Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> ALBUQUERQUE	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ALBUQUERQUE	С	3/31/2002		\$15,388,800	\$16,849,012	\$1,947,771	\$18,796,783	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$17,027,000	\$2,554,050			\$2,918,400	17.1	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$10,719,000		\$1,947,771	\$15,984,629	100.0	\$0	
LAS CRUCES	С	7/31/2002		\$2,729,350	\$2,869,804	\$156,942	\$3,026,745	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$3,097,000	\$389,550			\$414,550	13.4 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,648,000		\$156,942	\$2,479,824	100.0	\$0	
NEW MEXICO	С	3/31/2002		\$40,183,761	\$42,436,951	\$755,620	\$43,192,571	100.0	\$0	
NM	R		\$46,229,000	\$6,934,350			\$8,394,655	18.2	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$28,259,000		\$755,620	\$40,691,217	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 1 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> ANCHORAGE	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ALASKA	С	7/31/2002		\$23,837,806	\$24,703,827	\$193,351	\$24,897,178	100.0	\$0	
AK	R		\$27,000,000	\$4,050,000			\$5,494,385	20.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$18,000,000		\$193,351	\$23,480,497	100.0	\$0	
ANCHORAGE	С	8/31/2002		\$6,817,625	\$8,092,484	\$0	\$8,092,484	100.0	\$0	
AK	R		\$7,727,000	\$1,029,750			\$1,309,050	16.9 [#]	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,894,000		\$0	\$6,222,757	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 2 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> ATLANTA	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
ALBANY	С	7/31/2002		\$5,946,910	\$6,555,631	\$603,533	\$7,159,164	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$6,073,000	\$910,950	. , ,	,	\$912,670	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,932,000		\$603,533	\$4,636,734	100.0	\$0	
ATHENS	С	8/31/2002		\$4,693,649	\$5,075,566	\$510,478	\$5,586,045	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$5,472,000	\$820,800			\$2,037,815	37.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,465,000		\$510,478	\$5,323,926	100.0	\$0	
ATLANTA	С	3/31/2002		\$29,851,961	\$31,463,928	\$1,156,700	\$32,620,629	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$32,823,000	\$4,923,450			\$6,500,373	19.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$21,936,000		\$1,156,700	\$30,310,097	100.0	\$0	
AUGUSTA	С	2/28/2002		\$7,218,000	\$8,245,699	\$143,010	\$8,388,709	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$8,020,000	\$1,203,000			\$1,421,550	17.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,184,000		\$143,010	\$7,836,309	100.0	\$0	
CLAYTON CO	С	7/31/2002		\$606,500	\$731,000	\$0	\$731,000	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$719,000	\$107,850			\$222,750	31.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
COBB CO CON	С	2/28/2002		\$20,123,038	\$20,369,263	\$1,228,693	\$21,597,956	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$23,593,000	\$3,538,950			\$4,833,417	20.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$15,037,000		\$1,228,693	\$18,511,833	100.0	\$0	
COLUMBUS	С	9/30/2002		\$9,850,901	\$10,736,118	\$19,429	\$10,755,547	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$10,836,000	\$1,625,400			\$1,969,300	18.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$6,974,000		\$19,429	\$10,631,985	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 3 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DEKALB CO	С	2/28/2002		\$13,616,983	\$13,076,998	\$2,443,408	\$15,520,406	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$15,833,000	\$2,374,950			\$2,714,250	17.1	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$10,015,000		\$2,443,408	\$12,615,188	100.0	\$0	
FULTON CO CON	С	2/28/2002		\$978,300	\$1,876,980	\$0	\$1,876,980	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$1,087,000	\$163,050			\$250,010	23.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
GEORGIA	С	7/31/2002		\$134,222,400	\$134,286,761	\$9,512,099	\$143,798,860	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$149,136,000	\$22,370,400			\$25,785,780	17.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$94,806,000		\$9,512,099	\$119,492,022	100.0	\$0	
GWINNETT CO	С	2/28/2002		\$647,700	\$666,190	\$0	\$666,190	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$762,000	\$114,300			\$242,400	31.8	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
MACON	С	7/31/2002		\$7,661,800	\$8,667,286	\$1,369,263	\$10,036,549	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$8,513,000	\$1,276,950			\$3,854,722	45.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,522,000		\$1,369,263	\$9,119,241	100.0	\$0	
SAVANNAH	С	2/28/2002		\$9,912,319	\$9,690,379	\$2,889,124	\$12,579,503	100.0	\$0	
GA	R		\$11,201,000	\$1,680,150			\$3,613,817	32.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$7,272,000		\$2,889,124	\$9,468,195	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 4 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> BALTIMORE	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ANNE ARUNDEL CO	С	8/31/2002		\$6,187,500	\$6,162,391	\$442,081	\$6,604,472	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$6,875,000	\$1,031,250			\$1,581,690	23.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,604,000		\$442,081	\$7,249,880	100.0	\$0	
BALTIMORE	С	8/31/2002		\$63,299,928	\$65,007,704	\$0	\$65,007,704	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$69,246,000	\$10,386,900			\$12,303,837	17.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$45,361,000		\$0	\$63,467,504	100.0	\$0	
BALTIMORE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$15,670,510	\$18,541,242	\$941,311	\$19,482,553	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$17,692,000	\$2,476,800			\$2,504,232	14.2 [#]	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$11,315,000		\$941,311	\$15,397,501	100.0	\$0	
HARFORD CO	С	8/31/2002		\$1,685,500	\$1,773,655	\$72,000	\$1,845,655	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$1,853,000	\$277,950			\$394,600	21.3	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$428,000		\$72,000	\$2,021,665	100.0	\$0	
MARYLAND	С	8/31/2002		\$46,347,786	\$44,744,047	\$1,911,466	\$46,655,513	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$53,185,000	\$7,977,750			\$12,332,418	23.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$33,434,000		\$1,911,466	\$48,843,300	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 5 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
BIRMINGHAM										
ALABAMA	С	4/30/2002		\$114,135,115	\$114,099,033	\$0	\$114,099,033	99.9	\$36,082	HQ req status from FO 1/14/04
AL	R		\$119,001,000	\$17,850,150			\$23,065,172	19.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$74,774,000		\$0	\$114,101,787	100.0	\$0	
BIRMINGHAM	С	7/31/2002		\$18,807,251	\$16,727,057	\$2,168,974	\$18,896,031	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$19,759,000	\$2,963,850			\$3,019,948	15.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$13,057,000		\$2,168,974	\$16,119,510	100.0	\$0	
HUNTSVILLE	С	6/30/2002		\$5,188,049	\$5,252,899	\$85,242	\$5,338,140	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$5,890,000	\$883,500			\$924,267	15.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,781,000		\$85,242	\$6,020,156	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO	С	9/30/2002		\$7,716,857	\$7,919,157	\$1,066,862	\$8,986,019	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$8,863,000	\$1,329,450			\$3,403,440	38.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,881,000		\$1,066,862	\$8,269,638	100.0	\$0	
MOBILE	С	5/31/2002		\$11,124,623	\$11,662,904	\$69,720	\$11,732,624	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$12,644,000	\$1,896,600			\$3,043,411	24.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$8,166,000		\$69,720	\$11,384,166	100.0	\$0	
MOBILE COUNTY	С			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0*		
AL	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
MONTGOMERY	С	8/31/2002		\$8,952,150	\$10,938,966	\$0	\$10,938,966	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$9,884,000	\$1,482,600			\$2,954,200	29.9	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$6,459,000		\$0	\$8,395,434	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 6 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
TUSCALOOSA	С	4/30/2002		\$4,933,812	\$5,375,557	\$617,403	\$5,992,960	100.0	\$0	
AL	R		\$5,680,000	\$852,000			\$2,157,357	38.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$3,602,000		\$617,403	\$5,318,759	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 7 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
BOSTON				•-	.		•			
AUBURN CON	С			\$0	\$214,875	\$0	\$214,875	0.0*		
ME	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
BARNSTABLE CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,150,596	\$4,185,297	\$287,547	\$4,472,844	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$4,615,000	\$692,250			\$1,190,000	25.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,544,000		\$287,547	\$4,064,717	100.0	\$0	
BOSTON	С	8/31/2002		\$47,989,937	\$54,179,385	\$1,005,398	\$55,184,784	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$56,317,000	\$8,447,550			\$16,360,448	29.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$36,428,000		\$1,005,398	\$56,130,606	100.0	\$0	
BROCKTON	С	7/31/2002		\$6,104,082	\$6,869,279	\$383,160	\$7,252,439	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$6,834,000	\$947,200			\$1,569,204	23.0#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,285,100		\$383,160	\$7,214,231	100.0	\$0	
CAMBRIDGE	С	7/31/2002		\$6,229,001	\$6,451,542	\$160,000	\$6,611,542	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$7,092,000	\$1,063,800			\$3,100,615	43.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,765,000		\$160,000	\$7,247,814	100.0	\$0	
FALL RIVER	С	6/30/2002		\$8,181,000	\$7,828,551	\$1,004,596	\$8,833,147	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$9,090,000	\$1,363,500			\$1,450,500	16.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,911,000		\$1,004,596	\$9,423,886	100.0	\$0	
FITCHBURG CON	С	8/31/2002		\$3,563,767	\$3,544,007	\$431,838	\$3,975,845	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$4,176,000	\$626,400			\$1,101,749	26.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,506,000		\$431,838	\$4,026,517	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 8 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
HOLYOKE CON	С	7/31/2002		\$7,771,748	\$7,966,603	\$169,130	\$8,135,733	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$8,552,000	\$1,282,800			\$2,455,962	28.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,459,000		\$169,130	\$7,570,567	100.0	\$0	
LAWRENCE	С	8/31/2002		\$8,169,433	\$8,033,848	\$906,516	\$8,940,364	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$9,411,000	\$1,411,650			\$1,307,295	13.9	\$104,355	FO conf. Deadline met 1/30/03
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,779,000		\$906,516	\$9,792,703	100.0	\$0	
LOWELL	С	9/30/2002		\$8,139,699	\$10,039,527	\$1,620,931	\$11,660,458	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$8,805,000	\$1,320,750			\$1,734,081	19.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,494,000		\$1,620,931	\$8,761,361	100.0	\$0	
LYNN	С	10/31/2002		\$4,711,500	\$4,601,197	\$1,370,990	\$5,972,187	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$5,235,000	\$785,250			\$883,000	16.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$2,543,000		\$1,370,990	\$5,809,225	100.0	\$0	
MAINE	С	4/30/2002		\$40,093,637	\$40,596,436	\$0	\$40,596,436	100.0	\$0	
ME	R		\$44,867,000	\$6,730,050			\$7,840,433	17.5	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$27,529,000		\$0	\$38,667,217	100.0	\$0	
MALDEN CON	С	8/31/2002		\$15,860,700	\$14,219,636	\$3,328,771	\$17,548,407	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$17,623,000	\$2,643,450			\$2,744,622	15.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$11,302,000		\$3,328,771	\$16,311,238	100.0	\$0	
MANCHESTER	С	7/31/2002		\$4,832,850	\$4,741,171	\$129,747	\$4,870,917	100.0	\$0	
NH	R		\$5,293,000	\$793,950			\$2,018,603	38.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,432,000		\$129,747	\$4,809,626	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 9 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MASSACHUSETTS	С	8/31/2002		\$98,878,269	\$109,239,512	\$0	\$109,239,512	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$109,728,000	\$16,459,200			\$37,059,756	33.8	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$69,523,000		\$0	\$102,379,043	100.0	\$0	
NEW BEDFORD	С	9/30/2002		\$9,305,997	\$10,568,440	\$1,076,970	\$11,645,409	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$10,250,000	\$1,537,500			\$1,774,910	17.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$6,609,000		\$1,076,970	\$11,161,099	100.0	\$0	
NEW HAMPSHIRE	С	4/30/2002		\$27,866,844	\$29,037,535	\$0	\$29,037,535	100.0	\$0	
NH	R		\$30,788,000	\$4,618,200			\$9,383,820	30.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$19,169,000		\$0	\$29,482,920	100.0	\$0	
NEWTON CON	С	7/31/2002		\$8,015,918	\$7,998,202	\$465,802	\$8,464,004	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$9,290,000	\$1,393,500			\$3,484,696	37.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$6,208,000		\$465,802	\$8,297,308	100.0	\$0	
PAWTUCKET	С	8/31/2002		\$4,206,795	\$4,484,370	\$5,743	\$4,490,113	100.0	\$0	
RI	R		\$4,878,000	\$731,700			\$2,406,197	49.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,181,000		\$5,743	\$4,513,095	100.0	\$0	
PEABODY CON	С	8/31/2002		\$14,172,750	\$14,265,834	\$131,172	\$14,397,006	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$15,800,000	\$2,370,000			\$2,879,965	18.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$10,024,000		\$131,172	\$13,919,335	100.0	\$0	
PORTLAND	С	7/31/2002		\$4,473,100	\$4,721,063	\$1,290,040	\$6,011,103	100.0	\$0	
ME	R		\$5,004,000	\$750,600			\$854,100	17.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,217,000		\$1,290,040	\$5,955,860	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 10 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
PROVIDENCE	С	8/31/2002		\$13,997,064	\$14,784,877	\$832,687	\$15,617,564	100.0	\$0	
RI	R		\$16,425,000	\$2,463,750			\$4,837,460	29.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$10,273,000		\$832,687	\$17,184,571	100.0	\$0	
QUINCY CON	С	9/30/2002		\$5,417,148	\$5,399,504	\$506,688	\$5,906,192	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$6,155,000	\$923,250			\$1,674,425	27.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,125,000		\$506,688	\$6,537,924	100.0	\$0	
RHODE ISLAND	С	4/30/2002		\$28,935,057	\$32,221,323	\$110,029	\$32,331,352	100.0	\$0	
RI	R		\$31,672,600	\$4,750,890			\$15,512,577	49.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$19,994,600		\$110,029	\$31,427,020	100.0	\$0	
SOMERVILLE	С	5/31/2002		\$5,384,750	\$5,571,306	\$1,039,511	\$6,610,817	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$6,335,000	\$950,250			\$2,314,228	36.5	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$4,123,000		\$1,039,511	\$5,983,087	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	С	10/31/2002		\$13,331,600	\$15,636,264	\$496,784	\$16,133,048	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$15,112,000	\$2,266,800			\$3,344,948	22.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$9,612,000		\$496,784	\$13,185,589	100.0	\$0	
VERMONT	С	6/30/2002		\$27,000,150	\$27,359,814	\$60,322	\$27,420,137	100.0	\$0	
VT	R		\$31,559,000	\$4,733,850			\$6,937,181	22.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$20,835,000		\$60,322	\$30,868,745	100.0	\$0	
WOONSOCKET	С	8/31/2002		\$2,935,260	\$3,193,215	\$55,923	\$3,249,138	100.0	\$0	
RI	R		\$3,261,400	\$489,210			\$571,950	17.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,809,400		\$55,923	\$3,368,280	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 11 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ORCESTER	С	8/31/2002		\$11,661,127	\$11,444,231	\$599,712	\$12,043,943	100.0	\$0	
MA	R		\$12,911,000	\$1,936,650			\$2,943,350	22.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$8,234,000		\$599,712	\$11,780,552	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 12 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
BUFFALO										
ALBANY	С	10/31/2002		\$7,558,700	\$8,999,670	\$0	\$8,999,670	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$7,968,000	\$1,195,200			\$1,415,337	17.8	\$0	
	D	12/31/2002		\$5,082,000		\$0	\$9,467,551	100.0	\$0	
AMHERST CON	С	4/30/2002		\$6,430,930	\$6,725,721	\$1,130,191	\$7,855,912	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$7,037,000	\$1,055,550			\$1,704,142	24.2	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$4,168,000		\$1,130,191	\$7,037,024	100.0	\$0	
BINGHAMTON	С	9/30/2002		\$4,544,846	\$4,475,525	\$14,404	\$4,489,930	98.8	\$54,916	HQ req status from FO 1/05/05
NY	R		\$4,972,000	\$745,800			\$848,220	17.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,184,000		\$14,404	\$3,798,551	100.0	\$0	
BUFFALO	С	9/30/2002		\$39,400,200	\$39,295,483	\$261,823	\$39,557,306	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$43,778,000	\$6,566,700			\$5,087,646	11.6	\$1,479,054	FO conf deadline met 10/11/02
	D	9/30/2002		\$27,441,000		\$261,823	\$39,294,509	100.0	\$0	
ELMIRA	С	8/31/2002		\$2,534,685	\$2,605,017	\$76,970	\$2,681,988	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$2,632,000	\$394,800			\$474,150	18.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,240,000		\$76,970	\$2,573,023	100.0	\$0	
ERIE CO CON	С	4/30/2002		\$8,575,008	\$8,831,769	\$310,454	\$9,142,223	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$9,431,000	\$1,414,650			\$1,537,194	16.3	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$6,101,000		\$310,454	\$9,145,599	100.0	\$0	
JAMESTOWN	С	10/31/2002		\$1,821,600	\$2,239,917	\$32,283	\$2,272,200	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$2,024,000	\$303,600			\$396,250	19.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$753,000		\$32,283	\$1,772,231	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 13 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
JEFFERSON CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$8,307,253	\$9,111,135	\$0	\$9,111,135	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$9,181,000	\$1,377,150			\$1,887,545	20.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,394,000		\$0	\$9,539,560	100.0	\$0	
MONROE CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$8,475,409	\$8,495,809	\$822,652	\$9,318,461	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$9,500,000	\$1,425,000			\$2,047,556	21.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$6,232,000		\$822,652	\$9,148,615	100.0	\$0	
NIAGARA FALLS	С	4/30/2002		\$5,685,452	\$5,375,651	\$202,189	\$5,577,840	98.1	\$107,611	FO conf deadline met 1/31/06
NY	R		\$6,059,000	\$908,850			\$3,218,000	53.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,797,000		\$202,189	\$4,897,602	100.0	\$0	
ONONDAGA CO CON	С	9/30/2002		\$5,567,400	\$5,605,997	\$0	\$5,605,997	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$6,186,000	\$927,900			\$1,049,400	17.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,048,000		\$0	\$6,007,150	100.0	\$0	
ROCHESTER	С	7/31/2002		\$24,814,046	\$25,161,738	\$887,420	\$26,049,158	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$26,875,000	\$4,031,250			\$4,827,898	18.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$16,521,000		\$887,420	\$24,942,777	100.0	\$0	
SCHENECTADY CON	С	8/31/2002		\$10,002,246	\$10,010,628	\$672,672	\$10,683,300	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$11,446,000	\$1,716,900			\$2,541,976	22.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,339,000		\$672,672	\$11,161,498	100.0	\$0	
SYRACUSE	С	6/30/2002		\$14,025,873	\$14,234,890	\$130,030	\$14,364,920	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$16,108,000	\$2,416,200			\$4,531,010	28.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$10,093,000		\$130,030	\$14,738,926	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 14 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	С	8/31/2002	<u> Allocation</u>	\$6,792,994	\$7,139,344	\$28,349	\$7,167,693	100.0	<u>51101111111</u>	Odiferi Otatas
UTICA NY	R		\$7,433,000	\$1,114,950	4 :,:55,5	4 = 3 , 3 13	\$6,100,247	82.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,619,000		\$28,349	\$6,657,969	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 15 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> CARIBBEAN	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
AGUADILLA	С	7/31/2002		\$3,840,300	\$4,270,335	\$118,994	\$4,389,328	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$4,267,000	\$640,050			\$722,550	16.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,820,000		\$118,994	\$3,680,554	100.0	\$0	
ARECIBO	С	8/31/2002		\$4,527,000	\$4,886,796	\$50,201	\$4,936,997	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$5,030,000	\$754,500			\$754,500	15.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,236,000		\$50,201	\$4,231,770	100.0	\$0	
BAYAMON	С	7/31/2002		\$10,034,100	\$11,663,126	\$0	\$11,663,126	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$11,149,000	\$1,672,350			\$2,224,512	20.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,886,000		\$0	\$7,519,814	100.0	\$0	
CAGUAS	С	7/31/2002		\$6,319,800	\$6,320,368	\$0	\$6,320,368	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$7,022,000	\$1,053,300			\$1,194,340	17.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,411,000		\$0	\$5,417,755	100.0	\$0	
CAROLINA	С	8/31/2002		\$8,991,050	\$9,110,940	\$0	\$9,110,940	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$10,296,750	\$1,248,913			\$1,634,800	15.9 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,169,150		\$0	\$4,689,308	76.0	\$1,479,842	Deadline date administratively extended to next deadline or 8/03
GUAYNABO	С	7/31/2002		\$4,088,700	\$4,395,600	\$0	\$4,395,600	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$4,543,000	\$681,450			\$784,778	17.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,869,000		\$0	\$3,477,335	100.0	\$0	
MAYAGUEZ	С	7/31/2002		\$7,750,700	\$7,931,671	\$0	\$7,931,671	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$8,528,000	\$1,279,200			\$1,590,470	18.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,485,000		\$0	\$6,835,216	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 16 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
PONCE	С	7/31/2002		\$10,264,800	\$10,947,764	\$0	\$10,947,764	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$11,272,000	\$1,690,800			\$2,531,308	22.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,225,000		\$0	\$9,305,232	100.0	\$0	
PUERTO RICO	С	7/31/2002		\$86,482,247	\$84,912,347	\$469,595	\$85,381,941	98.7	\$1,100,305	FO conf. Deadline met 2/3/03
PR	R		\$96,664,000	\$14,499,600			\$14,797,853	15.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$57,787,000		\$469,595	\$76,132,092	100.0	\$0	
SAN JUAN	С	7/31/2002		\$41,293,672	\$43,242,778	\$279,954	\$43,522,732	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$46,266,000	\$6,939,900			\$15,361,030	33.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$29,727,000		\$279,954	\$43,481,403	100.0	\$0	
TOA BAJA	С	6/30/2002		\$2,096,400	\$2,389,650	\$0	\$2,389,650	100.0	\$0	
PR	R		\$2,396,000	\$299,400			\$299,400	12.5 [#]	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$1,150,000		\$0	\$2,244,453	100.0	\$0	
VIRGIN ISLANDS	С	10/31/2002		\$7,500,655	\$8,382,263	\$0	\$8,382,263	100.0	\$0	
VI	R		\$8,517,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$4,966,000		\$0	\$8,900,683	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 17 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> CHICAGO	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
CHICAGO	С	3/31/2002		\$252,839,663	\$271,085,689	\$0	\$271,085,689	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$277,670,000	\$41,650,500			\$56,504,466	20.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$179,562,000		\$0	\$242,800,340	100.0	\$0	
COOK CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$41,073,622	\$45,733,374	\$1,065,000	\$46,798,374	100.0	\$0	
L	R		\$45,744,000	\$6,861,600			\$9,268,915	20.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$29,035,000		\$1,065,000	\$39,586,790	100.0	\$0	
DECATUR	С	5/31/2002		\$4,697,100	\$5,078,555	\$24,348	\$5,102,903	100.0	\$0	
L	R		\$5,423,000	\$813,450			\$1,995,508	36.8	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$3,482,000		\$24,348	\$5,360,303	100.0	\$0	
DUPAGE CO CON	С	4/30/2002		\$15,868,760	\$16,300,109	\$2,364,168	\$18,664,277	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$16,141,999	\$2,421,300			\$3,967,404	24.6	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$10,107,999		\$2,364,168	\$15,836,020	100.0	\$0	
EAST ST. LOUIS	С	3/31/2002		\$5,124,452	\$4,859,195	\$288,338	\$5,147,533	100.0	\$0	
L	R		\$5,750,000	\$862,500			\$974,960	17.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,877,000		\$288,338	\$4,988,301	100.0	\$0	
EVANSTON	С	7/31/2002		\$2,484,182	\$2,916,160	\$22,304	\$2,938,464	100.0	\$0	
L	R		\$2,598,000	\$389,700			\$1,371,458	52.8	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$1,278,000		\$22,304	\$2,180,428	100.0	\$0	
LLINOIS	С	3/31/2002		\$158,005,177	\$159,890,072	\$2,199,517	\$162,089,589	100.0	\$0	
L	R		\$176,338,500	\$26,450,775			\$34,598,330	19.6	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$109,802,500		\$2,199,517	\$144,252,074	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 18 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
JOLIET	С	4/30/2002		\$3,116,600	\$3,275,478	\$0	\$3,275,478	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$3,209,500	\$481,425			\$949,950	29.6	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$1,850,500		\$0	\$2,275,478	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$9,539,998	\$10,910,499	\$652,374	\$11,562,872	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$10,831,000	\$1,624,650			\$3,364,093	31.1	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$7,014,000		\$652,374	\$9,888,180	100.0	\$0	
MADISON CO	С	10/31/2002		\$9,290,102	\$9,171,872	\$2,207,402	\$11,379,274	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$10,591,000	\$1,588,650			\$1,602,145	15.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$6,728,000		\$2,207,402	\$11,138,792	100.0	\$0	
MCHENRY CO	С	2/28/2002		\$1,550,800	\$1,921,600	\$37,430	\$1,959,030	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$1,588,000	\$238,200			\$384,000	24.2	\$0	
	D			\$500,000		\$37,430	\$2,020,948	0.0**		
PEORIA	С	4/30/2002		\$7,674,123	\$8,663,622	\$218,525	\$8,882,147	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$8,197,000	\$1,215,873			\$1,391,223	17.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$5,126,000		\$218,525	\$6,589,300	100.0	\$0	
ROCKFORD	С	4/30/2002		\$7,020,098	\$7,338,466	\$286,377	\$7,624,843	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$7,917,000	\$1,187,550			\$1,607,409	20.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,994,000		\$286,377	\$7,914,765	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	С	7/31/2002		\$5,085,200	\$5,001,358	\$90,318	\$5,091,675	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$5,584,000	\$837,600			\$1,197,058	21.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,565,000		\$90,318	\$4,927,229	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 19 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ST. CLAIR CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$7,375,600	\$7,807,416	\$193,291	\$8,000,707	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$8,321,000	\$1,248,150			\$1,380,700	16.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,163,000		\$193,291	\$8,088,461	100.0	\$0	
URBANA CON	С	8/31/2002		\$4,673,529	\$4,817,465	\$133,841	\$4,951,306	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$5,202,000	\$780,300			\$974,445	18.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,427,000		\$133,841	\$4,889,367	100.0	\$0	
WILL CO	С	7/31/2002		\$4,004,009	\$4,408,911	\$15,000	\$4,423,911	100.0	\$0	
IL	R		\$4,345,000	\$651,750			\$1,191,841	27.4	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$2,978,000		\$15,000	\$3,001,815	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 20 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> COLUMBIA	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
CHARLESTON	С	8/31/2002		\$5,395,700	\$6,051,900	\$0	\$6,051,900	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$5,877,000	\$881,550			\$973,954	16.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,841,000		\$0	\$5,248,929	100.0	\$0	
CHARLESTON CO	С	6/30/2002		\$2,749,300	\$3,535,008	\$0	\$3,535,008	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$3,025,000	\$453,750			\$575,810	19.0	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
COLUMBIA	С	8/31/2002		\$5,553,400	\$5,049,647	\$1,947,129	\$6,996,776	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$6,176,000	\$926,400			\$964,697	15.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,006,000		\$1,947,129	\$6,357,936	100.0	\$0	
