36	\$0	
NY	D	9/30/2016	\$12,938,818	\$94,276	\$12,844,542	\$13,587,271.81	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 13 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Birmingham	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$47,947,606	\$655,155	\$6,536,986	\$10,650,363.00	22.21	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$44,580,078	\$655,155	\$6,031,857	\$9,989,086.00	22.41	\$0	
AL	D	8/31/2016	\$44,996,419	\$0	\$44,996,419	\$46,100,766.00	100.00	\$0	
Blacksburg	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,215,567	\$0	\$932,335	\$2,525,038.00	40.62	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$4,510,295	\$0	\$676,544	\$2,437,803.00	54.05	\$0	
VA	D	6/30/2016	\$4,510,295	\$0	\$4,510,295	\$6,328,968.00	100.00	\$0	
Bloomington	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$13,968,187	\$0	\$2,095,228	\$3,057,114.00	21.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,623,829	\$0	\$1,893,574	\$2,657,003.00	21.05	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$12,677,993	\$0	\$12,677,993	\$13,592,314.00	100.00	\$0	
Boise	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$15,462,899	\$0	\$2,319,435	\$3,319,850.06	21.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	11/30/2016	\$13,767,292	\$0	\$2,065,094	\$2,557,971.48	18.58	\$0	
ID	D	11/30/2016	\$13,965,445	\$0	\$13,965,445	\$14,483,467.91	100.00	\$0	
Boston	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$158,519,693	\$0	\$23,777,954	\$38,005,650.00	23.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$145,486,356	\$0	\$21,822,953	\$37,918,085.00	26.06	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$146,741,300	\$0	\$146,741,300	\$154,894,860.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 14 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Boulder Consortium	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$18,403,128	\$16,858	\$2,743,611	\$2,969,926.00	16.14	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,682,330	\$16,858	\$2,335,492	\$2,918,126.00	18.61	\$0	
CO	D	6/30/2016	\$15,771,524	\$16,858	\$15,754,666	\$18,122,672.00	100.00	\$0	
Brazoria County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$10,931,733	\$1,551,561	\$88,199	\$143,164.79	1.31 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -2/7/2017
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$9,691,867	\$1,551,561	(\$97,781)	\$143,164.79	1.48 #	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$9,838,715	\$1,552,283	\$8,286,432	\$9,642,540.99	100.00	\$0	
Brevard County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$29,749,257	\$156,000	\$4,306,389	\$4,496,715.56	15.12	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$27,598,592	\$156,000	\$3,983,789	\$4,496,715.56	16.29	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$27,960,584	\$371,137	\$27,589,447	\$29,042,019.66	100.00	\$0	
Bridgeport	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$33,646,369	\$0	\$5,046,955	\$6,671,005.62	19.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$31,010,916	\$0	\$4,651,637	\$6,441,890.00	20.77	\$0	
СТ	D	7/31/2016	\$31,167,730	\$960,276	\$30,207,454	\$31,069,844.00	100.00	\$0	
Bristol Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,848,815	\$0	\$2,077,322	\$2,132,534.00	15.40	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,269,659	\$0	\$1,690,449	\$1,937,226.00	17.19	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$11,422,065	\$0	\$11,422,065	\$13,360,287.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 15 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Brockton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,284,599	\$77,900	\$2,514,790	\$6,579,724.00	38.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$15,996,941	\$77,900	\$2,321,641	\$6,579,724.00	41.13	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$15,996,941	\$77,900	\$15,919,041	\$16,703,882.00	100.00	\$0	
Broward County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$68,374,141	\$533,469	\$9,722,652	\$11,708,082.15	17.12	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$60,732,891	\$533,469	\$8,576,464	\$11,078,860.99	18.24	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$61,603,566	\$694,715	\$60,908,851	\$66,107,907.50	100.00	\$0	
Brownsville	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$25,562,148	\$0	\$3,834,322	\$6,029,649.10	23.59	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$23,201,005	\$0	\$3,480,151	\$5,449,246.76	23.49	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$23,255,897	\$2,429,080	\$20,826,817	\$20,983,876.44	100.00	\$0	
Bryan	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$7,966,811	\$0	\$1,195,022	\$1,234,361.60	15.49	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,055,598	\$0	\$1,058,340	\$1,149,613.70	16.29	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$7,055,598	\$0	\$7,055,598	\$7,802,933.09	100.00	\$0	
Bucks County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$25,689,318	\$32,528	\$3,820,869	\$4,497,293.00	17.51	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$23,434,897	\$32,528	\$3,482,706	\$4,497,293.00	19.19	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$23,717,971	\$255,216	\$23,462,755	\$24,003,341.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 16 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Buffalo	CHDO-C	2/28/2017	\$108,588,015	\$0	\$16,288,202	\$27,258,786.37	25.10	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$100,476,305	\$0	\$15,071,446	\$25,148,587.00	25.03	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$101,138,275	\$2,520,416	\$98,617,859	\$98,705,805.00	100.00	\$0	
Burbank	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$15,437,614	\$243,850	\$2,071,792	\$9,188,643.00	59.52	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,376,442	\$243,850	\$1,912,616	\$9,145,789.00	63.62	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$14,376,442	\$243,850	\$14,132,592	\$14,952,597.00	100.00	\$0	
Burlington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,867,198	(\$182,477)	\$1,062,557	\$1,538,908.00	26.23	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$4,748,632	(\$182,477)	\$894,772	\$1,538,908.00	32.41	\$0	
VT	D	8/31/2016	\$4,748,632	(\$182,477)	\$4,931,109	\$5,851,505.00	100.00	\$0	
Burlington County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,710,815	\$0	\$2,806,622	\$4,999,808.00	26.72	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$16,838,059	\$0	\$2,525,709	\$4,979,176.00	29.57	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$17,017,242	\$9,124	\$17,008,118	\$18,539,252.00	100.00	\$0	
Butler County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,031,265	\$0	\$1,804,690	\$3,485,264.00	28.97	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,173,961	\$0	\$1,526,094	\$3,437,039.00	33.78	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$10,378,001	\$0	\$10,378,001	\$12,244,052.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 17 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Caguas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,031,647	\$219,024	\$2,785,723	\$3,098,598.00	15.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$18,326,983	\$219,024	\$2,530,023	\$3,090,558.00	16.86	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$18,326,983	\$41,145	\$18,285,838	\$19,571,487.00	100.00	\$0	
California	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$1,106,879,045	(\$50,636)	\$166,082,493	\$186,751,667.00	16.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$1,013,004,774	(\$50,636)	\$152,001,352	\$180,828,603.00	17.85	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$1,022,825,387	(\$50,636)	\$1,022,876,023	\$1,061,145,359.00	100.00	\$0	
Cambridge	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,425,553	\$0	\$3,213,833	\$11,327,247.00	52.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$19,380,521	\$0	\$2,907,078	\$11,299,960.00	58.31	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$19,492,025	\$0	\$19,492,025	\$20,968,471.00	100.00	\$0	
Camden	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$27,505,351	\$0	\$4,125,803	\$7,105,038.00	25.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$25,241,569	\$0	\$3,786,235	\$6,079,385.00	24.08	\$0	
NJ	D	8/31/2016	\$25,241,569	\$34,290	\$25,207,279	\$25,478,148.00	100.00	\$0	
Camden County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,631,003	\$183,826	\$3,660,825	\$7,492,403.00	29.23	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$23,102,855	\$183,826	\$3,281,603	\$7,492,403.00	32.43	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$23,417,627	\$183,826	\$23,233,801	\$24,429,022.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 18 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Canton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,006,523	(\$250,000)	\$2,800,978	\$5,062,182.00	29.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,494,050	(\$250,000)	\$2,574,108	\$5,062,182.00	32.67	\$0	
OH	D	6/30/2016	\$15,494,050	\$231,731	\$15,262,319	\$16,460,416.00	100.00	\$0	
Carolina	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$28,403,845	\$1,625,896	\$2,634,681	\$2,874,334.00	10.12 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$26,436,679	\$1,625,896	\$2,339,606	\$2,624,334.00	9.93 #	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$26,474,333	\$1,727,787	\$24,746,546	\$25,015,260.00	100.00	\$0	
Cedar Rapids	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,936,185	\$0	\$1,640,428	\$4,535,697.00	41.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,933,643	\$0	\$1,490,046	\$4,435,697.00	44.65	\$0	
IA	D	7/31/2016	\$9,933,643	\$0	\$9,933,643	\$10,998,693.00	100.00	\$0	
Charleston	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$16,686,381	\$0	\$2,502,957	\$2,624,794.00	15.73	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,260,870	\$0	\$2,289,130	\$2,549,216.00	16.70	\$0	
sc	D	7/31/2016	\$15,311,087	\$65,855	\$15,245,232	\$16,040,343.00	100.00	\$0	
Charleston Consor	rtium CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$18,759,768	\$0	\$2,813,965	\$4,510,561.00	24.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,334,546	\$0	\$2,600,182	\$4,294,850.00	24.78	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$17,522,877	\$0	\$17,522,877	\$18,682,260.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 19 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Charleston County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$16,206,403	\$0	\$2,430,960	\$2,539,573.00	15.67	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$14,504,379	\$0	\$2,175,657	\$2,376,940.00	16.39	\$0	
SC	D	8/31/2016	\$14,704,871	\$0	\$14,704,871	\$16,029,071.00	100.00	\$0	
Charlotte Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$52,259,329	\$0	\$7,838,899	\$8,302,610.00	15.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$45,920,196	\$0	\$6,888,029	\$7,556,750.00	16.46	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$46,606,001	\$0	\$46,606,001	\$49,598,254.00	100.00	\$0	
Charlottesville	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$17,570,626	\$0	\$2,635,594	\$5,791,498.00	32.96	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,906,825	\$0	\$2,386,024	\$5,779,865.00	36.34	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$16,110,919	\$0	\$16,110,919	\$17,768,844.00	100.00	\$0	
Chattanooga	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,541,660	\$0	\$3,831,249	\$6,759,111.00	26.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$23,308,161	\$0	\$3,496,224	\$6,658,645.00	28.57	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$23,542,603	\$7,473	\$23,535,130	\$24,538,134.00	100.00	\$0	
Chesapeake	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$13,104,695	\$46,110	\$1,919,594	\$2,112,635.46	16.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$11,935,792	\$46,110	\$1,744,259	\$2,112,635.00	17.70	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$12,060,933	\$329,130	\$11,731,803	\$12,541,655.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 20 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Chester	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$7,801,991	\$0	\$1,170,299	\$1,440,116.65	18.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,962,849	\$0	\$1,044,427	\$1,426,700.00	20.49	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$6,962,849	\$0	\$6,962,849	\$7,438,312.00	100.00	\$0	
Chester County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$22,582,381	\$0	\$3,387,357	\$3,785,126.00	16.76	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$20,348,986	\$0	\$3,052,348	\$3,735,126.00	18.36	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$20,576,933	\$0	\$20,576,933	\$22,876,231.00	100.00	\$0	
Chesterfield County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,993,136	\$0	\$1,348,970	\$1,923,116.00	21.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,793,355	\$0	\$1,169,003	\$1,923,116.00	24.68	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,915,947	\$0	\$7,915,947	\$8,868,929.00	100.00	\$0	
Chicago	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$681,151,011	\$0	\$102,172,652	\$137,015,525.00	20.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$631,303,894	\$0	\$94,695,584	\$135,591,680.00	21.48	\$0	
L	D	6/30/2016	\$636,280,470	\$10	\$636,280,460	\$674,402,514.00	100.00	\$0	
Chico	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,940,379	\$164,237	\$1,626,820	\$2,672,744.00	22.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$10,911,024	\$164,237	\$1,472,416	\$2,672,744.00	24.50	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$10,911,024	\$164,237	\$10,746,787	\$11,666,181.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 21 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Chula Vista	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,009,439	\$85,776	\$2,765,640	\$4,848,312.00	25.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$17,188,319	\$85,776	\$2,492,472	\$4,848,312.07	28.21	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$17,378,472	\$85,776	\$17,292,696	\$18,919,974.31	100.00	\$0	
Cincinnati	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$92,610,524	\$441,899	\$13,449,679	\$14,750,270.00	15.93	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$86,213,427	\$441,899	\$12,490,115	\$14,529,272.00	16.85	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$87,049,997	\$1,606,610	\$85,443,387	\$88,652,933.00	100.00	\$0	
Clackamas County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,050,716	\$0	\$3,157,607	\$7,006,024.00	33.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$18,796,503	\$0	\$2,819,475	\$6,588,473.00	35.05	\$0	
OR	D	7/31/2016	\$19,059,834	\$0	\$19,059,834	\$20,646,085.00	100.00	\$0	
Clark County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$22,515,628	\$0	\$3,377,344	\$5,077,312.00	22.55	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,306,830	\$0	\$3,196,025	\$4,790,573.00	22.48	\$0	
WA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,622,679	\$18,792	\$21,603,887	\$21,926,085.00	100.00	\$0	
Clark County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$81,421,282	\$0	\$12,213,192	\$18,421,189.00	22.62	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$73,493,277	\$0	\$11,023,992	\$18,308,960.84	24.91	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$74,685,354	\$0	\$74,685,354	\$79,365,311.36	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 22 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Clarksville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,982,671	\$75,000	\$1,122,401	\$1,232,734.00	15.44	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,981,685	\$75,000	\$972,253	\$1,232,734.00	17.66	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$6,981,685	\$75,000	\$6,906,685	\$7,688,406.00	100.00	\$0	
Clayton County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,007,892	\$0	\$1,951,184	\$1,957,114.00	15.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,955,923	\$0	\$1,643,388	\$1,785,509.00	16.30	\$0	
GA	D	7/31/2016	\$11,195,866	\$0	\$11,195,866	\$13,464,028.00	100.00	\$0	
Clearwater	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,687,845	\$188	\$1,302,989	\$1,342,755.38	15.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$7,795,787	\$188	\$1,169,180	\$1,257,995.48	16.14	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$7,795,787	\$55,597	\$7,740,190	\$8,065,303.70	100.00	\$0	
Cleveland	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$152,420,982	\$0	\$22,863,147	\$39,057,842.00	25.62	\$0	
	CHDO-D	12/31/2016	\$141,165,029	\$0	\$21,174,754	\$38,500,641.18	27.27	\$0	
ОН	D	12/31/2016	\$142,114,019	\$0	\$142,114,019	\$148,138,943.59	100.00	\$0	
Cobb County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$49,644,214	\$2	\$7,446,630	\$9,690,038.00	19.52	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$45,139,421	\$2	\$6,770,911	\$9,448,805.00	20.93	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$45,634,195	\$2	\$45,634,193	\$48,061,773.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 23 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
College Station	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$12,060,337	\$0	\$1,809,051	\$3,411,434.68	28.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$10,870,223	\$0	\$1,630,533	\$3,341,925.12	30.74	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$10,870,223	\$478,094	\$10,392,129	\$11,279,321.19	100.00	\$0	
Collier County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$8,010,699	\$153,205	\$1,048,400	\$2,708,281.39	33.81	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$6,578,781	\$153,205	\$833,612	\$2,123,590.70	32.28	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$6,734,791	\$361,696	\$6,373,095	\$7,267,470.91	100.00	\$0	
Colorado	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$156,414,439	\$0	\$23,462,166	\$25,293,667.00	16.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$142,835,099	\$0	\$21,425,265	\$25,251,667.00	17.68	\$0	
СО	D	6/30/2016	\$144,620,605	\$40	\$144,620,565	\$158,432,887.00	100.00	\$0	
Colorado Springs	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$31,848,166	\$0	\$4,777,225	\$5,667,892.00	17.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$28,817,380	\$0	\$4,322,607	\$5,667,892.00	19.67	\$0	
CO	D	6/30/2016	\$29,233,914	\$1,693,681	\$27,540,233	\$29,899,885.00	100.00	\$0	
Columbia	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$11,638,029	\$0	\$1,745,704	\$1,924,526.00	16.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$10,347,226	\$0	\$1,552,084	\$1,791,990.00	17.32	\$0	
MO	D	8/31/2016	\$10,398,069	\$49,934	\$10,348,135	\$11,388,893.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 24 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
Columbia	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$17,058,487	\$3,718	\$2,555,055	\$2,558,773.00	15.00 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,594,151	\$3,718	\$2,335,405	\$2,558,773.00	16.41	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$15,714,986	\$3,718	\$15,711,268	\$16,140,273.00	100.00	\$0	
Columbus	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$106,728,009	(\$222,694)	\$16,231,896	\$17,480,406.00	16.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$96,872,483	(\$222,694)	\$14,753,567	\$16,812,412.00	17.36	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$98,093,134	(\$222,694)	\$98,315,828	\$106,238,878.00	100.00	\$0	
Columbus-Muscogee	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$26,366,104	\$9,321	\$3,945,595	\$4,772,557.35	18.10	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$24,269,803	\$9,321	\$3,631,150	\$4,651,138.88	19.16	\$0	
GA	D	9/30/2016	\$24,484,313	\$109,321	\$24,374,992	\$25,263,858.47	100.00	\$0	
Compton	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$18,122,632	\$171,208	\$2,547,187	\$2,968,159.76	16.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$16,730,775	\$171,208	\$2,338,408	\$2,968,159.76	17.74	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$16,730,775	\$3,390,996	\$13,339,779	\$15,455,143.67	100.00	<b>\$0</b> -	
Concord Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,335,439	\$3	\$2,750,313	\$2,879,784.00	15.71	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,531,424	\$3	\$2,329,710	\$2,727,177.00	17.56	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$15,805,862	\$3	\$15,805,859	\$17,477,758.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 25 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments ++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
Connecticut	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$232,517,759	\$0	\$34,877,664	\$46,226,970.00	19.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$212,087,941	\$0	\$31,813,191	\$46,226,970.00	21.80	\$0	
СТ	D	8/31/2016	\$214,693,393	\$1	\$214,693,392	\$225,723,215.00	100.00	\$0	
Contra Costa County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$59,522,302	\$0	\$8,928,345	\$23,279,292.00	39.11	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$53,950,881	\$0	\$8,092,632	\$21,779,291.82	40.37	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$54,518,287	\$0	\$54,518,287	\$57,880,609.40	100.00	\$0	
Cook County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$125,078,498	\$212,300	\$18,549,475	\$32,309,707.74	25.83	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$112,929,244	\$212,300	\$16,727,087	\$31,794,983.84	28.15	\$0	
IL	D	10/31/2016	\$114,176,896	\$212,300	\$113,964,596	\$125,678,332.60	100.00	\$0	
Corona	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,256,292	\$41,241	\$597,203	\$963,923.00	22.65	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$3,384,268	\$41,241	\$466,399	\$963,923.00	28.48	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$3,386,882	\$41,241	\$3,345,641	\$3,959,393.00	100.00	\$0	
Corpus Christi	CHDO-C	11/30/2016	\$36,760,930	\$0	\$5,514,140	\$8,359,861.22	22.74	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$33,919,314	\$0	\$5,087,897	\$8,190,055.00	24.15	\$0	
TX	D	8/31/2016	\$34,198,046	\$1,008,670	\$33,189,376	\$35,441,305.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 26 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Corvallis	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,087,907	\$0	\$913,186	\$4,952,689.00	81.35	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$5,239,174	\$0	\$785,876	\$4,764,289.00	90.94	\$0	
OR	D	7/31/2016	\$5,239,174	\$0	\$5,239,174	\$6,013,308.00	100.00	\$0	
Costa Mesa	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,997,221	\$81,938	\$2,017,646	\$3,260,696.00	23.30	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$12,944,645	\$81,938	\$1,859,759	\$3,260,696.00	25.19	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$12,944,645	\$1,554,099	\$11,390,546	\$12,237,346.00	100.00	\$0	
Covington Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,808,648	\$0	\$1,921,297	\$3,118,047.00	24.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,532,814	\$0	\$1,729,922	\$2,978,532.00	25.83	\$0	
KY	D	7/31/2016	\$11,532,814	\$128,476	\$11,404,338	\$12,376,975.00	100.00	\$0	
Cumberland County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,529,229	\$0	\$1,879,384	\$1,918,140.00	15.31	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,631,077	\$0	\$1,744,662	\$1,842,438.00	15.84	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$11,766,970	\$1	\$11,766,969	\$12,202,791.00	100.00	\$0	
Cumberland County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,931,677	\$0	\$739,752	\$1,802,020.00	36.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$3,819,107	\$0	\$572,866	\$1,654,362.00	43.32	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$3,870,634	\$0	\$3,870,634	\$4,679,460.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 27 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Cuyahoga County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$56,737,014	\$0	\$8,510,552	\$9,517,025.00	16.77	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$50,613,587	\$0	\$7,592,038	\$8,816,956.00	17.42	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$51,286,298	\$0	\$51,286,298	\$53,650,447.00	100.00	\$0	
Dakota County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$48,111,292	\$0	\$7,216,694	\$9,267,661.00	19.26	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$43,194,386	\$0	\$6,479,158	\$9,267,661.00	21.46	\$0	
MN	D	7/31/2016	\$43,819,485	\$0	\$43,819,485	\$47,172,889.00	100.00	\$0	
Dallas	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$158,198,454	\$52,472	\$23,677,296	\$32,238,369.14	20.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$144,891,740	\$52,472	\$21,681,289	\$28,094,749.38	19.39	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$146,846,686	\$223,256	\$146,623,430	\$149,864,118.28	100.00	\$0	
Dallas County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$12,616,510	\$0	\$1,892,477	\$2,022,540.10	16.03	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,906,496	\$0	\$1,635,974	\$1,861,217.50	17.07	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$11,051,156	\$0	\$11,051,156	\$12,295,850.33	100.00	\$0	
Daly City	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$5,553,727	\$434,051	\$399,008	\$1,321,882.00	23.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$4,828,696	\$434,051	\$290,253	\$1,308,585.00	27.10	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$4,828,696	\$434,051	\$4,394,645	\$5,319,460.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 28 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Dane County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$6,913,559	(\$207,015)	\$1,244,049	\$1,511,041.00	21.86	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$5,760,942	(\$207,015)	\$1,071,156	\$1,471,365.00	25.54	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$5,951,745	(\$207,014)	\$6,158,759	\$6,559,496.00	100.00	\$0	
Danville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,977,997	\$0	\$1,346,700	\$1,774,076.00	19.76	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,246,508	\$0	\$1,236,976	\$1,732,773.00	21.01	\$0	
VA	D	6/30/2016	\$8,246,508	\$0	\$8,246,508	\$8,676,116.00	100.00	\$0	
Dauphin County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$7,282,764	\$89,522	\$1,002,893	\$1,087,752.00	14.94	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$6,125,512	\$89,522	\$829,305	\$1,087,752.00	17.76	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$6,286,919	\$89,522	\$6,197,397	\$7,164,637.00	100.00	\$0	
Davenport	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,869,962	\$40,768	\$2,189,726	\$3,075,758.00	20.68	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,632,709	\$40,768	\$2,004,138	\$3,062,311.00	22.46	\$0	
IA	D	7/31/2016	\$13,632,709	\$40,768	\$13,591,941	\$14,393,840.00	100.00	\$0	
Davis	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,080,415	\$0	\$1,512,062	\$6,837,403.00	67.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,063,974	\$0	\$1,359,596	\$6,837,403.00	75.43	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$9,063,974	\$841,906	\$8,222,068	\$9,252,898.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 29 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Dayton Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$43,705,856	\$630	\$6,555,249	\$9,802,034.00	22.43	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$40,091,456	\$630	\$6,013,089	\$8,731,397.00	21.78	\$0	
ЭH	D	6/30/2016	\$40,410,136	\$490,576	\$39,919,560	\$40,578,218.00	100.00	\$0	
Daytona Beach	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$12,206,188	\$117,979	\$1,712,949	\$1,714,485.46	14.05 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 6/27/2017
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,349,810	\$117,979	\$1,584,492	\$1,714,485.46	15.11	\$0	0/21/2011
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$11,349,810	\$233,288	\$11,116,522	\$11,918,351.40	100.00	\$0	
De Kalb County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$51,699,172	\$0	\$7,754,876	\$10,033,348.00	19.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$46,504,418	\$0	\$6,975,663	\$9,310,741.00	20.02	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$47,203,507	\$0	\$47,203,507	\$49,656,163.00	100.00	\$0	
Decatur	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$13,439,300	\$86,780	\$1,929,115	\$3,483,894.00	25.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$12,449,991	\$86,780	\$1,780,719	\$3,483,894.00	27.98	\$0	
L	D	8/31/2016	\$12,449,991	\$456,917	\$11,993,074	\$12,645,022.00	100.00	\$0	
Delaware	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$69,048,661	\$0	\$10,357,299	\$20,657,760.00	29.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$60,030,774	\$0	\$9,004,616	\$20,217,386.00	33.68	\$0	
DE	D	8/31/2016	\$60,354,969	\$0	\$60,354,969	\$68,177,056.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 30 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments <sup>++</sup>	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Delaware County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,377,101	\$0	\$4,556,565	\$5,140,584.00	16.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$27,976,374	\$0	\$4,196,456	\$4,832,296.00	17.27	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$28,237,919	\$0	\$28,237,919	\$29,869,713.00	100.00	\$0	
Denton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,662,776	\$0	\$1,599,416	\$1,910,738.00	17.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$9,597,744	\$0	\$1,439,662	\$1,896,738.00	19.76	\$0	
TX	D	8/31/2016	\$9,649,182	\$0	\$9,649,182	\$10,357,317.00	100.00	\$0	
Denver	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$83,711,057	\$0	\$12,556,659	\$14,907,690.00	17.81	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$77,509,039	\$0	\$11,626,356	\$13,606,293.00	17.55	\$0	
CO	D	6/30/2016	\$78,461,253	\$0	\$78,461,253	\$82,066,790.00	100.00	\$0	
Des Moines	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$24,770,605	\$0	\$3,715,591	\$5,150,152.85	20.79	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$22,489,715	\$0	\$3,373,457	\$5,150,153.00	22.90	\$0	
Α	D	6/30/2016	\$22,739,432	\$0	\$22,739,432	\$25,091,712.00	100.00	\$0	
Detroit	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$276,003,771	\$0	\$41,400,566	\$91,859,239.00	33.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$261,121,370	\$0	\$39,168,206	\$90,686,606.91	34.73	\$0	
MI	D	10/31/2016	\$262,603,638	\$784	\$262,602,854	\$269,853,196.34	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 31 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Downey	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,020,525	\$320,150	\$1,482,929	\$3,078,315.00	25.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,969,109	\$320,150	\$1,325,216	\$3,078,315.07	28.06	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$10,969,109	\$482,192	\$10,486,917	\$10,992,483.49	100.00	\$0	
Duluth	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,930,837	(\$250,000)	\$2,489,626	\$6,186,145.00	41.43	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$13,360,184	(\$250,000)	\$2,254,028	\$6,184,145.00	46.29	\$0	
MN	D	6/30/2016	\$13,360,184	(\$249,999)	\$13,610,183	\$15,210,077.00	100.00	\$0	
DuPage County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$44,878,648	\$0	\$6,731,797	\$9,953,292.00	22.18	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$41,100,050	\$0	\$6,165,008	\$9,953,292.00	24.22	\$0	
IL	D	7/31/2016	\$41,639,035	\$1	\$41,639,034	\$43,660,645.00	100.00	\$0	
Durham Consortium	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$24,033,141	\$31,104	\$3,573,867	\$5,904,910.21	24.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$21,664,735	\$31,104	\$3,218,606	\$5,268,410.00	24.32	\$0	
NC	D	7/31/2016	\$21,998,652	\$180,326	\$21,818,326	\$22,556,755.00	100.00	\$0	
Dutchess County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$20,470,991	\$0	\$3,070,649	\$5,116,064.20	24.99	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$18,460,873	\$0	\$2,769,131	\$4,574,690.00	24.78	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$18,695,936	\$545,895	\$18,150,041	\$19,031,780.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 32 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
East Chicago	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,922,629	\$210,918	\$1,127,476	\$1,838,430.00	20.60	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,237,677	\$210,918	\$1,024,734	\$1,236,799.00	15.01	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$8,237,677	\$231,962	\$8,005,715	\$8,131,712.00	100.00	\$0	
East Cleveland	CHDO-C		\$10,555,173	\$273,800	\$1,309,476	\$1,249,373.83	11.84 #	\$60,103	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,246,607	\$273,800	\$1,263,192	\$1,249,374.00	12.19 #	\$13,818	Deobligation complete - 5/18/2017
OH	D	6/30/2016	\$10,246,607	\$1,132,633	\$9,113,974	\$9,357,244.00	100.00	\$0	3/10/2017
East Orange	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,076,952	\$720,438	\$1,991,105	\$2,234,362.00	12.36 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,470,472	\$720,438	\$1,900,133	\$1,906,416.00	10.91 #	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$17,525,674	\$1,411,212	\$16,114,462	\$16,122,451.00	100.00	\$0	
East St. Louis	CHDO-C		\$11,196,663	\$9,796	\$1,669,703	\$1,682,768.36	15.03	\$0	
	CHDO-D	11/30/2016	\$10,915,419	\$9,796	\$1,627,517	\$1,682,768.36	15.42	\$0	
IL	D	11/30/2016	\$10,915,419	\$2,009,897	\$8,905,522	\$9,464,460.33	100.00	\$0	
Eau Claire	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$9,046,000	\$0	\$1,356,900	\$1,487,758.00	16.45	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$8,141,666	\$0	\$1,221,250	\$1,487,758.00	18.27	\$0	
WI	D	8/31/2016	\$8,141,666	\$0	\$8,141,666	\$8,991,062.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 33 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
El Cajon	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,914,073	\$64,050	\$2,173,061	\$2,278,101.00	15.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,683,813	\$64,050	\$1,988,522	\$2,278,101.00	16.65	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$13,737,635	\$78,154	\$13,659,481	\$14,022,389.00	100.00	\$0	
El Monte	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$24,846,591	\$172,050	\$3,554,939	\$5,292,699.00	21.30	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$23,623,948	\$172,050	\$3,371,542	\$5,204,541.00	22.03	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$23,623,948	\$2,594,628	\$21,029,320	\$21,918,476.00	100.00	\$0	
El Paso	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$84,787,880	\$0	\$12,718,182	\$20,952,842.00	24.71	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$77,913,790	\$0	\$11,687,069	\$16,821,635.12	21.59	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$78,459,593	\$717,928	\$77,741,665	\$78,906,914.04	100.00	\$0	
Elizabeth	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$28,660,192	\$178,121	\$4,120,908	\$4,120,908.00	14.38 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -1/6/2017
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$26,557,407	\$178,121	\$3,805,490	\$4,120,908.05	15.52	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$26,705,257	\$384,240	\$26,321,017	\$27,440,609.81	100.00	\$0	
Elmira	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,811,782	\$0	\$1,171,767	\$1,289,700.00	16.51	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,126,829	\$0	\$1,069,024	\$1,265,908.00	17.76	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$7,126,829	\$0	\$7,126,829	\$7,943,542.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 34 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Erie	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,302,938	\$0	\$3,495,441	\$7,137,565.00	30.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$21,399,591	\$0	\$3,209,939	\$6,458,765.00	30.18	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$21,451,937	\$0	\$21,451,937	\$22,253,550.00	100.00	\$0	
Erie County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$24,406,895	\$0	\$3,661,034	\$3,717,223.00	15.23	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$22,365,755	\$0	\$3,354,863	\$3,651,061.00	16.32	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$22,595,642	\$0	\$22,595,642	\$23,835,255.00	100.00	\$0	
Escambia County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$35,407,348	\$650,174	\$4,660,929	\$4,668,748.15	13.19 #	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$32,450,240	\$650,174	\$4,217,362	\$4,514,627.15	13.91 #	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$32,781,029	\$650,174	\$32,130,855	\$33,938,888.24	100.00	\$0	
Escondido	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$15,000,476	\$0	\$2,250,071	\$4,624,874.00	30.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,683,854	\$0	\$2,052,578	\$4,560,855.00	33.33	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$13,735,751	\$214,022	\$13,521,729	\$14,838,559.00	100.00	\$0	
Essex County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,197,932	\$124,997	\$4,404,693	\$5,610,468.00	18.58	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$27,686,073	\$124,997	\$4,027,914	\$5,610,467.70	20.26	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$28,009,350	\$1,759,967	\$26,249,383	\$27,627,394.73	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 35 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
Eugene Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,723,198	\$0	\$4,608,480	\$11,555,913.00	37.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$27,786,660	\$0	\$4,167,999	\$11,543,225.00	41.54	\$0	
OR	D	7/31/2016	\$28,083,136	\$0	\$28,083,136	\$29,431,526.00	100.00	\$0	
Evanston	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$9,311,797	\$0	\$1,396,770	\$2,996,308.00	32.18	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$8,562,751	\$0	\$1,284,413	\$2,996,308.00	34.99	\$0	
IL	D	8/31/2016	\$8,562,751	\$0	\$8,562,751	\$9,176,878.00	100.00	\$0	
Evansville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,462,860	\$0	\$2,769,429	\$10,723,051.00	58.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,747,634	\$0	\$2,512,145	\$9,866,478.00	58.91	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$16,805,275	\$0	\$16,805,275	\$17,897,590.00	100.00	\$0	
Fairfax County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$46,509,133	\$0	\$6,976,370	\$9,183,980.00	19.75	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$42,137,772	\$0	\$6,320,666	\$9,183,980.00	21.80	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$42,625,594	\$1,000	\$42,624,594	\$46,366,645.00	100.00	\$0	
Fall River	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$24,546,078	\$0	\$3,681,912	\$10,302,101.00	41.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$22,287,303	\$0	\$3,343,095	\$10,323,702.00	46.32	\$0	
MA	D	7/31/2016	\$22,428,422	\$250,000	\$22,178,422	\$24,427,575.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 36 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Fargo	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$6,317,639	\$0	\$947,646	\$1,411,500.00	22.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$5,081,406	\$0	\$762,211	\$1,411,500.00	27.78	\$0	
ND	D	7/31/2016	\$5,137,231	\$0	\$5,137,231	\$6,239,112.00	100.00	\$0	
Fayetteville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,556,649	\$0	\$2,333,497	\$3,511,445.00	22.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$13,715,501	\$0	\$2,057,325	\$3,100,820.00	22.61	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$13,721,799	\$0	\$13,721,799	\$14,634,184.00	100.00	\$0	
Fitchburg Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,444,978	\$14,841	\$1,851,906	\$4,272,988.00	34.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,326,285	\$14,841	\$1,684,102	\$4,190,190.00	37.00	\$0	
MA	D	7/31/2016	\$11,326,285	\$14,841	\$11,311,444	\$12,266,132.00	100.00	\$0	
Flint	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,319,199	\$0	\$4,847,880	\$7,774,718.00	24.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2018	\$30,064,101	\$0	\$4,509,615	\$7,359,191.42	24.48	\$0	
MI	D	9/30/2018	\$30,180,137	\$3,941,306	\$26,238,831	\$26,934,232.64	100.00	\$0	
Florida	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$433,830,818	\$3,366,680	\$61,707,943	\$112,615,364.00	25.96	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$393,443,771	\$3,366,680	\$55,649,886	\$112,615,364.00	28.62	\$0	
FL	D	7/31/2016	\$397,055,399	(\$589,290)	\$397,644,689	\$393,435,116.00	98.94	\$4,209,573	Requirement waived -1/10/201

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 37 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Fontana	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,565,582	\$60,816	\$1,524,021	\$1,637,662.00	15.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$9,355,955	\$60,816	\$1,342,577	\$1,637,662.00	17.50	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$9,392,653	\$60,817	\$9,331,836	\$10,618,902.90	100.00	\$0	
Fort Bend County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$10,260,436	\$1,604,497	(\$65,432)	\$0.00	0.00 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 1/17/2017
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$9,008,157	\$1,604,497	(\$253,274)	\$0.00	0.00 #	\$0	1/11/2011
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$9,101,530	\$2,544,270	\$6,557,260	\$7,471,847.66	100.00	\$0	
Fort Collins	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$12,803,111	\$0	\$1,920,467	\$3,063,115.82	23.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$11,198,949	\$0	\$1,679,842	\$3,063,115.82	27.35	\$0	
CO	D	9/30/2016	\$11,252,811	\$0	\$11,252,811	\$11,676,585.99	100.00	\$0	
Fort Lauderdale	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$21,409,157	\$0	\$3,211,374	\$5,521,242.56	25.79	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$19,864,117	\$0	\$2,979,618	\$5,130,515.64	25.83	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$20,091,254	\$0	\$20,091,254	\$21,063,746.56	100.00	\$0	
Fort Smith	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,266,637	\$0	\$1,389,996	\$3,015,656.00	32.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$8,302,135	\$0	\$1,245,320	\$3,015,656.00	36.32	\$0	
AR	D	8/31/2016	\$8,302,135	\$0	\$8,302,135	\$9,482,313.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 38 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Fort Wayne	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$22,160,803	\$55,273	\$3,268,847	\$3,409,759.00	15.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$19,809,597	\$55,273	\$2,916,166	\$3,318,980.00	16.75	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$20,070,372	\$948,248	\$19,122,124	\$20,029,850.00	100.00	\$0	
Fort Worth	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$61,982,473	\$0	\$9,297,371	\$11,088,090.06	17.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$55,869,380	\$0	\$8,380,407	\$8,870,574.60	15.88	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$56,563,583	\$54,847	\$56,508,736	\$56,847,994.46	100.00	\$0	
Franklin County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,713,651	(\$206,928)	\$3,013,976	\$3,191,570.00	17.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,807,622	(\$206,928)	\$2,728,071	\$2,814,035.00	16.74	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$17,058,576	(\$206,927)	\$17,265,503	\$19,322,977.00	100.00	\$0	
Fresno	CHDO-C	6/30/2017	\$73,831,039	\$0	\$11,074,656	\$11,607,974.57	15.72	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$68,051,205	\$0	\$10,207,681	\$10,944,145.03	16.08	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$68,619,074	\$17,869	\$68,601,205	\$73,808,906.92	100.00	\$0	
Fresno County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$37,904,841	\$0	\$5,685,726	\$10,458,638.00	27.59	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$35,244,070	\$0	\$5,286,611	\$10,128,638.00	28.74	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$35,514,402	\$0	\$35,514,402	\$37,262,674.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Fullerton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,377,846	\$55,127	\$2,101,550	\$3,275,539.00	22.78	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$13,183,664	\$55,127	\$1,922,423	\$3,275,539.00	24.85	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$13,183,664	\$675,631	\$12,508,033	\$12,889,588.00	100.00	\$0	
Fulton County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$18,515,657	\$96,821	\$2,680,527	\$2,974,560.00	16.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,727,181	\$96,821	\$2,412,256	\$2,613,719.00	15.63	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$17,133,612	\$2,866,589	\$14,267,023	\$14,609,048.00	100.00	\$0	
Gainesville	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$16,733,096	\$34,455	\$2,475,509	\$2,570,293.98	15.36	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$15,028,023	\$34,455	\$2,219,748	\$2,460,314.86	16.37	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$15,085,289	\$34,455	\$15,050,834	\$15,747,236.78	100.00	\$0	
Galveston	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$12,347,658	\$0	\$1,852,149	\$2,095,083.65	16.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,539,499	\$0	\$1,730,925	\$2,070,644.00	17.94	\$0	
TX	D	6/30/2016	\$11,539,499	\$0	\$11,539,499	\$11,604,285.00	100.00	\$0	
Garden Grove	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,211,414	\$0	\$2,881,712	\$6,864,812.00	35.73	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$17,757,092	\$0	\$2,663,564	\$6,864,812.00	38.66	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$17,920,831	\$1,240,794	\$16,680,037	\$17,231,484.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 40 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Garland	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$13,312,461	\$0	\$1,996,869	\$2,106,115.54	15.82	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$11,888,692	\$0	\$1,783,304	\$1,996,598.83	16.79	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$12,071,312	\$0	\$12,071,312	\$12,610,524.57	100.00	\$0	
Gary	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,209,278	\$0	\$3,781,392	\$8,806,560.00	34.93	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$23,319,189	\$0	\$3,497,878	\$8,806,560.00	37.77	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$23,371,711	\$0	\$23,371,711	\$23,400,899.00	100.00	\$0	
Gastonia Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,293,592	\$81,882	\$2,062,156	\$2,322,963.00	16.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,553,392	\$81,882	\$1,801,126	\$2,291,463.00	18.25	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$12,731,482	\$681,542	\$12,049,940	\$13,274,684.00	100.00	\$0	
Genesee County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$20,689,112	\$0	\$3,103,367	\$3,261,991.00	15.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$18,854,673	\$0	\$2,828,201	\$3,077,899.00	16.32	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$19,028,923	\$172,446	\$18,856,477	\$19,842,418.00	100.00	\$0	
Georgetown County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,891,829	\$0	\$883,774	\$2,985,441.00	50.67	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$3,347,058	\$0	\$502,058	\$2,562,467.00	76.56	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$3,347,058	\$0	\$3,347,058	\$4,831,125.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 41 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Georgia	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$442,744,532	\$0	\$66,411,680	\$73,749,320.00	16.66	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$398,680,863	\$0	\$59,802,129	\$71,436,353.00	17.92	\$0	
GA	D	7/31/2016	\$402,301,320	\$0	\$402,301,320	\$407,006,708.00	100.00	\$0	
Glendale	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$40,201,713	\$131,280	\$5,898,977	\$6,460,313.00	16.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$37,151,731	\$131,280	\$5,441,480	\$6,460,313.00	17.39	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$37,442,782	\$235,275	\$37,207,507	\$38,651,334.00	100.00	\$0	
Gloucester County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$15,890,220	\$2	\$2,383,531	\$3,157,717.03	19.87	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$14,490,465	\$2	\$2,173,568	\$3,037,717.03	20.96	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$14,640,112	\$3	\$14,640,109	\$16,030,945.76	100.00	\$0	
Goldsboro	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,676,376	\$0	\$851,456	\$2,450,451.00	43.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$5,184,269	\$0	\$777,640	\$2,396,808.00	46.23	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$5,184,269	\$0	\$5,184,269	\$5,651,012.00	100.00	\$0	