GREENVILLE	С	9/30/2002		\$3,012,000	\$3,521,690	\$144,317	\$3,666,007	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$3,366,000	\$504,900			\$1,086,800	32.3	\$0	
	D			\$2,212,000		\$144,317	\$2,952,449	0.0**		
GREENVILLE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$6,533,850	\$6,598,478	\$1,445,805	\$8,044,283	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$7,522,000	\$1,128,300			\$1,327,665	17.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,935,000		\$1,445,805	\$8,681,962	100.0	\$0	
NORTH CHARLESTON	С			\$2,913,000	\$2,913,000	\$0	\$2,913,000	0.0*		
SC	R		\$2,958,000	\$443,700			\$443,700	0.0*		
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,958,000		\$0	\$2,953,530	99.8	\$4,470	FO conf. Deadline met 2/10/03
RICHLAND CO	С			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0*		
SC	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 21 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SANTEE-LYNCHES HO CC	С	4/30/2002		\$5,983,288	\$6,536,778	\$37,307	\$6,574,085	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$6,929,703	\$879,105			\$997,550	14.4 [#]	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$3,744,353		\$37,307	\$6,829,714	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH CAROLINA	С	6/30/2002		\$79,981,684	\$79,613,685	\$0	\$79,613,685	99.5	\$367,998	FO conf. Deadline met 2/10/03
SC	R		\$92,160,000	\$13,824,000			\$13,881,131	15.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$58,906,000		\$0	\$74,487,423	100.0	\$0	
SPARTANBURG	С	6/30/2002		\$2,543,000	\$2,921,742	\$89,867	\$3,011,609	100.0	\$0	
SC	R		\$2,846,000	\$426,900			\$663,970	23.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,631,000		\$89,867	\$2,637,956	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 22 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
COLUMBUS										
AKRON	С	4/30/2002		\$15,759,383	\$17,573,184	\$1,655,608	\$19,228,791	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$17,653,000	\$2,647,950			\$3,113,635	17.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$11,083,000		\$1,655,608	\$18,287,755	100.0	\$0	
BUTLER CO CON	С	6/30/2002		\$734,000	\$1,469,133	\$0	\$1,469,133	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$844,000	\$126,600			\$267,750	31.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
CANTON	С	7/31/2002		\$6,311,900	\$5,882,387	\$283,901	\$6,166,289	97.7	\$145,611	FO conf. Deadline met 1/30/04
OH	R		\$7,013,000	\$1,051,950			\$1,026,447	14.6	\$25,503	FO conf deadline met 8/13/02
	D	5/31/2002		\$4,407,000		\$283,901	\$5,358,299	100.0	\$0	
CINCINNATI	С	3/31/2002		\$35,393,700	\$36,725,676	\$639,788	\$37,365,464	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$38,514,000	\$5,777,100			\$6,971,550	18.1	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$24,463,000		\$639,788	\$32,223,841	100.0	\$0	
CLEVELAND	С	6/30/2002		\$61,553,340	\$57,962,850	\$2,583,066	\$60,545,916	98.4	\$1,007,425	FO conf. Deadline met 1/30/04
OH	R		\$64,334,000	\$9,650,100			\$21,488,500	33.4	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$40,872,000		\$2,583,066	\$53,984,001	100.0	\$0	
COLUMBUS	С	3/31/2002		\$35,992,950	\$36,789,938	\$485,940	\$37,275,878	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$39,970,000	\$5,995,500			\$6,192,350	15.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$25,255,000		\$485,940	\$36,002,847	100.0	\$0	
CUYAHOGA CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$16,692,999	\$15,601,502	\$1,130,480	\$16,731,982	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$18,732,000	\$2,809,800			\$3,266,725	17.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$11,435,000		\$1,130,480	\$16,553,166	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 23 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DAYTON	С	3/31/2002		\$15,799,500	\$17,287,255	\$202,000	\$17,489,255	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$18,498,000	\$2,774,700			\$4,435,694	24.0	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$11,664,000		\$202,000	\$15,944,306	100.0	\$0	
EAST CLEVELAND	С	8/31/2002		\$3,999,418	\$3,743,892	\$21,543	\$3,765,434	94.1	\$233,984	FO conf. Deadline met 3/20/03
ОН	R		\$4,702,000	\$583,050			\$707,550	15.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$2,903,794		\$21,543	\$3,231,102	100.0	\$0	
FRANKLIN CO	С	4/30/2002		\$5,670,200	\$5,768,535	\$42,836	\$5,811,371	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$6,629,478	\$987,944			\$986,972	14.9 [#]	\$971	FO conf deadline met 5/27/03
	D	4/30/2002		\$4,327,000		\$42,836	\$5,324,277	100.0	\$0	
HAMILTON	С	6/30/2002		\$4,494,541	\$3,898,405	\$0	\$3,898,405	86.7	\$596,135	FO conf. Deadline met 1/30/04
ОН	R		\$4,904,000	\$735,600			\$1,458,912	29.7	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$3,173,000		\$0	\$3,880,231	100.0	\$0	
HAMILTON CO	С	4/30/2002		\$10,461,750	\$11,477,265	\$621,151	\$12,098,415	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$11,765,000	\$1,764,750			\$1,868,292	15.9	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$7,506,000		\$621,151	\$11,553,447	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CO	С	10/31/2002		\$3,999,150	\$4,399,805	\$0	\$4,399,805	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$4,493,000	\$673,950			\$1,216,875	27.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,031,000		\$0	\$4,752,772	100.0	\$0	
LIMA	С	10/31/2002		\$2,620,216	\$2,664,421	\$1,050,973	\$3,715,393	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$2,983,000	\$447,450			\$773,660	25.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,682,000		\$1,050,973	\$3,483,195	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 24 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
LORAIN	С	3/31/2002		\$3,843,900	\$3,862,721	\$563,489	\$4,426,210	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$4,271,000	\$640,650			\$700,050	16.4	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,330,000		\$563,489	\$3,756,515	100.0	\$0	
MANSFIELD	С	7/31/2002		\$2,793,400	\$2,797,710	\$6,439	\$2,804,149	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$3,156,000	\$473,400			\$1,682,900	53.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,764,000		\$6,439	\$2,730,767	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO-KETTE	С	10/31/2002		\$9,327,175	\$10,009,763	\$532,034	\$10,541,797	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$10,155,000	\$1,523,250			\$1,786,464	17.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$6,709,000		\$532,034	\$10,025,505	100.0	\$0	
OHIO	С	7/31/2002		\$193,513,359	\$194,068,086	\$4,291,650	\$198,359,737	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$219,298,000	\$32,894,700			\$41,318,986	18.8	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$133,618,000		\$4,291,650	\$205,812,842	100.0	\$0	
SPRINGFIELD	С	5/31/2002		\$5,455,800	\$5,461,163	\$426,878	\$5,888,041	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$6,062,000	\$909,300			\$909,300	15.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$3,872,000		\$426,878	\$4,972,771	100.0	\$0	
STARK CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$7,895,100	\$8,574,502	\$246,930	\$8,821,432	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$8,689,000	\$1,303,350			\$1,796,653	20.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,436,000		\$246,930	\$8,995,553	100.0	\$0	
SUMMIT CO CON	С	4/30/2002		\$4,669,908	\$5,011,957	\$724,306	\$5,736,263	100.0	\$0	
OH	R		\$5,280,000	\$792,000			\$964,500	18.3	\$0	
	D	12/31/2001		\$3,497,000		\$724,306	\$5,025,509	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 25 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
TOLEDO	С	7/31/2002		\$22,530,300	\$22,976,623	\$0	\$22,976,623	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$24,240,000	\$3,636,000			\$10,595,587	43.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$15,216,000		\$0	\$24,496,366	100.0	\$0	
WARREN CON	С	3/31/2002		\$8,100,915	\$8,744,241	\$0	\$8,744,241	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$9,121,000	\$1,368,150			\$3,724,612	40.8	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$6,037,000		\$0	\$7,284,467	100.0	\$0	
YOUNGSTOWN	С	8/31/2002		\$8,715,200	\$8,982,337	\$334,060	\$9,316,397	100.0	\$0	
ОН	R		\$9,780,000	\$1,467,000			\$2,420,759	24.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$6,171,000		\$334,060	\$9,812,923	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 26 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> DENVER	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ADAMS CO	С	5/31/2002		\$4,977,009	\$4,735,904	\$636,315	\$5,372,219	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$5,618,000	\$842,700			\$957,600	17.0	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$3,605,000		\$636,315	\$4,768,650	100.0	\$0	
ARAPAHOE CO	С	5/31/2002		\$3,174,800	\$3,727,400	\$787,402	\$4,514,802	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$3,572,000	\$535,800			\$821,783	23.0	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$1,959,000		\$787,402	\$2,974,681	100.0	\$0	
AURORA	С	2/28/2002		\$5,951,703	\$5,563,729	\$2,953,036	\$8,516,765	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$6,584,000	\$987,600			\$1,128,750	17.1	\$0	
	D	12/31/2002		\$4,109,000		\$2,953,036	\$9,523,691	100.0	\$0	
BILLINGS	С	7/31/2002		\$2,741,000	\$2,804,950	\$204,108	\$3,009,058	100.0	\$0	
MT	R		\$3,040,000	\$456,000			\$531,450	17.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,716,000		\$204,108	\$2,477,272	100.0	\$0	
BOULDER	С	3/31/2002		\$4,457,959	\$4,917,631	\$228,209	\$5,145,840	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$4,852,000	\$727,800			\$1,379,516	28.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$3,054,000		\$228,209	\$5,153,875	100.0	\$0	
COLORADO	С	4/30/2002		\$47,154,114	\$47,836,443	\$0	\$47,836,443	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$53,323,000	\$7,998,450			\$8,068,234	15.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$33,120,000		\$0	\$49,484,829	100.0	\$0	
COLORADO SPRINGS	С	4/30/2002		\$9,641,799	\$10,152,705	\$958,311	\$11,111,016	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$10,805,000	\$1,620,750			\$1,838,811	17.0	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$6,763,000		\$958,311	\$10,414,860	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 27 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DENVER	С	2/28/2002		\$29,260,512	\$31,253,883	\$3,621,470	\$34,875,353	100.0	\$0	
со	R		\$30,457,000	\$4,568,550			\$6,177,589	20.3	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$19,634,000		\$3,621,470	\$29,376,748	100.0	\$0	
FORT COLLINS	С	7/31/2002		\$3,445,601	\$3,995,007	\$267,500	\$4,262,507	100.0	\$0	
СО	R		\$3,825,000	\$573,750			\$1,239,200	32.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,027,000		\$267,500	\$4,403,609	100.0	\$0	
GREAT FALLS	С	7/31/2002		\$2,426,181	\$2,744,616	\$403,975	\$3,148,591	100.0	\$0	
MT	R		\$2,467,000	\$370,050			\$2,005,600	81.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,238,000		\$403,975	\$2,896,652	100.0	\$0	
GREELEY	С	3/31/2002		\$2,298,200	\$2,386,300	\$0	\$2,386,300	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$2,498,000	\$374,700			\$1,082,647	43.3	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$1,250,000		\$0	\$1,928,950	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO	С	5/31/2002		\$2,621,352	\$3,053,691	\$334,066	\$3,387,757	100.0	\$0	
СО	R		\$3,005,000	\$450,750			\$567,365	18.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$1,695,000		\$334,066	\$3,353,956	100.0	\$0	
LAKEWOOD	С	5/31/2002		\$2,855,677	\$2,906,099	\$0	\$2,906,099	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$3,094,000	\$389,100			\$389,100	12.6 [#]	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$1,651,000		\$0	\$2,356,989	100.0	\$0	
MONTANA STATE	С	5/31/2002		\$28,898,629	\$28,158,152	\$0	\$28,158,152	97.4	\$740,477	FO conf deadline met 1/27/04
MT	R		\$32,251,000	\$4,837,650			\$10,817,608	33.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$20,485,000		\$0	\$29,700,178	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 28 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
NORTH DAKOTA	С	6/30/2002		\$27,769,395	\$32,991,066	\$591,775	\$33,582,841	100.0	\$0	
ND	R		\$31,110,000	\$4,666,500			\$8,974,039	28.8	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$20,392,000		\$591,775	\$31,141,158	100.0	\$0	
OGDEN	С	6/30/2002		\$2,887,200	\$2,279,501	\$749,800	\$3,029,302	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$3,208,000	\$481,200			\$408,450	12.7	\$72,750	FO conf deadline met 9/5/02
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,789,000		\$749,800	\$3,146,361	100.0	\$0	
PROVO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$10,563,300	\$10,780,574	\$665,701	\$11,446,275	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$11,737,000	\$1,760,550			\$1,699,650	14.5	\$60,900	FO conf deadline met 9/13/02
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,249,000		\$665,701	\$7,842,094	100.0	\$0	
PUEBLO CON	С	5/31/2002		\$7,113,574	\$7,654,365	\$1,530,269	\$9,184,634	100.0	\$0	
CO	R		\$7,767,253	\$1,158,505			\$1,559,717	20.1	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$4,864,253		\$1,530,269	\$6,775,065	100.0	\$0	
SALT LAKE CITY	С	6/30/2002		\$9,067,300	\$10,285,000	\$0	\$10,285,000	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$10,021,000	\$1,503,150			\$2,184,300	21.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,475,000		\$0	\$9,806,636	100.0	\$0	
SALT LAKE CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$11,952,100	\$12,047,322	\$3,495,289	\$15,542,611	100.0	\$0	
UT	R		\$13,369,000	\$2,005,350			\$2,894,820	21.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$8,478,000		\$3,495,289	\$15,084,687	100.0	\$0	
SIOUX FALLS	С	2/28/2002		\$2,998,900	\$3,232,616	\$102,067	\$3,334,683	100.0	\$0	
SD	R		\$3,332,000	\$499,800			\$589,200	17.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,852,000		\$102,067	\$2,982,217	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 29 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SOUTH DAKOTA	С	4/30/2002		\$25,687,800	\$25,216,709	\$3,608,381	\$28,825,090	100.0	\$0	
SD	R		\$28,542,000	\$4,281,300			\$5,262,482	18.4	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$18,871,000		\$3,608,381	\$24,905,264	100.0	\$0	
UTAH	С	4/30/2002		\$24,215,400	\$23,214,601	\$0	\$23,214,601	95.9	\$1,000,799	FO conf. Deadline met 3/20/03
UT	R		\$26,906,000	\$4,035,900			\$4,263,825	15.8	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$17,906,000		\$0	\$22,530,119	100.0	\$0	
WYOMING	С	4/30/2002		\$30,571,118	\$31,427,891	\$392,263	\$31,820,153	100.0	\$0	
WY	R		\$31,335,000	\$4,700,250			\$4,871,988	15.5	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$20,835,000		\$392,263	\$30,002,912	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 30 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> DETROIT	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
ANN ARBOR	С	6/30/2002		\$5,046,800	\$5,056,936	\$93,611	\$5,150,547	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$5,769,000	\$865,350			\$4,236,779	73.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,716,000		\$93,611	\$4,732,793	100.0	\$0	
BATTLE CREEK	С	8/31/2002		\$2,633,250	\$3,140,441	\$1,000	\$3,141,441	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$3,090,000	\$463,500			\$2,121,501	68.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,715,000		\$1,000	\$2,624,331	100.0	\$0	
BAY CITY	С	6/30/2002		\$1,485,450	\$1,829,863	\$29,976	\$1,859,839	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$1,663,000	\$249,450			\$968,327	58.2	\$0	
	D			\$500,000		\$29,976	\$1,716,907	0.0**		
DETROIT	С	8/31/2002		\$112,612,789	\$113,948,030	\$2,390,944	\$116,338,974	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$129,189,000	\$19,378,350			\$50,716,516	39.3	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$80,539,000		\$2,390,944	\$98,063,020	100.0	\$0	
FLINT	С	10/31/2002		\$12,812,200	\$13,715,814	\$0	\$13,715,814	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$14,383,000	\$2,157,450			\$4,118,481	28.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$8,723,000		\$0	\$8,537,264	97.9	\$185,736	FO conf deadline met 9/16/02
GENESEE CO	С	6/30/2002		\$7,555,550	\$8,594,632	\$132,585	\$8,727,217	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$8,821,000	\$1,323,150			\$1,514,027	17.2	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$5,508,000		\$132,585	\$6,267,719	100.0	\$0	
GRAND RAPIDS	С	8/31/2002		\$10,977,650	\$11,232,381	\$807,647	\$12,040,028	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$12,823,000	\$1,923,450			\$6,473,796	50.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,936,000		\$807,647	\$12,191,118	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 31 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
JACKSON	С	6/30/2002		\$2,841,800	\$3,269,602	\$345,981	\$3,615,582	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$3,150,000	\$472,500			\$1,071,549	34.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,750,000		\$345,981	\$3,535,379	100.0	\$0	
KALAMAZOO	С	5/31/2002		\$5,970,500	\$6,038,394	\$1,071	\$6,039,465	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$6,977,000	\$1,046,550			\$1,488,227	21.3	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$4,302,000		\$1,071	\$5,442,424	100.0	\$0	
LANSING	С	8/31/2002		\$7,870,150	\$8,223,503	\$151,282	\$8,374,785	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$9,259,000	\$1,388,850			\$3,784,073	40.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,716,000		\$151,282	\$8,126,305	100.0	\$0	
MACOMB CO	С	8/31/2002		\$4,774,550	\$4,412,036	\$1,532,413	\$5,944,449	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$5,231,000	\$776,300			\$776,300	14.8#	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,436,000		\$1,532,413	\$5,909,527	100.0	\$0	
MICHIGAN	С	2/28/2002		\$175,071,457	\$184,739,083	\$13,018,508	\$197,757,591	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$199,224,000	\$25,916,621			\$62,492,236	31.4	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$121,045,000		\$13,018,508	\$144,707,843	100.0	\$0	
MUSKEGON	С	5/31/2002		\$2,879,168	\$3,084,941	\$520,089	\$3,605,030	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$3,346,000	\$501,900			\$1,041,516	31.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,841,000		\$520,089	\$2,736,849	100.0	\$0	
OAKLAND CO	С	4/30/2002		\$9,885,367	\$10,017,868	\$1,846,407	\$11,864,275	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$10,973,000	\$1,645,950			\$1,685,198	15.4	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$7,079,000		\$1,846,407	\$10,051,450	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 32 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
	С	6/30/2002		\$5,830,200	\$5,745,605	\$267,632	\$6,013,237	100.0	\$0	<u> </u>
PONTIAC MI	R		\$6,548,000	\$982,200	4 2,1 12,222		\$3,651,659	55.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002	. , ,	\$4,072,000		\$267,632	\$5,185,057	100.0	\$0	
PORT HURON	С	5/31/2002		\$2,272,900	\$2,544,990	\$0	\$2,544,990	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$2,544,000	\$381,600			\$418,850	16.5	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$1,262,000		\$0	\$2,238,944	100.0	\$0	
SAGINAW	С	6/30/2002		\$7,919,700	\$8,567,805	\$0	\$8,567,805	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$8,334,000	\$1,250,100			\$1,435,050	17.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,098,000		\$0	\$8,738,124	100.0	\$0	
WARREN	С	8/31/2002		\$2,611,843	\$2,556,758	\$986,535	\$3,543,294	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$2,949,000	\$442,350			\$478,848	16.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,669,000		\$986,535	\$3,169,022	100.0	\$0	
WASHTENAW CO CON	С			\$0	\$888,760	\$0	\$888,760	0.0*		
MI	R		\$0	\$0			\$558,760	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
WAYNE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$10,751,996	\$11,116,721	\$90,000	\$11,206,721	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$12,658,846	\$1,364,827			\$2,592,196	20.5 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,460,846		\$90,000	\$8,778,320	100.0	\$0	
WESTLAND	С	6/30/2002		\$2,063,800	\$2,451,400	\$46,721	\$2,498,121	100.0	\$0	
MI	R		\$2,415,000	\$362,250			\$1,287,476	53.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,220,000		\$46,721	\$2,366,356	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 33 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
FORT WORTH										
ABILENE	С	10/31/2002		\$4,096,700	\$3,903,189	\$34,361	\$3,937,550	96.1	\$159,150	FO conf deadline met 1/21/05
TX	R		\$4,579,000	\$686,850			\$801,450	17.5	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,013,000		\$34,361	\$4,183,194	100.0	\$0	
AMARILLO	С	9/30/2002		\$6,245,412	\$6,588,408	\$215,008	\$6,803,416	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$7,186,000	\$1,077,900			\$1,236,350	17.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$4,477,000		\$215,008	\$7,550,173	100.0	\$0	
ARLINGTON	С	7/31/2002		\$6,557,023	\$7,394,991	\$82,400	\$7,477,391	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$7,165,000	\$1,074,750			\$1,219,041	17.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,205,000		\$82,400	\$6,950,985	100.0	\$0	
BEAUMONT	С	7/31/2002		\$6,301,001	\$6,411,409	\$0	\$6,411,409	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$6,760,000	\$1,014,000			\$1,866,550	27.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,311,000		\$0	\$6,356,051	100.0	\$0	
BRAZORIA CO	С	10/31/2002		\$2,253,990	\$2,424,654	\$0	\$2,424,654	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,469,000	\$301,650			\$145,930	5.9 [#]	\$155,720	
	D	10/31/2002		\$936,000		\$0	\$2,572,749	100.0	\$0	
BRYAN	С	10/31/2002		\$1,816,200	\$1,913,500	\$75,201	\$1,988,701	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,018,000	\$302,700			\$302,700	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$849,000		\$75,201	\$2,181,327	100.0	\$0	
COLLEGE STATION	С	10/31/2002		\$3,066,300	\$3,283,829	\$238,089	\$3,521,918	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,407,000	\$511,050			\$600,750	17.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,837,000		\$238,089	\$3,142,307	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 34 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
DALLAS	С	10/31/2002		\$48,306,090	\$50,528,584	\$378,800	\$50,907,384	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$55,099,000	\$8,264,850			\$8,663,141	15.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$34,703,000		\$378,800	\$53,785,068	100.0	\$0	
DALLAS CO	С	10/31/2002		\$3,323,000	\$3,475,555	\$0	\$3,475,555	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,323,000	\$498,450			\$557,000	16.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,807,000		\$0	\$2,511,989	100.0	\$0	
DENTON	С	7/31/2002		\$2,902,330	\$3,018,124	\$298,422	\$3,316,546	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,341,000	\$501,150			\$630,732	18.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,818,000		\$298,422	\$3,467,929	100.0	\$0	
EL PASO	С	9/30/2002		\$31,139,340	\$34,529,186	\$500,000	\$35,029,186	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$33,650,000	\$5,047,500			\$5,156,410	15.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$21,190,000		\$500,000	\$35,953,730	100.0	\$0	
FORT BEND CO	С	9/30/2002		\$2,020,511	\$1,985,753	\$0	\$1,985,753	98.3	\$34,758	No deob included in current deob \$291,900
TX	R		\$3,171,000	\$150,150			\$0	0.0#	\$150,150	\$291,900 No. Deob. Incl. In current deob. \$291,900
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,095,491		\$0	\$1,584,365	100.0	\$0	
FORT WORTH	С	6/30/2002		\$18,769,335	\$18,476,385	\$325,126	\$18,801,511	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$21,692,000	\$3,253,800			\$3,089,491	14.2	\$164,309	FO deadline met 9/11/02
	D	6/30/2002		\$13,642,000		\$325,126	\$19,183,834	100.0	\$0	
GALVESTON	С	8/31/2002		\$4,707,121	\$4,768,652	\$62,915	\$4,831,567	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$5,012,000	\$751,800			\$751,800	15.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,233,000		\$62,915	\$4,508,471	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 35 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
GARLAND	С	10/31/2002		\$2,911,106	\$3,362,823	\$896,705	\$4,259,528	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,329,000	\$499,350			\$525,550	15.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,586,000		\$896,705	\$3,929,860	100.0	\$0	
GRAND PRAIRIE	С	10/31/2002		\$2,262,600	\$2,387,599	\$0	\$2,387,599	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,448,000	\$181,800			\$181,800	7.4#	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,050,600		\$0	\$1,615,366	100.0	\$0	
HARRIS CO	С	8/31/2002		\$19,223,100	\$14,174,566	\$46,582	\$14,221,148	74.0	\$5,001,952	FO conf deadline met 11/19/04
TX	R		\$21,722,000	\$2,807,200			\$2,357,631	10.9 [#]	\$449,569	FO conf deadline met 10/16/02
	D	7/31/2002		\$12,883,900		\$46,582	\$13,824,854	100.0	\$0	
HOUSTON	С	7/31/2002		\$83,504,681	\$80,360,952	\$5,465,464	\$85,826,416	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$92,748,000	\$13,912,200			\$15,369,586	16.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$58,138,000		\$5,465,464	\$90,558,181	100.0	\$0	
IRVING	С	10/31/2002		\$1,356,600	\$1,370,628	\$0	\$1,370,628	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$1,596,000	\$239,400			\$641,120	40.2	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
KILLEEN	С	10/31/2002		\$1,782,180	\$1,883,871	\$36,711	\$1,920,583	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,012,000	\$301,800			\$619,050	30.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$850,000		\$36,711	\$2,119,946	100.0	\$0	
LONGVIEW	С	9/30/2002		\$2,016,750	\$2,239,320	\$0	\$2,239,320	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,443,000	\$199,200			\$199,200	8.2 [#]	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,121,350		\$0	\$2,427,624	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 36 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
LUBBOCK	С	10/31/2002		\$8,023,300	\$7,944,076	\$331,534	\$8,275,610	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$9,187,000	\$1,378,050			\$1,784,449	19.4	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,775,000		\$331,534	\$7,174,843	100.0	\$0	
ODESSA	С	10/31/2002		\$3,294,900	\$3,714,479	\$28,919	\$3,743,398	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,661,000	\$549,150			\$706,750	19.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,352,000		\$28,919	\$2,672,353	100.0	\$0	
PASADENA	С	10/31/2002		\$3,052,360	\$2,968,886	\$0	\$2,968,886	97.3	\$83,474	HQ req status from FO 1/05/05
TX	R		\$3,941,000	\$412,650			\$412,650	10.5 [#]	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$2,051,700		\$0	\$1,720,247	83.8	\$331,453	HQ req status from FO 1/14/04
PORT ARTHUR	С	6/30/2002		\$3,145,400	\$3,054,835	\$100,544	\$3,155,378	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,366,000	\$504,900			\$581,475	17.3	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$1,878,000		\$100,544	\$2,493,774	100.0	\$0	
SAN ANGELO	С	8/31/2002		\$2,833,800	\$2,644,669	\$0	\$2,644,669	93.3	\$189,131	FO conf deadline met 1/21/05
ГХ	R		\$3,197,000	\$479,550			\$1,298,343	40.6	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$1,785,000		\$0	\$2,512,642	100.0	\$0	
TARRANT CO	С	7/31/2002		\$8,074,230	\$8,742,699	\$74,401	\$8,817,100	100.0	\$0	
TΧ	R		\$8,924,000	\$1,338,600			\$2,046,771	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,730,000		\$74,401	\$9,021,279	100.0	\$0	
ΓEXAS	С	4/30/2002		\$265,688,170	\$254,023,819	\$3,840,345	\$257,864,165	97.1	\$7,824,005	FO conf deadline met 1/21/05
ΓX	R		\$296,695,585	\$44,212,200			\$47,664,230	16.1	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$184,878,000		\$3,840,345	\$236,654,334	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 37 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
TYLER	С	10/31/2002		\$3,254,400	\$3,390,215	\$0	\$3,390,215	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$3,616,000	\$542,400			\$643,884	17.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,973,000		\$0	\$3,441,822	100.0	\$0	
WACO	С	10/31/2002		\$6,763,101	\$7,096,216	\$664,611	\$7,760,827	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$7,675,000	\$1,151,250			\$1,330,186	17.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$4,843,000		\$664,611	\$8,038,640	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON COUNTY C	С			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0*		
TX	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
WHARTON CO CON	С			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0*		
TX	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
WICHITA FALLS	С	10/31/2002		\$3,862,852	\$4,078,936	\$0	\$4,078,936	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$4,773,000	\$283,102			\$284,253	6.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$2,775,001		\$0	\$4,411,347	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 38 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