Grand Prairie	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$9,472,769	\$548,022	\$872,893	\$1,544,675.94	16.31	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$8,280,723	\$548,022	\$694,087	\$1,442,649.50	17.42	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$8,280,723	\$552,602	\$7,728,121	\$8,897,787.90	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 42 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Grand Rapids	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,968,084	\$0	\$4,945,213	\$15,811,265.00	47.96	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$29,903,368	\$0	\$4,485,505	\$14,912,054.00	49.87	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$30,158,275	\$0	\$30,158,275	\$32,531,823.00	100.00	\$0	
Great Falls	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$7,903,794	\$0	\$1,185,569	\$3,692,911.00	46.72	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,226,110	\$0	\$1,083,917	\$3,571,320.00	49.42	\$0	
MT	D	7/31/2016	\$7,226,110	\$74,932	\$7,151,178	\$7,751,747.00	100.00	\$0	
Greeley	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$9,046,743	\$36,863	\$1,320,148	\$1,967,520.00	21.75	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,084,073	\$36,863	\$1,175,748	\$1,967,520.00	24.34	\$0	
СО	D	7/31/2016	\$8,084,073	\$36,863	\$8,047,210	\$8,928,508.00	100.00	\$0	
Green Bay	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$14,041,569	\$75,000	\$2,031,235	\$4,846,710.00	34.52	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,794,977	\$75,000	\$1,844,247	\$4,748,044.00	37.11	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$12,846,242	\$87,000	\$12,759,242	\$13,539,649.00	100.00	\$0	
Greensboro	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$36,234,826	\$1	\$5,435,223	\$7,181,925.00	19.82	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$32,660,741	\$1	\$4,899,110	\$6,393,778.00	19.58	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$33,138,415	\$1	\$33,138,414	\$33,869,762.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 43 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Greenville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,931,664	\$36	\$1,789,714	\$2,531,702.00	21.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,800,114	\$36	\$1,619,981	\$2,431,702.00	22.52	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$10,858,124	\$87,068	\$10,771,056	\$11,744,918.00	100.00	\$0	
Greenville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,482,286	\$0	\$1,272,343	\$1,948,571.00	22.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,816,443	\$0	\$1,172,466	\$1,948,571.00	24.93	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$7,816,443	\$0	\$7,816,443	\$8,410,981.00	100.00	\$0	
Greenville County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$22,133,972	\$0	\$3,320,096	\$4,161,931.00	18.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$19,623,813	\$0	\$2,943,572	\$4,061,766.00	20.70	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$19,872,847	\$0	\$19,872,847	\$22,145,393.00	100.00	\$0	
Greenwood County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,789,641	\$0	\$718,446	\$2,609,496.00	54.48	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$2,955,166	\$0	\$443,275	\$2,192,124.00	74.18	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$2,955,166	\$0	\$2,955,166	\$4,209,538.00	100.00	\$0	
Guam	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$26,875,516	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$24,570,124	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
GU	D	10/31/2016	\$24,570,124	\$0	\$24,570,124	\$24,826,758.52	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 44 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Guaynabo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,752,071	\$643,920	\$1,268,891	\$1,395,982.00	10.95 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 1/11/2017
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,800,150	\$643,920	\$1,126,103	\$1,395,982.00	11.83 #	\$0	1/11/2017
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$11,800,150	\$815,251	\$10,984,899	\$11,077,381.00	100.00	\$0	
Gulfport Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$10,163,033	\$260,275	\$1,264,180	\$1,363,363.00	13.41 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,948,783	\$260,275	\$1,082,043	\$1,363,363.00	15.24	\$0	
MS	D	6/30/2016	\$9,104,232	\$25,336	\$9,078,897	\$9,881,068.00	100.00	\$0	
Gwinnett County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$20,397,958	\$0	\$3,059,694	\$3,290,561.00	16.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,252,658	\$0	\$2,437,899	\$3,108,866.00	19.13	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$16,605,814	\$0	\$16,605,814	\$20,229,066.00	100.00	\$0	
Hamilton	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$11,346,413	\$0	\$1,701,962	\$4,112,889.00	36.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,319,067	\$0	\$1,547,860	\$4,112,889.00	39.86	\$0	
OH	D	6/30/2016	\$10,319,067	\$0	\$10,319,067	\$11,351,452.00	100.00	\$0	
Hamilton County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$30,452,409	\$0	\$4,567,861	\$4,758,987.00	15.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$27,749,548	\$0	\$4,162,432	\$4,758,987.00	17.15	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$28,080,447	\$0	\$28,080,447	\$30,653,046.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 45 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Hammond	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,530,079	\$66,324	\$1,963,188	\$2,169,826.00	16.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,467,504	\$66,324	\$1,803,802	\$2,097,602.00	16.82	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$12,467,504	\$66,324	\$12,401,180	\$12,594,295.00	100.00	\$0	
Hampton	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$15,041,885	\$0	\$2,256,283	\$2,280,433.00	15.16	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$13,879,852	\$0	\$2,081,978	\$2,175,433.00	15.67	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$13,935,175	\$184,822	\$13,750,353	\$14,300,158.00	100.00	\$0	
Harford County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,546,176	\$0	\$1,281,926	\$4,407,389.00	51.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,601,709	\$0	\$1,140,256	\$4,081,889.27	53.70	\$0	
MD	D	9/30/2016	\$7,731,646	\$0	\$7,731,646	\$8,319,585.17	100.00	\$0	
Harlingen	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$7,554,988	\$0	\$1,133,248	\$5,206,063.72	68.91	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$6,844,582	\$0	\$1,026,687	\$4,847,132.96	70.82	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$6,844,582	\$0	\$6,844,582	\$7,206,395.80	100.00	\$0	
Harris County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$68,593,857	\$2,401,164	\$7,887,914	\$10,898,353.00	15.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$60,538,343	\$2,401,164	\$6,679,587	\$8,868,037.00	14.65 #	\$0	
TX	D	6/30/2016	\$61,271,479	\$894,600	\$60,376,879	\$64,466,704.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 46 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Harrisburg	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$14,295,441	\$67,401	\$2,076,915	\$2,210,155.50	15.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$12,899,988	\$67,401	\$1,867,597	\$2,154,086.36	16.70	\$0	
PA	D	10/31/2016	\$12,899,988	\$67,401	\$12,832,587	\$13,769,204.70	100.00	\$0	
Hartford	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$44,990,278	\$156,971	\$6,591,571	\$7,961,176.00	17.70	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$41,275,280	\$156,971	\$6,034,321	\$7,911,175.76	19.17	\$0	
СТ	D	9/30/2016	\$41,541,865	\$156,971	\$41,384,894	\$43,022,320.89	100.00	\$0	
Hattiesburg	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$7,601,375	\$104,948	\$1,035,258	\$1,058,357.00	13.92 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,849,838	\$104,948	\$922,528	\$1,004,607.00	14.67 #	\$0	
MS	D	6/30/2016	\$6,849,838	\$140,692	\$6,709,146	\$7,301,806.00	100.00	\$0	
Hawaii	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$69,310,100	\$0	\$10,396,515	\$20,037,276.00	28.91	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$60,292,213	\$0	\$9,043,832	\$18,874,712.00	31.31	\$0	
HI	D	7/31/2016	\$60,643,944	\$0	\$60,643,944	\$64,869,521.00	100.00	\$0	
Hawthorne	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,517,465	\$488,085	\$1,689,535	\$2,040,111.00	14.05 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$13,201,270	\$488,085	\$1,492,106	\$1,972,551.00	14.94 #	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$13,251,409	\$2,642,671	\$10,608,738	\$11,517,212.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 47 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Henderson	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,934,367	\$0	\$890,155	\$1,033,094.00	17.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$4,581,191	\$0	\$687,179	\$1,033,094.03	22.55	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$4,682,017	\$29,249	\$4,652,768	\$5,185,301.60	100.00	\$0	
Hennepin County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$40,350,879	\$0	\$6,052,632	\$9,560,482.00	23.69	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$36,496,208	\$0	\$5,474,431	\$9,266,851.00	25.39	\$0	
MN	D	8/31/2016	\$37,031,896	\$0	\$37,031,896	\$39,534,795.00	100.00	\$0	
Henrico County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$13,703,832	\$900	\$2,054,675	\$2,690,287.42	19.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,807,562	\$900	\$1,770,234	\$2,573,214.09	21.79	\$0	
VA	D	10/31/2016	\$12,057,890	\$900	\$12,056,990	\$14,011,168.25	100.00	\$0	
Hialeah	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$39,110,771	\$85,802	\$5,780,814	\$5,780,813.59	14.78 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -2/6/2017
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$36,022,713	\$85,802	\$5,317,605	\$5,775,153.22	16.03	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$36,306,793	\$871,833	\$35,434,960	\$35,541,105.02	100.00	\$0	
Hidalgo County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$51,267,894	\$0	\$7,690,184	\$17,054,988.00	33.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$46,143,263	\$0	\$6,921,489	\$16,223,097.00	35.16	\$0	
TX	D	6/30/2016	\$46,320,795	\$367,858	\$45,952,937	\$49,769,134.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 48 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
High Point	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,035,996	\$0	\$755,399	\$1,848,062.00	36.70	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$4,019,385	\$0	\$602,908	\$1,324,631.00	32.96	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$4,019,385	\$330	\$4,019,055	\$4,566,516.00	100.00	\$0	
Highlands County	CHDO-C		\$3,175,779	\$92,437	\$383,930	\$433,885.00	13.66 #	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D		\$3,175,779	\$92,437	\$383,930	\$433,885.00	13.66 #	\$0	
FL	D		\$3,194,201	\$98,279	\$3,095,922	\$3,095,922.40	100.00	\$0	
Hillsborough County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$47,734,084	\$0	\$7,160,113	\$10,012,558.29	20.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$41,976,698	\$0	\$6,296,505	\$9,667,024.94	23.03	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$42,504,575	\$2,029,384	\$40,475,191	\$45,024,705.31	100.00	\$0	
Hollywood	CHDO-C	12/31/2016	\$12,958,597	\$0	\$1,943,790	\$1,969,207.80	15.20	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,786,197	\$0	\$1,767,930	\$1,797,704.95	15.25	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$11,838,817	\$156,310	\$11,682,507	\$11,871,672.93	100.00	\$0	
Holyoke Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$24,109,202	\$0	\$3,616,380	\$6,067,329.00	25.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$21,700,185	\$0	\$3,255,028	\$5,496,834.39	25.33	\$0	
MA	D	9/30/2016	\$21,816,278	\$0	\$21,816,278	\$22,264,783.52	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 49 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Honolulu	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$93,750,322	\$197,000	\$13,865,548	\$24,611,794.00	26.25	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$86,392,496	\$197,000	\$12,761,874	\$24,292,971.00	28.12	\$0	
HI	D	7/31/2016	\$87,349,950	\$2,564,981	\$84,784,969	\$87,269,379.00	100.00	\$0	
Houma-Terrebonne	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$9,964,706	\$142,526	\$1,352,180	\$1,622,350.00	16.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,116,332	\$142,526	\$1,224,924	\$1,491,350.00	16.36	\$0	
LA	D	6/30/2016	\$9,116,332	\$0	\$9,116,332	\$9,799,873.00	100.00	\$0	
Houston	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$264,293,716	\$0	\$39,644,057	\$55,302,680.00	20.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$242,189,994	\$0	\$36,328,499	\$49,056,249.82	20.26	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$245,093,295	\$730,825	\$244,362,470	\$246,171,455.79	100.00	\$0	
Howard County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,728,968	\$1	\$859,345	\$899,163.00	15.70	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$4,749,100	\$1	\$712,365	\$983,007.90	20.70	\$0	
MD	D	9/30/2016	\$4,872,017	\$1	\$4,872,017	\$5,985,003.20	100.00	\$0	
Hudson County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$77,440,288	\$0	\$11,616,043	\$26,549,134.00	34.28	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$71,700,507	\$0	\$10,755,076	\$23,114,060.00	32.24	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$72,252,123	\$0	\$72,252,123	\$73,820,265.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 50 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Huntington Beach	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$15,728,976	\$0	\$2,359,346	\$8,238,979.18	52.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	12/31/2016	\$14,522,042	\$0	\$2,178,306	\$7,576,145.62	52.17	\$0	
CA	D	12/31/2016	\$14,675,556	\$1,907,274	\$12,768,282	\$12,907,031.03	100.00	\$0	
Huntington Consorti	ium CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$20,908,812	\$0	\$3,136,322	\$8,110,272.00	38.79	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,149,435	\$0	\$2,872,415	\$7,158,408.00	37.38	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$19,149,435	\$0	\$19,149,435	\$19,573,845.00	100.00	\$0	
Huntington Park	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,993,075	\$36,198	\$2,512,763	\$6,673,953.00	39.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,601,089	\$36,198	\$2,303,965	\$6,400,333.00	41.02	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$15,601,089	\$36,774	\$15,564,315	\$16,345,726.00	100.00	\$0	
Huntsville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,476,731	\$0	\$2,321,510	\$2,649,069.00	17.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,018,225	\$0	\$2,102,734	\$2,574,847.00	18.37	\$0	
AL	D	7/31/2016	\$14,230,800	\$0	\$14,230,800	\$14,687,024.00	100.00	\$0	
Idaho	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$107,757,591	\$0	\$16,163,639	\$36,687,275.00	34.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$97,403,696	\$0	\$14,610,554	\$36,278,899.00	37.25	\$0	
ID	D	7/31/2016	\$98,234,885	\$237,057	\$97,997,828	\$103,655,552.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Illinois	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$479,496,122	\$0	\$71,924,418	\$77,371,457.00	16.14	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$440,010,194	\$0	\$66,001,529	\$73,632,914.00	16.73	\$0	
IL	D	6/30/2016	\$443,580,326	\$408,563	\$443,171,763	\$466,433,424.00	100.00	\$0	
Independence	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,562,766	\$0	\$1,434,415	\$1,804,220.00	18.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,600,943	\$0	\$1,290,141	\$1,649,637.00	19.18	\$0	
MO	D	7/31/2016	\$8,600,943	\$0	\$8,600,943	\$9,454,951.00	100.00	\$0	
Indiana	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$312,556,361	\$3,629	\$46,879,825	\$86,773,035.00	27.76	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$282,210,997	\$3,629	\$42,328,020	\$85,823,723.00	30.41	\$0	
IN	D	7/31/2016	\$285,516,792	\$55,479	\$285,461,313	\$299,036,208.00	100.00	\$0	
Indianapolis	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$96,127,683	\$0	\$14,419,152	\$32,509,607.00	33.82	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$86,691,190	\$0	\$13,003,679	\$31,462,430.00	36.29	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$87,788,472	\$0	\$87,788,472	\$93,007,761.00	100.00	\$0	
Inglewood	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$23,476,221	\$179,722	\$3,341,711	\$4,018,679.61	17.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	12/31/2016	\$21,642,462	\$179,722	\$3,066,647	\$4,018,679.61	18.57	\$0	
CA	D	12/31/2016	\$21,700,232	\$1,586,868	\$20,113,364	\$20,806,950.42	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 52 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
lowa	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$217,414,451	\$0	\$32,612,168	\$44,096,686.00	20.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$199,725,751	\$0	\$29,958,863	\$43,045,933.00	21.55	\$0	
IA	D	6/30/2016	\$201,990,371	\$470,007	\$201,520,364	\$218,291,688.00	100.00	\$0	
Iowa City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,381,838	\$0	\$1,857,276	\$4,126,856.00	33.33	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,277,237	\$0	\$1,691,586	\$3,904,257.00	34.62	\$0	
IA	D	6/30/2016	\$11,277,237	\$0	\$11,277,237	\$12,193,371.00	100.00	\$0	
Irvine	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$6,984,207	\$67,611	\$980,020	\$1,896,551.00	27.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$5,637,601	\$67,611	\$778,029	\$1,896,551.00	33.64	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$5,683,357	\$84,417	\$5,598,940	\$7,319,310.00	100.00	\$0	
Irving	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$14,688,258	\$0	\$2,203,239	\$4,894,266.81	33.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$12,871,435	\$0	\$1,930,715	\$4,227,177.00	32.84	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$13,161,951	\$37,122	\$13,124,829	\$13,344,220.89	100.00	\$0	
Irvington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,184,192	\$129,212	\$1,848,417	\$3,047,878.00	23.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$12,438,021	\$129,212	\$1,736,491	\$2,905,475.00	23.36	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$12,438,021	\$629,276	\$11,808,745	\$12,004,341.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 53 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Islip	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$17,542,687	\$0	\$2,631,403	\$3,437,620.71	19.60	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$16,167,882	\$0	\$2,425,182	\$3,173,620.71	19.63	\$0	
NY	D	10/31/2016	\$16,315,721	\$0	\$16,315,721	\$16,737,966.46	100.00	\$0	
Ithaca	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$5,817,766	\$0	\$872,665	\$2,841,309.54	48.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,423,842	\$0	\$663,576	\$2,787,054.00	63.00	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$4,423,842	\$0	\$4,423,842	\$5,739,995.00	100.00	\$0	
Jackson	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$8,198,953	\$0	\$1,229,843	\$1,829,878.00	22.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,404,205	\$0	\$1,110,631	\$1,721,567.00	23.25	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$7,404,205	\$0	\$7,404,205	\$8,233,672.00	100.00	\$0	
Jackson	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$27,779,827	\$845,232	\$3,321,742	\$3,483,217.51	12.54 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$26,070,813	\$845,232	\$3,065,390	\$3,398,483.51	13.04 #	\$0	
MS	D	10/31/2016	\$26,324,533	\$1,477,854	\$24,846,679	\$24,988,230.22	100.00	\$0	
Jackson	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,907,757	\$0	\$1,036,164	\$1,908,929.00	27.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,148,938	\$0	\$922,341	\$1,908,929.00	31.04	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$6,148,938	\$1,542	\$6,147,396	\$6,919,008.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 54 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Jacksonville-Duval	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$72,796,481	\$266,283	\$10,653,189	\$13,525,225.29	18.58	\$0	
County	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$66,102,340	\$266,283	\$9,649,068	\$12,520,481.44	18.94	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$66,923,863	\$3,269,361	\$63,654,502	\$66,954,927.80	100.00	\$0	
Jamestown	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$7,487,517	\$0	\$1,123,128	\$1,794,413.00	23.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$6,673,054	\$0	\$1,000,958	\$1,794,413.00	26.89	\$0	
NY	D	8/31/2016	\$6,673,054	\$0	\$6,673,054	\$7,050,246.00	100.00	\$0	
Janesville Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,792,854	\$0	\$1,168,928	\$1,378,517.00	17.69	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,468,947	\$0	\$970,342	\$1,066,767.00	16.49	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$6,604,143	\$90	\$6,604,053	\$6,770,680.00	100.00	\$0	
Jefferson County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$21,775,706	\$299,240	\$2,967,116	\$4,366,075.65	20.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$19,968,838	\$299,240	\$2,696,086	\$4,366,075.65	21.86	\$0	
AL	D	10/31/2016	\$20,176,126	\$0	\$20,176,126	\$20,844,963.67	100.00	\$0	
Jefferson County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,875,639	\$0	\$1,631,346	\$2,054,907.00	18.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,010,273	\$0	\$1,351,541	\$2,054,907.00	22.81	\$0	
CO	D	7/31/2016	\$9,150,252	\$0	\$9,150,252	\$10,206,704.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Jefferson County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$26,515,702	\$9,593	\$3,967,762	\$4,045,790.00	15.26	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$24,237,766	\$9,593	\$3,626,072	\$4,045,790.00	16.69	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$24,467,150	\$9,827	\$24,457,323	\$26,267,356.00	100.00	\$0	
Jefferson Parish	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$48,458,152	\$717,025	\$6,551,697	\$7,327,755.54	15.12	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$44,952,559	\$717,025	\$6,025,858	\$7,268,357.00	16.17	\$0	
LA	D	8/31/2016	\$45,398,651	\$287,634	\$45,111,017	\$45,130,117.00	100.00	\$0	
Jersey City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$63,398,138	\$0	\$9,509,721	\$11,494,929.00	18.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$58,969,566	\$0	\$8,845,435	\$10,235,166.00	17.36	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$59,361,158	\$691,512	\$58,669,646	\$59,693,330.00	100.00	\$0	
Johnson County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$17,579,044	\$11,053	\$2,625,803	\$2,924,981.00	16.64	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,405,735	\$11,053	\$2,299,807	\$2,916,898.00	18.93	\$0	
KS	D	6/30/2016	\$15,686,313	\$11,053	\$15,675,260	\$17,835,262.00	100.00	\$0	
Johnstown	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,313,568	\$3,826	\$943,209	\$953,171.00	15.10	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$5,747,122	\$3,826	\$858,242	\$896,697.00	15.60	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$5,747,122	\$7,257	\$5,739,865	\$6,161,221.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Joliet	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$9,713,671	\$0	\$1,457,051	\$2,758,038.76	28.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2018	\$8,576,899	\$0	\$1,286,535	\$2,820,688.18	32.89	\$0	
IL	D	9/30/2018	\$8,576,899	\$0	\$8,576,899	\$9,887,525.84	100.00	\$0	
Joplin Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,168,292	\$0	\$625,244	\$826,278.00	19.82	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$2,942,684	\$0	\$441,403	\$496,079.00	16.86	\$0	
MO	D	6/30/2016	\$2,942,684	\$0	\$2,942,684	\$3,425,477.00	100.00	\$0	
Kalamazoo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,002,638	\$10,308	\$2,540,088	\$2,921,224.00	17.18	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,452,435	\$10,308	\$2,307,558	\$2,785,914.00	18.03	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$15,452,435	\$10,308	\$15,442,127	\$16,823,667.00	100.00	\$0	
Kane County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,125,055	\$0	\$1,068,758	\$1,903,234.00	26.71	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$5,385,161	\$0	\$807,774	\$1,890,831.09	35.11	\$0	
IL	D	10/31/2016	\$5,448,833	\$0	\$5,448,833	\$6,685,597.71	100.00	\$0	
Kansas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$160,325,282	\$0	\$24,048,792	\$41,479,126.00	25.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$146,792,082	\$0	\$22,018,812	\$40,439,123.00	27.55	\$0	
KS	D	6/30/2016	\$148,340,922	\$0	\$148,340,922	\$159,262,737.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 57 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Kansas City	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$20,942,904	\$0	\$3,141,436	\$6,248,505.88	29.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$19,225,107	\$0	\$2,883,766	\$5,939,795.53	30.90	\$0	
KS	D	9/30/2016	\$19,343,567	\$0	\$19,343,567	\$20,639,966.90	100.00	\$0	
Kansas City	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$61,532,368	\$403	\$9,229,452	\$10,316,599.00	16.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$55,981,870	\$403	\$8,396,878	\$9,728,749.00	17.38	\$0	
MO	D	6/30/2016	\$56,634,006	\$373,217	\$56,260,789	\$56,870,819.00	100.00	\$0	
Kenosha	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$10,807,846	\$90,123	\$1,531,054	\$1,649,894.00	15.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,704,384	\$90,123	\$1,365,535	\$1,365,535.00	14.07 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 12/2/2016
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$9,704,384	\$143,244	\$9,561,140	\$9,590,489.00	100.00	\$0	Deobligation complete - 12/2/2016
Kent County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,753,529	\$71,073	\$941,956	\$1,417,947.00	21.00	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,689,836	\$71,073	\$632,402	\$636,430.00	13.57 #	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$4,755,533	\$74,906	\$4,680,628	\$5,809,124.00	100.00	\$0	
Kentucky	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$359,501,049	\$0	\$53,925,157	\$75,582,207.00	21.02	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$330,355,209	\$0	\$49,553,281	\$71,981,423.00	21.79	\$0	
KY	D	7/31/2016	\$332,963,061	\$37,001	\$332,926,060	\$353,375,050.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 58 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Kern County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$47,069,970	\$0	\$7,060,496	\$10,076,807.00	21.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$42,828,624	\$0	\$6,424,294	\$10,024,926.00	23.41	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$43,154,124	\$298,264	\$42,855,860	\$43,951,220.00	100.00	\$0	
Killeen	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,127,959	\$45,259	\$1,173,935	\$1,638,670.33	20.16	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$7,170,001	\$45,259	\$1,030,241	\$1,638,670.33	22.85	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$7,170,001	\$45,259	\$7,124,742	\$7,967,028.81	100.00	\$0	
King County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$78,566,833	\$0	\$11,785,025	\$16,616,721.00	21.15	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$70,620,065	\$0	\$10,593,010	\$16,616,721.00	23.53	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$71,670,863	\$0	\$71,670,863	\$79,016,588.00	100.00	\$0	
Kitsap County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$19,011,350	\$0	\$2,851,703	\$7,318,916.00	38.50	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$17,281,655	\$0	\$2,592,248	\$7,305,495.00	42.27	\$0	
NA	D	6/30/2016	\$17,497,143	\$0	\$17,497,143	\$18,702,577.00	100.00	\$0	
Knox County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,615,051	\$0	\$1,292,258	\$1,406,892.00	16.33	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,717,232	\$0	\$1,157,585	\$1,406,892.00	18.23	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$7,808,327	\$11,837	\$7,796,490	\$8,908,359.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Knoxville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,209,674	\$0	\$4,381,451	\$7,629,847.00	26.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$26,735,153	\$0	\$4,010,273	\$7,230,900.00	27.05	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$27,064,086	\$0	\$27,064,086	\$28,813,530.00	100.00	\$0	
La Crosse	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$8,062,494	\$0	\$1,209,374	\$1,685,081.00	20.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,078,778	\$0	\$1,061,817	\$1,682,650.00	23.77	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$7,078,778	\$0	\$7,078,778	\$7,763,462.00	100.00	\$0	
Lafayette	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$16,269,606	\$229,214	\$2,211,227	\$4,564,774.18	28.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$14,831,101	\$229,214	\$1,995,451	\$3,992,055.18	26.92	\$0	
LA	D	10/31/2016	\$14,978,746	\$0	\$14,978,746	\$15,558,549.71	100.00	\$0	
Lafayette Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$16,476,187	\$0	\$2,471,428	\$5,051,707.00	30.66	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$14,380,243	\$0	\$2,157,036	\$4,909,727.00	34.14	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$14,568,963	\$0	\$14,568,963	\$16,457,256.00	100.00	\$0	
Lake Charles	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$9,714,362	\$160,675	\$1,296,479	\$1,477,484.35	15.21	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$8,989,315	\$160,675	\$1,187,722	\$1,468,734.35	16.34	\$0	
LA	D	10/31/2016	\$8,989,315	\$121,683	\$8,867,632	\$9,511,437.44	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 60 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Lake County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$13,754,896	\$0	\$2,063,234	\$2,106,744.00	15.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,358,064	\$0	\$1,853,710	\$2,063,393.75	16.70	\$0	
IN	D	9/30/2016	\$12,492,974	\$0	\$12,492,974	\$13,785,750.77	100.00	\$0	
Lake County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$11,366,979	\$1	\$1,705,046	\$2,225,332.38	19.58	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$10,279,433	\$1	\$1,541,914	\$2,173,231.23	21.14	\$0	
ОН	D	10/31/2016	\$10,401,656	\$22,574	\$10,379,082	\$11,268,037.16	100.00	\$0	
Lake County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$31,598,342	(\$243,729)	\$4,983,480	\$8,667,043.17	27.43	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$28,487,922	(\$243,729)	\$4,516,917	\$8,341,040.00	29.28	\$0	
IL	D	8/31/2016	\$28,841,638	(\$243,729)	\$29,085,367	\$31,430,477.00	100.00	\$0	
Lakeland	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,325,059	\$0	\$1,248,758	\$1,316,460.00	15.81	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,390,971	\$0	\$1,108,645	\$1,239,317.00	16.77	\$0	
FL	D	6/30/2016	\$7,390,971	\$0	\$7,390,971	\$8,138,553.00	100.00	\$0	
Lakewood	CHDO-C		\$10,141,323	\$76,000	\$1,445,198	\$1,447,197.45	14.27 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,723,010	\$76,000	\$1,382,452	\$1,447,197.00	14.88 #	\$0	
CO	D	6/30/2016	\$9,778,166	\$477,302	\$9,300,864	\$9,718,975.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 61 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Lancaster	CHDO-C		\$10,717,124	\$0	\$1,607,569	\$3,530,223.98	32.94	\$0	
	CHDO-D		\$10,717,124	\$0	\$1,607,569	\$3,530,223.98	32.94	\$0	
PA	D		\$10,717,124	\$0	\$10,717,124	\$10,717,123.90	100.00	\$0	
Lancaster County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$28,654,517	\$0	\$4,298,178	\$10,570,079.00	36.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$24,643,097	\$0	\$3,696,465	\$10,470,079.00	42.49	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$24,905,296	\$0	\$24,905,296	\$28,263,647.00	100.00	\$0	
Lansing	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,886,033	\$0	\$3,282,905	\$6,447,979.00	29.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$20,086,140	\$0	\$3,012,921	\$6,269,204.00	31.21	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$20,199,722	\$0	\$20,199,722	\$21,433,162.00	100.00	\$0	
Laredo	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$28,264,724	\$0	\$4,239,709	\$4,364,381.48	15.44	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$26,067,542	\$0	\$3,910,131	\$4,237,925.71	16.26	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$26,207,519	\$0	\$26,207,519	\$28,078,257.02	100.00	\$0	
Las Cruces	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,789,344	\$75,000	\$1,393,402	\$1,674,516.00	17.11	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,817,750	\$75,000	\$1,247,663	\$1,674,516.00	18.99	\$0	
NM	D	6/30/2016	\$8,817,750	\$75,000	\$8,742,750	\$9,468,756.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 62 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Las Vegas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,248,481	\$0	\$3,037,272	\$8,641,094.00	42.68	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$15,710,469	\$0	\$2,356,570	\$8,636,094.48	54.97	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$15,823,517	\$59,849	\$15,763,668	\$21,416,388.82	100.00	\$0	
Lawrence	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,908,210	\$0	\$1,786,232	\$2,303,044.00	19.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,740,263	\$0	\$1,611,039	\$2,303,044.58	21.44	\$0	
KS	D	9/30/2016	\$10,790,437	\$0	\$10,790,437	\$11,755,030.07	100.00	\$0	
Lawrence	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,796,180	\$0	\$3,569,427	\$5,253,789.00	22.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,861,994	\$0	\$3,279,299	\$5,253,789.00	24.03	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,916,605	\$0	\$21,916,605	\$23,772,915.00	100.00	\$0	
Lawton	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$11,132,942	\$35,366	\$1,634,575	\$2,193,440.47	19.70	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,181,297	\$35,366	\$1,491,829	\$2,145,872.00	21.08	\$0	
OK	D	7/31/2016	\$10,181,297	\$171,568	\$10,009,729	\$10,109,542.00	100.00	\$0	
Lee County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$14,372,611	\$202,429	\$1,953,463	\$2,811,187.98	19.56	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$12,529,033	\$202,429	\$1,676,926	\$2,811,187.98	22.44	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$12,680,739	\$0	\$12,680,739	\$14,316,630.11	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 63 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Lenoir Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,665,074	\$0	\$2,799,761	\$3,710,310.00	19.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$16,246,681	\$0	\$2,437,002	\$3,592,502.00	22.11	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$16,485,697	\$0	\$16,485,697	\$19,299,645.00	100.00	\$0	
Lexington County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$4,004,210	\$0	\$600,632	\$892,903.00	22.30	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$2,581,216	\$0	\$387,182	\$892,903.00	34.59	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$2,586,306	\$0	\$2,586,306	\$4,213,281.00	100.00	\$0	
Lexington-Fayette	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,997,365	\$0	\$4,499,605	\$5,126,940.00	17.09	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$27,058,242	\$0	\$4,058,736	\$4,821,720.00	17.82	\$0	
KY	D	8/31/2016	\$27,439,862	\$1,000	\$27,438,862	\$28,794,333.00	100.00	\$0	
Lima	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$8,368,014	\$0	\$1,255,202	\$1,738,283.00	20.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,612,215	\$0	\$1,141,832	\$1,738,283.04	22.84	\$0	
OH	D	9/30/2016	\$7,612,215	\$0	\$7,612,215	\$7,869,919.76	100.00	\$0	
Lincoln	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$23,617,042	\$0	\$3,542,556	\$5,513,907.26	23.35	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$21,244,221	\$0	\$3,186,633	\$5,421,134.55	25.52	\$0	
NE	D	9/30/2016	\$21,568,823	\$0	\$21,568,823	\$23,869,266.65	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 64 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Little Rock	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$21,528,712	\$0	\$3,229,307	\$3,981,742.00	18.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$19,687,136	\$0	\$2,953,070	\$3,919,827.00	19.91	\$0	
AR	D	6/30/2016	\$19,930,607	\$0	\$19,930,607	\$20,569,123.00	100.00	\$0	
Long Beach	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$94,385,046	\$0	\$14,157,757	\$21,408,700.01	22.68	\$0	
	CHDO-D	12/31/2016	\$87,408,019	\$0	\$13,111,203	\$20,403,700.70	23.34	\$0	
CA	D	12/31/2016	\$88,105,881	\$0	\$88,105,881	\$90,201,426.29	100.00	\$0	
Longview	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$7,698,686	\$167,250	\$987,553	\$1,071,010.08	13.91 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$6,994,896	\$167,250	\$881,984	\$1,071,009.46	15.31	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$6,994,896	\$370,861	\$6,624,035	\$7,148,671.05	100.00	\$0	
Longview Consortium	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$7,013,441	\$11,155	\$1,040,862	\$1,063,635.00	15.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$6,219,902	\$11,155	\$921,831	\$1,063,635.00	17.10	\$0	
WA	D	8/31/2016	\$6,219,902	\$11,155	\$6,208,747	\$6,865,927.00	100.00	\$0	
Lorain	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$10,998,334	\$181,961	\$1,467,789	\$1,567,552.55	14.25 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,275,818	\$181,961	\$1,359,412	\$1,547,185.00	15.06	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$10,275,818	\$2,378,752	\$7,897,066	\$8,955,681.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 65 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Los Angeles	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$801,943,471	\$0	\$120,291,521	\$161,619,289.00	20.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$740,665,832	\$0	\$111,099,875	\$161,330,302.00	21.78	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$746,399,122	\$0	\$746,399,122	\$767,413,591.00	100.00	\$0	
Los Angeles County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$265,316,976	\$0	\$39,797,546	\$65,518,723.00	24.69	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$245,243,210	\$0	\$36,786,482	\$63,368,113.00	25.84	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$246,976,973	\$0	\$246,976,973	\$255,059,656.00	100.00	\$0	
Louisiana	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$308,778,190	\$4,577,653	\$41,739,076	\$53,676,625.00	17.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$286,007,561	\$4,577,653	\$38,323,482	\$43,357,563.27	15.16	\$0	
LA	D	9/30/2016	\$288,007,397	\$2,052,579	\$285,954,818	\$287,641,187.43	100.00	\$0	
Louisville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$84,907,308	\$0	\$12,736,096	\$14,696,266.00	17.31	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$77,364,335	\$0	\$11,604,650	\$14,608,442.00	18.88	\$0	
KY	D	7/31/2016	\$78,158,150	\$214,333	\$77,943,817	\$81,419,268.00	100.00	\$0	
Lowell	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,452,889	\$77,972	\$3,439,961	\$4,755,627.00	20.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,613,783	\$77,972	\$3,164,096	\$4,755,627.00	22.00	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,725,714	\$77,972	\$21,647,742	\$22,971,728.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 66 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Lubbock	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$25,023,362	\$0	\$3,753,504	\$3,791,234.00	15.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$22,736,269	\$0	\$3,410,440	\$3,791,234.00	16.67	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$23,009,992	\$0	\$23,009,992	\$23,786,734.93	100.00	\$0	
Luzerne County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$24,139,040	\$25,563	\$3,595,293	\$3,769,567.00	15.62	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$22,292,221	\$25,563	\$3,318,270	\$3,721,105.00	16.69	\$0	
PA	D	8/31/2016	\$22,463,887	\$25,563	\$22,438,324	\$23,872,942.00	100.00	\$0	
Lynchburg	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$8,736,910	\$0	\$1,310,537	\$1,989,191.00	22.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,799,927	\$0	\$1,169,989	\$1,989,191.00	25.50	\$0	
VA	D	6/30/2016	\$7,799,927	\$0	\$7,799,927	\$8,553,555.00	100.00	\$0	
Lynn	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$18,584,361	\$0	\$2,787,654	\$2,787,654.40	15.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$16,722,725	\$0	\$2,508,409	\$2,787,654.00	16.67	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$16,778,602	\$0	\$16,778,602	\$18,636,817.00	100.00	\$0	
Lynwood	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,251,829	\$47,584	\$1,940,190	\$2,206,975.00	16.65	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$12,275,229	\$47,584	\$1,793,700	\$2,206,975.00	17.98	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$12,275,229	\$733,664	\$11,541,565	\$12,518,510.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 67 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Lyon County	CHDO-C		\$8,269,075	\$8,777	\$1,231,585	\$1,904,252.20	23.03	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$8,269,075	\$8,777	\$1,231,585	\$1,904,252.20	23.03	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$8,405,463	\$1,290,624	\$7,114,839	\$7,114,839.27	100.00	\$0	Deobligation complete - 11/10/2016
Macomb County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,686,351	\$8,350	\$3,094,603	\$4,175,259.00	20.18	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,288,211	\$8,350	\$2,584,882	\$3,395,098.00	19.64	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$17,508,435	\$514,842	\$16,993,593	\$18,814,402.00	100.00	\$0	
Macon	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,932,499	\$29,052	\$3,110,823	\$4,786,754.00	22.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$19,296,826	\$29,052	\$2,865,472	\$4,745,163.08	24.59	\$0	
GA	D	9/30/2016	\$19,353,447	\$503,088	\$18,850,359	\$18,878,128.36	100.00	\$0	
Madison	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,771,837	\$0	\$4,915,776	\$9,268,242.00	28.28	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$29,774,435	\$0	\$4,466,165	\$9,229,842.00	31.00	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$30,180,838	\$10	\$30,180,828	\$32,644,730.00	100.00	\$0	
Madison County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$25,963,239	\$0	\$3,894,486	\$4,043,200.48	15.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	11/30/2016	\$23,707,790	\$0	\$3,556,169	\$3,895,901.62	16.43	\$0	
IL	D	11/30/2016	\$23,941,540	\$0	\$23,941,540	\$25,934,883.93	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 68 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Maine	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$127,792,947	\$0	\$19,168,942	\$20,643,986.00	16.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$117,865,063	\$0	\$17,679,759	\$19,825,733.00	16.82	\$0	
ME	D	8/31/2016	\$119,053,886	\$124,055	\$118,929,831	\$125,548,102.00	100.00	\$0	
Malden Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$51,241,024	\$0	\$7,686,154	\$20,026,177.00	39.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$46,910,264	\$0	\$7,036,540	\$17,761,105.00	37.86	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$47,375,555	\$0	\$47,375,555	\$48,545,071.00	100.00	\$0	
Manatee County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$7,449,379	\$10,358	\$1,107,049	\$1,345,386.50	18.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$6,177,375	\$10,358	\$916,248	\$1,345,386.50	21.78	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$6,316,336	\$110,858	\$6,205,478	\$7,010,210.94	100.00	\$0	
Manchester	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$15,995,101	\$0	\$2,399,265	\$3,518,318.00	22.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$14,400,140	\$0	\$2,160,021	\$3,518,318.00	24.43	\$0	
NH	D	8/31/2016	\$14,513,988	\$0	\$14,513,988	\$15,403,128.00	100.00	\$0	
Mansfield	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,302,503	\$33,486	\$1,211,890	\$2,731,931.87	32.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,642,811	\$33,486	\$1,112,936	\$2,731,932.00	35.75	\$0	
ОН	D	7/31/2016	\$7,642,811	\$33,486	\$7,609,325	\$8,150,052.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 69 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Mariana Islands	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$10,009,785	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$8,985,661	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
MP	D	10/31/2016	\$8,985,661	\$0	\$8,985,661	\$9,522,402.78	100.00	\$0	
Maricopa County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$98,342,191	\$50,799	\$14,700,529	\$15,722,088.00	15.99	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$88,873,383	\$50,799	\$13,280,208	\$16,478,537.91	18.54	\$0	
AZ	D	9/30/2016	\$90,114,309	\$50,799	\$90,063,510	\$95,311,726.40	100.00	\$0	
Marin County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,587,020	\$0	\$3,538,053	\$4,253,842.00	18.03	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,752,509	\$0	\$3,262,876	\$4,162,964.00	19.14	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,993,338	\$2,577	\$21,990,761	\$22,926,780.00	100.00	\$0	
Marion County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$9,561,897	\$64,024	\$1,370,261	\$1,413,375.54	14.78 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 6/29/2017
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$7,647,930	\$64,024	\$1,083,166	\$1,083,165.82	14.16 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 6/29/2017
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$7,769,148	\$347,424	\$7,421,724	\$7,970,551.58	100.00	\$0	6/29/2017
Martinsburg	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$3,756,640	\$0	\$563,496	\$563,497.00	15.00	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$2,813,124	\$0	\$421,969	\$435,531.00	15.48	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$2,825,060	\$0	\$2,825,060	\$3,680,914.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 70 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Maryland	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$149,903,350	\$0	\$22,485,503	\$26,907,235.00	17.95	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$137,684,713	\$0	\$20,652,707	\$26,869,826.00	19.52	\$0	
MD	D	7/31/2016	\$138,726,175	\$0	\$138,726,175	\$146,487,242.00	100.00	\$0	
Massachusetts	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$293,576,982	\$0	\$44,036,547	\$72,693,915.00	24.76	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$272,460,907	\$0	\$40,869,136	\$72,590,350.00	26.64	\$0	
MA	D	7/31/2016	\$275,448,404	\$0	\$275,448,404	\$292,421,789.00	100.00	\$0	
Mayaguez	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,342,301	\$150,234	\$3,351,111	\$5,903,208.00	25.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$21,547,597	\$150,234	\$3,081,906	\$5,849,384.00	27.15	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$21,547,597	\$1,443,806	\$20,103,791	\$21,079,756.00	100.00	\$0	
McAllen	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$14,230,308	\$0	\$2,134,546	\$12,667,465.75	89.02	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,910,855	\$0	\$1,936,628	\$12,375,856.09	95.86	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$12,910,855	\$0	\$12,910,855	\$13,937,327.25	100.00	\$0	
McHenry County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,415,046	\$100	\$1,262,157	\$1,743,062.00	20.71	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,076,926	\$100	\$1,061,439	\$1,673,566.00	23.65	\$0	
IL	D	6/30/2016	\$7,185,391	\$9,058	\$7,176,333	\$7,904,363.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 71 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Memphis	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$104,465,419	\$1	\$15,669,812	\$17,145,418.00	16.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$96,360,813	\$1	\$14,454,121	\$17,031,353.00	17.67	\$0	
ΤN	D	6/30/2016	\$97,249,799	\$582,702	\$96,667,097	\$96,719,379.00	100.00	\$0	
Merced	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,140,761	\$137,017	\$1,684,097	\$2,080,616.00	17.14	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,049,877	\$137,017	\$1,520,465	\$1,961,564.00	17.75	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$11,049,877	\$137,017	\$10,912,860	\$11,288,938.00	100.00	\$0	
Mercer County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,661,704	\$0	\$1,899,256	\$6,995,427.00	55.25	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,380,828	\$0	\$1,707,124	\$6,974,347.00	61.28	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$11,534,018	\$0	\$11,534,018	\$12,503,218.00	100.00	\$0	
Mesa	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,187,905	\$0	\$1,078,186	\$1,451,616.00	20.20	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$4,342,421	\$0	\$651,363	\$1,151,616.00	26.52	\$0	
AΖ	D	8/31/2016	\$4,342,421	\$0	\$4,342,421	\$6,232,173.00	100.00	\$0	
Miami	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$101,986,464	\$0	\$15,297,970	\$18,812,459.00	18.45	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$93,537,371	\$0	\$14,030,606	\$16,826,780.00	17.99	\$0	