PJ ODEENODODO	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
GREENSBORO	С	7/31/2002		\$7,383,250	\$8,396,344	\$0	\$8,396,344	100.0	\$0	
ASHEVILLE CON	R	773 172002	\$8,444,000	\$1,266,600	ф0,390,344	φυ	\$3,317,465	39.3	\$0 \$0	
NC	D	7/31/2002	Ф 0, 444 ,000	\$5,113,000		\$0	\$8,686,291	100.0	\$0 \$0	
	С	7/31/2002		\$15,953,615	\$17,821,074	\$4,575,317	\$22,396,392	100.0	\$0	
CHARLOTTE	R	.,	\$16,782,000	\$2,517,300	Ψ17,021,071	Ψ1,070,017	\$2,989,434	17.8	\$0	
NC	D	6/30/2002	Ψ10,702,000	\$10,686,000		\$4,575,317	\$21,670,270	100.0	\$0	
CONCORD CON	С	7/31/2002		\$2,606,400	\$3,209,562	\$0	\$3,209,562	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$2,896,000	\$434,400			\$560,850	19.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$673,000		\$0	\$3,230,023	100.0	\$0	
CUMBERLAND CO	С	7/31/2002		\$4,235,950	\$4,720,217	\$367,487	\$5,087,704	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$4,795,000	\$719,250			\$719,250	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,421,000		\$367,487	\$5,226,262	100.0	\$0	
DURHAM CON	С	7/31/2002		\$7,101,100	\$6,793,119	\$909,995	\$7,703,114	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$7,865,000	\$1,179,750			\$1,300,124	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,075,000		\$909,995	\$6,097,665	100.0	\$0	
FAYETTEVILLE	С	6/30/2002		\$4,461,587	\$4,949,944	\$595,658	\$5,545,602	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$5,005,000	\$750,750			\$750,750	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,214,000		\$595,658	\$5,726,498	100.0	\$0	
GASTONIA CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,348,305	\$3,218,083	\$1,555,731	\$4,773,813	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$3,928,000	\$589,200			\$652,950	16.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,416,000		\$1,555,731	\$5,028,418	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 39 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
GOLDSBORO	С	7/31/2002		\$1,688,600	\$1,640,392	\$53,021	\$1,693,413	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$1,904,000	\$285,600			\$647,450	34.0	\$0	
	D			\$909,000		\$53,021	\$1,762,352	0.0**		
GREENSBORO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$10,873,098	\$11,963,298	\$30,370	\$11,993,668	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$12,145,000	\$1,821,750			\$3,855,177	31.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,970,000		\$30,370	\$11,891,584	100.0	\$0	
GREENVILLE CON	С	8/31/2002		\$1,984,887	\$2,798,071	\$0	\$2,798,071	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$2,189,000	\$328,350			\$455,900	20.8	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
HIGH POINT	С			\$675,000	\$675,000	\$0	\$675,000	0.0*		
NC	R		\$750,000	\$112,500			\$112,500	0.0*		
	D			\$750,000		\$0	\$760,920	0.0**		
LENOIR CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,720,607	\$4,169,247	\$375,138	\$4,544,385	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$4,143,000	\$621,450			\$767,850	18.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,581,000		\$375,138	\$4,863,577	100.0	\$0	
NORTH CAROLINA	С	12/31/2001		\$152,992,303	\$156,884,637	\$2,028,937	\$158,913,574	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$169,874,000	\$21,731,100			\$31,604,722	18.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$97,950,000		\$2,028,937	\$126,355,854	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$2,286,600	\$2,291,063	\$0	\$2,291,063	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$2,544,000	\$381,600			\$462,775	18.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,265,000		\$0	\$1,836,888	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 40 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
RALEIGH	С	7/31/2002		\$7,552,000	\$7,389,151	\$737,235	\$8,126,387	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$8,405,000	\$1,260,750			\$2,064,378	24.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,263,000		\$737,235	\$8,043,494	100.0	\$0	
ROCKY MOUNT CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,171,500	\$3,851,300	\$155,071	\$4,006,371	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$3,519,000	\$527,850			\$575,900	16.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,190,000		\$155,071	\$3,647,699	100.0	\$0	
SURRY CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,804,300	\$3,408,571	\$512,284	\$3,920,855	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$4,227,000	\$634,050			\$786,880	18.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,676,000		\$512,284	\$3,791,217	100.0	\$0	
WAKE CO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,962,970	\$3,414,770	\$163,957	\$3,578,727	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$3,110,000	\$466,500			\$671,781	21.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,785,000		\$163,957	\$3,332,350	100.0	\$0	
WILMINGTON	С	8/31/2002		\$4,401,135	\$4,844,761	\$120,220	\$4,964,982	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$4,739,000	\$710,850			\$1,713,740	36.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,098,000		\$120,220	\$4,087,088	100.0	\$0	
WINSTON-SALEM CON	С	7/31/2002		\$8,797,786	\$9,583,168	\$686,756	\$10,269,924	100.0	\$0	
NC	R		\$9,411,000	\$1,411,650			\$2,778,283	29.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$6,034,000		\$686,756	\$7,657,920	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 41 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> HARTFORD	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BRIDGEPORT	С	8/31/2002		\$12,569,400	\$12,043,887	\$0	\$12,043,887	95.8	\$525,513	FO conf deadline is met 3/16/04
CT	R		\$14,016,000	\$2,102,400			\$4,413,468	31.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$9,183,000		\$0	\$11,004,107	100.0	\$0	
CONNECTICUT	С	7/31/2002		\$67,436,750	\$74,133,842	\$786,661	\$74,920,503	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$75,474,000	\$11,321,100			\$20,020,358	26.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$46,380,000		\$786,661	\$72,584,671	100.0	\$0	
HARTFORD	С	7/31/2002		\$16,568,100	\$16,942,024	\$1,019,755	\$17,961,779	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$18,409,000	\$2,761,350			\$3,453,419	18.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$11,821,000		\$1,019,755	\$17,224,080	100.0	\$0	
NEW BRITAIN	С	7/31/2002		\$4,988,500	\$5,587,293	\$0	\$5,587,293	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$5,478,000	\$821,700			\$934,700	17.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,499,000		\$0	\$3,683,396	100.0	\$0	
NEW HAVEN	С	7/31/2002		\$12,697,300	\$14,204,129	\$0	\$14,204,129	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$14,108,000	\$2,116,200			\$3,195,579	22.7#	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$9,101,000		\$0	\$13,295,911	100.0	\$0	
STAMFORD	С	10/31/2002		\$3,717,000	\$3,811,870	\$102,800	\$3,914,670	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$4,130,000	\$619,500			\$633,550	15.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,800,000		\$102,800	\$3,215,325	100.0	\$0	
WATERBURY	С	7/31/2002		\$6,650,916	\$6,256,414	\$438,507	\$6,694,921	100.0	\$0	
CT	R		\$7,496,000	\$1,124,400			\$2,388,498	31.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,739,516		\$438,507	\$6,541,375	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 42 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> HONOLULU	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
AMERICAN SAMOA	С	9/30/2002		\$1,709,350	\$1,903,320	\$3,250	\$1,906,570	100.0	\$0	
AS	R		\$2,011,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,165,000		\$3,250	\$1,529,262	100.0	\$0	
GUAM	С	9/30/2002		\$7,913,500	\$8,299,090	\$0	\$8,299,090	100.0	\$0	
GU	R		\$9,310,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,388,000		\$0	\$9,059,708	100.0	\$0	
HAWAII	С	7/31/2002		\$24,718,800	\$24,308,800	\$575,153	\$24,883,953	100.0	\$0	
Н	R		\$27,000,000	\$4,050,000			\$6,298,132	23.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$18,000,000		\$575,153	\$20,552,089	100.0	\$0	
HONOLULU	С	8/31/2002		\$35,444,117	\$35,009,936	\$390,362	\$35,400,298	99.9	\$43,819	FO conf deadline met 1/14/04
HI	R		\$36,698,000	\$5,307,700			\$5,489,774	15.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$24,052,000		\$390,362	\$29,846,894	100.0	\$0	
MARIANA ISLANDS	С	8/31/2002		\$2,135,200	\$2,486,250	\$0	\$2,486,250	100.0	\$0	
MP	R		\$2,512,000	\$0			\$0	0.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,431,000		\$0	\$2,610,162	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 43 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> INDIANAPOLIS	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ANDERSON	С	3/31/2002		\$3,160,100	\$3,665,300	\$0	\$3,665,300	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$3,539,000	\$530,850			\$2,608,900	73.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,000,000		\$0	\$3,289,266	100.0	\$0	
BLOOMINGTON	С	8/31/2002		\$4,604,250	\$4,697,892	\$347,026	\$5,044,919	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$5,005,000	\$750,750			\$1,261,460	25.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,183,000		\$347,026	\$4,875,339	100.0	\$0	
EAST CHICAGO	С	9/30/2002		\$3,075,000	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$3,500,000	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$3,500,000	\$525,000			\$611,137	17.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,000,000		\$0	\$3,471,644	100.0	\$0	
ELKHART CO CON	С			\$1,352,500	\$1,352,500	\$0	\$1,352,500	0.0*		
IN	R		\$1,500,000	\$225,000			\$428,489	0.0*		
	D	7/31/2002		\$500,000		\$0	\$1,469,589	100.0	\$0	
EVANSVILLE	С	3/31/2002		\$6,935,951	\$7,321,665	\$0	\$7,321,665	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$7,322,000	\$1,098,300			\$3,711,559	50.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,694,000		\$0	\$6,650,487	100.0	\$0	
FORT WAYNE	С	3/31/2002		\$7,079,300	\$7,947,800	\$617,949	\$8,565,749	100.0	\$0	
IN .	R		\$7,745,000	\$1,161,750			\$2,209,433	28.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,022,000		\$617,949	\$8,250,997	100.0	\$0	
GARY	С	3/31/2002		\$9,505,050	\$9,665,284	\$0	\$9,665,284	100.0	\$0	
N	R		\$10,825,000	\$1,623,750			\$6,215,204	57.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,002,000		\$0	\$8,963,154	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 44 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
HAMMOND	С	4/30/2002		\$4,799,900	\$5,271,618	\$0	\$5,271,618	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$5,205,000	\$780,750			\$888,300	17.1	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$3,323,000		\$0	\$4,745,631	100.0	\$0	
INDIANA	С	7/31/2002		\$92,068,892	\$100,717,009	\$1,716,971	\$102,433,980	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$105,892,000	\$15,883,800			\$35,227,756	33.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$65,490,000		\$1,716,971	\$98,557,617	100.0	\$0	
INDIANAPOLIS	С	3/31/2002		\$32,301,725	\$34,312,393	\$1,127,327	\$35,439,720	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$36,163,000	\$5,424,450			\$14,339,378	39.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$22,896,000		\$1,127,327	\$30,332,830	100.0	\$0	
LAFAYETTE CON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,043,500	\$4,516,552	\$92,890	\$4,609,442	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$4,281,000	\$642,150			\$2,614,366	61.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,343,000		\$92,890	\$4,530,095	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CO	С	9/30/2002		\$4,581,000	\$5,042,817	\$671,703	\$5,714,520	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$5,090,000	\$763,500			\$777,000	15.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,335,000		\$671,703	\$5,476,834	100.0	\$0	
MUNCIE	С	6/30/2002		\$4,570,200	\$4,870,139	\$9,820	\$4,879,959	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$5,078,000	\$761,700			\$1,889,545	37.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,290,000		\$9,820	\$5,256,724	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH BEND CON	С	3/31/2002		\$8,062,775	\$8,665,370	\$181,174	\$8,846,543	100.0	\$0	
IN	R		\$8,486,000	\$1,272,900			\$1,640,005	19.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,526,000		\$181,174	\$8,262,989	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 45 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	C	3/31/2002	Allocation	\$3,225,000	\$3,557,769	\$190,082	\$3,747,850	70 100.0	<u>\$1101 trail</u>	Current Status
HAUTE	R		\$3,500,000	\$519,600	ψο,σοι, τοσ	Ψ.00,00=	\$2,182,543	62.4	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$2,000,000		\$190,082	\$3,884,953	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 46 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> JACKSON	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
GULFPORT CON	С			\$0	\$609,307	\$600	\$609,907	0.0*		
MS	R		\$0	\$0			\$272,700	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$600	\$0	0.0**		
HATTIESBURG	С	2/28/2002		\$2,539,000	\$2,226,308	\$0	\$2,226,308	87.7	\$312,692	Deob. Complete (\$140,692)
MS	R		\$2,630,000	\$394,500			\$394,500	15.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,298,000		\$0	\$1,862,466	100.0	\$0	
JACKSON	С	12/31/2002		\$10,571,025	\$11,642,022	\$0	\$11,642,022	100.0	\$0	
MS	R		\$11,723,000	\$1,758,450			\$1,942,323	16.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$7,536,000		\$0	\$8,262,121	100.0	\$0	
MISSISSIPPI	С	3/31/2002		\$105,018,054	\$122,712,762	\$0	\$122,712,762	100.0	\$0	
MS	R		\$112,282,000	\$16,842,300			\$19,004,482	16.9	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$70,462,000		\$0	\$91,710,949	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 47 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> JACKSONVILLE	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
BREVARD CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$9,351,575	\$9,203,384	\$93,465	\$9,296,849	99.4	\$54,726	FO conf. Deadline met 1/17/03
FL FL	R		\$10,577,000	\$1,430,550			\$1,472,467	13.9 [#]	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$6,487,000		\$93,465	\$9,034,569	100.0	\$0	
CLEARWATER	С	10/31/2002		\$1,621,500	\$1,739,600	\$0	\$1,739,600	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$1,757,000	\$263,550			\$263,550	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$402,000		\$0	\$999,939	100.0	\$0	
DAYTONA BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$4,324,590	\$4,072,864	\$9,740	\$4,082,605	94.4	\$241,985	FO conf. Deadline met 1/17/03
FL	R		\$4,711,000	\$706,650			\$714,715	15.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,985,000		\$9,740	\$3,666,504	100.0	\$0	
ESCAMBIA CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$11,799,180	\$12,207,383	\$0	\$12,207,383	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$13,118,000	\$1,967,700			\$2,146,330	16.4	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$8,108,000		\$0	\$12,279,559	100.0	\$0	
FLORIDA	С	7/31/2002		\$134,685,900	\$137,070,661	\$2,157,963	\$139,228,624	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$149,651,000	\$22,447,650			\$31,134,525	20.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$89,323,000		\$2,157,963	\$108,725,340	100.0	\$0	
GAINESVILLE	С	10/31/2002		\$5,169,158	\$5,695,355	\$247,801	\$5,943,156	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$5,688,000	\$853,200			\$917,379	16.1	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$3,645,000		\$247,801	\$6,095,435	100.0	\$0	
HILLSBOROUGH CO	С	10/31/2002		\$13,047,250	\$14,047,378	\$937,918	\$14,985,296	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$14,740,000	\$2,211,000			\$2,281,675	15.5	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$9,301,000		\$937,918	\$15,234,290	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 48 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
JACKSONVILLE	С	10/31/2002		\$24,992,900	\$26,371,349	\$1,738,444	\$28,109,792	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$27,487,000	\$4,123,050			\$5,534,275	20.1	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$17,936,000		\$1,738,444	\$26,029,412	100.0	\$0	
LAKELAND	С	6/30/2002		\$2,089,200	\$2,420,549	\$41,085	\$2,461,634	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$2,372,000	\$355,800			\$429,750	18.1	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$1,130,000		\$41,085	\$2,684,886	100.0	\$0	
MANATEE COUNTY	С			\$0	\$193,300	\$0	\$193,300	0.0*		
FL	R		\$0	\$0			\$193,300	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
ORANGE CO	С	10/31/2002		\$13,027,464	\$13,027,464	\$7,108	\$13,034,572	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$14,546,000	\$2,181,900			\$5,811,735	40.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$9,206,000		\$7,108	\$11,559,416	100.0	\$0	
ORLANDO	С	10/31/2002		\$8,076,600	\$8,322,044	\$105,881	\$8,427,925	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$8,974,000	\$1,346,100			\$3,854,212	42.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$5,695,000		\$105,881	\$8,184,733	100.0	\$0	
PASCO CO	С	10/31/2002		\$7,249,463	\$8,078,872	\$2,033,281	\$10,112,153	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$7,320,000	\$1,098,000			\$1,242,300	17.0	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$4,667,000		\$2,033,281	\$9,462,962	100.0	\$0	
PINELLAS CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$13,244,014	\$12,931,371	\$3,857,058	\$16,788,429	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$13,997,000	\$2,099,550			\$4,597,652	32.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$9,654,000		\$3,857,058	\$17,749,928	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 49 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
POLK CO	С	10/31/2002		\$8,711,400	\$9,256,126	\$515,855	\$9,771,981	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$9,758,000	\$1,463,700			\$1,537,064	15.8	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$6,304,000		\$515,855	\$10,468,708	100.0	\$0	
SARASOTA CON	С	10/31/2002		\$5,603,344	\$4,882,440	\$1,685,927	\$6,568,366	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$6,439,000	\$965,850			\$1,515,490	23.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,094,000		\$1,685,927	\$4,503,927	100.0	\$0	
ST. PETERSBURG	С	10/31/2002		\$9,706,150	\$9,036,831	\$1,435,452	\$10,472,283	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$11,419,000	\$1,712,850			\$2,842,437	24.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$7,378,000		\$1,435,452	\$11,190,009	100.0	\$0	
TALLAHASSEE	С	10/31/2002		\$6,190,200	\$6,303,770	\$0	\$6,303,770	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$6,878,000	\$1,031,700			\$1,160,690	16.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$4,299,000		\$0	\$5,872,888	100.0	\$0	
TAMPA	С	10/31/2002		\$15,529,500	\$17,271,095	\$2,713,575	\$19,984,669	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$17,255,000	\$2,588,250			\$2,892,537	16.8	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$11,137,000		\$2,713,575	\$21,771,742	100.0	\$0	
VOLUSIA CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$13,669,316	\$14,212,369	\$302,039	\$14,514,408	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$15,256,000	\$2,288,400			\$3,804,318	24.9	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$9,643,000		\$302,039	\$14,525,015	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 50 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> KANSAS CITY	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
INDEPENDENCE	С	7/31/2002		\$2,863,150	\$2,923,813	\$104,922	\$3,028,734	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$3,247,000	\$487,050			\$552,650	17.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,813,000		\$104,922	\$3,361,761	100.0	\$0	
JOHNSON CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$4,313,830	\$4,835,405	\$66,412	\$4,901,817	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$4,871,000	\$730,650			\$860,700	17.7	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$2,593,000		\$66,412	\$5,200,876	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS	С	5/31/2002		\$50,305,892	\$49,816,300	\$0	\$49,816,300	99.0	\$489,592	FO conf deadline met 1/14/04
KS	R		\$56,703,000	\$8,505,450			\$13,180,815	23.2	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$35,073,000		\$0	\$50,923,344	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS CITY	С	10/31/2002		\$7,418,825	\$7,273,462	\$317,686	\$7,591,148	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$8,559,000	\$1,283,850			\$1,718,400	20.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,510,000		\$317,686	\$7,641,759	100.0	\$0	
KANSAS CITY	С	6/30/2002		\$21,937,331	\$23,828,611	\$3,054,112	\$26,882,723	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$23,116,000	\$3,467,400			\$4,361,995	18.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$14,819,000		\$3,054,112	\$23,568,355	100.0	\$0	
LAWRENCE	С	8/31/2002		\$3,249,900	\$3,583,096	\$402,267	\$3,985,363	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$3,480,000	\$522,000			\$849,200	24.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,870,000		\$402,267	\$3,983,575	100.0	\$0	
MISSOURI	С	4/30/2002		\$107,553,240	\$122,398,367	\$5,791,265	\$128,189,632	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$111,917,000	\$16,787,550			\$33,846,616	30.2	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$69,122,000		\$5,791,265	\$101,250,700	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 51 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SPRINGFIELD	С	7/31/2002		\$6,676,950	\$7,525,525	\$1,298,347	\$8,823,872	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$7,770,000	\$1,165,500			\$1,629,992	21.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,872,000		\$1,298,347	\$9,161,502	100.0	\$0	
ST. JOSEPH	С	7/31/2002		\$3,198,373	\$3,208,985	\$200,286	\$3,409,271	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$3,443,000	\$516,450			\$777,950	22.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,908,000		\$200,286	\$3,592,424	100.0	\$0	
TOPEKA	С	6/30/2002		\$5,180,725	\$5,521,170	\$0	\$5,521,170	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$5,431,000	\$814,650			\$2,991,385	55.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,477,000		\$0	\$4,779,455	100.0	\$0	
WICHITA	С	6/30/2002		\$12,712,400	\$12,214,116	\$1,326,749	\$13,540,864	100.0	\$0	
KS	R		\$14,597,000	\$2,189,550			\$2,851,551	19.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$9,151,000		\$1,326,749	\$14,919,399	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 52 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
KNOXVILLE										
CHATTANOOGA	С	7/31/2002		\$9,859,354	\$11,036,464	\$1,261,158	\$12,297,622	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$10,201,000	\$1,530,150			\$1,967,773	19.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,644,000		\$1,261,158	\$11,732,973	100.0	\$0	
CLARKSVILLE	С	7/31/2002		\$1,718,700	\$1,887,861	\$44,791	\$1,932,652	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$1,993,000	\$223,950			\$323,950	16.3 [#]	\$0	
	D			\$773,000		\$44,791	\$2,157,263	0.0**		
JACKSON	С	7/31/2002		\$1,402,400	\$1,679,888	\$8,382	\$1,688,270	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$1,600,000	\$240,000			\$271,350	17.0	\$0	
	D			\$500,000		\$8,382	\$1,944,883	0.0**		
KNOX CO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,597,815	\$2,541,756	\$70,564	\$2,612,319	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$2,927,000	\$439,050			\$514,050	17.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,701,000		\$70,564	\$2,571,629	100.0	\$0	
KNOXVILLE	С	7/31/2002		\$9,998,700	\$9,280,148	\$1,139,346	\$10,419,494	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$11,480,000	\$1,722,000			\$2,217,014	19.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,481,000		\$1,139,346	\$11,752,092	100.0	\$0	
MEMPHIS	С	7/31/2002		\$37,140,842	\$37,538,235	\$145,766	\$37,684,001	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$42,163,000	\$6,324,450			\$7,414,520	17.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$27,323,000		\$145,766	\$38,303,462	100.0	\$0	
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	С	5/31/2002		\$19,963,518	\$21,575,737	\$6,171,535	\$27,747,272	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$22,840,000	\$3,425,700			\$4,326,287	18.9	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$14,693,000		\$6,171,535	\$21,570,212	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 53 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SHELBY CO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,909,445	\$3,026,167	\$103,669	\$3,129,836	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$3,129,000	\$469,350			\$469,350	15.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,777,000		\$103,669	\$3,065,318	100.0	\$0	
TENNESSEE	С	7/31/2002		\$106,774,465	\$121,480,465	\$155,251	\$121,635,716	100.0	\$0	
TN	R		\$116,845,000	\$17,526,750			\$20,185,462	17.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$74,258,000		\$155,251	\$100,831,159	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 54 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> LITTLE ROCK	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
ARKANSAS	С	6/30/2002		\$81,142,105	\$79,656,057	\$1,427,171	\$81,083,228	99.9	\$58,877	FO conf deadline met 5/15/03
AR	R		\$88,879,000	\$13,331,850			\$15,759,732	17.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$55,903,000		\$1,427,171	\$78,094,372	100.0	\$0	
FORT SMITH	С	8/31/2002		\$2,667,810	\$2,992,271	\$75,099	\$3,067,370	100.0	\$0	
AR	R		\$2,802,000	\$420,300			\$864,767	30.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$1,619,000		\$75,099	\$3,004,826	100.0	\$0	
LITTLE ROCK	С	10/31/2002		\$7,326,650	\$7,581,192	\$3,361,210	\$10,942,402	100.0	\$0	
AR	R		\$8,182,000	\$1,227,300			\$1,594,273	19.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,298,000		\$3,361,210	\$10,012,554	100.0	\$0	
ORTH LITTLE ROCK	С	3/31/2002		\$1,683,850	\$1,652,792	\$0	\$1,652,792	98.2	\$31,058	Deob Complete (\$31,008.70)
AR	R		\$1,981,000	\$297,150			\$960,600	48.5	\$0	
	D			\$845,000		\$0	\$2,148,543	0.0**		
PINE BLUFF	С	3/31/2002		\$3,877,699	\$4,043,416	\$61,325	\$4,104,741	100.0	\$0	
AR	R		\$4,190,000	\$628,500			\$747,475	17.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$2,790,000		\$61,325	\$4,289,204	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 55 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