FL	D	6/30/2016	\$94,284,210	\$0	\$94,284,210	\$95,982,261.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Miami Beach	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$27,823,765	\$0	\$4,173,565	\$12,139,540.77	43.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$26,402,678	\$0	\$3,960,402	\$11,982,049.47	45.38	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$26,526,382	\$947,415	\$25,578,967	\$26,171,338.68	100.00	\$0	
Miami-Dade County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$132,475,288	\$0	\$19,871,293	\$28,763,381.70	21.71	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$122,175,659	\$0	\$18,326,349	\$26,024,901.00	21.30	\$0	
FL	D	6/30/2016	\$123,177,092	\$456,500	\$122,720,592	\$121,858,898.00	99.30	\$861,694	Reporting error3/19/2018
Michigan	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$501,516,427	\$0	\$75,227,464	\$89,767,378.00	17.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$462,795,036	\$0	\$69,419,255	\$89,389,842.00	19.32	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$467,430,841	\$156,404	\$467,274,437	\$484,707,715.00	100.00	\$0	
Middlesex County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,140,036	\$3,479	\$4,817,527	\$5,600,709.00	17.43	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,929,888	\$3,479	\$4,336,005	\$5,600,709.00	19.36	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$29,316,444	\$582,950	\$28,733,494	\$31,724,846.00	100.00	\$0	
Milwaukee	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$155,515,930	\$0	\$23,327,390	\$30,864,700.00	19.85	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$142,228,156	\$0	\$21,334,223	\$30,400,760.00	21.37	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$143,372,829	\$0	\$143,372,829	\$154,932,166.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 73 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Milwaukee County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$24,635,091	\$498,408	\$3,196,855	\$3,325,621.00	13.50 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 12/2/2016
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$21,921,282	\$498,408	\$2,789,784	\$3,325,621.49	15.17	\$0	12/2/2010
WI	D	10/31/2016	\$22,280,168	\$871,596	\$21,408,572	\$21,894,008.42	100.00	\$0	
Minneapolis	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$77,215,128	\$0	\$11,582,269	\$15,166,610.00	19.64	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$70,558,335	\$0	\$10,583,750	\$13,979,174.00	19.81	\$0	
MN	D	6/30/2016	\$71,266,717	\$0	\$71,266,717	\$74,235,829.00	100.00	\$0	
Minnesota	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$196,287,377	\$1,129,050	\$28,314,057	\$33,045,072.00	16.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$177,836,298	\$1,129,050	\$25,546,395	\$33,018,790.00	18.57	\$0	
MN	D	6/30/2016	\$179,423,563	(\$945,441)	\$180,369,004	\$201,271,466.00	100.00	\$0	
Mississippi	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$297,571,569	\$4,294,320	\$40,341,416	\$43,437,056.00	14.60 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$274,796,262	\$4,294,320	\$36,925,120	\$43,437,056.00	15.81	\$0	
MS	D	6/30/2016	\$276,666,057	\$36,083	\$276,629,974	\$286,155,771.00	100.00	\$0	
Missoula	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,418,723	\$0	\$962,808	\$2,477,492.00	38.60	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$5,393,770	\$0	\$809,066	\$2,469,242.00	45.78	\$0	
MT	D	6/30/2016	\$5,393,770	\$0	\$5,393,770	\$6,463,702.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 74 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Missouri	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$314,931,615	(\$548,201)	\$47,787,943	\$85,088,528.00	27.02	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$288,116,118	(\$548,201)	\$43,765,619	\$84,072,140.00	29.18	\$0	
MO	D	6/30/2016	\$290,842,151	(\$548,201)	\$291,390,352	\$309,294,145.00	100.00	\$0	
Mobile	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$31,714,375	\$444,244	\$4,312,913	\$5,824,490.00	18.37	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$29,440,069	\$444,244	\$3,971,767	\$5,490,233.00	18.65	\$0	
AL	D	6/30/2016	\$29,698,276	\$17,368	\$29,680,908	\$30,131,607.00	100.00	\$0	
Mobile County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,122,238	\$270,800	\$1,247,535	\$1,316,802.00	13.01 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,523,743	\$270,800	\$1,007,761	\$1,316,802.00	15.45	\$0	
AL	D	6/30/2016	\$8,650,701	\$163,764	\$8,486,937	\$9,841,729.00	100.00	\$0	
Modesto	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,741,858	\$305,390	\$3,255,889	\$3,448,115.00	14.52 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,651,102	\$305,390	\$2,942,275	\$3,220,532.00	14.87 #	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$21,846,007	\$1,124,594	\$20,721,413	\$21,462,225.00	100.00	\$0	
Monmouth County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$36,231,817	\$0	\$5,434,773	\$6,984,078.00	19.28	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$32,743,527	\$0	\$4,911,529	\$6,752,284.00	20.62	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$33,174,700	\$0	\$33,174,700	\$34,529,895.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 75 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<del>PJ</del>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Monroe	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,165,449	\$147,226	\$1,527,591	\$2,516,480.00	22.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,394,113	\$147,226	\$1,411,891	\$2,516,479.80	24.21	\$0	
_A	D	9/30/2016	\$10,394,113	\$25,026	\$10,369,087	\$10,960,609.86	100.00	\$0	
Monroe County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$26,262,210	\$6,811	\$3,932,521	\$7,600,000.00	28.94	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$23,709,355	\$6,811	\$3,549,592	\$7,600,000.00	32.05	\$0	
NY	D	8/31/2016	\$23,997,169	\$6,812	\$23,990,357	\$26,277,954.00	100.00	\$0	
Montana	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$90,966,664	\$0	\$13,645,000	\$25,114,391.00	27.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$81,948,777	\$0	\$12,292,317	\$23,436,883.00	28.60	\$0	
MT	D	7/31/2016	\$82,845,427	\$0	\$82,845,427	\$87,781,266.00	100.00	\$0	
Montebello	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,899,249	\$31,770	\$1,453,117	\$2,405,924.00	24.30	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2018	\$9,134,430	\$31,770	\$1,338,394	\$2,097,711.88	22.96	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2018	\$9,134,430	\$4,256,054	\$4,878,376	\$5,438,610.73	100.00	\$0	Reporting error10/24/2016
Monterey Park	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$8,803,262	\$36,242	\$1,284,248	\$2,901,220.00	32.96	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,009,053	\$36,242	\$1,165,116	\$2,901,220.00	36.22	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,009,053	\$150,042	\$7,859,011	\$7,906,479.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 76 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Montgomery	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$25,726,195	\$181	\$3,858,749	\$4,771,071.00	18.55	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$23,603,252	\$181	\$3,540,307	\$4,663,168.00	19.76	\$0	
AL	D	8/31/2016	\$23,841,030	\$2,088,950	\$21,752,080	\$23,049,051.00	100.00	\$0	
Montgomery County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$46,831,037	\$1	\$7,024,655	\$13,811,832.00	29.49	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$42,678,309	\$1	\$6,401,746	\$13,811,832.00	32.36	\$0	
MD	D	8/31/2016	\$43,209,846	\$1	\$43,209,845	\$45,728,039.00	100.00	\$0	
Montgomery County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$24,562,229	\$0	\$3,684,334	\$3,993,300.58	16.26	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$22,338,465	\$0	\$3,350,770	\$3,876,064.31	17.35	\$0	
ОН	D	10/31/2016	\$22,623,062	\$0	\$22,623,062	\$23,908,835.69	100.00	\$0	
Montgomery County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$29,110,754	\$0	\$4,366,613	\$5,501,935.62	18.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$26,212,184	\$0	\$3,931,828	\$4,961,724.20	18.93	\$0	
PA	D	9/30/2016	\$26,550,403	\$0	\$26,550,403	\$28,406,725.06	100.00	\$0	
Montgomery County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$6,042,927	\$0	\$906,439	\$1,705,348.86	28.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$4,749,699	\$0	\$712,455	\$1,665,685.34	35.07	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$4,843,237	\$0	\$4,843,237	\$5,728,216.85	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 77 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Moreno Valley	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$10,679,897	\$0	\$1,601,985	\$1,679,511.45	15.73	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,179,895	\$0	\$1,376,984	\$1,391,073.00	15.15	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$9,214,199	\$276,616	\$8,937,583	\$9,127,474.00	100.00	\$0	
Morris County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,330,651	\$0	\$3,049,598	\$4,201,500.00	20.67	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$18,449,460	\$0	\$2,767,419	\$4,060,925.34	22.01	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$18,663,181	\$0	\$18,663,181	\$19,911,544.03	100.00	\$0	
Mount Vernon	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$15,463,889	\$211,556	\$2,108,027	\$2,258,547.66	14.61 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$14,335,667	\$211,556	\$1,938,794	\$1,999,023.50	13.94 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 6/19/2017
NY	D	10/31/2016	\$14,335,667	\$211,556	\$14,124,111	\$14,713,241.93	100.00	\$0	0/19/2017
Mountain View	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,199,901	\$30,524	\$1,199,462	\$3,455,714.00	42.14	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$7,517,210	\$30,524	\$1,097,058	\$3,455,714.00	45.97	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$7,517,210	\$178,684	\$7,338,526	\$8,157,680.00	100.00	\$0	
Muncie	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,608,525	\$12,883	\$2,028,396	\$4,086,347.00	30.03	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,298,233	\$12,883	\$1,831,852	\$4,057,334.00	32.99	\$0	
N	D	6/30/2016	\$12,298,233	\$583,007	\$11,715,226	\$12,817,187.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 78 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Muskegon	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$8,110,403	\$0	\$1,216,560	\$1,850,822.00	22.82	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,306,157	\$0	\$1,095,924	\$1,850,822.19	25.33	\$0	
MI	D	9/30/2016	\$7,306,157	\$437,658	\$6,868,499	\$7,604,385.61	100.00	\$0	
Nashua	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$5,820,807	\$0	\$873,121	\$1,356,279.45	23.30	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$5,044,095	\$0	\$756,614	\$1,300,479.00	25.78	\$0	
NH	D	8/31/2016	\$5,044,095	\$0	\$5,044,095	\$5,630,722.00	100.00	\$0	
Nashville-Davidson	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$63,161,809	\$0	\$9,474,271	\$12,185,866.00	19.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$57,580,630	\$0	\$8,637,095	\$11,483,643.00	19.94	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$58,380,144	\$81,822	\$58,298,322	\$60,717,096.00	100.00	\$0	
Nassau County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$72,032,514	\$456,147	\$10,348,730	\$12,301,891.46	17.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$66,584,273	\$456,147	\$9,531,494	\$11,569,665.18	17.38	\$0	
NY	D	10/31/2016	\$67,136,834	\$843,456	\$66,293,378	\$67,486,273.55	100.00	\$0	
National City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,857,652	\$0	\$1,928,648	\$3,484,832.00	27.10	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$12,063,334	\$0	\$1,809,500	\$3,484,832.00	28.89	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$12,063,334	\$4,625	\$12,058,709	\$12,305,945.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Nebraska	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$103,436,724	\$0	\$15,515,509	\$33,230,811.00	32.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$94,418,837	\$0	\$14,162,826	\$33,035,575.00	34.99	\$0	
NE	D	7/31/2016	\$95,286,929	\$123,101	\$95,163,828	\$99,768,744.00	100.00	\$0	
Nevada	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$69,460,661	\$0	\$10,419,099	\$21,914,368.00	31.55	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$60,442,774	\$0	\$9,066,416	\$21,739,846.90	35.97	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$60,525,157	\$0	\$60,525,157	\$67,406,903.45	100.00	\$0	
New Bedford	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$26,926,932	\$0	\$4,039,040	\$4,973,782.00	18.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$24,639,561	\$0	\$3,695,934	\$4,731,629.00	19.20	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$24,757,163	\$0	\$24,757,163	\$24,920,070.00	100.00	\$0	
New Britain	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$15,182,420	\$0	\$2,277,363	\$2,277,864.35	15.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$13,669,505	\$0	\$2,050,426	\$2,203,040.35	16.12	\$0	
СТ	D	9/30/2016	\$13,669,505	\$904,416	\$12,765,089	\$13,274,665.70	100.00	\$0	
New Brunswick	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,870,439	\$135,027	\$1,195,539	\$1,853,178.00	20.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,869,865	\$135,027	\$1,045,453	\$1,853,178.19	23.55	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$7,869,865	\$458,280	\$7,411,585	\$8,037,730.03	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
New Castle County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,438,851	\$0	\$3,515,828	\$3,524,719.00	15.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$21,208,770	\$0	\$3,181,315	\$3,445,439.00	16.25	\$0	
DE	D	6/30/2016	\$21,511,645	\$13,169	\$21,498,476	\$21,609,343.00	100.00	\$0	
New Hampshire	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$93,475,843	\$167,383	\$13,853,993	\$27,457,455.00	29.37	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$84,457,956	\$167,383	\$12,501,310	\$26,814,826.00	31.75	\$0	
NH	D	8/31/2016	\$85,421,854	\$167,383	\$85,254,471	\$92,883,768.00	100.00	\$0	
New Haven	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$36,057,353	\$90,791	\$5,317,812	\$6,835,812.00	18.96	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$33,015,341	\$90,791	\$4,861,510	\$6,835,811.87	20.70	\$0	
СТ	D	9/30/2016	\$33,261,088	\$90,791	\$33,170,297	\$35,384,692.88	100.00	\$0	
New Jersey	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$172,862,661	\$51	\$25,929,348	\$29,526,040.04	17.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$161,134,633	\$51	\$24,170,144	\$27,364,923.04	16.98	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$162,867,729	\$9,459,139	\$153,408,590	\$159,692,084.93	100.00	\$0	
New Mexico	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$141,586,775	\$0	\$21,238,016	\$25,335,840.00	17.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$130,426,655	\$0	\$19,563,998	\$25,295,840.00	19.39	\$0	
NM	D	6/30/2016	\$131,392,890	\$0	\$131,392,890	\$139,912,295.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
New Orleans	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$136,510,439	\$3,005,670	\$17,470,896	\$20,875,509.24	15.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$131,393,211	\$3,005,670	\$16,703,312	\$19,032,802.29	14.49 #	\$0	
LA	D	10/31/2016	\$132,246,612	\$1,486,311	\$130,760,301	\$127,636,450.83	97.61	\$3,123,850	Requirement waived -
New Rochelle	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$11,997,815	\$45,928	\$1,753,744	\$5,650,044.00	47.09	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,026,987	\$45,928	\$1,608,120	\$5,650,044.00	51.24	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$11,026,987	\$139,872	\$10,887,115	\$11,407,449.00	100.00	\$0	
New York	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$702,569,552	\$0	\$105,385,433	\$153,445,144.00	21.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$648,394,537	\$0	\$97,259,181	\$147,539,050.00	22.75	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$652,319,299	\$53,057	\$652,266,242	\$659,417,575.00	100.00	\$0	
New York City	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$2,366,152,681	\$0	\$354,922,902	\$434,789,958.00	18.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$2,189,344,779	\$0	\$328,401,717	\$416,496,291.00	19.02	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$2,204,025,716	\$0	\$2,204,025,716 \$	52,316,549,725.38	100.00	\$0	
Newark	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$88,252,347	\$849,082	\$12,388,770	\$12,610,708.92	14.29 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$82,654,444	\$849,082	\$11,549,085	\$11,549,119.12	13.97 #	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$83,328,470	\$6,333,761	\$76,994,709	\$79,256,164.83	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 82 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Newport News	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$24,181,706	\$0	\$3,627,256	\$3,678,872.00	15.21	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$22,219,465	\$0	\$3,332,920	\$3,654,817.00	16.45	\$0	
VA	D	6/30/2016	\$22,483,467	\$97,453	\$22,386,014	\$22,977,333.00	100.00	\$0	
Newton Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$33,270,938	\$0	\$4,990,641	\$6,893,852.00	20.72	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$29,589,326	\$0	\$4,438,399	\$6,802,237.23	22.99	\$0	
MA	D	9/30/2016	\$29,881,289	\$0	\$29,881,289	\$31,621,262.34	100.00	\$0	
Niagara Falls	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$13,789,364	\$0	\$2,068,405	\$4,949,597.00	35.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$12,725,491	\$0	\$1,908,824	\$4,890,090.00	38.43	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$12,725,491	\$435,093	\$12,290,398	\$12,959,841.00	100.00	\$0	
Norfolk	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$42,238,625	\$329,790	\$6,006,003	\$6,231,437.00	14.75	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$39,306,904	\$329,790	\$5,566,245	\$5,971,437.00	15.19	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$39,706,545	\$343,280	\$39,363,265	\$39,682,833.00	100.00	\$0	
Norman	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$9,823,647	\$0	\$1,473,547	\$1,575,487.00	16.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,818,042	\$0	\$1,322,706	\$1,575,487.00	17.87	\$0	
OK	D	7/31/2016	\$8,818,042	\$0	\$8,818,042	\$9,809,120.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 83 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
North Carolina	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$426,311,578	\$3,750,000	\$60,196,737	\$65,771,693.00	15.43	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$388,725,778	\$3,750,000	\$54,558,867	\$64,859,193.00	16.69	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$392,254,617	\$0	\$392,254,617	\$408,407,141.00	100.00	\$0	
North Dakota	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$75,293,787	\$0	\$11,294,068	\$17,620,266.00	23.40	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$66,275,900	\$0	\$9,941,385	\$16,855,825.00	25.43	\$0	
ND	D	7/31/2016	\$66,914,405	\$0	\$66,914,405	\$73,500,301.00	100.00	\$0	
North Little Rock	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$7,146,320	\$0	\$1,071,948	\$3,254,586.00	45.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,330,631	\$0	\$949,595	\$3,254,586.00	51.41	\$0	
AR	D	6/30/2016	\$6,330,631	\$31,009	\$6,299,622	\$7,080,012.00	100.00	\$0	
North Miami	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$5,458,531	\$0	\$818,780	\$890,286.85	16.31	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$4,834,628	\$0	\$725,194	\$810,197.40	16.76	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$4,834,628	\$0	\$4,834,628	\$5,274,803.14	100.00	\$0	
Norwalk	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$9,083,538	\$445,710	\$916,820	\$1,245,404.00	13.71 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,294,363	\$445,710	\$798,444	\$1,225,244.00	14.77 #	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,294,363	\$1,055,216	\$7,239,147	\$7,955,171.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 84 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<del>PJ</del>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Oakland	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$93,028,665	\$0	\$13,954,300	\$40,145,486.00	43.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$86,091,977	\$0	\$12,913,797	\$39,791,655.00	46.22	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$86,827,735	\$0	\$86,827,735	\$92,356,958.00	100.00	\$0	
Oakland County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$32,948,049	\$0	\$4,942,207	\$5,232,163.00	15.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,136,470	\$0	\$4,220,471	\$4,798,330.00	17.05	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$28,485,395	\$0	\$28,485,395	\$31,596,071.00	100.00	\$0	
Ocean County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$27,504,309	\$520,462	\$3,605,184	\$6,263,059.00	22.77	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$24,645,418	\$520,462	\$3,176,351	\$5,893,059.00	23.91	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$24,913,958	\$196,250	\$24,717,708	\$26,503,215.00	100.00	\$0	
Oceanside	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,382,557	\$63,438	\$2,393,946	\$5,918,892.00	36.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$15,142,892	\$63,438	\$2,207,996	\$5,686,884.50	37.55	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$15,294,845	\$63,438	\$15,231,407	\$16,577,577.69	100.00	\$0	
Odessa	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$9,666,751	\$31,420	\$1,418,592	\$1,600,620.00	16.56	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$8,986,339	\$31,420	\$1,316,531	\$1,600,620.00	17.81	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$8,986,339	\$31,420	\$8,954,919	\$9,152,067.90	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 85 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Ogden	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,261,059	\$0	\$1,539,159	\$1,587,300.00	15.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,242,980	\$0	\$1,386,447	\$1,587,300.00	17.17	\$0	
UT	D	7/31/2016	\$9,242,980	\$0	\$9,242,980	\$10,227,717.00	100.00	\$0	
Ohio	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$596,115,190	\$0	\$89,417,279	\$106,640,615.00	17.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$543,839,816	\$0	\$81,575,972	\$106,350,323.00	19.56	\$0	
ОН	D	7/31/2016	\$548,506,677	\$0	\$548,506,677	\$595,991,599.00	100.00	\$0	
Oklahoma	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$238,158,930	\$0	\$35,723,840	\$76,657,867.00	32.19	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$219,721,947	\$0	\$32,958,292	\$74,629,704.00	33.97	\$0	
OK	D	7/31/2016	\$221,570,976	\$555,033	\$221,015,943	\$237,150,954.00	100.00	\$0	
Oklahoma City	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$59,515,444	\$0	\$8,927,317	\$11,886,468.00	19.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$54,101,838	\$0	\$8,115,276	\$10,834,137.00	20.03	\$0	
OK	D	7/31/2016	\$54,755,139	\$0	\$54,755,139	\$57,323,111.00	100.00	\$0	
Omaha Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$50,176,856	\$0	\$7,526,528	\$9,450,266.00	18.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$45,043,645	\$0	\$6,756,547	\$9,448,271.00	20.98	\$0	
NE	D	6/30/2016	\$45,635,564	\$0	\$45,635,564	\$47,964,116.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 86 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Onondaga County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$17,099,854	\$1	\$2,564,977	\$2,632,129.05	15.39	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$15,644,249	\$1	\$2,346,637	\$2,448,637.40	15.65	\$0	
NY	D	9/30/2016	\$15,835,676	\$42,930	\$15,792,746	\$16,845,504.56	100.00	\$0	
Ontario	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,841,028	\$0	\$2,676,154	\$3,561,654.00	19.96	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$16,473,398	\$0	\$2,471,010	\$3,561,654.06	21.62	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$16,606,381	\$25,670	\$16,580,711	\$16,809,685.66	100.00	\$0	
Orange	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,765,490	\$296,327	\$1,318,497	\$1,318,497.00	12.25 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -5/1/2017
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$9,864,342	\$296,327	\$1,183,324	\$1,318,497.00	13.37 #	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$9,864,342	\$821,153	\$9,043,189	\$9,379,174.00	100.00	\$0	
Orange Consortium	CHDO-C		\$5,680,937	\$641,598	\$210,543	\$210,543.03	3.71 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$5,680,937	\$641,598	\$210,543	\$210,543.03	3.71 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 1/23/2017
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$5,762,087	\$3,020,853	\$2,741,234	\$2,741,233.92	100.00	\$0	Deobligation complete - 1/23/2017
Orange County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$36,804,369	\$0	\$5,520,655	\$8,780,780.00	23.86	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$34,022,273	\$0	\$5,103,341	\$8,780,780.00	25.81	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$34,376,770	\$62,541	\$34,314,229	\$36,376,418.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 87 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Orange County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$48,549,444	\$0	\$7,282,417	\$11,351,720.25	23.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$42,993,769	\$0	\$6,449,065	\$11,351,720.25	26.40	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$43,544,570	\$0	\$43,544,570	\$46,770,850.82	100.00	\$0	
Orange County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,858,905	\$26,775	\$1,602,061	\$2,114,511.00	19.47	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,786,913	\$26,775	\$1,441,262	\$2,088,511.00	21.34	\$0	
NC	D	7/31/2016	\$9,841,058	\$26,775	\$9,814,283	\$10,727,644.00	100.00	\$0	
Orange County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$27,084,867	\$148,422	\$3,914,309	\$5,182,741.00	19.14	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$24,440,614	\$148,422	\$3,517,671	\$5,122,741.00	20.96	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$24,676,078	\$348,198	\$24,327,880	\$25,612,217.00	100.00	\$0	
Orangeburg County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$3,292,871	\$0	\$493,931	\$1,038,203.00	31.53	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$1,063,969	\$0	\$159,595	\$835,503.00	78.53	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$1,063,969	\$0	\$1,063,969	\$2,570,300.00	100.00	\$0	
Oregon	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$209,577,848	\$193,000	\$31,243,677	\$61,162,672.00	29.18	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$190,591,131	\$193,000	\$28,395,670	\$58,525,914.00	30.71	\$0	
OR	D	6/30/2016	\$192,055,409	\$307,201	\$191,748,208	\$208,630,285.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Orlando	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$27,033,596	\$0	\$4,055,039	\$7,084,457.83	26.21	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$24,317,203	\$0	\$3,647,580	\$7,084,457.83	29.13	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$24,628,749	\$524,109	\$24,104,640	\$25,538,885.87	100.00	\$0	
Osceola County	CHDO-C		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$204,166.50	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$204,166.00	0.00	\$0	
FL	D		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$538,565.88	0.00 **	\$0	
Owensboro	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$7,479,555	\$0	\$1,121,933	\$1,130,058.00	15.11	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$6,880,005	\$0	\$1,032,001	\$1,130,058.00	16.43	\$0	
KY	D	7/31/2016	\$6,880,005	\$0	\$6,880,005	\$7,186,349.00	100.00	\$0	
Oxnard	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$20,847,027	\$0	\$3,127,054	\$3,695,110.62	17.72	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$18,895,180	\$0	\$2,834,277	\$3,117,962.00	16.50	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$19,058,422	\$60,178	\$18,998,244	\$20,283,689.00	100.00	\$0	
Palm Beach County	CHDO-C	11/30/2016	\$51,185,405	\$2,224,993	\$5,452,818	\$5,513,850.96	10.77 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$46,230,986	\$2,224,993	\$4,709,655	\$5,160,168.96	11.16 #	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$46,818,198	\$2,543,570	\$44,274,628	\$44,446,417.71	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Palmdale	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$5,403,437	\$0	\$810,516	\$907,616.00	16.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,277,252	\$0	\$641,588	\$757,616.00	17.71	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$4,277,252	\$489,772	\$3,787,480	\$4,114,751.00	100.00	\$0	
Paramount	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$7,946,303	\$33,457	\$1,158,489	\$1,202,158.00	15.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,212,746	\$33,457	\$1,048,455	\$1,202,158.00	16.67	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,212,746	\$171,743	\$7,041,003	\$7,723,875.00	100.00	\$0	
Parkersburg	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$8,297,752	\$0	\$1,244,663	\$2,471,357.00	29.78	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,452,677	\$0	\$1,117,902	\$2,373,869.00	31.85	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$7,452,677	\$0	\$7,452,677	\$8,015,409.00	100.00	\$0	
Pasadena	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$24,804,532	\$157,514	\$3,563,165	\$3,563,165.00	14.36 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 7/21/2017
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$23,073,586	\$157,514	\$3,303,523	\$3,265,337.06	14.15 #	\$38,186	Deobligation complete - 7/21/2017
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$23,129,548	\$314,597	\$22,814,951	\$22,753,124.00	99.73	\$61,827	Deobligation complete - 7/21/2017
Pasadena	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$13,749,799	\$495,747	\$1,566,723	\$1,566,723.59	11.39 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -2/7/2017
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,429,509	\$495,747	\$1,368,680	\$1,566,723.59	12.60 #	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$12,484,153	\$1,288,165	\$11,195,988	\$11,478,850.09	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 90 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Pasco County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$20,939,675	\$16,709	\$3,124,242	\$3,179,024.49	15.18	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$18,376,416	\$16,709	\$2,739,754	\$2,760,082.34	15.02	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$18,561,654	\$29,782	\$18,531,872	\$19,575,724.61	100.00	\$0	
Passaic	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,222,480	\$125,541	\$2,757,831	\$2,899,442.00	15.08	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,409,117	\$125,541	\$2,485,826	\$2,817,152.00	16.18	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$17,409,117	\$1,202,595	\$16,206,522	\$16,389,969.00	100.00	\$0	
Paterson	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$37,926,490	\$387,805	\$5,301,168	\$6,341,271.00	16.72	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$34,656,696	\$387,805	\$4,810,699	\$6,131,963.05	17.69	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$34,909,321	\$4,945,850	\$29,963,471	\$31,176,617.68	100.00	\$0	
Pawtucket	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$15,007,428	\$0	\$2,251,114	\$7,962,509.00	53.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,619,418	\$0	\$2,042,913	\$7,400,797.00	54.34	\$0	
RI	D	7/31/2016	\$13,670,779	\$0	\$13,670,779	\$14,690,752.00	100.00	\$0	
Peabody Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$44,331,180	\$0	\$6,649,677	\$7,163,806.00	16.16	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$40,383,952	\$0	\$6,057,593	\$6,796,856.00	16.83	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$40,844,431	\$0	\$40,844,431	\$44,324,132.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 91 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
Pennsylvania	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$551,623,532	\$33,210	\$82,710,320	\$109,706,741.49	19.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$506,277,593	\$33,210	\$75,908,429	\$108,605,684.00	21.45	\$0	
PA	D	8/31/2016	\$510,817,405	\$33,210	\$510,784,195	\$518,107,230.00	100.00	\$0	
Peoria	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,834,461	\$13,677	\$2,961,492	\$4,530,444.00	22.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$18,386,524	\$13,677	\$2,744,302	\$4,208,416.00	22.89	\$0	
IL	D	6/30/2016	\$18,437,778	\$13,677	\$18,424,101	\$19,705,331.00	100.00	\$0	
Perth Amboy	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,815,913	\$0	\$1,472,387	\$1,558,841.00	15.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,963,391	\$0	\$1,344,509	\$1,475,330.00	16.46	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$8,963,391	\$30,000	\$8,933,391	\$9,780,974.00	100.00	\$0	
Philadelphia	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$324,864,181	\$0	\$48,729,627	\$71,900,162.00	22.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$298,825,056	\$0	\$44,823,758	\$69,778,282.00	23.35	\$0	
PA	D	8/31/2016	\$301,015,872	\$1	\$301,015,871	\$309,933,343.00	100.00	\$0	
Phoenix	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$125,454,469	\$0	\$18,818,170	\$20,513,144.00	16.35	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$113,176,630	\$0	\$16,976,495	\$19,563,889.00	17.29	\$0	
AZ	D	8/31/2016	\$114,576,878	\$0	\$114,576,878	\$119,786,372.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 92 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Pierce County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$31,953,947	\$0	\$4,793,092	\$9,395,011.00	29.40	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$29,023,466	\$0	\$4,353,520	\$9,354,794.00	32.23	\$0	
WA	D	7/31/2016	\$29,361,026	\$0	\$29,361,026	\$30,208,320.00	100.00	\$0	
Pine Bluff	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$9,584,894	\$11,648	\$1,426,086	\$1,561,158.00	16.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,933,509	\$11,648	\$1,328,378	\$1,561,158.00	17.48	\$0	
AR	D	7/31/2016	\$8,933,509	\$21,966	\$8,911,543	\$9,440,394.00	100.00	\$0	
Pinellas County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$36,379,155	\$0	\$5,456,873	\$10,639,109.52	29.25	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$33,179,361	\$0	\$4,976,904	\$10,492,752.52	31.62	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$33,581,582	\$0	\$33,581,582	\$35,930,916.17	100.00	\$0	
Pittsburgh	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$80,331,278	\$0	\$12,049,692	\$14,119,222.00	17.58	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$74,731,097	\$0	\$11,209,665	\$13,863,927.00	18.55	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$75,318,142	\$82,008	\$75,236,134	\$79,655,373.00	100.00	\$0	
Plano	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$5,559,071	\$0	\$833,861	\$1,225,788.53	22.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$4,474,262	\$0	\$671,139	\$1,111,920.10	24.85	\$0	
TX	D	9/30/2016	\$4,586,335	\$0	\$4,586,335	\$5,360,379.88	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 93 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Polk County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$24,963,803	\$0	\$3,744,570	\$4,137,546.15	16.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$22,498,390	\$0	\$3,374,759	\$3,982,826.00	17.70	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$22,682,217	\$400,777	\$22,281,440	\$24,018,616.67	100.00	\$0	
Pomona	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$20,919,034	\$0	\$3,137,855	\$3,687,344.00	17.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,330,704	\$0	\$2,899,606	\$3,523,309.00	18.23	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$19,383,301	\$601,171	\$18,782,130	\$19,945,108.00	100.00	\$0	
Pompano Beach	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$8,227,278	\$0	\$1,234,092	\$1,446,105.60	17.58	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$7,339,257	\$0	\$1,100,889	\$1,446,105.60	19.70	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$7,339,257	\$0	\$7,339,257	\$8,198,467.46	100.00	\$0	
Ponce	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,251,919	\$30,124	\$4,507,664	\$5,898,301.00	19.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$27,862,767	\$30,124	\$4,149,291	\$4,949,301.00	17.76	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$27,908,381	\$692,486	\$27,215,895	\$27,861,640.00	100.00	\$0	
Pontiac	CHDO-C		\$13,994,973	\$0	\$2,099,246	\$7,068,859.28	50.51	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$13,621,420	\$0	\$2,043,213	\$7,068,859.00	51.90	\$0	
MI	D	8/31/2016	\$13,621,420	\$555,403	\$13,066,017	\$13,439,570.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 94 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Port Arthur	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,395,745	\$140,119	\$1,269,243	\$2,067,433.00	22.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,707,374	\$140,119	\$1,165,987	\$1,834,695.00	21.07	\$0	
TX	D	6/30/2016	\$8,707,374	\$203,024	\$8,504,350	\$8,780,739.00	100.00	\$0	
Port Huron	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$6,594,994	\$0	\$989,249	\$1,051,750.00	15.95	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,050,844	\$0	\$907,627	\$1,018,438.00	16.83	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$6,050,844	\$0	\$6,050,844	\$6,336,763.00	100.00	\$0	
Portland	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$17,267,045	\$0	\$2,590,057	\$2,590,057.00	15.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,589,171	\$0	\$2,188,376	\$2,590,057.00	17.75	\$0	
ME	D	7/31/2016	\$14,589,171	\$0	\$14,589,171	\$15,982,686.00	100.00	\$0	
Portland Consortium	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$93,034,667	\$0	\$13,955,200	\$26,532,743.00	28.52	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$84,056,660	\$0	\$12,608,499	\$24,154,636.68	28.74	\$0	
OR	D	9/30/2016	\$85,015,025	\$0	\$85,015,025	\$91,078,602.06	100.00	\$0	
Portsmouth	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,254,650	\$0	\$2,588,198	\$3,346,430.00	19.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$16,434,010	\$0	\$2,465,102	\$3,346,430.00	20.36	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$16,434,010	\$168,646	\$16,265,364	\$16,308,800.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 95 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Prince George's Count	yCHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$57,389,892	\$435,057	\$8,173,427	\$8,241,089.00	14.36 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$52,849,044	\$435,057	\$7,492,300	\$7,833,029.78	14.82 #	\$0	
MD	D	9/30/2016	\$53,648,212	\$4,568,082	\$49,080,130	\$49,740,607.85	100.00	\$0	
Prince William County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,077,169	\$243,730	\$1,867,846	\$2,030,429.00	14.42 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$12,326,764	\$243,730	\$1,605,285	\$2,030,429.00	16.47	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$12,531,229	\$243,730	\$12,287,499	\$14,042,305.00	100.00	\$0	
Providence	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$48,146,494	\$134,560	\$7,087,414	\$8,482,995.21	17.62	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$44,542,485	\$134,560	\$6,546,813	\$8,441,630.21	18.95	\$0	
RI	D	9/30/2016	\$44,946,480	\$134,560	\$44,811,920	\$45,267,175.96	100.00	\$0	
Provo Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,837,375	\$5	\$4,625,602	\$4,726,514.00	15.33	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$27,789,953	\$5	\$4,168,488	\$4,370,164.00	15.73	\$0	
UT	D	8/31/2016	\$28,051,560	\$5	\$28,051,555	\$28,164,909.00	100.00	\$0	
Pueblo Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$19,460,809	\$260,016	\$2,659,106	\$2,976,324.00	15.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$18,048,730	\$260,016	\$2,447,294	\$2,805,663.00	15.54	\$0	
СО	D	7/31/2016	\$18,080,412	\$641,982	\$17,438,430	\$17,515,993.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments <sup>++</sup>	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Puerto Rico	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$309,902,764	\$5,117,384	\$41,368,031	\$46,289,426.00	14.94 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$283,335,240	\$5,117,384	\$37,382,902	\$41,236,647.00	14.55 #	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$283,833,925	\$4,351,805	\$279,482,120	\$279,101,376.00	99.86	\$380,744	Requirement waived -8/22/2016
Quincy Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,197,925	\$0	\$2,579,689	\$7,741,069.00	45.01	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$15,214,684	\$0	\$2,282,203	\$7,510,294.00	49.36	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$15,272,742	\$11,725	\$15,261,017	\$16,156,297.00	100.00	\$0	
Racine	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$15,534,235	\$0	\$2,330,135	\$3,452,776.00	22.23	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$14,295,036	\$0	\$2,144,255	\$3,452,776.00	24.15	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$14,295,036	\$85,939	\$14,209,097	\$14,508,928.00	100.00	\$0	
Raleigh	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$26,114,762	\$0	\$3,917,214	\$6,013,182.00	23.03	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$23,085,245	\$0	\$3,462,787	\$6,013,182.00	26.05	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$23,492,894	\$76,297	\$23,416,597	\$24,470,002.00	100.00	\$0	
Reading	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$20,170,598	\$0	\$3,025,590	\$4,124,232.00	20.45	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$17,922,319	\$0	\$2,688,348	\$4,017,963.00	22.42	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$17,922,319	\$159,360	\$17,762,959	\$19,595,407.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 97 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Redding	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$6,325,653	\$0	\$948,848	\$1,180,495.00	18.66	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$5,231,831	\$0	\$784,775	\$1,175,029.00	22.46	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$5,231,831	\$0	\$5,231,831	\$6,149,796.00	100.00	\$0	
Redwood City	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$7,011,768	\$387,427	\$664,338	\$889,636.00	12.69 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,315,864	\$387,427	\$559,953	\$712,042.00	11.27 #	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$6,315,864	\$431,923	\$5,883,941	\$6,320,077.00	100.00	\$0	
Reno Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,400,781	\$0	\$4,410,117	\$8,997,162.00	30.60	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$26,276,265	\$0	\$3,941,440	\$8,725,788.35	33.21	\$0	
NV	D	9/30/2016	\$26,667,545	\$0	\$26,667,545	\$28,505,894.14	100.00	\$0	
Rhode Island	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$96,820,779	\$7,335	\$14,515,782	\$39,878,544.00	41.19	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$87,802,892	\$7,335	\$13,163,099	\$38,926,739.06	44.33	\$0	
RI	D	9/30/2016	\$88,807,771	\$1,853,907	\$86,953,864	\$93,672,076.58	100.00	\$0	
Richland Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$11,779,263	\$0	\$1,766,889	\$2,326,195.00	19.75	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,408,978	\$0	\$1,561,347	\$2,175,246.00	20.90	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$10,427,072	\$0	\$10,427,072	\$11,811,818.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Richland County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$7,519,763	\$0	\$1,127,964	\$1,466,755.56	19.51	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$6,122,966	\$0	\$918,445	\$1,458,320.14	23.82	\$0	
SC	D	9/30/2016	\$6,278,150	\$3,613	\$6,274,538	\$7,361,921.70	100.00	\$0	
Richmond	CHDO-C		\$15,523,324	\$1,461,085	\$867,414	\$6,245,815.04	40.24	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$14,733,553	\$1,461,085	\$748,948	\$6,245,815.00	42.39	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$14,733,553	\$3,536,923	\$11,196,630	\$11,834,821.00	100.00	\$0	
Richmond	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$41,410,931	\$0	\$6,211,640	\$17,837,234.00	43.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$37,921,634	\$0	\$5,688,245	\$17,273,866.00	45.55	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$38,344,458	\$8,880	\$38,335,578	\$40,575,560.00	100.00	\$0	
Riverside	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,698,365	\$320,900	\$4,283,855	\$8,798,122.00	28.66	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,287,098	\$320,900	\$3,922,165	\$8,632,413.00	30.52	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$28,557,999	\$1,532,711	\$27,025,288	\$27,746,026.00	100.00	\$0	
Riverside County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$65,439,248	\$0	\$9,815,887	\$18,468,544.96	28.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$60,344,719	\$0	\$9,051,708	\$18,404,736.00	30.50	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$60,902,490	\$500,000	\$60,402,490	\$63,775,617.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<del>PJ</del>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Roanoke	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,053,665	\$0	\$2,258,050	\$3,073,987.00	20.42	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,652,611	\$0	\$2,047,892	\$3,029,991.00	22.19	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$13,703,810	\$0	\$13,703,810	\$15,016,112.00	100.00	\$0	
Rochester	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$71,025,330	\$4,063	\$10,649,736	\$12,816,032.00	18.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$65,279,564	\$4,063	\$9,787,871	\$12,816,032.00	19.63	\$0	
NY	D	8/31/2016	\$65,790,060	\$4,063	\$65,785,997	\$71,307,353.00	100.00	\$0	
Rockford	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$21,462,515	\$116,563	\$3,102,814	\$4,568,455.00	21.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,006,780	\$116,563	\$2,734,454	\$4,568,455.00	24.04	\$0	
L	D	7/31/2016	\$19,203,185	\$116,563	\$19,086,622	\$21,117,384.00	100.00	\$0	
Rockland County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$20,366,572	\$5,115	\$3,049,871	\$4,086,823.37	20.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$18,596,710	\$5,115	\$2,784,392	\$3,803,740.00	20.45	\$0	
NY	D	8/31/2016	\$18,780,035	\$153,538	\$18,626,497	\$18,992,086.00	100.00	\$0	
Rocky Mount	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$13,849,568	\$160,011	\$1,917,424	\$1,927,958.00	13.92 #	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$12,415,350	\$160,011	\$1,702,292	\$1,895,129.00	15.26	\$0	
NC	D	8/31/2016	\$12,415,350	\$160,011	\$12,255,339	\$12,567,094.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 100 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli	<del></del>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Rosemead	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,917,416	\$638,725	\$698,888	\$775,355.00	8.69 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,264,837	\$638,725	\$601,001	\$601,001.00	7.27 #	\$0	Deobligation complete -
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$8,264,837	\$1,803,472	\$6,461,366	\$6,510,998.00	100.00	\$0	
Sacramento	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$66,303,184	\$266,989	\$9,678,488	\$13,825,424.00	20.85	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$60,708,933	\$266,989	\$8,839,351	\$13,825,424.00	22.77	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$61,342,329	\$517,336	\$60,824,993	\$65,358,330.00	100.00	\$0	
Sacramento County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$71,885,774	\$0	\$10,782,866	\$26,207,980.00	36.46	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$66,068,280	\$0	\$9,910,242	\$26,207,980.00	39.67	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$66,899,690	\$0	\$66,899,690	\$71,942,535.00	100.00	\$0	
Saginaw	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$18,044,956	\$14,694	\$2,692,050	\$3,119,171.00	17.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$16,977,295	\$14,694	\$2,531,900	\$2,851,080.00	16.79	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$16,977,295	\$14,694	\$16,962,601	\$17,671,068.00	100.00	\$0	
Salem Consortium	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$18,932,255	\$0	\$2,839,838	\$6,581,968.00	34.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,052,232	\$0	\$2,557,835	\$6,380,668.00	37.42	\$0	