LOS ANGELES										
ALHAMBRA	С	7/31/2002		\$5,189,395	\$4,471,482	\$518,182	\$4,989,664	96.2	\$199,731	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$5,645,000	\$846,750			\$738,150	13.1	\$108,600	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,524,000		\$518,182	\$5,091,241	100.0	\$0	
ANAHEIM	С	8/31/2002		\$11,008,800	\$12,175,755	\$995,537	\$13,171,293	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$12,232,000	\$1,834,800			\$2,019,574	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,662,000		\$995,537	\$13,818,093	100.0	\$0	
BAKERSFIELD	С	8/31/2002		\$7,972,111	\$8,650,290	\$1,075,576	\$9,725,866	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,796,000	\$1,319,400			\$1,614,302	18.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,306,000		\$1,075,576	\$9,688,550	100.0	\$0	
BALDWIN PARK	С	8/31/2002		\$2,039,742	\$1,842,027	\$402,065	\$2,244,092	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,196,092	\$212,864			\$133,350	6.1 [#]	\$79,514	Corrections made
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,268,000		\$402,065	\$1,979,492	100.0	\$0	
BELLFLOWER	С	8/31/2002		\$2,355,303	\$2,050,107	\$29,940	\$2,080,047	88.3	\$275,256	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$2,635,000	\$395,250			\$161,200	6.1	\$234,050	FO conf deadline met 4/16/03
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,300,000		\$29,940	\$2,267,618	100.0	\$0	
BURBANK	С	8/31/2002		\$4,582,890	\$5,254,290	\$26,287	\$5,280,577	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,260,550	\$545,233			\$2,015,492	38.3 [#]	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,047,700		\$26,287	\$4,664,313	100.0	\$0	
CHULA VISTA	С	7/31/2002		\$5,855,300	\$6,129,949	\$0	\$6,129,949	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,569,000	\$985,350			\$1,962,561	29.9	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,094,000		\$0	\$4,693,881	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 56 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
COMPTON	С	8/31/2002		\$6,558,400	\$6,254,773	\$0	\$6,254,773	95.4	\$303,627	Deob Complete (\$162,332)
CA	R		\$7,201,000	\$1,080,150			\$1,028,700	14.3	\$51,450	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,621,000		\$0	\$6,209,509	100.0	\$0	
COSTA MESA	С	8/31/2002		\$4,433,570	\$4,901,211	\$0	\$4,901,211	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,994,000	\$688,070			\$2,578,327	51.6 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,223,000		\$0	\$4,011,808	100.0	\$0	
DOWNEY	С	8/31/2002		\$2,641,850	\$2,223,781	\$175,727	\$2,399,507	90.8	\$242,343	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$2,993,000	\$435,800			\$508,786	17.0 [#]	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,546,150		\$175,727	\$1,899,177	100.0	\$0	
EL CAJON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,168,311	\$4,392,006	\$578,079	\$4,970,085	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,765,000	\$636,596			\$950,282	19.9 [#]	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$2,665,950		\$578,079	\$4,624,985	100.0	\$0	
EL MONTE	С	8/31/2002		\$6,943,600	\$6,156,559	\$0	\$6,156,559	88.7	\$787,041	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$8,043,000	\$1,206,450			\$1,652,579	20.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,007,000		\$0	\$5,942,235	100.0	\$0	
ESCONDIDO	С	8/31/2002		\$3,634,850	\$3,634,850	\$0	\$3,634,850	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,237,000	\$635,550			\$1,238,229	29.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,268,000		\$0	\$3,882,566	100.0	\$0	
FONTANA	С	7/31/2002		\$2,406,200	\$2,885,200	\$166,676	\$3,051,876	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,410,000	\$361,500			\$872,801	36.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,145,000		\$166,676	\$3,055,676	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 57 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
FULLERTON	С	8/31/2002		\$4,461,959	\$4,953,959	\$40,000	\$4,993,959	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,874,000	\$731,100			\$2,016,209	41.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,144,000		\$40,000	\$4,307,092	100.0	\$0	
GARDEN GROVE	С	7/31/2002		\$5,058,800	\$4,576,979	\$86,372	\$4,663,350	92.2	\$395,450	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$5,613,000	\$841,950			\$1,165,750	20.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,816,000		\$86,372	\$4,923,047	100.0	\$0	
GLENDALE	С	8/31/2002		\$11,819,700	\$11,938,039	\$44,845	\$11,982,884	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$13,133,000	\$1,969,950			\$2,846,600	21.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$8,031,000		\$44,845	\$8,192,532	100.0	\$0	
HAWTHORNE	С	8/31/2002		\$2,776,250	\$2,839,850	\$19,335	\$2,859,185	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,125,000	\$468,750			\$579,600	18.5	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,170,000		\$19,335	\$2,006,924	100.0	\$0	
HUNTINGTON BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$5,221,800	\$4,607,200	\$0	\$4,607,200	88.2	\$614,600	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$5,802,000	\$870,300			\$2,537,200	43.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,796,000		\$0	\$4,916,300	100.0	\$0	
HUNTINGTON PARK	С	8/31/2002		\$5,579,629	\$5,214,981	\$0	\$5,214,981	93.5	\$364,649	Deob Complete (\$36,198)
CA	R		\$5,991,000	\$898,650			\$731,350	12.2	\$167,300	Deobligation Complete (\$36,198)
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,820,000		\$0	\$5,430,143	100.0	\$0	
NGLEWOOD	С	10/31/2002		\$7,407,150	\$7,498,412	\$0	\$7,498,412	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,359,000	\$1,137,900			\$1,804,050	21.6 [#]	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,251,050		\$0	\$5,536,814	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 58 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
KERN CO	С	8/31/2002		\$16,828,861	\$16,495,354	\$852,573	\$17,347,927	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$18,115,000	\$2,717,250			\$7,288,000	40.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$11,433,000		\$852,573	\$17,285,950	100.0	\$0	
LONG BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$29,987,400	\$30,852,134	\$5,947,760	\$36,799,894	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$32,916,000	\$4,937,400			\$5,004,015	15.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$20,592,000		\$5,947,760	\$36,536,854	100.0	\$0	
LOS ANGELES	С	5/31/2002		\$250,863,517	\$215,021,420	\$47,478,807	\$262,500,227	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$284,176,000	\$42,626,400			\$51,738,605	18.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$178,893,000		\$47,478,807	\$277,528,699	100.0	\$0	
LOS ANGELES CO	С	8/31/2002		\$88,499,300	\$83,989,465	\$4,850,180	\$88,839,645	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$98,388,000	\$14,758,200			\$17,771,033	18.1	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$63,347,000		\$4,850,180	\$81,587,509	100.0	\$0	
LYNWOOD	С	8/31/2002		\$4,518,000	\$4,254,935	\$0	\$4,254,935	94.2	\$263,065	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$5,020,000	\$753,000			\$750,014	14.9	\$2,986	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03
	D	10/31/2002		\$3,175,000		\$0	\$3,584,044	100.0	\$0	
MONTEBELLO	С	8/31/2002		\$2,763,350	\$2,670,049	\$7,776	\$2,677,824	96.9	\$85,526	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$3,251,000	\$487,650			\$550,031	16.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,778,000		\$7,776	\$2,089,369	100.0	\$0	
MONTEREY PARK	С	8/31/2002		\$2,510,400	\$2,091,000	\$0	\$2,091,000	83.3	\$419,400	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$2,557,000	\$383,550			\$2,091,000	81.8	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,191,000		\$0	\$2,099,000	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 59 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MORENO VALLEY	С	7/31/2002		\$2,203,200	\$2,506,565	\$0	\$2,506,565	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,448,000	\$367,200			\$436,050	17.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,240,000		\$0	\$1,320,328	100.0	\$0	
NATIONAL CITY	С	7/31/2002		\$4,615,975	\$4,783,246	\$0	\$4,783,246	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,079,375	\$761,906			\$1,086,425	21.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,227,375		\$0	\$4,394,370	100.0	\$0	
NORWALK	С	8/31/2002		\$1,883,627	\$1,931,054	\$0	\$1,931,054	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,330,000	\$284,200			\$220,373	9.5 [#]	\$63,827	Deobligation Complete
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,110,000		\$0	\$1,925,230	100.0	\$0	
OCEANSIDE	С	8/31/2002		\$5,028,296	\$4,765,661	\$284,415	\$5,050,077	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,609,000	\$841,350			\$1,383,360	24.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,555,000		\$284,415	\$4,346,476	100.0	\$0	
ONTARIO	С	8/31/2002		\$5,298,974	\$5,759,579	\$326,286	\$6,085,865	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,816,000	\$872,400			\$904,763	15.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,562,000		\$326,286	\$3,919,026	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE	С	7/31/2002		\$2,745,600	\$3,053,420	\$9,368	\$3,062,788	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,134,000	\$395,100			\$474,150	15.1 [#]	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,667,000		\$9,368	\$2,140,096	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$13,419,100	\$12,853,729	\$727,053	\$13,580,782	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$14,910,000	\$2,236,500			\$1,701,535	11.4	\$534,965	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03
	D	7/31/2002		\$10,210,000		\$727,053	\$10,025,939	98.2	\$184,061	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 60 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
OXNARD	С	10/31/2002		\$6,306,200	\$6,022,576	\$437,766	\$6,460,342	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,898,000	\$1,034,700			\$1,155,145	16.7	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,329,000		\$437,766	\$6,701,176	100.0	\$0	
PARAMOUNT CITY	С	8/31/2002		\$1,555,200	\$1,328,381	\$0	\$1,328,381	85.4	\$226,819	Deob Complete (\$106,504.95)
CA	R		\$1,728,000	\$259,200			\$328,950	19.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$500,000		\$0	\$1,491,913	100.0	\$0	
PASADENA	С	8/31/2002		\$8,376,000	\$8,090,278	\$417,505	\$8,507,783	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$9,188,000	\$1,378,200			\$1,217,700	13.3	\$160,500	FO conf deadline met 2/18/03
	D	9/30/2002		\$5,809,000		\$417,505	\$6,642,538	100.0	\$0	
POMONA	С	8/31/2002		\$6,507,500	\$6,821,129	\$0	\$6,821,129	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,276,000	\$1,091,400			\$1,403,354	19.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,500,000		\$0	\$6,748,511	100.0	\$0	
RIVERSIDE	С	9/30/2002		\$9,039,000	\$9,718,596	\$78,780	\$9,797,377	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$10,380,000	\$1,236,100			\$1,696,762	16.3 [#]	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$6,133,100		\$78,780	\$8,405,026	100.0	\$0	
RIVERSIDE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$20,203,193	\$22,288,668	\$513,602	\$22,802,270	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$22,243,000	\$3,336,450			\$5,709,995	25.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$14,073,000		\$513,602	\$19,476,636	100.0	\$0	
ROSEMEAD	С	7/31/2002		\$1,287,950	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	77.6	\$287,950	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$2,358,000	(\$13,850)			\$0	$0.0^{\#}$	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$752,250		\$0	\$1,000,000	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 61 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SAN BERNARDINO	С	7/31/2002		\$11,606,386	\$13,406,664	\$594,510	\$14,001,174	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$12,926,000	\$1,938,900			\$2,869,376	22.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,733,000		\$594,510	\$13,927,423	100.0	\$0	
SAN BERNARDINO CO CO	С	7/31/2002		\$26,314,200	\$26,702,022	\$0	\$26,702,022	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$29,238,000	\$4,385,700			\$10,593,804	36.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$17,635,000		\$0	\$27,723,847	100.0	\$0	
SAN DIEGO	С	8/31/2002		\$54,695,712	\$59,786,562	\$3,804,661	\$63,591,223	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$60,880,000	\$9,132,000			\$12,528,153	20.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$38,030,000		\$3,804,661	\$65,999,302	100.0	\$0	
SAN DIEGO CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$22,261,500	\$22,425,964	\$695,688	\$23,121,652	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$24,735,000	\$3,710,250			\$4,796,817	19.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$14,256,000		\$695,688	\$20,847,230	100.0	\$0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO CO	С	8/31/2002		\$6,528,600	\$6,677,627	\$264,420	\$6,942,047	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,034,000	\$1,055,100			\$4,066,516	57.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,788,000		\$264,420	\$6,004,724	100.0	\$0	
SANTA ANA	С	8/31/2002		\$13,610,700	\$13,955,116	\$1,282,291	\$15,237,407	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$15,123,000	\$2,268,450			\$12,165,181	80.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$9,352,000		\$1,282,291	\$14,986,378	100.0	\$0	
SANTA BARBARA	С	8/31/2002		\$5,691,207	\$5,560,098	\$75,716	\$5,635,814	99.0	\$55,393	FO conf deadline met 1/15/04
CA	R		\$5,933,000	\$889,950			\$2,502,365	42.2	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,757,000		\$75,716	\$5,526,838	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 62 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SANTA BARBARA CO CO	_N C	8/31/2002		\$7,249,007	\$7,576,842	\$77,285	\$7,654,127	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,172,000	\$1,225,800			\$4,135,663	50.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,924,000		\$77,285	\$7,896,105	100.0	\$0	
SANTA MONICA	С	8/31/2002		\$6,191,322	\$6,286,904	\$372,976	\$6,659,880	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,469,000	\$970,350			\$3,575,394	55.3	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$4,293,000		\$372,976	\$6,713,042	100.0	\$0	
SOUTH GATE	С	8/31/2002		\$6,049,300	\$6,049,300	\$0	\$6,049,300	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,636,000	\$995,400			\$995,400	15.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,099,000		\$0	\$4,699,128	100.0	\$0	
VENTURA CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$8,990,400	\$10,251,176	\$319,034	\$10,570,210	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$10,016,000	\$1,502,400			\$2,745,166	27.4	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$6,379,000		\$319,034	\$8,253,161	100.0	\$0	
WESTMINSTER	С	8/31/2002		\$1,884,914	\$2,123,907	\$131,774	\$2,255,681	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,053,000	\$307,950			\$565,614	27.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$857,000		\$131,774	\$2,181,109	100.0	\$0	
WHITTIER	С	9/30/2002		\$1,797,300	\$1,434,770	\$0	\$1,434,770	79.8	\$362,530	Deob. Complete (\$64,193.60)
CA	R		\$1,997,000	\$299,550			\$235,800	11.8	\$63,750	Deobligation Complete
	D	8/31/2002		\$749,000		\$0	\$1,473,020	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 63 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> LOUISVILLE	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
COVINGTON	С	6/30/2002		\$4,110,850	\$4,265,492	\$495,670	\$4,761,161	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$4,703,000	\$705,450			\$920,129	19.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,075,000		\$495,670	\$5,278,435	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON CO	С	6/30/2002		\$8,476,200	\$9,174,307	\$756,720	\$9,931,027	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$9,418,000	\$1,412,700			\$1,413,200	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$6,020,000		\$756,720	\$10,370,299	100.0	\$0	
KENTUCKY	С	7/31/2002		\$116,433,050	\$121,423,343	\$517,872	\$121,941,215	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$130,277,000	\$19,541,550			\$22,849,136	17.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$81,775,000		\$517,872	\$116,081,839	100.0	\$0	
LEXINGTON-FAYETTE	С	6/30/2002		\$9,670,134	\$9,682,108	\$991,140	\$10,673,248	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$11,008,000	\$1,651,200			\$2,147,850	19.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,047,000		\$991,140	\$11,303,527	100.0	\$0	
LOUISVILLE	С	5/31/2002		\$23,422,800	\$21,545,301	\$5,555,930	\$27,101,231	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$23,715,000	\$3,260,700			\$5,422,813	22.9	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$15,179,000		\$5,555,930	\$19,313,168	100.0	\$0	
OWENSBORO	С	6/30/2002		\$2,521,747	\$2,678,073	\$15,096	\$2,693,170	100.0	\$0	
KY	R		\$2,790,000	\$418,500			\$418,500	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,602,000		\$15,096	\$2,977,916	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 64 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	Current Status
MIAMI		0/00/0000		4.5.4.4.000	* 45 507 400	Φ.0	A. 507 400	1000	**	
BROWARD CO	С	9/30/2002		\$15,141,800	\$15,527,426	\$0	\$15,527,426	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$16,270,000	\$2,440,500			\$2,283,545	14.0	\$156,955	FO conf. Deadline met 11/4/02
	D	9/30/2002		\$11,082,000		\$0	\$14,162,772	100.0	\$0	
DADE CO	С	3/31/2002		\$40,874,690	\$40,253,630	\$4,641,377	\$44,895,007	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$46,297,000	\$6,944,550			\$10,753,275	23.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$29,184,000		\$4,641,377	\$37,063,084	100.0	\$0	
FORT LAUDERDALE	С	9/30/2002		\$7,412,329	\$6,590,010	\$304,853	\$6,894,863	93.0	\$517,466	HQ req status from FO 1/05/05
FL	R		\$8,244,000	\$1,236,600			\$1,084,950	13.2	\$151,650	FO conf. Deadline met 11/4/02
	D	10/31/2002		\$5,289,000		\$304,853	\$6,319,273	100.0	\$0	
HIALEAH	С	10/31/2002		\$11,719,166	\$11,752,983	\$30,000	\$11,782,983	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$12,376,000	\$1,856,400			\$2,307,703	18.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,573,000		\$30,000	\$8,838,201	100.0	\$0	
HOLLYWOOD	С	10/31/2002		\$3,412,600	\$3,213,121	\$473,120	\$3,686,241	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$3,735,000	\$560,250			\$658,650	17.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,002,000		\$473,120	\$3,421,412	100.0	\$0	
LEE CO	С	10/31/2002		\$4,070,697	\$4,148,550	\$221,572	\$4,370,122	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$4,523,000	\$678,450			\$1,518,311	33.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,879,000		\$221,572	\$4,720,213	100.0	\$0	
MIAMI	С	10/31/2002		\$35,461,800	\$40,166,344	\$0	\$40,166,344	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$39,402,000	\$5,910,300			\$10,357,208	26.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$25,120,000		\$0	\$28,408,769	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 65 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MIAMI BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$10,713,044	\$11,339,783	\$85,500	\$11,425,283	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$12,238,000	\$1,835,700			\$5,173,694	42.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,934,000		\$85,500	\$10,869,119	100.0	\$0	
PALM BEACH CO CON	С	11/30/2002		\$15,303,200	\$14,877,542	\$0	\$14,877,542	97.2	\$425,658	FO conf deadline met 12/17/02
FL	R		\$17,140,000	\$2,571,000			\$2,685,723	15.7	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$11,243,000		\$0	\$15,237,054	100.0	\$0	
POMPANO BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$1,364,231	\$1,738,931	\$21,121	\$1,760,052	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$1,510,000	\$226,500			\$292,200	19.4	\$0	
	D			\$350,000		\$21,121	\$1,677,840	0.0**		
WEST PALM BEACH	С	10/31/2002		\$3,822,150	\$3,859,175	\$589,991	\$4,449,166	100.0	\$0	
FL	R		\$4,191,000	\$628,650			\$793,152	18.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$2,702,000		\$589,991	\$4,015,425	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 66 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> MILWAUKEE	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DANE CO	С			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0*		
WI	R		\$0	\$0	*-	•	\$0	0.0*		
***	D		·	\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
EAU CLAIRE	С	8/31/2002		\$2,762,500	\$3,097,220	\$22,500	\$3,119,720	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$3,150,000	\$472,500			\$800,173	25.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,743,000		\$22,500	\$2,935,385	100.0	\$0	
GREEN BAY	С	4/30/2002		\$5,094,547	\$5,531,618	\$327,761	\$5,859,378	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$5,622,000	\$768,300			\$2,537,088	45.1 [#]	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,466,000		\$327,761	\$5,602,739	100.0	\$0	
JANESVILLE	С			\$0	\$857,215	\$0	\$857,215	0.0*		
WI	R		\$0	\$0			\$139,350	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
KENOSHA	С	4/30/2002		\$3,196,850	\$3,498,520	\$18,659	\$3,517,179	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$3,761,000	\$564,150			\$564,150	15.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,033,000		\$18,659	\$3,669,067	100.0	\$0	
LA CROSSE	С	4/30/2002		\$2,477,234	\$2,899,183	\$114,929	\$3,014,112	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$2,599,000	\$389,850			\$533,822	20.5	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$1,283,000		\$114,929	\$2,496,315	100.0	\$0	
MADISON	С	4/30/2002		\$10,548,385	\$11,529,659	\$699,373	\$12,229,032	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$11,077,000	\$1,661,550			\$2,964,044	26.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$6,725,000		\$699,373	\$11,593,232	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 67 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MILWAUKEE	С	5/31/2002		\$63,009,063	\$67,372,194	\$3,499,521	\$70,871,715	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$63,364,000	\$8,991,396			\$16,842,759	26.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$38,622,000		\$3,499,521	\$57,985,904	100.0	\$0	
MILWAUKEE CO CON	С	5/31/2002		\$7,216,438	\$7,315,773	\$152,524	\$7,468,297	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$8,305,000	\$1,245,750			\$1,743,900	21.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,213,000		\$152,524	\$7,390,028	100.0	\$0	
RACINE	С	4/30/2002		\$6,461,437	\$7,142,689	\$426,661	\$7,569,350	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$6,734,000	\$952,044			\$1,981,576	29.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,103,000		\$426,661	\$7,603,276	100.0	\$0	
WAUKESHA CO CON	С	5/31/2002		\$2,348,250	\$2,555,199	\$0	\$2,555,199	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$2,716,000	\$407,400			\$618,350	22.8	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
WISCONSIN	С	5/31/2002		\$95,429,896	\$96,341,440	\$199,630	\$96,541,069	100.0	\$0	
WI	R		\$110,915,000	\$16,637,250			\$35,273,902	31.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$69,145,000		\$199,630	\$101,318,244	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 68 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> MINNEAPOLIS	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
DAKOTA CO CON	С	11/30/2002		\$15,028,947	\$14,745,382	\$1,454,801	\$16,200,183	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$16,731,000	\$2,509,650			\$2,765,853	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$10,582,000		\$1,454,801	\$16,433,357	100.0	\$0	
DULUTH	С	3/31/2002		\$4,186,860	\$4,637,148	\$164,980	\$4,802,128	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$4,611,000	\$691,650			\$1,328,158	28.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,441,000		\$164,980	\$4,800,168	100.0	\$0	
HENNEPIN CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$12,563,700	\$12,389,318	\$205,920	\$12,595,238	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$13,772,000	\$2,065,800			\$2,866,680	20.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$8,749,000		\$205,920	\$13,364,285	100.0	\$0	
MINNEAPOLIS	С	6/30/2002		\$26,176,300	\$25,980,628	\$297,796	\$26,278,424	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$28,808,000	\$4,321,200			\$4,858,702	16.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$18,075,000		\$297,796	\$26,006,634	100.0	\$0	
MINNESOTA	С	3/31/2002		\$61,778,546	\$64,468,434	\$1,039,804	\$65,508,238	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$67,203,000	\$9,037,141			\$14,116,247	21.0	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$40,640,000		\$1,039,804	\$60,282,714	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$6,121,102	\$6,217,415	\$284,985	\$6,502,400	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$7,063,000	\$1,059,450			\$2,017,595	28.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,026,000		\$284,985	\$6,465,734	100.0	\$0	
ST. PAUL	С	6/30/2002		\$15,402,250	\$16,080,462	\$0	\$16,080,462	100.0	\$0	
MN	R		\$17,698,000	\$2,654,700			\$3,529,950	19.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$10,909,000		\$0	\$16,193,069	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 69 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> NEW ORLEANS	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	С	5/31/2002		\$3,280,442	\$3,644,192	\$0	\$3,644,192	100.0	\$0	
ALEXANDRIA LA	R	5.5.1.	\$3,954,000	\$425,300	ψο,ο 11,102	Ψ	\$424,800	10.7#	\$500	Deob not necessary (deob < \$1,000)
LA	D	5/31/2002	, -, ,	\$2,507,200		\$0	\$3,315,734	100.0	\$0	
BATON ROUGE	С	10/31/2002		\$15,599,400	\$15,895,051	\$565,056	\$16,460,107	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$17,686,000	\$2,652,900			\$4,018,000	22.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$11,278,000		\$565,056	\$14,896,073	100.0	\$0	
HOUMA CO	С	12/31/2002		\$3,243,600	\$3,961,077	\$439,342	\$4,400,420	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$3,604,000	\$540,600			\$847,000	23.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,006,000		\$439,342	\$3,028,697	100.0	\$0	
JEFFERSON PAR CON	С	8/31/2002		\$15,878,528	\$15,490,048	\$172,701	\$15,662,749	98.6	\$215,778	FO conf deadline met 9/11/02
LA	R		\$18,365,000	\$2,749,125			\$2,962,957	16.1 [#]	\$0	
	D	11/30/2002		\$11,509,877		\$172,701	\$11,725,794	100.0	\$0	
LAFAYETTE	С	7/31/2002		\$5,831,218	\$5,860,412	\$179,157	\$6,039,569	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$6,014,000	\$902,100			\$1,123,758	18.7	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$3,536,000		\$179,157	\$5,177,151	100.0	\$0	
LAKE CHARLES	С	10/31/2002		\$3,115,800	\$3,323,613	\$0	\$3,323,613	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$3,462,000	\$519,300			\$607,050	17.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2003		\$1,918,000		\$0	\$2,860,313	100.0	\$0	
LOUISIANA	С	4/30/2002		\$100,591,000	\$85,100,016	\$3,327,050	\$88,427,066	87.9	\$12,163,934	Deob. Complete (\$2,052,579)
LA	R		\$113,240,000	\$16,986,000			\$14,419,208	12.7	\$2,566,792	Included in above
	D	8/31/2002		\$70,260,000		\$3,327,050	\$90,715,231	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 70 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MONROE	С	12/31/2002		\$4,313,749	\$4,257,410	\$82,210	\$4,339,619	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$4,735,000	\$710,250			\$1,156,400	24.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,120,000		\$82,210	\$4,315,922	100.0	\$0	
NEW ORLEANS	С	4/30/2002		\$53,472,093	\$58,302,005	\$0	\$58,302,005	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$58,493,000	\$8,773,200			\$9,741,672	16.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$37,534,000		\$0	\$47,716,530	100.0	\$0	
SHREVEPORT	С	3/31/2002		\$11,100,306	\$12,330,070	\$192,883	\$12,522,954	100.0	\$0	
LA	R		\$12,127,000	\$1,819,050			\$1,981,700	16.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$7,406,345		\$192,883	\$11,259,702	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 71 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> NEW YORK	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
BABYLON TOWNSHIP	С	2/28/2002		\$4,457,551	\$4,831,249	\$2,920	\$4,834,169	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$5,113,000	\$766,950			\$1,055,288	20.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,382,000		\$2,920	\$3,429,329	100.0	\$0	
DUTCHESS CO CON	С	4/30/2002		\$5,783,500	\$5,984,077	\$0	\$5,984,077	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$6,396,000	\$959,400			\$1,939,125	30.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,899,000		\$0	\$5,972,043	100.0	\$0	
ISLIP TOWNSHIP	С	8/31/2002		\$5,946,800	\$5,883,513	\$0	\$5,883,513	98.9	\$63,287	FO conf deadline met 10/1/02
NY	R		\$6,536,000	\$980,400			\$1,241,300	19.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,418,000		\$0	\$4,944,283	100.0	\$0	
MOUNT VERNON	С	2/28/2002		\$5,640,800	\$6,139,186	\$0	\$6,139,186	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$5,980,000	\$897,000			\$897,000	15.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,979,000		\$0	\$3,979,400	100.0	\$0	
NASSAU CO	С	10/31/2002		\$23,808,024	\$26,428,833	\$0	\$26,428,833	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$25,890,999	\$3,883,650			\$7,147,073	27.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$17,226,999		\$0	\$23,639,349	100.0	\$0	
NEW ROCHELLE	С	2/28/2002		\$3,696,208	\$3,905,219	\$0	\$3,905,219	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$4,169,000	\$625,350			\$3,584,792	86.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$2,812,000		\$0	\$3,965,344	100.0	\$0	
NEW YORK	С	4/30/2002		\$214,565,452	\$260,673,547	\$892,504	\$261,566,051	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$241,549,000	\$36,232,350			\$72,202,391	29.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$154,672,000		\$892,504	\$196,159,851	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 72 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
NEW YORK CITY	С	4/30/2002		\$784,951,200	\$785,110,513	\$0	\$785,110,513	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$872,168,000	\$130,516,050			\$179,497,897	20.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$564,401,000		\$0	\$634,164,868	100.0	\$0	
ORANGE CO CON	С	2/28/2002		\$7,859,061	\$7,791,834	\$207,264	\$7,999,098	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$8,410,000	\$1,261,350			\$1,636,725	19.5	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,984,000		\$207,264	\$7,644,549	100.0	\$0	
ROCKLAND CO	С	9/30/2002		\$6,155,200	\$7,596,635	\$32,793	\$7,629,427	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$6,941,000	\$1,041,150			\$1,682,554	24.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$4,500,000		\$32,793	\$6,787,962	100.0	\$0	
SUFFOLK CO	С	7/31/2002		\$12,212,927	\$12,655,842	\$283,359	\$12,939,201	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$13,668,000	\$2,050,200			\$2,568,447	18.8	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$9,022,000		\$283,359	\$13,238,763	100.0	\$0	
WESTCHESTER CO	С	6/30/2002		\$11,646,000	\$13,137,300	\$0	\$13,137,300	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$12,680,000	\$1,902,000			\$2,535,216	20.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$8,302,000		\$0	\$13,491,700	100.0	\$0	
YONKERS	С	4/30/2002		\$13,059,000	\$13,594,344	\$246,000	\$13,840,344	100.0	\$0	
NY	R		\$14,510,000	\$2,176,500			\$2,467,500	17.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$9,397,000		\$246,000	\$15,741,014	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 73 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> NEWARK	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
ATLANTIC CITY	С	3/31/2002		\$4,115,553	\$4,008,026	\$23,476	\$4,031,502	98.0	\$84,051	Deob not nec (Bal. to reserve offsets
NJ	R		\$4,346,500	\$651,975			\$684,200	15.7	\$0	shortfall amount)
	D	1/31/2002		\$2,866,500		\$23,476	\$3,584,745	100.0	\$0	
ATLANTIC CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$1,903,500	\$1,893,350	\$3,363	\$1,896,713	99.6	\$6,787	FO conf. Deadline met 1/30/03
NJ	R		\$2,115,000	\$317,250			\$317,250	15.0	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$488,000		\$3,363	\$1,679,664	100.0	\$0	
BERGEN CO	С	7/31/2002		\$20,657,000	\$22,942,418	\$274,098	\$23,216,515	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$22,493,000	\$3,373,950			\$7,210,845	32.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$14,883,000		\$274,098	\$22,846,833	100.0	\$0	
BURLINGTON CO	С	7/31/2002		\$6,050,200	\$6,357,075	\$561,644	\$6,918,719	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$6,678,000	\$1,001,700			\$2,835,652	42.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,560,000		\$561,644	\$5,870,379	100.0	\$0	
CAMDEN	С	10/31/2002		\$10,666,000	\$11,826,966	\$0	\$11,826,966	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$11,522,000	\$1,728,300			\$3,675,579	31.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$7,353,000		\$0	\$9,461,221	100.0	\$0	
CAMDEN CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$8,006,600	\$8,334,119	\$588,283	\$8,922,402	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$8,974,000	\$1,346,100			\$2,914,124	32.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,671,000		\$588,283	\$9,218,419	100.0	\$0	
EAST ORANGE	С	7/31/2002		\$6,698,395	\$6,378,362	\$48,614	\$6,426,976	95.9	\$271,419	FO conf. Deadline met 2/10//03
NJ	R		\$7,449,550	\$1,117,432			\$733,822	9.9	\$383,610	FO conf deadline met 9/18/02
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,077,000		\$48,614	\$6,168,876	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 74 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ELIZABETH	С	10/31/2002		\$9,514,150	\$10,299,130	\$0	\$10,299,130	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$10,565,000	\$1,584,750			\$1,896,300	17.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,749,000		\$0	\$7,575,961	100.0	\$0	
ESSEX CO	С	6/30/2002		\$9,546,350	\$10,522,850	\$489,183	\$11,012,033	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$9,982,000	\$1,497,300			\$2,882,205	28.9	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$6,560,000		\$489,183	\$8,668,583	100.0	\$0	
GLOUCESTER CO	С	9/30/2002		\$5,483,195	\$5,647,562	\$130,795	\$5,778,357	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$5,973,000	\$895,950			\$947,400	15.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,880,000		\$130,795	\$6,229,438	100.0	\$0	
HUDSON CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$24,363,000	\$26,083,680	\$803,765	\$26,887,446	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$27,070,000	\$4,060,500			\$7,778,388	28.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$17,984,000		\$803,765	\$26,303,394	100.0	\$0	
RVINGTON TOWNSHIP	С	8/31/2002		\$4,282,491	\$3,886,737	\$0	\$3,886,737	90.8	\$395,754	FO conf. Deadline met 4/15/03
NJ	R		\$5,049,000	\$683,550			\$628,138	12.4 [#]	\$55,412	Deobligation complete
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,388,000		\$0	\$3,726,677	100.0	\$0	
ERSEY CITY	С	3/31/2002		\$22,416,258	\$22,126,701	\$39,083	\$22,165,784	98.9	\$250,474	FO conf. Deadline met 2/10/03
NJ	R		\$24,101,000	\$3,615,150			\$4,908,081	20.4	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$15,728,000		\$39,083	\$19,548,972	100.0	\$0	
MERCER CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,486,150	\$3,486,150	\$0	\$3,486,150	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$3,873,500	\$581,025			\$1,290,340	33.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,321,500		\$0	\$2,599,672	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 75 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	С	7/31/2002	Allocation	\$7,285,600	\$7,605,539	<u>mcome</u> \$0	\$7,605,539	100.0	\$0	Ourrent Status
MIDDLESEX CO CON	R	770 172002	\$8,095,000	\$1,214,250	ψ1,000,000	ΨΟ	\$1,294,326	16.0	\$0	
NJ	D	6/30/2002	ψ0,033,000	\$4,462,000		\$0	\$7,390,459	100.0	\$0	
	C	8/31/2002			¢10 922 519	\$0			\$0	
MONMOUTH CO	_	0/3 1/2002	#0.070.000	\$9,370,000	\$10,822,518	ΦО	\$10,822,518	100.0		
NJ	R		\$9,370,000	\$1,405,500			\$2,342,050	25.0	\$0	
	D	12/31/2001		\$6,253,000		\$0	\$8,456,289	100.0	\$0	
MORRIS CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$5,757,250	\$6,189,740	\$29,000	\$6,218,740	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$6,334,000	\$950,100			\$1,553,100	24.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,962,000		\$29,000	\$5,938,958	100.0	\$0	
NEW BRUNSWICK	С	8/31/2002		\$1,726,200	\$1,924,337	\$0	\$1,924,337	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$1,918,000	\$287,700			\$857,637	44.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$717,000		\$0	\$1,120,838	100.0	\$0	
NEW JERSEY	С	7/31/2002		\$68,524,020	\$60,337,244	\$292,323	\$60,629,567	88.5	\$7,894,453	Deob Complete (\$9,459,088)
NJ	R		\$78,634,000	\$11,795,100			\$12,470,319	15.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$45,227,000		\$292,323	\$58,207,852	100.0	\$0	
NEWARK	С	9/30/2002		\$34,529,700	\$34,530,414	\$0	\$34,530,414	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$37,042,000	\$5,556,300			\$5,717,800	15.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$25,436,000		\$0	\$30,450,910	100.0	\$0	
OCEAN CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$9,051,300	\$9,728,807	\$54,414	\$9,783,221	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$9,770,000	\$1,465,500			\$2,519,130	25.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,346,000		\$54,414	\$9,221,438	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 76 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
PASSAIC	С	7/31/2002		\$6,218,600	\$6,011,707	\$71,439	\$6,083,146	97.8	\$135,454	FO conf deadline met 10/28/02
NJ	R		\$6,920,000	\$1,038,000			\$918,307	13.3	\$119,694	FO conf deadline met 10/28/02
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,545,000		\$71,439	\$6,615,616	100.0	\$0	
PATERSON	С	7/31/2002		\$13,456,500	\$13,357,100	\$186,989	\$13,544,089	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$14,794,000	\$2,219,100			\$2,605,473	17.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$9,983,000		\$186,989	\$11,939,240	100.0	\$0	
PERTH AMBOY	С	6/30/2002		\$3,217,700	\$3,665,459	\$0	\$3,665,459	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$3,532,000	\$529,800			\$652,860	18.5	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$2,219,000		\$0	\$3,551,475	100.0	\$0	
SOMERSET CO	С	9/30/2002		\$3,786,400	\$3,919,299	\$39,218	\$3,958,517	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$4,144,000	\$621,600			\$1,266,943	30.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$2,700,000		\$39,218	\$3,899,127	100.0	\$0	
TRENTON	С	8/31/2002		\$7,052,436	\$7,330,679	\$0	\$7,330,679	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$7,652,000	\$1,147,800			\$1,235,233	16.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,079,000		\$0	\$6,533,145	100.0	\$0	
UNION CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$9,776,800	\$10,229,148	\$290,000	\$10,519,148	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$10,940,000	\$1,641,000			\$1,680,495	15.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,192,000		\$290,000	\$8,640,515	100.0	\$0	
VINELAND CON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,738,500	\$4,964,977	\$0	\$4,964,977	100.0	\$0	
NJ	R		\$5,265,000	\$789,750			\$801,484	15.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,127,000		\$0	\$4,829,189	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 77 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> OKLAHOMA CIT	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
LAWTON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,999,000	\$3,910,562	\$115,458	\$4,026,020	100.0	\$0	
OK .	R		\$4,394,000	\$659,100			\$819,004	18.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,897,000		\$115,458	\$4,093,019	100.0	\$0	
NORMAN	С	7/31/2002		\$2,342,300	\$2,664,888	\$91,183	\$2,756,071	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$2,584,000	\$387,600			\$503,516	19.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,283,000		\$91,183	\$2,985,141	100.0	\$0	
OKLAHOMA	С	3/31/2002		\$73,743,398	\$78,873,580	\$37,656	\$78,911,235	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$85,326,000	\$12,798,900			\$24,085,924	28.2	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$53,354,000		\$37,656	\$79,345,093	100.0	\$0	
OKLAHOMA CITY	С	7/31/2002		\$19,079,666	\$19,698,145	\$1,010,597	\$20,708,742	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$20,884,000	\$3,132,600			\$4,327,834	20.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$13,268,000		\$1,010,597	\$20,445,367	100.0	\$0	
TULSA	С	9/30/2002		\$14,469,702	\$16,050,527	\$6,098,498	\$22,149,025	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$16,662,000	\$2,499,300			\$9,843,492	59.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$10,573,000		\$6,098,498	\$22,564,053	100.0	\$0	
TULSA CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$5,022,100	\$5,354,606	\$0	\$5,354,606	100.0	\$0	
OK	R		\$5,580,000	\$837,000			\$4,004,018	71.8	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$2,565,000		\$0	\$4,989,801	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 78 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> OMAHA	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	С	7/31/2002		\$3,102,050	\$3,196,993	\$183,851	\$3,380,844	100.0	\$0	
CEDAR RAPIDS IA	R		\$3,634,000	\$545,100	4 2, 22, 22, 22	******	\$1,745,848	48.0	\$0	
,,,	D	6/30/2002	. , ,	\$1,985,000		\$183,851	\$3,838,241	100.0	\$0	
DAVENPORT	С	6/30/2002		\$5,236,036	\$5,310,078	\$420,697	\$5,730,775	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$5,770,000	\$865,500			\$1,919,058	33.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,638,000		\$420,697	\$5,252,521	100.0	\$0	
DES MOINES	С	3/31/2002		\$8,803,110	\$9,226,854	\$516,770	\$9,743,624	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$10,130,000	\$1,519,500			\$2,488,480	24.6	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$6,381,000		\$516,770	\$8,624,411	100.0	\$0	
IOWA	С	3/31/2002		\$74,614,635	\$78,174,825	\$932,268	\$79,107,093	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$81,595,500	\$12,239,325			\$17,198,408	21.1	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$51,724,000		\$932,268	\$75,405,188	100.0	\$0	
IOWA CITY	С	6/30/2002		\$3,467,500	\$4,108,078	\$99,353	\$4,207,431	100.0	\$0	
IA	R		\$3,897,000	\$584,550			\$1,181,673	30.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$2,014,000		\$99,353	\$3,618,405	100.0	\$0	
LINCOLN	С	8/31/2002		\$7,568,607	\$8,197,532	\$1,295,895	\$9,493,427	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$8,144,000	\$1,221,600			\$2,137,197	26.2	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,047,000		\$1,295,895	\$9,918,107	100.0	\$0	
NEBRASKA	С	7/31/2002		\$31,556,220	\$32,179,290	\$0	\$32,179,290	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$36,369,950	\$5,455,493			\$20,275,442	55.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$22,667,000		\$0	\$33,453,887	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 79 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
OMAHA CON	С	3/31/2002		\$15,837,300	\$15,802,129	\$40,497	\$15,842,627	100.0	\$0	
NE	R		\$17,597,000	\$2,639,550			\$6,266,484	35.6	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$10,693,000		\$40,497	\$14,124,399	100.0	\$0	
SIOUX CITY CON	С	7/31/2002		\$3,682,800	\$3,835,380	\$0	\$3,835,380	100.0	\$0	
A	R		\$4,092,000	\$613,800			\$1,523,000	37.2	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$2,232,000		\$0	\$3,403,983	100.0	\$0	
WATERLOO CON	С	6/30/2002		\$3,554,967	\$4,044,717	\$0	\$4,044,717	100.0	\$0	
Α	R		\$3,889,000	\$583,350			\$1,463,072	37.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$1,917,000		\$0	\$2,996,158	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 80 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
PHILADELPHIA										
ALLENTOWN	С	7/31/2002		\$5,706,900	\$5,349,718	\$212,138	\$5,561,855	97.5	\$145,045	Deobligation Complete
PA	R		\$6,341,000	\$951,150			\$1,178,550	18.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,027,000		\$212,138	\$5,653,570	100.0	\$0	
BERKS CO	С	3/31/2002		\$4,353,244	\$4,861,244	\$257,844	\$5,119,088	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$4,759,000	\$713,850			\$1,871,794	39.3	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$3,220,000		\$257,844	\$5,010,597	100.0	\$0	
BETHLEHEM	С	3/31/2002		\$3,341,193	\$3,674,568	\$53,945	\$3,728,512	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$3,381,000	\$507,150			\$812,450	24.0	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,869,000		\$53,945	\$2,877,102	100.0	\$0	
BUCKS CO CON	С	4/30/2002		\$8,663,991	\$9,762,046	\$27,571	\$9,789,617	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$9,462,000	\$1,419,300			\$1,633,739	17.3	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$6,353,000		\$27,571	\$9,782,639	100.0	\$0	
CHESTER	С	6/30/2002		\$1,757,100	\$2,035,269	\$0	\$2,035,269	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$1,851,000	\$277,650			\$277,650	15.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$500,000		\$0	\$1,687,423	100.0	\$0	
CHESTER CO	С	4/30/2002		\$6,967,781	\$7,324,341	\$10,007	\$7,334,347	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$7,916,000	\$1,187,400			\$1,649,916	20.8	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,243,000		\$10,007	\$7,463,763	100.0	\$0	
DAUPHIN CO	С			\$0	\$35,587	\$0	\$35,587	0.0*		
PA	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 81 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DELAWARE	С	7/31/2002		\$23,477,663	\$26,164,017	\$2,489,613	\$28,653,630	100.0	\$0	
DE	R		\$27,000,000	\$4,050,000			\$7,522,280	27.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$18,000,000		\$2,489,613	\$27,411,798	100.0	\$0	
DELAWARE CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$12,191,843	\$12,555,516	\$75,195	\$12,630,710	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$13,494,000	\$2,024,100			\$3,653,584	27.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$10,219,000		\$75,195	\$12,731,852	100.0	\$0	
HARRISBURG	С	9/30/2002		\$5,479,537	\$5,331,907	\$89,641	\$5,421,548	98.9	\$57,990	FO conf deadline met 10/11/02
PA	R		\$5,826,000	\$873,900			\$1,218,408	20.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$3,708,000		\$89,641	\$5,318,852	100.0	\$0	
LANCASTER	С	6/30/2002		\$4,789,488	\$5,414,032	\$192,443	\$5,606,475	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$5,328,000	\$799,200			\$2,129,088	40.0	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$3,383,000		\$192,443	\$5,162,768	100.0	\$0	
LANCASTER CO	С	3/31/2002		\$8,029,700	\$8,396,500	\$102,397	\$8,498,897	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$9,053,000	\$1,357,950			\$1,425,799	15.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$5,939,000		\$102,397	\$7,017,764	100.0	\$0	
LUZERNE CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$10,363,891	\$10,923,191	\$1,064,733	\$11,987,923	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$11,251,000	\$1,687,650			\$1,859,550	16.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$8,236,000		\$1,064,733	\$9,607,914	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO CON	С	9/30/2002		\$9,221,200	\$9,835,137	\$136,194	\$9,971,331	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$10,281,000	\$1,542,150			\$1,624,050	15.8	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$6,892,000		\$136,194	\$10,173,943	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 82 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
NEW CASTLE CO	С	8/31/2002		\$7,257,798	\$7,440,577	\$964,462	\$8,405,039	100.0	\$0	
DE	R		\$8,144,000	\$1,221,600			\$1,134,750	13.9	\$86,850	FO conf. Deadline met 2/10/03
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,393,000		\$964,462	\$7,796,496	100.0	\$0	
PENNSYLVANIA	С	4/30/2002		\$174,182,375	\$212,416,086	\$0	\$212,416,086	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$195,418,000	\$29,312,700			\$35,308,776	18.1	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$120,568,000		\$0	\$155,045,900	100.0	\$0	
PHILADELPHIA	С	8/31/2002		\$114,501,638	\$116,853,218	\$185,822	\$117,039,040	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$122,938,000	\$18,440,700			\$26,810,447	21.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$80,092,000		\$185,822	\$111,863,018	100.0	\$0	
READING	С	8/31/2002		\$5,894,650	\$6,639,078	\$157,260	\$6,796,338	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$6,752,000	\$1,012,800			\$1,022,936	15.2	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$4,304,000		\$157,260	\$6,494,472	100.0	\$0	
SCRANTON	С	9/30/2002		\$5,392,800	\$5,985,584	\$698,033	\$6,683,618	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$5,792,000	\$868,800			\$985,650	17.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$3,743,000		\$698,033	\$6,688,552	100.0	\$0	
STATE COLLEGE	С	3/31/2002		\$1,439,165	\$1,808,065	\$0	\$1,808,065	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$1,638,000	\$245,700			\$981,450	59.9	\$0	
	D			\$500,000		\$0	\$2,393,281	0.0**		
WILKES-BARRE	С	8/31/2002		\$1,585,677	\$2,004,738	\$134,569	\$2,139,308	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$1,793,200	\$138,780			\$249,450	13.9 [#]	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$500,000		\$134,569	\$1,843,388	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 83 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
WILLIAMSPORT	С	3/31/2002		\$2,544,600	\$2,563,883	\$56,200	\$2,620,083	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$2,894,000	\$374,100			\$572,625	19.8 [#]	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,584,000		\$56,200	\$2,391,240	100.0	\$0	
WILMINGTON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,899,673	\$5,296,257	\$50,272	\$5,346,529	100.0	\$0	
DE	R		\$5,541,000	\$831,150			\$997,243	18.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,658,000		\$50,272	\$5,301,059	100.0	\$0	
YORK	С	5/31/2002		\$3,201,841	\$3,338,230	\$218,830	\$3,557,059	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$3,374,000	\$506,100			\$419,000	12.4	\$87,100	FO conf. Deadline met 6/20/03
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,857,000		\$218,830	\$3,562,943	100.0	\$0	
YORK CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$6,649,942	\$6,937,534	\$595	\$6,938,129	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$6,777,000	\$1,016,550			\$1,096,953	16.2	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$4,831,000		\$595	\$6,617,449	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 84 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> PITTSBURGH	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ALLEGHENY CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$31,047,500	\$31,517,486	\$120,728	\$31,638,214	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$33,900,000	\$5,085,000	* ,,	¥ :==;:==	\$4,698,100	13.9	\$386,900	FO conf deadline met 4/29/02
	D	2/28/2002	. , ,	\$21,103,000		\$120,728	\$26,650,369	100.0	\$0	
ALTOONA	С	7/31/2002		\$3,058,200	\$3,117,382	\$0	\$3,117,382	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$3,298,000	\$494,700			\$1,176,100	35.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,833,000		\$0	\$2,332,162	100.0	\$0	
BEAVER CO	С	9/30/2002		\$8,290,972	\$9,221,816	\$98,482	\$9,320,298	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$9,017,000	\$1,352,550			\$2,107,505	23.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,667,000		\$98,482	\$8,786,412	100.0	\$0	
CHARLESTON CON	С	7/31/2002		\$4,544,100	\$4,598,728	\$9,238	\$4,607,966	100.0	\$0	
WV	R		\$5,049,000	\$757,350			\$1,064,750	21.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,155,000		\$9,238	\$4,431,182	100.0	\$0	
ERIE	С	7/31/2002		\$8,906,589	\$8,267,274	\$54,215	\$8,321,489	93.4	\$585,100	FO conf deadline met 3/19/03
PA	R		\$9,327,000	\$1,399,050			\$3,148,500	33.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,825,000		\$54,215	\$7,085,664	100.0	\$0	
HUNTINGTON CON	С	7/31/2002		\$6,709,390	\$6,771,262	\$531,547	\$7,302,809	100.0	\$0	
WV	R		\$7,391,000	\$1,108,650			\$1,733,555	23.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,420,000		\$531,547	\$7,059,628	100.0	\$0	
JOHNSTOWN	С	3/31/2002		\$1,877,165	\$1,969,726	\$32,376	\$2,002,102	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$2,018,000	\$302,700			\$325,705	16.1	\$0	
	D			\$883,000		\$32,376	\$2,105,858	0.0**		