OR	D	7/31/2016	\$17,240,281	\$32,193	\$17,208,088	\$17,650,302.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 101 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Salinas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,137,257	(\$250,000)	\$3,120,589	\$5,658,007.00	29.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$17,489,443	(\$250,000)	\$2,873,416	\$4,220,002.00	24.13	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$17,573,691	(\$250,000)	\$17,823,691	\$18,532,948.00	100.00	\$0	
Salt Lake City	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$27,095,900	\$31,781	\$4,032,604	\$5,762,094.00	21.27	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$24,773,481	\$31,781	\$3,684,241	\$5,762,094.00	23.26	\$0	
JT	D	7/31/2016	\$25,071,520	\$31,781	\$25,039,739	\$26,607,080.00	100.00	\$0	
Salt Lake County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$37,814,743	\$0	\$5,672,211	\$6,352,914.00	16.80	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$33,955,604	\$0	\$5,093,341	\$5,828,447.00	17.16	\$0	
JT	D	7/31/2016	\$34,363,399	\$0	\$34,363,399	\$35,853,930.00	100.00	\$0	
San Angelo	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$9,021,512	\$0	\$1,353,227	\$2,423,928.30	26.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$8,257,636	\$0	\$1,238,645	\$2,423,928.30	29.35	\$0	
ГХ	D	9/30/2016	\$8,257,636	\$189,131	\$8,068,505	\$8,923,219.28	100.00	\$0	
San Antonio	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$145,239,343	\$0	\$21,785,901	\$27,275,064.73	18.78	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$133,681,244	\$0	\$20,052,187	\$26,275,064.73	19.66	\$0	
TΧ	D	10/31/2016	\$134,909,982	\$699,108	\$134,210,874	\$138,334,246.30	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 102 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
San Bernardino	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$34,287,364	\$332,069	\$4,811,036	\$5,986,454.60	17.46	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$31,521,175	\$332,069	\$4,396,108	\$4,923,925.00	15.62	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$31,764,490	\$1,144,005	\$30,620,485	\$30,623,450.00	100.00	\$0	
San Bernardino	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$82,567,061	\$0	\$12,385,059	\$20,732,137.00	25.11	\$0	
County Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$75,696,579	\$0	\$11,354,487	\$20,732,136.94	27.39	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$76,423,316	\$0	\$76,423,316	\$80,734,739.13	100.00	\$0	
San Buenaventura	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,299,079	\$0	\$794,862	\$1,024,986.00	19.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,345,780	\$0	\$651,867	\$807,295.00	18.58	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$4,345,780	\$126,160	\$4,219,620	\$4,304,515.00	100.00	\$0	
San Diego	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$170,797,878	\$0	\$25,619,682	\$33,497,804.00	19.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$157,649,259	\$0	\$23,647,389	\$32,903,299.00	20.87	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$159,247,191	\$0	\$159,247,191	\$163,958,677.00	100.00	\$0	
San Diego County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$76,619,123	\$0	\$11,492,868	\$12,642,900.00	16.50	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$69,981,181	\$0	\$10,497,177	\$12,642,900.00	18.07	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$70,775,615	\$0	\$70,775,615	\$71,558,112.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 103 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
San Francisco	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$161,591,947	\$0	\$24,238,792	\$71,454,487.00	44.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$149,303,263	\$0	\$22,395,489	\$70,864,835.00	47.46	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$150,744,254	\$0	\$150,744,254	\$160,151,201.00	100.00	\$0	
San Joaquin County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$30,779,428	\$103,260	\$4,513,654	\$4,874,718.00	15.84	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,614,596	\$103,260	\$4,188,930	\$4,764,293.00	16.65	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$28,851,406	\$1,123,903	\$27,727,503	\$27,823,560.00	100.00	\$0	
San Jose	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$87,265,628	\$0	\$13,089,844	\$31,531,240.00	36.13	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$79,805,983	\$0	\$11,970,897	\$31,531,240.00	39.51	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$80,484,120	\$0	\$80,484,120	\$82,297,796.00	100.00	\$0	
San Juan	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$115,423,266	\$597,896	\$16,715,594	\$17,533,245.00	15.19	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$108,602,726	\$597,896	\$15,692,513	\$17,533,245.00	16.14	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$108,774,278	\$500	\$108,773,778	\$111,530,484.00	100.00	\$0	
San Luis Obispo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$24,407,734	\$0	\$3,661,160	\$10,495,237.00	43.00	\$0	
County	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$22,134,152	\$0	\$3,320,123	\$9,573,207.00	43.25	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$22,384,891	\$40,439	\$22,344,452	\$23,819,611.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 104 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
San Mateo	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$9,697,542	\$63	\$1,454,568	\$2,752,363.00	28.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$8,969,166	\$63	\$1,345,312	\$2,752,363.00	30.69	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$8,969,166	\$63	\$8,969,103	\$9,916,095.00	100.00	\$0	
San Mateo County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$40,972,381	\$0	\$6,145,857	\$7,622,672.00	18.60	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$38,184,962	\$0	\$5,727,744	\$7,622,672.00	19.96	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$38,518,410	\$113,779	\$38,404,631	\$41,753,517.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Ana	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$45,959,572	\$67,974	\$6,825,962	\$20,453,615.00	44.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$42,407,817	\$67,974	\$6,293,198	\$20,453,615.00	48.23	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$42,749,057	\$643,413	\$42,105,644	\$43,257,376.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Barbara	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,345,631	\$0	\$2,451,845	\$7,847,064.00	48.01	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,144,594	\$0	\$2,271,689	\$7,387,709.00	48.78	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$15,144,594	\$0	\$15,144,594	\$15,585,936.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Barbara County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,667,411	\$0	\$4,450,112	\$12,813,150.00	43.19	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$26,888,632	\$0	\$4,033,295	\$12,813,150.00	47.65	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$27,188,015	\$0	\$27,188,015	\$28,239,517.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 105 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Santa Clara	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,920,615	\$42,516	\$1,745,576	\$3,365,258.00	28.23	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,943,929	\$42,516	\$1,599,073	\$3,365,258.00	30.75	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$10,943,929	\$1,228,942	\$9,714,987	\$9,770,010.00	100.00	\$0	Deobligation complete - 11/22/2016
Santa Clara County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,676,175	\$108,192	\$2,843,234	\$7,884,105.00	40.07	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$18,390,241	\$108,192	\$2,650,344	\$7,361,065.00	40.03	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$18,544,275	\$108,732	\$18,435,543	\$18,910,590.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Cruz	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,998,991	\$0	\$1,349,849	\$2,482,262.00	27.58	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$8,142,503	\$0	\$1,221,375	\$2,468,612.00	30.32	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$8,142,503	\$38,262	\$8,104,241	\$8,324,791.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Monica	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$16,584,629	\$417,623	\$2,070,071	\$5,894,966.00	35.54	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$15,141,573	\$417,623	\$1,853,613	\$5,894,966.00	38.93	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$15,141,573	\$1,715,968	\$13,425,605	\$14,006,100.00	100.00	\$0	
Santa Rosa	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,031,832	\$99,300	\$2,305,475	\$2,496,191.00	15.57	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,391,459	\$99,300	\$2,059,419	\$2,165,872.00	15.05	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$14,513,750	\$99,300	\$14,414,450	\$14,811,311.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 106 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Sarasota Consortium	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$18,940,725	\$0	\$2,841,109	\$4,527,376.92	23.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$16,864,745	\$0	\$2,529,712	\$4,527,376.92	26.85	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$17,065,399	\$0	\$17,065,399	\$18,181,987.80	100.00	\$0	
Savannah	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$27,013,826	\$0	\$4,052,074	\$7,189,098.00	26.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$25,038,578	\$0	\$3,755,787	\$7,189,098.00	28.71	\$0	
GA	D	6/30/2016	\$25,170,232	\$0	\$25,170,232	\$26,341,533.00	100.00	\$0	
Schenectady	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$31,475,806	\$7,240	\$4,714,131	\$6,635,161.84	21.08	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,844,943	\$7,240	\$4,319,501	\$6,257,255.00	21.69	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$29,142,125	\$7,240	\$29,134,885	\$31,048,775.00	100.00	\$0	
Scranton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,669,174	\$0	\$2,200,376	\$2,354,878.00	16.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$13,427,034	\$0	\$2,014,055	\$2,226,171.00	16.58	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$13,427,034	\$11,076	\$13,415,958	\$14,189,112.00	100.00	\$0	
Seattle	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$87,648,247	\$0	\$13,147,237	\$16,359,520.00	18.66	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$79,869,195	\$0	\$11,980,379	\$16,359,520.00	20.48	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$80,875,764	\$1,360,035	\$79,515,729	\$87,753,114.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 107 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Seminole County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$11,710,573	\$0	\$1,756,586	\$2,066,024.83	17.64	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$10,066,110	\$0	\$1,509,917	\$2,066,024.83	20.52	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$10,307,808	\$0	\$10,307,808	\$11,012,299.95	100.00	\$0	
Shelby County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,349,187	\$0	\$1,252,378	\$1,293,901.00	15.50	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,532,129	\$0	\$1,129,819	\$1,248,695.00	16.58	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$7,600,067	\$1	\$7,600,066	\$8,468,856.00	100.00	\$0	
Shreveport	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$30,431,958	\$441,946	\$4,122,848	\$5,134,040.00	16.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$28,221,379	\$441,946	\$3,791,261	\$5,073,540.00	17.98	\$0	
LA	D	7/31/2016	\$28,466,524	\$428,617	\$28,037,907	\$29,762,172.00	100.00	\$0	
Sioux City Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,444,559	\$0	\$1,716,684	\$2,071,696.00	18.10	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,343,042	\$0	\$1,551,456	\$1,985,037.00	19.19	\$0	
IA	D	7/31/2016	\$10,343,042	\$0	\$10,343,042	\$10,875,484.00	100.00	\$0	
Sioux Falls	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,191,881	\$0	\$1,528,782	\$3,088,955.00	30.31	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,076,054	\$0	\$1,361,408	\$2,802,129.00	30.87	\$0	
SD	D	6/30/2016	\$9,076,054	\$0	\$9,076,054	\$9,253,676.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 108 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Skagit County	CHDO-C		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,999.90	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
WA	D		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$265,795.77	0.00 **	\$0	
Snohomish County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$40,265,647	\$0	\$6,039,847	\$11,943,845.00	29.66	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$35,909,846	\$0	\$5,386,477	\$11,724,442.00	32.65	\$0	
WA	D	7/31/2016	\$36,459,960	\$0	\$36,459,960	\$37,363,408.00	100.00	\$0	
Somerset County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$12,935,382	\$15,831	\$1,924,477	\$3,120,442.20	24.12	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$11,882,356	\$15,831	\$1,766,523	\$2,833,322.45	23.84	\$0	
NJ	D	9/30/2016	\$12,013,357	\$339,750	\$11,673,607	\$12,105,553.08	100.00	\$0	
Somerville	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,825,389	\$0	\$2,673,808	\$7,784,793.00	43.67	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$16,378,449	\$0	\$2,456,767	\$6,975,584.82	42.59	\$0	
MA	D	9/30/2016	\$16,378,449	\$0	\$16,378,449	\$16,442,968.37	100.00	\$0	
Sonoma County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$23,840,230	\$148,050	\$3,427,985	\$3,724,971.00	15.62	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$21,886,470	\$148,050	\$3,134,921	\$3,724,971.00	17.02	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$22,120,707	\$0	\$22,120,707	\$23,504,152.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 109 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
South Bend	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$23,185,838	\$0	\$3,477,876	\$5,044,763.00	21.76	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$21,044,059	\$0	\$3,156,609	\$5,015,643.00	23.83	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$21,263,167	\$0	\$21,263,167	\$22,487,464.00	100.00	\$0	
South Carolina	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$224,848,213	\$0	\$33,727,232	\$40,741,302.00	18.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$211,405,532	\$0	\$31,710,830	\$39,452,718.00	18.66	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$213,565,120	\$58,506	\$213,506,614	\$213,955,260.00	100.00	\$0	
South Dakota	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$78,131,397	\$0	\$11,719,710	\$14,051,407.00	17.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$69,113,510	\$0	\$10,367,027	\$13,198,399.00	19.10	\$0	
SD	D	6/30/2016	\$69,865,905	\$0	\$69,865,905	\$74,191,695.00	100.00	\$0	
South Gate	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$19,150,292	\$154,272	\$2,718,271	\$2,788,368.15	14.56 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,574,536	\$154,272	\$2,481,908	\$2,571,303.00	14.63 #	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$17,574,536	\$926,716	\$16,647,820	\$17,938,476.00	100.00	\$0	
Spartanburg	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$7,308,942	\$3,684	\$1,092,657	\$1,272,716.00	17.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$6,858,503	\$3,684	\$1,025,091	\$1,270,715.00	18.53	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$6,858,503	\$39,199	\$6,819,304	\$6,851,906.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 110 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Spartanburg County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$6,147,362	\$0	\$922,104	\$1,384,801.00	22.53	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,984,424	\$0	\$747,664	\$1,347,648.00	27.04	\$0	
SC	D	7/31/2016	\$5,092,763	\$0	\$5,092,763	\$5,531,483.00	100.00	\$0	
Spokane	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$33,932,913	\$0	\$5,089,937	\$5,503,941.00	16.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$31,020,926	\$0	\$4,653,139	\$5,030,596.00	16.22	\$0	
WA	D	8/31/2016	\$31,306,679	\$0	\$31,306,679	\$32,876,593.00	100.00	\$0	
Spokane County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$16,667,600	\$0	\$2,500,140	\$5,397,156.00	32.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$14,984,929	\$0	\$2,247,739	\$5,397,156.00	36.02	\$0	
WA	D	7/31/2016	\$15,154,996	\$0	\$15,154,996	\$16,291,976.00	100.00	\$0	
Springfield	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,701,966	\$0	\$2,055,295	\$2,127,518.00	15.53	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$12,448,380	\$0	\$1,867,257	\$2,074,562.85	16.67	\$0	
IL	D	9/30/2016	\$12,448,380	\$0	\$12,448,380	\$13,514,931.28	100.00	\$0	
Springfield	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$38,466,538	\$0	\$5,769,981	\$6,494,001.00	16.88	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$35,012,969	\$0	\$5,251,945	\$6,491,021.00	18.54	\$0	
MA	D	7/31/2016	\$35,284,878	\$0	\$35,284,878	\$38,761,186.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 111 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Springfield	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,940,305	\$0	\$3,291,046	\$4,836,787.00	22.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,680,231	\$0	\$2,952,035	\$4,836,787.00	24.58	\$0	
MO	D	7/31/2016	\$19,923,431	\$0	\$19,923,431	\$21,809,346.00	100.00	\$0	
Springfield	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,984,796	\$54,592	\$2,043,127	\$2,043,127.00	14.61 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 5/18/2017
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,926,121	\$54,592	\$1,884,326	\$1,992,056.00	15.41	\$0	5/10/2017
OH	D	6/30/2016	\$12,926,121	\$54,592	\$12,871,529	\$13,396,347.00	100.00	\$0	
St. Clair County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$21,756,986	\$0	\$3,263,548	\$3,390,056.97	15.58	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$19,601,504	\$0	\$2,940,226	\$3,374,123.72	17.21	\$0	
IL	D	10/31/2016	\$19,811,248	\$0	\$19,811,248	\$21,842,566.17	100.00	\$0	
St. Joseph	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,666,717	\$0	\$1,450,008	\$1,706,422.00	17.65	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,845,230	\$0	\$1,326,785	\$1,623,885.00	18.36	\$0	
MO	D	7/31/2016	\$8,845,230	\$0	\$8,845,230	\$9,053,300.00	100.00	\$0	
St. Louis	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$99,147,737	\$0	\$14,872,161	\$22,723,260.00	22.92	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$92,142,097	\$0	\$13,821,315	\$22,275,617.00	24.18	\$0	
MO	D	7/31/2016	\$92,882,419	\$0	\$92,882,419	\$95,906,701.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 112 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
St. Louis County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$16,280,591	\$0	\$2,442,089	\$4,640,792.00	28.51	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$14,945,111	\$0	\$2,241,767	\$4,640,792.00	31.05	\$0	
MN	D	6/30/2016	\$15,068,786	\$0	\$15,068,786	\$16,522,254.00	100.00	\$0	
St. Louis County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$68,730,493	\$0	\$10,309,574	\$13,407,943.00	19.51	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$60,192,575	\$0	\$9,028,886	\$12,383,733.00	20.57	\$0	
MO	D	7/31/2016	\$61,029,969	\$0	\$61,029,969	\$68,989,091.00	100.00	\$0	
St. Lucie County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$4,733,410	\$0	\$710,012	\$871,689.09	18.42	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$3,351,314	\$0	\$502,697	\$871,689.09	26.01	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$3,369,091	\$0	\$3,369,091	\$4,571,256.92	100.00	\$0	
St. Paul	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$48,713,389	\$0	\$7,307,008	\$7,905,646.00	16.23	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$44,197,014	\$0	\$6,629,552	\$7,905,646.00	17.89	\$0	
MN	D	6/30/2016	\$44,667,493	\$0	\$44,667,493	\$48,249,346.00	100.00	\$0	
St. Petersburg	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$29,207,220	\$1	\$4,381,082	\$7,304,217.01	25.01	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$27,112,400	\$1	\$4,066,859	\$6,832,515.33	25.20	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$27,396,038	\$1	\$27,396,037	\$28,426,755.80	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 113 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Stamford	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$12,144,968	\$0	\$1,821,745	\$2,431,377.00	20.02	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$10,975,331	\$0	\$1,646,300	\$2,431,377.00	22.15	\$0	
СТ	D	9/30/2016	\$10,975,331	\$75,900	\$10,899,431	\$11,052,978.37	100.00	\$0	
Stark County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,415,773	\$0	\$3,212,366	\$4,257,329.00	19.88	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,384,715	\$0	\$2,907,707	\$4,233,714.00	21.84	\$0	
OH	D	7/31/2016	\$19,579,586	\$0	\$19,579,586	\$21,266,146.00	100.00	\$0	
State College	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$8,354,736	\$0	\$1,253,210	\$6,122,972.00	73.29	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,234,781	\$0	\$1,085,217	\$6,071,054.00	83.91	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,234,781	\$0	\$7,234,781	\$8,308,915.00	100.00	\$0	
Stockton	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$42,246,765	\$137,547	\$6,199,468	\$12,093,617.00	28.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$38,806,718	\$137,547	\$5,683,461	\$11,631,138.00	29.97	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$39,130,446	\$300,051	\$38,830,395	\$40,257,844.00	100.00	\$0	
Suffolk Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,810,564	\$145,200	\$1,176,385	\$1,620,584.00	18.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,875,678	\$145,200	\$1,036,152	\$1,529,396.00	19.42	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,875,678	\$155,028	\$7,720,650	\$7,777,341.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 114 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Suffolk County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$41,682,489	\$0	\$6,252,373	\$6,598,431.00	15.83	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$38,166,972	\$0	\$5,725,046	\$6,225,200.00	16.31	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$38,561,233	\$13,781	\$38,547,452	\$41,598,736.00	100.00	\$0	
Summit County	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$11,700,359	\$0	\$1,755,054	\$2,536,787.00	21.68	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,755,437	\$0	\$1,613,316	\$2,412,010.00	22.43	\$0	
OH	D	6/30/2016	\$10,857,341	\$214,523	\$10,642,818	\$11,034,215.00	100.00	\$0	
Sumter County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,551,145	\$160,350	\$2,772,322	\$2,860,450.00	14.63 #	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$17,804,706	\$160,350	\$2,510,356	\$2,709,033.00	15.22	\$0	
SC	D	6/30/2016	\$17,952,224	\$296,648	\$17,655,577	\$18,592,973.00	100.00	\$0	
Sunnyvale	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,423,522	\$3,517	\$2,010,012	\$3,037,493.00	22.63	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$12,478,450	\$3,517	\$1,868,251	\$3,037,493.00	24.34	\$0	
CA	D	8/31/2016	\$12,478,450	\$284,761	\$12,193,689	\$12,197,206.00	100.00	\$0	Deobligation complete - 2/21/2017
Surry County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,419,879	\$440	\$1,862,541	\$2,139,987.00	17.23	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,019,472	\$440	\$1,652,480	\$2,090,955.00	18.98	\$0	
NC	D	7/31/2016	\$11,136,309	\$440	\$11,135,869	\$11,300,908.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 115 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Syracuse	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$43,072,474	\$13,617	\$6,447,254	\$8,973,818.00	20.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$39,584,362	\$13,617	\$5,924,037	\$8,699,318.00	21.98	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$39,846,340	\$173,618	\$39,672,722	\$41,022,906.00	100.00	\$0	
Tacoma Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$38,304,009	\$0	\$5,745,601	\$7,637,141.00	19.94	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$35,004,989	\$0	\$5,250,748	\$7,576,039.00	21.64	\$0	
WA	D	7/31/2016	\$35,389,693	\$0	\$35,389,693	\$38,117,028.00	100.00	\$0	
Tallahassee	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$21,534,422	\$0	\$3,230,163	\$4,088,266.00	18.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$19,199,543	\$0	\$2,879,931	\$3,737,253.36	19.47	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$19,515,933	\$0	\$19,515,933	\$21,538,461.57	100.00	\$0	