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 85 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
PARKERSBURG CON	С	7/31/2002		\$1,985,800	\$2,379,583	\$71,714	\$2,451,298	100.0	\$0	
WV	R		\$2,118,000	\$317,700			\$658,950	31.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$871,000		\$71,714	\$2,593,819	100.0	\$0	
PITTSBURGH	С	4/30/2002		\$28,702,423	\$30,229,790	\$0	\$30,229,790	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$32,470,000	\$4,870,500			\$6,419,593	19.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$20,875,000		\$0	\$32,739,893	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON CO	С	7/31/2002		\$8,091,000	\$7,821,945	\$72,615	\$7,894,560	97.6	\$196,440	FO conf deadline met 9/6/02
PA	R		\$8,990,000	\$1,348,500			\$1,348,495	15.0	\$5	Deob not necessary (deob < \$1,000)
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,771,000		\$72,615	\$8,615,868	100.0	\$0	
WEST VIRGINIA	С	7/31/2002		\$65,682,000	\$73,744,621	\$5,880,564	\$79,625,186	100.0	\$0	
WV	R		\$72,980,000	\$10,947,000			\$18,105,159	24.8	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$47,817,000		\$5,880,564	\$82,740,650	100.0	\$0	
WESTMORELAND CO CO	С	5/31/2002		\$11,406,636	\$11,054,268	\$504,387	\$11,558,655	100.0	\$0	
PA	R		\$12,936,000	\$1,940,400			\$3,237,500	25.0	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$7,779,000		\$504,387	\$11,154,691	100.0	\$0	
WHEELING CON	С	7/31/2002		\$2,547,172	\$2,811,679	\$18,481	\$2,830,159	100.0	\$0	
WV	R		\$2,822,000	\$423,300			\$333,150	11.8	\$90,150	FO conf deadline met 8/23/02
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,062,000		\$18,481	\$3,069,718	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 86 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
PORTLAND				_						
BOISE	С	4/30/2002		\$4,445,977	\$5,073,177	\$446,216	\$5,519,393	100.0	\$0	
ID	R		\$4,771,000	\$715,650			\$852,150	17.9	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$2,980,000		\$446,216	\$4,866,700	100.0	\$0	
CLACKAMAS CO	С	9/30/2002		\$6,176,450	\$6,733,142	\$777,620	\$7,510,761	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$7,096,000	\$1,064,400			\$2,418,256	34.1	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$4,552,000		\$777,620	\$7,522,988	100.0	\$0	
CLARK CO CON	С	8/31/2002		\$7,660,661	\$8,291,430	\$496,453	\$8,787,884	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$8,598,000	\$1,289,700			\$1,753,740	20.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$5,408,000		\$496,453	\$9,324,882	100.0	\$0	
CORVALLIS CON	С			\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$30,000	0.0*		
OR	R		\$0	\$0			\$0	0.0*		
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
EUGENE CON	С	7/31/2002		\$9,436,839	\$10,628,997	\$3,858,565	\$14,487,562	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$10,939,000	\$1,640,850			\$3,600,114	32.9	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$6,693,000		\$3,858,565	\$15,309,144	100.0	\$0	
IDAHO	С	4/30/2002		\$29,928,464	\$30,561,847	\$2,904,923	\$33,466,770	100.0	\$0	
ID	R		\$34,083,000	\$5,112,450			\$10,842,151	31.8	\$0	
	D	4/30/2002		\$21,033,000		\$2,904,923	\$33,969,992	100.0	\$0	
OREGON	С	4/30/2002		\$61,404,450	\$66,559,815	\$0	\$66,559,815	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$70,473,000	\$10,570,950			\$25,755,420	36.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$42,128,000		\$0	\$66,884,581	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 87 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
PORTLAND CON	С	7/31/2002		\$29,507,950	\$28,495,281	\$1,651,838	\$30,147,119	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$34,127,000	\$5,119,050			\$11,825,803	34.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$21,612,000		\$1,651,838	\$32,439,083	100.0	\$0	
SALEM CON	С	9/30/2002		\$5,805,050	\$5,219,309	\$887,280	\$6,106,590	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$6,508,000	\$976,200			\$1,488,061	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,022,000		\$887,280	\$6,716,586	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON CO CON	С	9/30/2002		\$7,522,004	\$8,549,809	\$17,564	\$8,567,373	100.0	\$0	
OR	R		\$8,681,000	\$1,302,150			\$4,216,015	48.6	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$5,484,000		\$17,564	\$9,494,431	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 88 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> RICHMOND	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
CHARLOTTESVILLE CON	С	7/31/2002		\$5,010,300	\$5,574,295	\$50,558	\$5,624,854	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$5,567,000	\$835,050			\$960,450	17.3	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,368,000		\$50,558	\$5,709,540	100.0	\$0	
CHESAPEAKE	С	7/31/2002		\$4,132,614	\$4,434,089	\$2,050,749	\$6,484,838	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$4,770,000	\$715,500			\$801,689	16.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,135,000		\$2,050,749	\$5,178,483	100.0	\$0	
CHESTERFIELD CO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,191,107	\$2,459,529	\$63,287	\$2,522,816	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$2,414,000	\$362,100			\$456,000	18.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,231,000		\$63,287	\$2,509,279	100.0	\$0	
DANVILLE	С	7/31/2002		\$2,916,303	\$3,499,300	\$351,946	\$3,851,246	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$3,219,000	\$482,850			\$637,650	19.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,818,000		\$351,946	\$3,190,912	100.0	\$0	
HAMPTON	С	7/31/2002		\$5,122,316	\$5,449,431	\$341,644	\$5,791,075	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$5,663,000	\$849,450			\$880,433	15.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,651,000		\$341,644	\$5,331,883	100.0	\$0	
HENRICO CO	С	10/31/2002		\$2,238,500	\$2,831,077	\$3,448	\$2,834,525	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$2,463,000	\$369,450			\$853,000	34.6	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$567,000		\$3,448	\$2,862,661	100.0	\$0	
LYNCHBURG	С	7/31/2002		\$2,645,344	\$3,051,554	\$78,784	\$3,130,338	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$2,859,000	\$428,850			\$766,354	26.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,633,000		\$78,784	\$3,298,135	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 89 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
NEWPORT NEWS	С	7/31/2002		\$8,094,503	\$9,190,153	\$135,809	\$9,325,962	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$9,348,000	\$1,402,200			\$1,672,426	17.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,958,000		\$135,809	\$8,385,331	100.0	\$0	
NORFOLK	С	8/31/2002		\$15,444,585	\$17,252,911	\$0	\$17,252,911	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$17,733,000	\$2,659,950			\$3,821,450	21.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$11,653,000		\$0	\$16,394,958	100.0	\$0	
PORTSMOUTH	С	7/31/2002		\$7,044,593	\$7,018,584	\$100,879	\$7,119,463	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$7,628,000	\$1,144,200			\$1,720,051	22.5	\$0	
	D	12/31/2001		\$4,912,000		\$100,879	\$6,337,035	100.0	\$0	
RICHMOND	С	7/31/2002		\$13,619,710	\$13,577,684	\$735,839	\$14,313,523	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$15,236,000	\$2,285,400			\$5,678,879	37.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$9,901,000		\$735,839	\$14,335,629	100.0	\$0	
ROANOKE	С	7/31/2002		\$5,034,560	\$5,536,552	\$238,546	\$5,775,098	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$5,685,000	\$852,750			\$1,227,172	21.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,702,000		\$238,546	\$5,488,876	100.0	\$0	
SUFFOLK CON	С	3/31/2003		\$2,096,950	\$2,603,550	\$0	\$2,603,550	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$2,533,000	\$234,750			\$324,150	12.8 [#]	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$822,800		\$0	\$892,568	100.0	\$0	
VIRGINIA	С	9/30/2002		\$92,342,245	\$100,469,987	\$697,997	\$101,167,984	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$99,609,000	\$14,941,350			\$17,991,841	18.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$65,082,000		\$697,997	\$90,382,834	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 90 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
	C	7/31/2002	Allocation	\$8,411,774	\$8.848.896	\$212,264	\$9,061,161	7 <u>0</u> 100.0	Snortian \$0	<u>current Status</u>
A BEACH	R		\$9,512,000	\$1,426,800	ψο,ο .ο,οοο	Ψ=:=,==:	\$1,876,300	19.7	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$6,093,000		\$212,264	\$9,478,236	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 91 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	<u>Current Status</u>
SAN ANTONIO										
AUSTIN	С	9/30/2002		\$21,991,500	\$22,998,869	\$4,695,506	\$27,694,376	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$24,435,000	\$3,665,250			\$4,396,476	18.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$15,234,000		\$4,695,506	\$27,623,724	100.0	\$0	
BEXAR CO	С	9/30/2002		\$4,521,700	\$4,557,297	\$0	\$4,557,297	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$4,898,000	\$734,700			\$1,163,025	23.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$3,259,000		\$0	\$4,235,235	100.0	\$0	
BROWNSVILLE	С	9/30/2002		\$8,460,350	\$8,460,350	\$0	\$8,460,350	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$9,213,000	\$1,381,950			\$2,354,397	25.6	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$5,837,000		\$0	\$6,663,229	100.0	\$0	
CORPUS CHRISTI	С	7/31/2002		\$13,100,043	\$14,775,515	\$651,315	\$15,426,830	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$14,415,000	\$2,162,250			\$3,047,595	21.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$9,182,000		\$651,315	\$15,145,870	100.0	\$0	
HARLINGEN	С	9/30/2002		\$2,191,639	\$2,604,288	\$0	\$2,604,288	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$2,393,000	\$358,950			\$1,906,862	79.7	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$1,215,000		\$0	\$2,491,848	100.0	\$0	
HIDALGO CO	С	7/31/2002		\$14,386,563	\$14,728,672	\$94,787	\$14,823,459	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$15,929,000	\$2,389,350			\$3,333,808	20.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$10,370,000		\$94,787	\$15,270,187	100.0	\$0	
LAREDO	С	9/30/2002		\$10,011,634	\$10,683,515	\$0	\$10,683,515	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$10,951,000	\$1,642,650			\$1,642,650	15.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$6,982,000		\$0	\$10,661,722	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 92 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MCALLEN	С	9/30/2002		\$5,499,231	\$6,041,607	\$0	\$6,041,607	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$5,568,000	\$835,200			\$4,739,131	85.1	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$3,550,000		\$0	\$6,334,000	100.0	\$0	
SAN ANTONIO	С	9/30/2002		\$51,203,524	\$52,368,915	\$0	\$52,368,915	100.0	\$0	
TX	R		\$56,346,000	\$8,451,900			\$10,723,950	19.0	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$35,635,000		\$0	\$51,451,588	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 93 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SAN FRANCISCO										
ALAMEDA CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$24,554,550	\$25,906,976	\$683,743	\$26,590,720	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$27,196,000	\$4,079,400			\$5,504,541	20.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$17,130,000		\$683,743	\$23,535,530	100.0	\$0	
ARIZONA	С	7/31/2002		\$40,006,178	\$43,565,568	\$0	\$43,565,568	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$44,838,000	\$6,725,700			\$8,788,345	19.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$26,960,000		\$0	\$41,248,693	100.0	\$0	
BERKELEY	С	7/31/2002		\$8,571,100	\$8,743,257	\$147,555	\$8,890,812	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,884,000	\$1,332,600			\$3,065,402	34.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,755,000		\$147,555	\$8,017,534	100.0	\$0	
CALIFORNIA	С	8/31/2002		\$325,090,155	\$358,760,678	\$0	\$358,760,678	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$363,487,000	\$54,523,050			\$72,835,222	20.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$230,547,000		\$0	\$278,492,049	100.0	\$0	
CHICO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,711,000	\$2,908,682	\$42,769	\$2,951,451	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,982,000	\$447,300			\$540,450	18.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,426,000		\$42,769	\$2,896,626	100.0	\$0	
CLARK CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$22,799,539	\$25,503,394	\$85,312	\$25,588,706	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$25,617,000	\$3,842,550			\$7,214,737	28.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$15,016,000		\$85,312	\$22,185,240	100.0	\$0	
CONTRA COSTA CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$17,447,410	\$17,252,196	\$373,820	\$17,626,017	100.0	\$0	
CA CONTRA COSTA CO CON	R		\$19,532,000	\$2,929,800			\$7,430,139	38.0	\$0	
	D	5/31/2002		\$11,785,000		\$373,820	\$18,079,250	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 94 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
DAVIS	С	7/31/2002		\$2,475,950	\$2,980,478	\$0	\$2,980,478	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,722,000	\$408,300			\$2,076,697	76.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,012,000		\$0	\$2,505,704	100.0	\$0	
FRESNO	С	8/31/2002		\$22,967,575	\$23,464,738	\$1,587,864	\$25,052,603	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$25,612,000	\$3,841,800			\$4,478,400	17.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$15,300,000		\$1,587,864	\$26,549,355	100.0	\$0	
FRESNO CO	С	7/31/2002		\$13,565,108	\$14,262,764	\$1,886,950	\$16,149,714	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$15,121,000	\$2,268,150			\$2,570,400	17.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$9,658,000		\$1,886,950	\$16,828,829	100.0	\$0	
LAS VEGAS	С			\$1,641,800	\$1,641,800	\$0	\$1,641,800	0.0*		
NV	R		\$1,825,700	\$273,855			\$575,743	0.0*		
	D			\$1,825,700		\$0	\$1,839,000	0.0**		
LYON CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$1,637,250	\$1,829,546	\$166,702	\$1,996,248	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$1,871,000	\$280,650			\$279,095	14.9	\$1,556	HQ req status from FO 5/20/05
	D	2/28/2003		\$500,000		\$166,702	\$2,591,073	100.0	\$0	
MARICOPA CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$30,799,116	\$32,859,413	\$0	\$32,859,413	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$33,065,000	\$4,959,750			\$7,731,973	23.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$20,458,000		\$0	\$33,313,355	100.0	\$0	
MARIN CO	С	7/31/2002		\$8,142,100	\$8,297,100	\$0	\$8,297,100	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,705,000	\$1,305,750			\$2,286,550	26.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,714,000		\$0	\$6,727,169	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 95 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
MERCED	С	7/31/2002		\$3,851,000	\$3,993,653	\$706,830	\$4,700,483	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,851,000	\$577,650			\$886,429	23.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,059,000		\$706,830	\$4,069,159	100.0	\$0	
MODESTO	С	3/31/2003		\$6,641,501	\$7,390,643	\$227,199	\$7,617,842	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$7,817,000	\$1,172,550			\$1,851,601	23.7	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$4,445,701		\$227,199	\$6,869,522	100.0	\$0	
MOUNTAIN VIEW	С	7/31/2002		\$2,363,350	\$2,799,534	\$0	\$2,799,534	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,503,000	\$375,450			\$1,507,046	60.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,247,000		\$0	\$2,530,599	100.0	\$0	
NEVADA	С	7/31/2002		\$23,590,950	\$25,432,202	\$531,195	\$25,963,396	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$26,912,000	\$4,036,800			\$9,403,158	34.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$17,912,000		\$531,195	\$23,493,130	100.0	\$0	
OAKLAND	С	8/31/2002		\$29,915,650	\$30,368,263	\$0	\$30,368,263	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$34,943,000	\$5,241,450			\$15,839,518	45.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$21,968,000		\$0	\$30,103,675	100.0	\$0	
PHOENIX	С	7/31/2002		\$35,926,600	\$36,095,012	\$1,787,934	\$37,882,946	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$40,132,000	\$6,019,800			\$7,618,574	19.0	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$25,064,000		\$1,787,934	\$33,018,039	100.0	\$0	
REDWOOD CITY	С	8/31/2002		\$1,349,983	\$1,606,563	\$0	\$1,606,563	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$1,523,000	\$228,450			\$228,450	15.0	\$0	
	D			\$353,000		\$0	\$1,859,940	0.0**		