Tampa	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$44,208,632	\$0	\$6,631,295	\$8,029,940.92	18.16	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$40,678,292	\$0	\$6,101,744	\$7,173,984.79	17.64	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$41,080,533	\$460,171	\$40,620,362	\$41,415,328.17	100.00	\$0	
Tarrant County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$26,752,450	\$0	\$4,012,868	\$10,850,078.00	40.56	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$23,606,652	\$0	\$3,540,998	\$10,850,078.00	45.96	\$0	
TX	D	7/31/2016	\$23,943,501	\$9,882	\$23,933,619	\$27,242,365.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 116 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Taunton Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,479,126	\$53,385	\$1,218,484	\$1,785,900.00	21.06	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,150,417	\$53,385	\$1,019,178	\$1,777,466.55	24.86	\$0	
MA	D	9/30/2016	\$7,282,374	\$53,385	\$7,228,989	\$8,367,543.83	100.00	\$0	
Tennessee	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$330,886,814	\$0	\$49,633,022	\$49,945,948.00	15.09	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$301,391,369	\$0	\$45,208,705	\$49,657,202.00	16.48	\$0	
TN	D	6/30/2016	\$304,026,274	\$0	\$304,026,274	\$310,889,024.00	100.00	\$0	
Terre Haute	CHDO-C	5/31/2016	\$9,969,052	\$0	\$1,495,358	\$5,755,267.00	57.73	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,031,564	\$0	\$1,354,735	\$5,720,267.00	63.34	\$0	
IN	D	6/30/2016	\$9,031,564	\$0	\$9,031,564	\$9,975,533.00	100.00	\$0	
Texas	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$832,379,452	\$20,208,411	\$104,648,507	\$113,394,401.00	13.62 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$759,581,451	\$20,208,411	\$93,728,807	\$108,097,534.00	14.23 #	\$0	
TX	D	6/30/2016	\$766,790,816	\$644,329	\$766,146,487	\$791,264,949.00	100.00	\$0	
Thurston County	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$9,771,918	\$0	\$1,465,788	\$2,179,048.54	22.30	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$7,987,439	\$0	\$1,198,116	\$2,172,174.86	27.19	\$0	
WA	D	9/30/2016	\$8,195,606	\$4	\$8,195,602	\$9,907,460.70	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 117 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Тоа Ваја	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$9,234,886	\$1,225,032	\$160,201	\$299,400.00	3.24 #	\$0	Deobligation complete - 2/15/2017
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$8,343,541	\$1,225,032	\$26,499	\$299,400.00	3.59 #	\$0	2/13/2017
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$8,343,541	\$1,181,566	\$7,161,975	\$7,692,752.00	100.00	\$0	
Toledo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$59,703,881	\$103,647	\$8,851,935	\$19,348,922.00	32.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$54,676,953	\$103,647	\$8,097,896	\$19,348,922.00	35.39	\$0	
OH	D	7/31/2016	\$55,130,852	\$103,647	\$55,027,205	\$57,839,564.00	100.00	\$0	
Topeka	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,957,551	\$0	\$2,243,633	\$4,472,664.00	29.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$13,477,213	\$0	\$2,021,582	\$4,018,956.00	29.82	\$0	
KS	D	6/30/2016	\$13,533,168	\$78,410	\$13,454,758	\$14,875,565.00	100.00	\$0	
Trenton	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$19,912,634	\$884,744	\$2,102,151	\$2,101,924.75	10.56 #	\$226	Requirement Suspended1/9/2018
	CHDO-D	12/31/2016	\$18,192,671	\$884,744	\$1,844,156	\$2,101,924.75	11.55 #	\$0	Suspended 1/9/2018
NJ	D	12/31/2016	\$18,244,015	\$3,349,572	\$14,894,443	\$15,743,398.30	100.00	\$0	
Trujillo Alto	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$5,325,061	\$107,211	\$691,548	\$1,630,251.00	30.61	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$4,488,813	\$107,211	\$566,111	\$1,630,251.00	36.32	\$0	
PR	D	7/31/2016	\$4,488,813	\$36,429	\$4,452,384	\$5,175,592.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 118 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Tucson Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$83,361,577	\$0	\$12,504,237	\$13,659,927.00	16.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$75,734,034	\$0	\$11,360,105	\$13,659,927.00	18.04	\$0	
AZ	D	8/31/2016	\$76,630,982	\$0	\$76,630,982	\$81,485,857.00	100.00	\$0	
Tulsa	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$45,698,733	\$0	\$6,854,810	\$17,456,678.70	38.20	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$41,662,740	\$0	\$6,249,411	\$17,456,678.70	41.90	\$0	
OK	D	10/31/2016	\$42,226,273	\$655,000	\$41,571,273	\$45,129,541.32	100.00	\$0	
Tulsa County	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$21,920,993	\$21,498	\$3,266,651	\$11,797,530.00	53.82	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$19,590,254	\$21,498	\$2,917,040	\$11,180,332.91	57.07	\$0	
OK	D	9/30/2016	\$19,833,686	\$874,452	\$18,959,234	\$19,807,515.95	100.00	\$0	
Turlock Consortium	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$18,581,513	\$136,773	\$2,650,454	\$3,524,633.00	18.97	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$15,901,528	\$136,773	\$2,248,456	\$3,524,632.56	22.17	\$0	
CA	D	9/30/2016	\$16,087,923	\$136,773	\$15,951,150	\$17,495,013.31	100.00	\$0	
Tuscaloosa	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,986,590	\$188,289	\$1,909,700	\$3,879,950.00	27.74	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,918,562	\$188,289	\$1,749,496	\$3,774,061.00	29.21	\$0	
AL	D	6/30/2016	\$12,918,562	\$1,765	\$12,916,797	\$12,948,931.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 119 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Tyler	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$10,440,679	\$95,634	\$1,470,468	\$2,024,696.50	19.39	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$9,446,307	\$95,634	\$1,321,312	\$1,971,521.50	20.87	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$9,446,307	\$181,862	\$9,264,445	\$9,924,741.47	100.00	\$0	
Union County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,494,776	\$0	\$4,424,216	\$4,443,935.00	15.07	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$26,950,186	\$0	\$4,042,528	\$4,072,223.00	15.11	\$0	
NJ	D	8/31/2016	\$27,233,161	\$0	\$27,233,161	\$28,522,218.00	100.00	\$0	
Urbana Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,338,772	\$0	\$2,900,816	\$2,961,762.00	15.32	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$17,115,021	\$0	\$2,567,253	\$2,953,261.73	17.26	\$0	
L	D	9/30/2016	\$17,371,498	\$0	\$17,371,498	\$18,804,378.72	100.00	\$0	
Utah	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$78,030,911	\$0	\$11,704,637	\$15,502,336.00	19.87	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$69,013,024	\$0	\$10,351,954	\$15,502,336.00	22.46	\$0	
UT	D	6/30/2016	\$69,637,738	\$0	\$69,637,738	\$76,074,488.00	100.00	\$0	
Utica	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,237,593	\$71,947	\$2,813,692	\$10,115,028.00	52.58	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$17,727,866	\$71,947	\$2,587,233	\$9,477,592.00	53.46	\$0	
NY	D	7/31/2016	\$17,727,866	\$909,035	\$16,818,831	\$16,890,778.00	100.00		Deobligation complete - 10/20/2016

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 120 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Vallejo	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,751,733	\$30,693	\$1,882,067	\$2,186,290.00	17.15	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$11,958,947	\$30,693	\$1,763,149	\$1,859,755.00	15.55	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$11,958,947	\$1,622,832	\$10,336,115	\$10,034,070.00	97.08	\$302,045	Deobligation complete -8/5/2016
Vancouver	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,211,440	\$0	\$631,716	\$711,197.00	16.89	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$2,537,110	\$0	\$380,567	\$547,227.00	21.57	\$0	
WA	D	7/31/2016	\$2,537,110	\$0	\$2,537,110	\$3,679,236.00	100.00	\$0	
Ventura County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$22,455,132	\$0	\$3,368,270	\$6,063,481.00	27.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$21,178,069	\$0	\$3,176,710	\$6,063,481.00	28.63	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$21,362,061	\$485,550	\$20,876,511	\$22,070,186.00	100.00	\$0	
Vermont	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$81,722,273	\$182,477	\$12,075,864	\$23,798,656.00	29.12	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$72,704,386	\$182,477	\$10,723,181	\$22,985,059.00	31.61	\$0	
VT	D	8/31/2016	\$73,272,220	\$182,477	\$73,089,743	\$81,504,859.00	100.00	\$0	
Vineland Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$15,156,775	\$7,502	\$2,266,015	\$2,423,772.00	15.99	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,808,737	\$7,502	\$2,063,809	\$2,353,772.00	17.05	\$0	
NJ	D	7/31/2016	\$13,808,737	\$7,502	\$13,801,235	\$14,794,024.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 121 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Virgin Islands	CHDO-C	12/31/2016	\$24,188,763	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$22,185,257	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	0.00	\$0	
VI	D	10/31/2016	\$22,185,257	\$0	\$22,185,257	\$23,382,625.15	100.00	\$0	
Virginia	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$277,065,323	\$1	\$41,559,797	\$52,351,584.00	18.90	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$255,599,474	\$1	\$38,339,920	\$48,630,024.00	19.03	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$258,371,551	\$234,933	\$258,136,618	\$266,873,129.00	100.00	\$0	
Virginia Beach	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$27,640,116	\$0	\$4,146,017	\$5,364,223.00	19.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$25,165,176	\$0	\$3,774,776	\$5,176,867.00	20.57	\$0	
VA	D	8/31/2016	\$25,486,041	\$0	\$25,486,041	\$27,084,904.00	100.00	\$0	
Visalia	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,614,916	\$4,903	\$1,587,334	\$3,606,756.00	33.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,576,256	\$4,903	\$1,431,535	\$2,999,050.00	31.32	\$0	
CA	D	6/30/2016	\$9,576,256	\$4,903	\$9,571,353	\$10,265,960.00	100.00	\$0	
Volusia County	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$29,697,602	\$0	\$4,454,640	\$7,440,543.90	25.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$28,002,276	\$0	\$4,200,341	\$7,186,614.90	25.66	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$28,176,778	\$0	\$28,176,778	\$29,327,764.14	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 122 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Waco	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$20,611,974	\$0	\$3,091,796	\$6,186,253.78	30.01	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$18,934,367	\$0	\$2,840,155	\$6,094,118.81	32.19	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$19,049,390	\$0	\$19,049,390	\$20,179,257.26	100.00	\$0	
Wake County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,952,994	\$0	\$1,792,949	\$2,719,954.00	22.76	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,364,449	\$0	\$1,554,667	\$2,559,356.00	24.69	\$0	
NC	D	7/31/2016	\$10,530,795	\$0	\$10,530,795	\$11,722,113.00	100.00	\$0	
Warren	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,406,294	\$0	\$1,260,944	\$1,411,558.00	16.79	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,474,908	\$0	\$1,121,236	\$1,271,708.00	17.01	\$0	
MI	D	6/30/2016	\$7,474,908	\$185,995	\$7,288,913	\$7,869,502.00	100.00	\$0	
Warren Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$19,986,546	\$0	\$2,997,982	\$4,441,404.00	22.22	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$18,602,592	\$0	\$2,790,389	\$4,419,138.00	23.76	\$0	
ОН	D	6/30/2016	\$18,743,788	\$0	\$18,743,788	\$19,667,153.00	100.00	\$0	
Washington	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$161,880,900	\$2,889,406	\$21,392,729	\$23,831,988.91	14.72 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	11/30/2016	\$149,108,184	\$2,889,406	\$19,476,822	\$23,831,988.91	15.98	\$0	
DC	D	11/30/2016	\$150,306,561	\$22,344,603	\$127,961,958	\$132,991,363.10	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 123 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Washington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$209,920,294	\$0	\$31,488,044	\$44,081,522.00	21.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$193,997,742	\$0	\$29,099,661	\$44,081,522.00	22.72	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$195,578,966	\$0	\$195,578,966	\$207,858,856.00	100.00	\$0	
Washington County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,382,422	\$0	\$3,207,363	\$3,405,167.00	15.93	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$19,869,966	\$0	\$2,980,495	\$3,405,167.00	17.14	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$20,029,501	\$0	\$20,029,501	\$21,673,579.00	100.00	\$0	
Washington County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$29,901,093	\$0	\$4,485,164	\$17,187,215.00	57.48	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$26,502,119	\$0	\$3,975,318	\$17,137,186.00	64.66	\$0	
OR	D	7/31/2016	\$26,939,574	\$0	\$26,939,574	\$29,468,535.00	100.00	\$0	
Washington County	CHDO-C		\$5,318,666	\$0	\$797,800	\$2,750,725.90	51.72	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D		\$5,318,666	\$0	\$797,800	\$2,750,725.90	51.72	\$0	
TX	D		\$5,318,666	\$1	\$5,318,665	\$5,318,665.20	100.00	\$0	
Washtenaw County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,060,713	(\$232,200)	\$2,191,307	\$5,507,556.00	42.17	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$10,192,293	(\$232,200)	\$1,761,044	\$5,363,896.00	52.63	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$10,289,239	(\$232,200)	\$10,521,439	\$12,696,116.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 124 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Waterbury	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$20,654,500	\$0	\$3,098,175	\$5,423,447.05	26.26	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$18,593,280	\$0	\$2,788,992	\$5,423,447.05	29.17	\$0	
СТ	D	10/31/2016	\$18,716,672	\$118,876	\$18,597,796	\$20,358,267.81	100.00	\$0	
Waterloo Consortium	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$12,789,457	\$0	\$1,918,419	\$3,285,806.00	25.69	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$11,430,064	\$0	\$1,714,510	\$3,285,806.00	28.75	\$0	
IA	D	7/31/2016	\$11,430,064	\$0	\$11,430,064	\$12,315,578.00	100.00	\$0	
Waukesha County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$21,448,042	\$22,913	\$3,194,293	\$3,762,391.00	17.54	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$18,230,544	\$22,913	\$2,711,669	\$3,633,484.00	19.93	\$0	
WI	D	8/31/2016	\$18,609,292	\$22,913	\$18,586,379	\$21,993,370.00	100.00	\$0	
Wayne County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$47,616,485	\$662,355	\$6,480,117	\$9,165,675.00	19.25	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$41,278,086	\$662,355	\$5,529,358	\$8,653,671.10	20.96	\$0	
MI	D	9/30/2016	\$41,729,647	\$1,069,450	\$40,660,196	\$45,170,133.28	100.00	\$0	
West Palm Beach	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$12,357,290	\$49,480	\$1,804,114	\$2,572,189.00	20.82	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$11,259,707	\$49,480	\$1,639,476	\$2,572,189.00	22.84	\$0	
FL	D	10/31/2016	\$11,259,707	\$1,102,019	\$10,157,688	\$10,183,735.40	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 125 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
West Virginia	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$188,013,723	\$1,736,255	\$26,465,803	\$56,080,275.00	29.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$175,770,621	\$1,736,255	\$24,629,338	\$54,479,487.00	30.99	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$177,082,807	\$3,929,640	\$173,153,167	\$180,436,449.00	100.00	\$0	
Westchester County	CHDO-C		\$30,752,451	\$0	\$4,612,868	\$4,914,366.09	15.98	\$0	
	CHDO-D		\$30,752,451	\$0	\$4,612,868	\$4,914,366.09	15.98	\$0	
NY	D		\$31,049,871	\$0	\$31,049,871	\$31,049,871.00	100.00	\$0	
Westland	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$7,075,794	\$0	\$1,061,369	\$2,197,106.35	31.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$6,408,982	\$0	\$961,347	\$2,197,106.00	34.28	\$0	
MI	D	7/31/2016	\$6,408,982	\$0	\$6,408,982	\$6,884,188.00	100.00	\$0	
Westminster	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,679,335	\$0	\$1,301,900	\$3,301,925.00	38.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,851,977	\$0	\$1,177,797	\$2,988,682.00	38.06	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,851,977	\$1	\$7,851,976	\$8,303,691.00	100.00	\$0	
Westmoreland County	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$32,124,932	\$0	\$4,818,740	\$12,732,998.00	39.64	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$29,479,359	\$0	\$4,421,904	\$12,166,580.00	41.27	\$0	
PA	D	7/31/2016	\$29,750,507	\$0	\$29,750,507	\$31,657,471.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 126 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Wheeling Consortium	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$10,217,295	\$0	\$1,532,594	\$1,548,600.00	15.16	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$9,341,901	\$0	\$1,401,285	\$1,508,818.00	16.15	\$0	
WV	D	7/31/2016	\$9,341,901	\$0	\$9,341,901	\$10,127,479.00	100.00	\$0	
Whittier	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$8,094,985	\$239,366	\$974,882	\$2,537,027.00	31.34	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$7,391,371	\$239,366	\$869,340	\$2,537,027.00	34.32	\$0	
CA	D	7/31/2016	\$7,391,371	\$303,559	\$7,087,812	\$7,847,440.00	100.00	\$0	
Wichita	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$38,476,322	\$0	\$5,771,448	\$7,523,085.00	19.55	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$34,784,284	\$0	\$5,217,643	\$7,208,853.00	20.72	\$0	
KS	D	7/31/2016	\$35,205,429	\$0	\$35,205,429	\$38,028,023.00	100.00	\$0	
Wichita Falls	CHDO-C	10/31/2016	\$11,816,575	\$515,361	\$1,257,125	\$1,257,124.93	10.64 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$10,852,967	\$515,361	\$1,112,584	\$1,227,956.13	11.31 #	\$0	
TX	D	10/31/2016	\$10,852,967	\$663,067	\$10,189,900	\$11,212,097.93	100.00	\$0	
Wilkes-Barre	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$6,923,651	\$130,200	\$908,348	\$1,072,577.00	15.49	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$6,111,126	\$130,200	\$786,469	\$952,851.00	15.59	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$6,111,126	\$135,146	\$5,975,980	\$6,193,532.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 127 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Will County	CHDO-C	11/30/2016	\$10,856,631	\$0	\$1,628,495	\$2,754,982.09	25.38	\$0	
	CHDO-D	10/31/2016	\$9,675,458	\$0	\$1,451,319	\$2,554,982.09	26.41	\$0	
IL	D	10/31/2016	\$9,774,250	\$0	\$9,774,250	\$10,422,786.43	100.00	\$0	
Williamsport	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$7,948,070	\$60,000	\$1,132,211	\$1,162,825.00	14.63 #	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$7,173,848	\$60,000	\$1,016,077	\$1,088,813.00	15.18	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$7,173,848	\$60,000	\$7,113,848	\$7,600,854.00	100.00	\$0	
Wilmington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$14,597,946	\$0	\$2,189,692	\$2,714,532.00	18.60	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$13,328,926	\$0	\$1,999,339	\$2,555,261.00	19.17	\$0	
DE	D	7/31/2016	\$13,328,926	\$0	\$13,328,926	\$14,135,530.00	100.00	\$0	
Wilmington	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$13,686,541	\$0	\$2,052,981	\$3,565,475.00	26.05	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$12,206,622	\$0	\$1,830,993	\$3,105,874.00	25.44	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$12,206,622	\$0	\$12,206,622	\$12,627,248.00	100.00	\$0	
Winchester Consc	ortium CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$4,357,817	\$0	\$653,673	\$774,302.00	17.77	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$2,960,829	\$0	\$444,124	\$774,302.00	26.15	\$0	
VA	D	7/31/2016	\$2,966,289	\$0	\$2,966,289	\$3,726,801.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018 Page 128 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		Shortfall	Current Status
Winston-Salem	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$27,445,886	\$0	\$4,116,883	\$6,564,984.00	23.92	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$24,609,979	\$0	\$3,691,497	\$6,408,283.00	26.04	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2016	\$24,934,813	\$273,978	\$24,660,835	\$24,660,835.00	100.00	\$0	
Wisconsin	CHDO-C	6/30/2016	\$280,831,743	\$208,000	\$41,916,761	\$75,941,045.00	27.04	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$255,902,829	\$208,000	\$38,177,424	\$75,224,468.00	29.40	\$0	
WI	D	6/30/2016	\$258,502,473	\$208,000	\$258,294,473	\$271,769,886.00	100.00	\$0	
Woonsocket	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,278,089	\$34,996	\$1,506,718	\$1,849,769.00	18.00	\$0	
	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$9,415,241	\$34,996	\$1,377,290	\$1,712,376.23	18.19	\$0	
RI	D	9/30/2016	\$9,415,241	\$82,497	\$9,332,744	\$10,143,941.29	100.00	\$0	
Worcester	CHDO-C	8/31/2016	\$37,055,788	\$0	\$5,558,368	\$9,731,036.00	26.26	\$0	
	CHDO-D	8/31/2016	\$33,838,577	\$0	\$5,075,787	\$9,722,366.00	28.73	\$0	
MA	D	8/31/2016	\$34,167,770	\$0	\$34,167,770	\$36,434,063.00	100.00	\$0	
Wyoming	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$80,392,439	\$0	\$12,058,866	\$14,798,840.50	18.41	\$0	
	CHDO-D	7/31/2016	\$69,871,571	\$0	\$10,480,736	\$13,612,927.00	19.48	\$0	
WY	D	7/31/2016	\$70,337,167	\$770,946	\$69,566,221	\$76,327,261.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 129 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD through Deadli		<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Yakima	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$11,920,392	\$0	\$1,788,059	\$3,870,811.00	32.47	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$10,719,034	\$0	\$1,607,855	\$3,526,298.00	32.90	\$0	
WA	D	6/30/2016	\$10,719,034	\$0	\$10,719,034	\$11,456,564.00	100.00	\$0	
Yakima County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$2,627,684	\$0	\$394,153	\$555,293.00	21.13	\$0	
Consortium	CHDO-D	9/30/2016	\$1,401,896	\$0	\$210,284	\$555,293.48	39.61	\$0	
WA	D	9/30/2016	\$1,401,896	\$0	\$1,401,896	\$2,249,086.11	100.00	\$0	
Yonkers	CHDO-C	9/30/2016	\$40,133,876	\$100	\$6,019,981	\$8,346,436.42	20.80	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$37,152,429	\$100	\$5,572,764	\$7,428,678.00	20.00	\$0	
NY	D	6/30/2016	\$37,484,912	\$100	\$37,484,812	\$39,478,515.00	100.00	\$0	
York	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$10,469,476	\$0	\$1,570,421	\$3,018,780.00	28.83	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$9,335,003	\$0	\$1,400,250	\$3,018,780.00	32.34	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$9,335,003	\$20,975	\$9,314,028	\$10,476,924.00	100.00	\$0	
York County	CHDO-C	7/31/2016	\$17,499,879	\$0	\$2,624,982	\$5,382,549.00	30.76	\$0	
	CHDO-D	6/30/2016	\$15,783,429	\$0	\$2,367,514	\$4,371,901.00	27.70	\$0	
PA	D	6/30/2016	\$15,970,247	\$0	\$15,970,247	\$16,901,520.00	100.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 130 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

(sorted alphabetically by PJ)

PJ	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Adjustments++	Requirement Amount	Total C,R,orD + through Deadline	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
Youngstown	CHDO-C 7/31/2016	\$21,633,589	\$0	\$3,245,038	\$5,719,213.00 26	6.44	\$0	
	CHDO-D 7/31/2016	\$20,039,071	\$0	\$3,005,861	\$5,719,213.00 28	3.54	\$0	
ОН	D 7/31/2016	\$20,039,071	\$0	\$20,039,071	\$21,796,309.00 100	0.00	\$0	

NOTE: Any ADDI allocations received through FY2008 are reflected in the Original Allocation and respective Requirements.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Monday, March 19, 2018

Page 131 of 131

<sup>+</sup> CHDO-C: CHDO Commitments, CHDO-D: CHDO Disbursements, D: Disbursements

<sup>++</sup>Adjustments could include CHDO reallocations, grant reductions, deobligations, recapture of expired funds, or waivers of deadline requirements due to Presidentially-declared disasters.

<sup>\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2014. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the CHDO reservation deadline.

<sup>\*\*</sup> PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2011. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2016 disbursement deadline.

<sup>#</sup> Due to CHDO deobligation or waiver, PJ could have met its cumulative CHDO reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.