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 96 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
RENO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$7,631,250	\$7,803,648	\$11,455	\$7,815,103	100.0	\$0	
NV	R		\$8,866,000	\$1,329,900			\$2,360,908	26.6	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,229,000		\$11,455	\$7,773,881	100.0	\$0	
RICHMOND	С	2/28/2002		\$5,048,850	\$4,835,357	\$0	\$4,835,357	95.8	\$213,494	FO conf. Deadline met 3/19/03
CA	R		\$5,939,000	\$890,850			\$3,194,300	53.8	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$3,758,000		\$0	\$4,704,416	100.0	\$0	
SACRAMENTO	С	2/28/2002		\$20,996,900	\$22,396,966	\$1,653,103	\$24,050,069	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$23,385,000	\$3,507,750			\$4,421,893	18.9	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$14,192,000		\$1,653,103	\$23,145,413	100.0	\$0	
SACRAMENTO CO	С	2/28/2002		\$22,467,100	\$23,170,485	\$2,408,103	\$25,578,588	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$25,069,000	\$3,760,350			\$4,112,100	16.4	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$15,719,000		\$2,408,103	\$24,330,430	100.0	\$0	
SALINAS	С	7/31/2002		\$6,091,200	\$5,620,400	\$778,653	\$6,399,053	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$6,768,000	\$1,015,200			\$1,165,650	17.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$4,133,000		\$778,653	\$6,033,269	100.0	\$0	
SAN FRANCISCO	С	7/31/2002		\$54,197,400	\$55,075,944	\$0	\$55,075,944	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$58,631,000	\$8,794,650			\$20,945,168	35.7	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$37,847,000		\$0	\$51,185,229	100.0	\$0	
SAN JOAQUIN CO	С	7/31/2002		\$10,298,542	\$10,965,496	\$162,378	\$11,127,875	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$11,197,000	\$1,679,550			\$2,283,072	20.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$7,061,000		\$162,378	\$11,059,645	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 97 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SAN JOSE	С	7/31/2002		\$26,852,425	\$26,852,424	\$217,879	\$27,070,304	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$30,397,000	\$4,559,550			\$19,710,775	64.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$19,010,000		\$217,879	\$23,632,811	100.0	\$0	
SAN MATEO	С	7/31/2002		\$2,811,600	\$3,287,700	\$0	\$3,287,700	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,124,000	\$468,600			\$2,254,950	72.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$1,730,000		\$0	\$2,987,220	100.0	\$0	
SAN MATEO CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$16,395,300	\$17,037,424	\$53,340	\$17,090,764	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$18,012,000	\$2,701,800			\$3,952,836	21.9	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$12,057,000		\$53,340	\$16,689,717	100.0	\$0	
SANTA CLARA	С	7/31/2002		\$3,715,284	\$4,147,592	\$336,446	\$4,484,038	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,121,000	\$618,150			\$1,748,182	42.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,714,000		\$336,446	\$4,210,663	100.0	\$0	
SANTA CLARA CO	С	7/31/2002		\$7,864,200	\$8,179,963	\$0	\$8,179,963	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,738,000	\$1,310,700			\$3,801,469	43.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,989,000		\$0	\$8,583,570	100.0	\$0	
SANTA CRUZ	С	7/31/2002		\$1,796,750	\$1,717,950	\$167,500	\$1,885,450	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$2,015,000	\$302,250			\$535,200	26.6	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$846,000		\$167,500	\$1,712,244	100.0	\$0	
SANTA ROSA	С	7/31/2002		\$4,637,811	\$4,120,352	\$1,058,432	\$5,178,785	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$5,225,000	\$684,450			\$896,266	17.2 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,285,000		\$1,058,432	\$5,418,652	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 98 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SONOMA CO	С	7/31/2002		\$7,923,600	\$8,932,500	\$17,536	\$8,950,036	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$8,804,000	\$1,320,600			\$1,340,700	15.2	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,787,000		\$17,536	\$8,219,066	100.0	\$0	
STOCKTON	С	7/31/2002		\$13,611,176	\$14,457,086	\$1,228,107	\$15,685,194	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$14,674,000	\$2,201,100			\$5,062,369	34.5	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$8,838,000		\$1,228,107	\$15,643,501	100.0	\$0	
SUNNYVALE	С	7/31/2002		\$4,451,453	\$4,593,550	\$23,080	\$4,616,629	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,666,000	\$699,900			\$1,575,629	33.8	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,035,000		\$23,080	\$4,539,530	100.0	\$0	
TUCSON CON	С	7/31/2002		\$24,032,883	\$27,378,036	\$4,650,006	\$32,028,042	100.0	\$0	
AZ	R		\$28,629,000	\$4,294,350			\$5,279,711	18.4	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$17,782,000		\$4,650,006	\$32,203,423	100.0	\$0	
TURLOCK CO CON	С	10/31/2002		\$786,600	\$1,426,282	\$0	\$1,426,282	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$874,000	\$131,100			\$276,750	31.7	\$0	
	D			\$0		\$0	\$0	0.0**		
VALLEJO	С	7/31/2002		\$3,855,206	\$3,959,126	\$105,731	\$4,064,857	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$4,749,000	\$712,350			\$807,450	17.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,085,000		\$105,731	\$3,108,796	100.0	\$0	
VISALIA	С	8/31/2002		\$3,173,400	\$2,959,459	\$699,737	\$3,659,196	100.0	\$0	
CA	R		\$3,526,000	\$528,900			\$528,900	15.0	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$1,917,000		\$699,737	\$3,763,082	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 99 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	Shortfall	<u>Current Status</u>
SEATTLE										
BELLINGHAM	С	3/31/2002		\$1,865,007	\$1,503,162	\$89,790	\$1,592,952	85.4	\$272,055	FO conf deadline met 8/29/02
WA	R		\$2,016,000	\$302,400			\$372,000	18.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$798,000		\$89,790	\$1,544,345	100.0	\$0	
BREMERTON	С			\$445,500	\$445,500	\$5,672	\$451,172	0.0*		
WA	R		\$445,500	\$66,825			\$66,825	0.0*		
	D			\$445,500		\$5,672	\$451,172	0.0**		
KING CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$22,825,268	\$20,453,264	\$1,889,417	\$22,342,681	97.9	\$482,587	Bal. to reserve offsets shortfall
WA	R		\$24,781,000	\$3,717,150			\$3,860,078	15.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$15,251,000		\$1,889,417	\$18,451,025	100.0	\$0	
KITSAP CO CON	С	3/31/2002		\$5,466,704	\$5,909,705	\$36,036	\$5,945,741	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$5,907,000	\$886,050			\$2,269,428	38.4	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$3,089,000		\$36,036	\$4,763,633	100.0	\$0	
LONGVIEW CON	С	11/30/2002		\$1,643,300	\$2,044,379	\$40,368	\$2,084,746	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$1,820,500	\$273,075			\$424,318	23.3	\$0	
	D	10/31/2002		\$451,500		\$40,368	\$1,629,045	100.0	\$0	
PIERCE CO	С	7/31/2002		\$11,777,100	\$11,797,758	\$2,419,430	\$14,217,188	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$13,094,000	\$1,964,100			\$2,998,750	22.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$8,653,000		\$2,419,430	\$14,364,018	100.0	\$0	
RICHLAND CON	С	3/31/2002		\$2,831,100	\$3,048,183	\$339,500	\$3,387,683	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$3,017,000	\$452,550			\$452,550	15.0	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$1,128,000		\$339,500	\$2,784,646	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 100 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
SEATTLE	С	2/28/2002		\$28,102,086	\$29,449,430	\$1,506,335	\$30,955,765	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$31,163,000	\$4,965,750			\$6,378,920	20.5	\$0	
	D	2/28/2002		\$19,749,000		\$1,506,335	\$29,214,023	100.0	\$0	
SNOHOMISH CO CON	С	7/31/2002		\$10,971,153	\$11,930,862	\$169,069	\$12,099,930	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$12,564,000	\$1,884,600			\$4,785,573	38.1	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,751,000		\$169,069	\$13,295,980	100.0	\$0	
SPOKANE	С	7/31/2002		\$10,989,473	\$11,368,300	\$390,668	\$11,758,968	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$12,597,000	\$1,889,550			\$2,075,994	16.5	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,852,000		\$390,668	\$12,268,275	100.0	\$0	
SPOKANE CO	С	7/31/2002		\$5,176,006	\$5,718,892	\$179,511	\$5,898,402	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$5,828,000	\$874,200			\$2,855,337	49.0	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$3,788,000		\$179,511	\$6,333,515	100.0	\$0	
TACOMA CON	С	7/31/2002		\$11,461,658	\$11,531,666	\$285,472	\$11,817,138	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$12,704,000	\$1,905,600			\$2,783,395	21.9	\$0	
	D	7/31/2002		\$7,588,000		\$285,472	\$11,887,930	100.0	\$0	
WASHINGTON	С	2/28/2002		\$68,159,144	\$69,234,006	\$145,356	\$69,379,361	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$75,874,000	\$11,381,100			\$13,803,173	18.2	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$48,056,000		\$145,356	\$63,602,132	100.0	\$0	
YAKIMA	С	2/28/2002		\$3,077,189	\$3,593,189	\$709,113	\$4,302,302	100.0	\$0	
WA	R		\$3,457,000	\$518,550			\$545,475	15.8	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$1,859,000		\$709,113	\$3,798,585	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 101 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u>	±	Deadline Date	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ST. LOUIS										
COLUMBIA	С	3/31/2002		\$3,090,600	\$2,955,414	\$195,379	\$3,150,792	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$3,434,000	\$515,100			\$604,650	17.6	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$1,865,000		\$195,379	\$3,098,231	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS	С	4/30/2002		\$38,460,566	\$39,667,287	\$620,223	\$40,287,510	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$41,216,000	\$6,182,400			\$6,337,146	15.4	\$0	
	D	1/31/2002		\$26,450,000		\$620,223	\$35,926,819	100.0	\$0	
ST. LOUIS CO	С	3/31/2002		\$19,127,933	\$21,746,301	\$82,940	\$21,829,241	100.0	\$0	
MO	R		\$20,424,000	\$3,063,450			\$5,445,389	26.7	\$0	
	D	3/31/2002		\$13,067,000		\$82,940	\$22,851,551	100.0	\$0	

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 102 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>PJ</u> WASHINGTON	±	<u>Deadline</u> <u>Date</u>	Original Allocation	Requirement Amount	Commitments	Program Income	Total C,R,orD through	<u>%</u>	<u>Shortfall</u>	Current Status
ALEXANDRIA	С	7/31/2002		\$4,686,328	\$5,166,778	\$634,242	\$5,801,020	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$5,131,000	\$769,650	. , ,	. ,	\$1,912,404	37.3	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$3,366,000		\$634,242	\$4,982,736	100.0	\$0	
ARLINGTON CO	С	7/31/2002		\$7,503,269	\$7,545,069	\$0	\$7,545,069	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$8,037,000	\$1,205,550			\$3,861,960	48.1	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$5,152,000		\$0	\$7,318,919	100.0	\$0	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	С	10/31/2002		\$48,184,057	\$52,353,255	\$801,320	\$53,154,575	100.0	\$0	
DC	R		\$53,610,000	\$7,889,948			\$8,104,848	15.1 [#]	\$0	
	D	9/30/2002		\$33,467,000		\$801,320	\$45,090,795	100.0	\$0	
FAIRFAX CO	С	7/31/2002		\$13,652,800	\$14,790,331	\$280,276	\$15,070,607	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$14,983,000	\$2,247,450			\$2,245,338	15.0	\$2,112	Amount reserved totals 15%
	D	6/30/2002		\$9,515,000		\$280,276	\$13,133,212	100.0	\$0	
MONTGOMERY CO	С	7/31/2002		\$14,394,569	\$16,234,455	\$479,880	\$16,714,335	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$16,366,000	\$2,454,900			\$3,822,250	23.4	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$10,301,000		\$479,880	\$16,987,930	100.0	\$0	
PRINCE GEORGE'S CO	С	7/31/2002		\$17,415,620	\$17,683,671	\$879,991	\$18,563,662	100.0	\$0	
MD	R		\$20,172,000	\$3,025,800			\$3,502,142	17.4	\$0	
	D	8/31/2002		\$13,057,000		\$879,991	\$15,905,294	100.0	\$0	
PRINCE WILLIAM CO	С	7/31/2002		\$3,445,866	\$3,783,132	\$542,046	\$4,325,177	100.0	\$0	
VA	R		\$3,903,000	\$509,850			\$587,410	15.1 [#]	\$0	
	D	6/30/2002		\$2,311,000		\$542,046	\$4,773,378	100.0	\$0	

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 103 of 104

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.

Requirement Years: 2000 Commitments, 2000 CHDO Reservations, and 1997 Disbursements As of 1/31/06

(sorted alphabetically by Field Office)

<u>Deadline Original Requirement Program Total C,R,orD</u>

<u>PJ</u> <u>Date Allocation Amount Commitments Income</u> <u>through</u> <u>% Shortfall Current Status</u>

Thursday, February 02, 2006

Page 104 of 104

⁺C-Commitments, R-CHDO Reservations, D-Disbursements

^{*}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 2000. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 commitment or CHDO reservation deadline date.

^{**}PJ did not receive an allocation until after 1997. Therefore, it has no amount subject to the FY 2002 disbursement deadline date.

[#] PJ had prior CHDO deobligation. PJ could have met its cumulative reservation requirement with a percentage less than 15%.